STATE OF OHIO)	
)	SS:
COUNTY OF HAMILTON)	

The undersigned, James Ziolkowski, Director of Rates & Regulatory Planning, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the foregoing data requests, and that the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

Jame E. Zollashi Ziolkowski, Affiant

Subscribed and sworn to before me by James Ziolkowski on this 28 day of January, 2015.

ADELE M. FRISCH Notary Public, State of Ohio My Commission Expires 01-05-2019

Adult M. Frisch NOTARY PUBLIC My Commission Expires: 15/2019

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA)	
)	SS:
COUNTY OF MECKLENBURG)	

The undersigned, Gregory Schielke, Product & Services Manager, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the foregoing data requests, and that the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

Gregory Schielke, Affiant

Subscribed and sworn to before me by Gregory Schielke on this 26 day of January, 2015.

ul TARY PUBLIC

My Commission Expires: October 31, 2018

COM

STATE OF OHIO)	
)	SS:
COUNTY OF HAMILTON)	

The undersigned, Robin Avant, Products & Services Specialist, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the foregoing data requests, and that the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information and belief.

Alvi. Anet Robin Avant Affiant

Subscribed and sworn to before me by Robin Avant on this $\frac{2}{2}$ day of January,

2015.

ADELE M. FRISCH Notary Public, State of Ohio My Commission Expires 01-05-2019

Adelu M. Trisch NOTARY PUBLIC My Commission Expires: 1/5/2019

STATE OF OHIO)	
)	SS:
COUNTY OF HAMILTON)	

The undersigned, Carol Burwick, Senior Product & Services Manager, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the foregoing data requests, and that the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information and belief.

Sumuch

Carol Burwick, Affiant

Subscribed and sworn to before me by Carol Burwick on this \mathcal{L}_{day}^{st} day of January, 2015.



Sherry L. Smith Notary Public, State of Ohio My Commission Expires 03-19-2016

My Commission Expires: 3-19-2016

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA))SS:COUNTY OF MECKLENBURG)

The undersigned, Jeffrey DeSart, Product & Services Manager, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the foregoing data requests, and that the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

DeSart, Affiant

Subscribed and sworn to before me by Jeffrey DeSart on this 20^{14} day of January, 2015.

troug NOTARY PUBLIC

My Commission Expires: October 31, 2018



STATE OF OHIO)	
)	SS:
COUNTY OF HAMILTON)	

The undersigned, Trisha Haemmerle, Strategy & Collaboration Manager, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the foregoing data requests, and that the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information and belief.

Frisha Haemmerle, Affiant

Subscribed and sworn to before me by Trisha Haemmerle on this \mathcal{H} day of January, 2015.

ADELE M. FRISCH Notary Public, State of Ohio My Commission Expires 01-05-2019

Adde M. Frisch NOTARY PUBLIC My Commission Expires: 1/5/2019

STATE OF OHIO)	
)	SS:
COUNTY OF HAMILTON)	

The undersigned, Jason Weiner, Senior Product & Services Manager, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the foregoing data requests, and that the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

dason Weiner, Affiant

Subscribed and sworn to before me by Jason Weiner on this $\frac{20^{7}}{100}$ day of January, 2015.

ADELE M. FRISCH Notary Public, State of Ohio My Commission Expires 01-05-2019

Adulu A. Frisch NOTARY PUBLIC My Commission Expires: 1/5/2019

)

STATE OF INDIANA COUNTY OF HENDRICKS

SS:

The undersigned, Richard Philip, Lead Product & Services Manager, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the foregoing data requests, and that the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

Richard Philip, Affiant

Subscribed and sworn to before me by Richard Philip on this 19^{41} day of January, 2015.

Roseman aula M? My Commission Expires: 3/17/17 Resident: Herduchs Country

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DATA REQUEST	WITNESS	TAB NO.
STAFF-DR-01-001	Trisha Haemmerle	1
STAFF-DR-01-002	Trisha Haemmerle	2
STAFF-DR-01-003	Trisha Haemmerle	
STAFF-DR-01-004	Greg Schielke	4
STAFF-DR-01-005	Jeff DeSart	5
STAFF-DR-01-006	Robin Avant	6
STAFF-DR-01-007	Carol Burwick	7
STAFF-DR-01-008	Jason Weiner	8
STAFF-DR-01-009	Jason Weiner	9
STAFF-DR-01-010	Richard A. Philip	10
STAFF-DR-01-011	Trisha Haemmerle	11
STAFF-DR-01-012	Trisha Haemmerle	12
STAFF-DR-01-013	Trisha Haemmerle	
STAFF-DR-01-014	James E. Ziolkowski	
STAFF-DR-01-015	Trisha Haemmerle	15

STAFF-DR-01-001

REQUEST:

Refer to the table on page 6, paragraph 17 of the application ("Application").

- a. Provide similar electric information for July 2014 through December 2014.
- b. Provide similar information for the natural gas portion of the demand-side management ("DSM") programs for July 2013 through June 2014.
- c. Provide similar information for the natural gas portion of the DSM programs for July 2014 through December 2014.

RESPONSE:

- a. Please see Attachment STAFF-DR-01-001 a_c.
- b. Please see Attachment STAFF-DR-01-001 b.
- c. Please see Attachment STAFF-DR-01-001 a_c.

KyPSC Case No. 2014-00388 STAFF-DR-01-001 Attachment a_c Page 1 of 1

-

18,993

Summary of Load Impacts July 2014 Through December 2014*

	Incremental	ncremental Load Impacts Net of Free Riders at		
Residential Programs	Participation	kWh	kW	ccf
Appliance Recycling Program	490	198,329	22	
Energy Efficiency Education Program for Schools	1,751	461,036	134	6,654
Low Income Neighborhood	296	261,072	68	-
Low Income Services	118	181,823	47	6,235
My Home Energy Report**	55,591	11,340,564	3,347	
Residential Energy Assessments	309	239,475	47	6,028
Residential Smart \$aver®	234,885	5,123,785	752	76
Power Manager***	10,411	1	7,282	
Total Residential	303,851	17,806,085	11,697	18,993
	Incremental	Load Impacts Net of Free Riders at		at Meter
Non-Residential Programs	Participation	kWh	kW	ccf
Smart \$aver® Prescriptive - Energy Star Food Service Products	773	454,545	15	
Smart \$aver® Prescriptive - HVAC	97,695	763,184	195	
Smart \$aver® Prescriptive - Lighting	12,477	1,612,661	315	
Smart \$aver® Prescriptive - Motors/Pumps/VFD	40	27,599	2	-
Smart \$aver [®] Prescriptive - Process Equipment	125	55,054	13	

*Impacts are without losses and reflected at the customer meter point.

Smart \$aver® Custom

Total Non-Residential

Power Share®***

Total

**Actual participants and impact capability shown as of the December 2014 mailings.

***Cumulative number of controlled devices installed as of December 2014. Impacts reflect average capability over the contract period.

1,539

112,672

416,523

23

4,967,826

7,880,870

25,686,954

618

10,660 **11,817**

23,514

-

-

26,044

Summary of Load Impacts July 2013 Through June 2014*

	Incremental	Load Impacts Net of Free Riders at Meter		
Residential Programs	Participation	<u>kWh</u>	kW	ccf
Appliance Recycling Program	745	657,793	167	
Energy Efficiency Education Program for Schools	1,939	225,486	18	7,368
Low Income Neighborhood	719	634,158	165	
Low Income Services	180	251,243	60	7,771
My Home Energy Report**	55,517	11,325,468	3,342	-
Residential Energy Assessments	557	411,489	91	10,866
Residential Smart \$aver®	307,772	13,428,091	2,023	40
Power Manager***	10,453		10,272	and the second
Total Residential	377,882	26,933,728	16,137	26,044
	Incremental	Load Impacts	Net of Free Riders a	at Meter
Non-Residential Programs	Participation	kWh	kW	ccf
Smart \$aver® Prescriptive - Energy Star Food Service Products	963	803,561	50	
Smart \$aver [®] Prescriptive - HVAC	25,613	325,050	145	1

19,244

567

200

22

1,490

48,099

425,981

3,425,577

484,362

88,086

544,184

5,670,821

32,604,549

728

50

21

84

20,824

21,902

38,039

*Impacts are without losses and reflected at the customer meter point

Smart \$aver® Prescriptive - Lighting

Smart \$aver® Custom

Total Non-Residential

Power Share®***

Total

Smart \$aver® Prescriptive - Motors/Pumps/VFD

Smart \$aver® Prescriptive - Process Equipment

**Actual participants and impact capability shown as of the June 2014 mailings.

***Cumulative number of controlled devices installed. Impacts reflect average capability over the contract period.

STAFF-DR-01-002

REQUEST:

Refer to page 8, paragraph 21 of the Application. When referring to Duke Energy, as in the last sentence, explain which Duke Energy Corporation entity is being referenced.

RESPONSE:

The referenced sentence is describing the programs offered by the Duke Energy utilities in the Midwest, namely Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc., Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., and Duke Energy Indiana, Inc.

STAFF-DR-01-003

REQUEST:

Refer to page 10, paragraph 29 of the Application. Confirm that DIY means do-it-yourself.

RESPONSE:

Yes, DIY means do-it-yourself.

STAFF-DR-01-004

REQUEST:

Refer to page 10, paragraph 30 of the Application, which states, "Duke Energy Kentucky will consider increasing the number of CFLs installed in two and three bedroom units. Based on initial observations with Franklin Energy, additional sockets are available for larger unites and Duke Energy would like to capitalize on this opportunity."

- a. Provide the number of compact florescent light ("CFL") bulbs and the number of bedroom units that are reflected in the current measure.
- b. Provide the proposed number of CFLs that would be installed in two- and three-bedroom units.
- c. Explain when Duke Kentucky will consider implementing this proposed measure.

RESPONSE:

- a. Currently, the program offers a maximum of 12 bulbs per unit regardless of apartment size to be installed in permanent fixtures.
- b. Under the approach that is being considered, the program would limit one bedroom apartments to 12 bulbs, two bedroom apartments to 15 bulbs and three bedroom apartments to 18 bulbs.
- c. As soon as possible.

PERSON RESPONSIBLE: Greg Schielke

STAFF-DR-01-005

REQUEST:

Refer to page 11, paragraph 32 of the Application, which states, "There are three kit sizes to accommodate homes with 1, 2 or 3 full bathrooms. The kits contain varying quantities of shower heads, bath aerators, kitchen aerators and insulated pipe tape." Explain whether there is a limit to the number of kits that could be distributed to a dwelling.

RESPONSE:

There is a limit of one kit per customer. Customers are not eliminated if a kit was distributed to a previous inhabitant of their dwelling. There is no limit to the number of kits that can be distributed to a particular dwelling.

PERSON RESPONSIBLE: Jeff DeSart

STAFF-DR-01-006

REQUEST:

Refer to the table on pages 15 and 16 in paragraph 42 of the Application. Explain the decrease in participation from 2012-2013 to 2013-2014.

RESPONSE:

There are several contributors to the decrease in participation. First, we saw an increase in participation for our Payment Plus program which includes weatherization as a component. Some of those participating in the weatherization program did not receive their services until after the fiscal year, therefore, will not be counted for participation until 2014-2015. While that would show an increase, another variable in the participation was the increased customer interest in our Residential Neighborhood Program (RNP), which gained momentum, causing the weatherization program to see a decrease in participation. Both offer energy efficiency measures that help lower customer consumption and bills. While our weatherization program provides marketing through both commercial and bill inserts, the RNP markets through grassroots/door-to-door, mailers and neighborhood kickoff events which allow customers to attend, learn about and sign up for the program. RNP does not offer Tier II services, but refers those individuals to the weatherization program.

PERSON RESPONSIBLE: Robin Avant

STAFF-DR-01-007

REQUEST:

Refer to page 24, paragraph 62 of the Application, which states, "Duke Energy is currently launching a mid-stream marketing channel."

- a. Explain the mid-stream marketing channel.
- b. Explain which Duke Energy entity is being referenced.

RESPONSE:

- a. The midstream channel provides distributors with the ability to reduce the cost of energy efficiency equipment at the point of sale and receive reimbursement for the incentive from Duke Energy. The traditional prescriptive program provides the incentive after sale the sale as a rebate. The customer receives the same incentive amount through either channel.
- b. The midstream channel is being launched as part of Duke Energy Kentucky's Smart \$aver Prescriptive program.

PERSON RESPONSIBLE: Carol Burwick

STAFF-DR-01-008

REQUEST:

Refer to page 28, paragraph 77 of the Application, which states, "The Duke Energy contracted professional engineering firm will assist the customer in completing the Smart \$aver® application."

- a. Provide the name of the Duke Energy-contracted professional engineering firm.
- b. Explain which Duke Energy entity is being referenced.

RESPONSE:

There are four professional engineering firms utilized: Building Intelligence Group LLC, ThermalTech Engineering, CB&I, and Mazzetti. All four companies service the program for Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc., Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., Duke Energy Indiana, Inc., and Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC.

PERSON RESPONSIBLE: Jason Weiner

STAFF-DR-01-009

REQUEST:

Refer to page 28, paragraph 78 of the Application, which states, "During the current reporting period, July 2013 to June 2014, there is currently one customer participating in the program." Provide the desired participation level in order for this program to be effective and deemed successful.

RESPONSE:

The program is administered in Kentucky, Ohio, Indiana, North Carolina, and South Carolina so participation is gauged across the entirety of all states. The total assessments performed goal is 50 per year - of which 2 to 3 is the goal for Kentucky.

PERSON RESPONSIBLE: Jason Weiner

STAFF-DR-01-010

REQUEST:

Refer to the table on page 32, paragraph 81 of the Application.

- a. Explain the Event Types labelled PJM Test, dated August 28, 2013, and PJM Re-Test, dated September 24, 2013.
- b. Explain the QuoteOption event's occurring on January 7, 2014, in light of the fact there was no participation in the QuoteOption portion of the PowerShare program.

RESPONSE:

a. Per PJM rules, all Curtailment Service Providers (CSPs) are required to simultaneously test all their Limited DR sites registered in a zone if PJM has not called an event for Limited DR in that zone by August 15th of the delivery year.

At minimum, the CSP needs to prove that they can provide at least 75% of their RPM commitment in the zone. Once the threshold is met, a CSP qualifies for an optional retest of any registrations that failed by August 15th of the delivery year. If PJM initiates a Limited DR event before September 30 of the delivery year, test compliance is not evaluated.

b. While there are no customers currently on only the QuoteOption program, <u>all</u> CallOption customers are also enrolled in QuoteOption. This gives Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc. and its customers a chance to provide voluntary, but renumberated, load reduction in time periods when a CallOption event has not been called.

PERSON RESPONSIBLE: Richard A. Philip

STAFF-DR-01-011

REQUEST:

Refer to page 36, paragraph 90 of the Application, which states, "The reconciliation of the cost recovery mechanism (Rider DSMR) involves a comparison of projected versus actual program expenses, lost revenues, and shared savings as well as inclusion of the prior year's reconciliation. The actual cost of residential and nonresidential program expenditures, lost revenues, and shared savings for this reporting period was \$10.51 million. The projected level of program expenditures was \$9.75 million." Explain the \$.76 million increase over the projected level of program expenditures.

RESPONSE:

The additional costs are related to positive customer response to programs that led to higher than projected participation.

Qualifying budget billing customers started receiving the My Home Energy Report in February 2014 resulting in increased participation, costs, lost revenues and shared savings to the program.

The lighting portion of Residential Smart \$aver exceeded participation goals resulting in increased program costs, shared savings and lost revenues.

For PowerShare, 90% of customers selected a higher level of curtailments for the program year resulting in higher incentives. The projection was spread across the other options which involve fewer curtailments and lower incentives.

In addition to increased participation, the lost revenues for the Personalized Energy Report Program¹ were not calculated in the projections. This resulted in \$144,535 in lost revenues.

¹ Personalized Energy Report is a legacy program which continues to collect lost revenues.

STAFF-DR-01-012

REQUEST:

Refer to page 41 of the Application. Provide the current DSMR rider costs for the average electric and gas customer.

RESPONSE:

Based on the current rider amounts¹, the 2015 forecasted sales and the current number of electric and gas customers multiplied by the cost per kWh or cost per CCF respectively, the estimated cost for average customers would be a charge of 37.65 for electric and 39.65 for gas. The costs are estimates and will vary by customer based on usage.

¹ As Ordered on March 28, 2014 in Case No. 2013-00395.

STAFF-DR-01-013

REQUEST:

Refer to pages 1 and 2, Appendix B of the Application.

- a. Explain whether the gas program expenditures shown in column 6 of page 1 are actual expenditures, or if they result from an allocation based on space heating saturation.
- b. If the gas expenditures are allocations, state whether Duke Kentucky believes it is reasonable to use the same allocation factor for all the programs for which cost is allocated to gas customers, as shown on page 2.
- c. Refer to page 2. Provide, by measure, the 2015-2016 projected program costs equaling \$1,085,886 and the 2015-2016 projected lost revenue amount of \$1,567,646 for the Residential Smart Saver program.
- d. Irrespective of the 63.5 percent allocation factor used to allocate costs to gas customers, for each program with costs allocated to gas customers, provide a comparison of actual expected ccf and kWH savings for the typical gas customer compared to the typical residential electric customer.

RESPONSE:

- a. The costs are an allocation based on space heating saturation.
- b. Duke Energy Kentucky believes this is still a reasonable methodology and the voting Collaborative members have approved this methodology as part of the annual status update each year.

- c. Please see STAFF-DR-01-013c.
- d.

		Net of Free Riders per participant
Residential Programs	<u>kWh</u>	ccf
Energy Efficiency Education Program for Schools	116	3.80
Low Income Services	1,396	54.34
Residential Energy Assessments	739	19.51
Residential Smart \$aver®	44	19.78

KyPSC Case No. 2014-00388 STAFF-DR-01-013 Attachment C Page 1 of 2

STAFF-DR-01-013c

Program

Residential Smart Saver® Residential Smart Saver® Residential Smart \$aver® **Residential Smart Saver® Residential Smart \$aver® Residential Smart Saver® Residential Smart Saver®** Residential Smart \$aver® **Residential Smart Saver® Residential Smart Saver® Residential Smart \$aver® Residential Smart Saver®** Residential Smart Saver® **Residential Smart Saver®** Residential Smart \$aver® **Residential Smart Saver® Residential Smart \$aver® Residential Smart Saver® Residential Smart \$aver®** Residential Smart Saver® **Residential Smart Saver® Residential Smart Saver® Residential Smart Saver® Residential Smart Saver®** Residential Smart \$aver® **Residential Smart Saver®** Residential Smart Saver® **Residential Smart Saver® Residential Smart Saver® Residential Smart Saver® Residential Smart \$aver® Residential Smart Saver® Residential Smart \$aver®**

Measure	Projected Program Costs	Projected Lost Revenues
Faucet Aerators MF Direct 0.5 GPM - bath	\$845.43	\$163.87
Faucet Aerators MF Direct 1.0 GPM - bath	\$1,707.66	\$708.31
Faucet Aerators MF Direct 1.0 GPM - kitchen	\$2,002.90	\$616.64
Faucet Aerators MF DIY 0.5 GPM - bath	\$662.20	\$121.56
Faucet Aerators MF DIY 1.0 GPM - bath	\$662.20	\$114.07
Faucet Aerators MF DIY 1.0 GPM - kitchen	\$760.12	\$95.22
Faucet Aerators SF Direct 0.5 GPM - bath	\$28.45	\$20.07
Faucet Aerators SF Direct 1.0 GPM - bath	\$285.15	\$261.24
Faucet Aerators SF Direct 1.0 GPM - kitchen	\$212.25	\$241.63
Faucet Aerators SF DIY 0.5 GPM - bath	\$169.77	\$151.40
Faucet Aerators SF DIY 1.0 GPM - bath	\$9,378.76	\$2,852.36
Faucet Aerators SF DIY 1.0 GPM - kitchen	\$3,837.00	\$1,637.11
Heat Pump Water Heater	\$22,790.40	\$4,340.00
LF Showerhead MF Direct 0.5 GPM	\$1,638.86	\$373.79
LF Showerhead MF Direct 1.0 GPM	\$1,638.86	\$359.77
LF Showerhead MF Direct 1.5 GPM	\$3,186.94	\$1,180.40
LF Showerhead MF DIY 0.5 GPM	\$1,278.01	\$295.13
LF Showerhead MF DIY 1.0 GPM	\$1,278.01	\$210.28
LF Showerhead MF DIY 1.5 GPM	\$1,278.01	\$189.73
LF Showerhead SF Direct 0.5 GPM	\$32.84	\$51.01
LF Showerhead SF Direct 1.0 GPM	\$74.95	\$72.49
LF Showerhead SF Direct 1.5 GPM	\$586.76	\$438.16
LF Showerhead SF DIY 0.5 GPM	\$0.00	\$137.33
LF Showerhead SF DIY 1.0 GPM	\$391.03	\$616.04
LF Showerhead SF DIY 1.5 GPM	\$10,462.09	\$2,999.49
Pipe Wrap MF Direct	\$469.22	\$818.95
Pipe Wrap MF DIY	\$56.21	\$73.87
Pipe Wrap SF Direct	\$3,600.65	\$402.69
Pipe Wrap SF DIY	\$8,073.30	\$2,782.45
Pool Pump	\$38,291.72	\$6,786.85
Property Manager 13WCFL	\$44,429.10	\$45,319.15
RCFL Opt-In Free CFLs	\$147,052.29	\$1,187,662.12
RCFLSP - Specialty Bulbs 3 Way	\$9,652.03	\$7,800.55

KyPSC Case No. 2014-00388 STAFF-DR-01-013 Attachment C Page 2 of 2

Total		\$1,085,885.73	\$1,567,646.10
Residential Smart \$aver®	Smart Saver - Heat Pump Tune UP	\$33,044.54	\$7,077.05
Residential Smart \$aver®	Smart Saver - Heat Pump	\$194,067.14	\$101,652.44
Residential Smart \$aver®	Smart Saver - Duct Sealing	\$29,756.94	\$3,910.11
Residential Smart \$aver®	Smart Saver - Duct Insulation	\$23,791.13	\$1,902.43
Residential Smart \$aver®	Smart Saver - Central Air Conditioner Tune UP	\$39,682.07	\$4,624.61
Residential Smart \$aver®	Smart Saver - Central Air Conditioner	\$256,983.22	\$53,067.41
Residential Smart \$aver®	Smart Saver - Attic Insul & Air Seal	\$48,373.23	\$5,594.40
Residential Smart \$aver®	RCFLSP - Specialty Bulbs Recessed Outdoor LED	\$0.00	\$1,562.86
Residential Smart \$aver®	RCFLSP - Specialty Bulbs Recessed Outdoor	\$6,032.32	\$25,518.23
Residential Smart \$aver®	RCFLSP - Specialty Bulbs Recessed LED	\$35,189.99	\$3,524.05
Residential Smart \$aver®	RCFLSP - Specialty Bulbs Recessed Dimmable	\$4,124.83	\$3,365.63
Residential Smart \$aver®	RCFLSP - Specialty Bulbs Recessed	\$24,346.42	\$33,148.56
Residential Smart \$aver®	RCFLSP - Specialty Bulbs Globe	\$16,909.29	\$14,633.45
Residential Smart \$aver®	RCFLSP - Specialty Bulbs Candelabra LED	\$0.00	\$631.72
Residential Smart \$aver®	RCFLSP - Specialty Bulbs Candelabra	\$8,626.90	\$8,958.65
Residential Smart \$aver®	RCFLSP - Specialty Bulbs A Line LED	\$27,430.23	\$8,182.74
Residential Smart \$aver®	RCFLSP - Specialty Bulbs A Line Dimmable	\$7,432.91	\$8,366.72
Residential Smart \$aver®	RCFLSP - Specialty Bulbs A Line	\$13,281.39	\$12,031.28

STAFF-DR-01-014

REQUEST:

Refer to page 4, Appendix B of the Application. Provide actual annual sales and number of customers for calendar years 2010 through 2014 for the rate classes shown for both electric and

gas.

RESPONSE:

Electric. Usage in kWh. Customer counts are annual figures. Monthly customer counts are approximately equal to the annual numbers divided by twelve.

Paul V.			YEAR			Contraction of the second	
RATEC	RATECODE	Jata	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
⊟RS	RS	Sum of CUSTOMERS	1,459,007	1,463,573	1,476,270	1,483,787	1,491,480
		Sum of USAGE	1,564,329,727	1,515,458,545	1,463,759,203	1,479,061,355	1,493,528,781
⊜ DP	DP	Sum of CUSTOMERS	105	108	100	114	114
		Sum of USAGE	17,661,242	16,632,760	17,122,524	21,544,849	21,803,867
	DP-L	Sum of CUSTOMERS	22	24	24	25	24
		Sum of USAGE	1,889,913	1,542,267	1,633,873	1,978,003	1,994,343
⊟DS	DS	Sum of CUSTOMERS	146,219	146,072	147,749	149,041	150,411
		Sum of USAGE	1,043,322,257	1,034,065,157	1,038,667,707	1,046,818,742	1,062,810,346
	DS-L	Sum of CUSTOMERS	1,460	1,437	1,476	1,535	1,701
		Sum of USAGE	35,080,829	35,260,009	34,175,371	35,741,814	43,277,126
	DS-R	Sum of CUSTOMERS	48	48	48	46	48
		Sum of USAGE	264,462	179,095	130,854	-32,181	19,768
BDT	DT-P	Sum of CUSTOMERS	445	432	440	425	444
		Sum of USAGE	479,363,423	472,790,858	480,423,588	484,161,569	486,903,117
	DTRS	Sum of CUSTOMERS	48	48	48	48	48
		Sum of USAGE	6,889,780	6,513,084	6,694,694	6,114,631	6,322,434
	DT-S	Sum of CUSTOMERS	2,203	2,159	2,192	2,104	1,940
		Sum of USAGE	702,164,068	685,778,476	680,530,686	682,388,872	681,505,703
⊜EH	EH	Sum of CUSTOMERS	1,246	1,355	1,318	1,285	1,245
		Sum of USAGE	11,894,038	16,493,850	17,291,404	17,431,475	16,700,976
■GSFL	GSFL	Sum of CUSTOMERS	1,941	1,985	2,032	2,048	2,054
		Sum of USAGE	6,224,306	6,232,426	6,256,158	6,269,922	6,258,841
⊜SP	SP	Sum of CUSTOMERS	259	256	249	225	215
		Sum of USAGE	308,909	292,660	253,290	251,015	345,403
∎π	Π	Sum of CUSTOMERS	117	113	119	130	135
		Sum of USAGE	211,335,186	215,616,699	203,689,892	222,034,748	222,077,911
	TT-R	Sum of CUSTOMERS	48	48	48	48	48
		Sum of USAGE	10,909,806	10,653,150	9,510,558	10,177,565	9,279,791
Total Sum of CUSTOMERS			1,613,168	1,617,658	1,632,113	1,640,861	1,649,907
Total Sum of USAGE			4.091.637.946	4,017,509,036	3,960,139,802	4.013.942.379	4.052.828.407

Gas. Usage in MCF (thousand cubic feet). Customer counts are annual figures. Monthly customer counts are approximately equal to the annual numbers divided by twelve.

		CONTRACTOR AND A CONTRACTOR	YEAR	A STATE			
RATECOD	E2 RATECODE	Data	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
RS	RS	Sum of CUSTOMERS	1,053,696	1,054,994	1,064,039	1,068,823	1,074,255
		Sum of USAGE	6,695,321	6,458,952	5,321,788	6,702,926	7,266,440
Total Sum of CUSTOMERS			1,053,696	1,054,994	1,064,039	1,068,823	1,074,255
Total Sum	of USAGE	6,695,321	6,458,952	5,321,788	6,702,926	7,266,440	

PERSON RESPONSIBLE: James E. Ziolkowski

STAFF-DR-01-015

REQUEST:

Provide in electronic format, with formulas intact and cells unprotected, each page of Appendix

B of the Application.

RESPONSE:

Please see the enclosed CD containing STAFF-DR-01-015 Attachment.