

Research

Summary:

Kentucky Power Co.

Primary Credit Analyst:

Gerrit W Jepsen, CFA, New York (1) 212-438-2529; gerrit.jepsen@standardandpoors.com

Secondary Contact:

Dimitri Nikas, New York (1) 212-438-7807; dimitri.nikas@standardandpoors.com

Table Of Contents

Rationale

Outlook

Standard & Poor's Base-Case Scenario

Business Risk

Financial Risk

Liquidity

Other Modifiers

Group Influence

Ratings Score Snapshot

Related Criteria And Research

KPSC Case No. 2014-00396 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 29, 2015 Item No. 17 Attachment 87 Page 2 of 8

Summary:

Kentucky Power Co.

Business Risk: STRONG					CORPORATE CREDIT RATING
Vulnerable	Excellent			*****	
		bbb	····bbb	bbb	
		0	0	0	
				***********	DDD (64-1-1- /
Financial Risk: SIGNIFIC	CANT				BBB/Stable/
	THE PERSONS ASSESSED.			(0.000.000.0000000000000000000000000000	
Highly leveraged	Minimal				
		Anchor	Modifiers	Group/Gov't	

Rationale

Business Risk: Strong	Financial Risk: Significant		
 Regulated utility that is sole provider of essential electricity service Part of a large electric utility company that is geographically diverse with a large customer base Credit supportive regulatory environment in Kentucky 	 Large capital expenditures Discretionary cash flow to remain negative Exposure to environmental regulations could pressure financial measures Net cash flow to capital spending to remain less than 100% 		

KPSC Case No. 2014-00396 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 29, 2015 Item No. 17 Summary: Kentucktta Browent \$70. Page 3 of 8

Outlook: Stable

The stable rating outlook on parent American Electric Power co. Inc. (AEP) and utility subsidiary Kentucky Power Co. (KP) reflects our expectation that management will focus on its regulated utilities and will not expand unregulated operations beyond the existing level. We expect the company will not incur any increased business risk by reaching regulatory outcomes that provide timely recovery of rate base investments and operating expenses. The outlook also reflects our expectations that cash flow protection and debt leverage measures will continue to remain at the currently robust levels. Our base case forecast includes adjusted funds from operations (FFO) to total debt of about 20%, supplemented by cash flow from operations (CFO) to debt of about 19%. We expect debt to EBITDA to be approximately 4x.

Downside scenario

We could lower the ratings if the business risk profile materially weakened or financial measures fall short of our base forecast on a sustained basis including not maintaining FFO to total debt above 13% or CFO to debt above 11%.

Upside scenario

We could raise the ratings if the business risk profile improves through growth in the utility operations in combination with financial measures in line with our base case forecast. We could also raise ratings if KP maintains its current business risk profile and financial measures strengthen to the "intermediate" financial risk profile category.

Standard & Poor's Base-Case Scenario

Assumptions Key Metrics Economic conditions in the service territory are In our base case, we expect KP's key adjusted financial improving, which will likely increase customer measures to approximate historical performance usage during the next few years. We expect FFO to debt to Supportive regulatory outcomes in Kentucky and be about 18%, which is in the "significant" category current rate recovery maintained under our medial volatility benchmarks. We forecast Capital spending and dividend payouts lead to debt to EBITDA to be roughly 4x, indicating debt negative discretionary cash flow, indicating external leverage in line with the "significant" category funding needs benchmarks. We forecast the supplemental ratio of CFO to debt to be over 16%. We expect discretionary cash flow to remain negative over the next few years, reflecting capital spending and dividend payments to parent company AEP. Beyond our base-case forecast, we expect to see similar financial measures.

KPSC Case No. 2014-00396
KIUC's First Set of Data Requests
Dated January 29, 2015
Item No. 17
Summary: Kentuckya Coment 570.
Page 4 of 8

Business Risk: Strong

We base our assessment of KP's business risk profile on the company's "satisfactory" competitive position, "very low" industry risk derived from the regulated utility industry, and the "very low" country risk of the U.S. where the utility operates. KP's competitive position incorporates the strengths of a vertically integrated, fully regulated electric utility that serves eastern Kentucky. It participates in the AEP Power Pool, sharing the revenues and costs of pool sales to utilities and power marketers, and also sells directly at wholesale to municipalities and electric cooperatives. Operations are integrated with the AEP East system.

Financial Risk: Significant

Based on the medial volatility financial ratio benchmarks, our assessment of KP's financial risk profile is "significant". This reflects the recurring cash flow from being a fully regulated vertically integrated electric utility. Capital spending is necessary for maintenance purposes and new projects. Recovery of costs has generally been adequate. We expect financial measures to remain about the same as existing levels. Negative discretionary cash flow over the forecast period indicates internal cash flow is not great enough to cover capital spending and dividend payments. Therefore, KP will need external funding sources. Measures could improve if spending is lower than expected or cost recovery is higher than expected. Steady cost recovery through the regulatory process will be required to maintain cash flow coverages. For 12 months ended Dec. 31, 2013, FFO to debt was 18.3%, CFO to debt was 15.9%, and debt to EBITDA was 4.2x. Our baseline forecast includes FFO to debt of about 18%, CFO to debt over 16%, and debt to EBITDA of roughly 4x.

Liquidity: Adequate

KP's liquidity reflects that of parent AEP, which we consider "adequate", as our criteria defines the term. We believe the company's liquidity sources are likely to cover its uses by more than 1.1x over the next 12 months and to meet cash outflows even with a 10% decline in EBITDA.

There are large debt maturities over the next three years and we expect the company to refinance these given its satisfactory standing in the credit markets.

Principal Liquidity Sources	Principal Liquidity Uses		
 Cash on hand of roughly \$500 million in 2014 FFO of roughly \$4.2 billion in 2014 Credit facility availability of about \$2.5 billion in 2014 Working capital of about \$350 million in 2014 	 Debt maturities of about \$1.5 billion in 2014 Capital spending of about \$4.3 billion in 2014 Dividends of about \$970 million in 2014 		

KPSC Case No. 2014-00396 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 29, 2015

Item No. 17

Summary: Kentucktha Promeent & To.
Page 5 of 8

Other Modifiers

Other modifiers have no impact on the rating outcome.

Group Influence

The SACP of 'bbb' for KP reflects its business risk and financial risk profiles; the same as the GCP for AEP, which is currently 'bbb'. Under our group rating methodology, we consider KP to be core subsidiary of the AEP group and therefore, the ICR for KP is equal to the AEP GCP.

Ratings Score Snapshot

Corporate Credit Rating

BBB/Stable/--

Business risk: Strong

• Country risk: Very low

• Industry risk: Very low

• Competitive position: Satisfactory

Financial risk: Significant

• Cash flow/Leverage: Significant

Anchor: bbb

Modifiers

- Diversification/Portfolio effect: Neutral (no impact)
- Capital structure: Neutral (no impact)
- Liquidity: Adequate (no impact)
- Financial policy: Neutral (no impact)
- Management and governance: Satisfactory (no impact)
- Comparable rating analysis: Neutral (no impact)

Stand-alone credit profile: bbb

- Group credit profile: bbb
- Entity status within group: Core (no impact)

Related Criteria And Research

· Criteria - Corporates - General: Methodology And Assumptions: Liquidity Descriptors For Global Corporate Issuers,

KPSC Case No. 2014-00396
KIUC's First Set of Data Requests
Dated January 29, 2015
Item No. 17
Summary: Kentuckya Content 870.
Page 6 of 8

Jan. 2, 2014

- Criteria Corporates Utilities: Key Credit Factors For The Regulated Utilities Industry, Nov. 19, 2013
- General Criteria: Group Rating Methodology, Nov. 19, 2013
- General Criteria: Methodology: Industry Risk, Nov. 19, 2013
- Criteria Corporates General: Corporate Methodology, Nov. 19, 2013
- Criteria Corporates General: Corporate Methodology: Ratios And Adjustments, Nov. 19, 2013
- General Criteria: Methodology For Linking Short-Term And Long-Term Ratings For Corporate, Insurance, And Sovereign Issuers, May 7, 2013
- General Criteria: Methodology: Management And Governance Credit Factors For Corporate Entities And Insurers,
 Nov. 13, 2012
- General Criteria: Stand-Alone Credit Profiles: One Component Of A Rating, Oct. 1, 2010
- 2008 Corporate Criteria: Rating Each Issue, April 15, 2008
- 2008 Corporate Criteria: Commercial Paper, April 15, 2008
- Criteria Corporates Utilities: Notching Of U.S. Investment-Grade Investor-Owned Utility Unsecured Debt Now Better Reflects Anticipated Absolute Recovery, Nov. 10, 2008

Business Risk Profile	Financial Risk Profile								
	Minimal	Modest	Intermediate	Significant	Aggressive	Highly leveraged			
Excellent	aaa/aa+	aa	a+/a	a-	bbb	bbb-/bb+			
Strong	aa/aa-	a+/a	a-/bbb+	bbb	bb+	bb			
Satisfactory	a/a-	bbb+	bbb/bbb-	bbb-/bb+	bb	b+			
Fair	bbb/bbb-	bbb-	bb+	bb	bb-	b			
Weak	bb+	bb+	bb	bb-	b+	b/b-			
Vulnerable	bb-	bb-	bb-/b+	b+	b	b-			

KPSC Case No. 2014-00396 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 29, 2015 Item No. 17 Attachment 87 Page 7 of 8

Copyright © 2014 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC (S&P), a subsidiary of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of S&P. The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P, its affiliates, and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions, regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P's opinions and analyses do not address the suitability of any security. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain credit-related analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

KPSC Case No. 2014-00396 KIUC's First Set of Data Requests Dated January 29, 2015 Item No. 17 Attachment 87 Page 8 of 8