VERIFICATION

STATE OF OHIO)
) SS:
COUNTY OF HAMILTON)

The undersigned, James Ziolkowski, Director of Rates & Regulatory Planning, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the foregoing data requests, and that the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

James Ziolkowski, Affiant

Subscribed and sworn to before me by James Ziolkowski on this 24Th day of February, 2015.

Notary Public, State of Ohio My Commission Expires 01-05-2019

NOTARY PUBLIC

My Commission Expires: 1/5/2019

VERIFICATION

STATE OF OHIO)	
)	SS:
COUNTY OF HAMILTON)	

The undersigned, Trisha Haemmerle, Strategy & Collaboration Manager, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the foregoing data requests, and that the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information and belief.

Trisha Haemmerle, Affiant

Subscribed and sworn to before me by Trisha Haemmerle on this 24 day of February, 2015.

ADELE M. FRISCH Notary Public, State of Ohio My Commission Expires 01-05-2019

My Commission Expires: 1 | 5 | 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DATA REQUEST	WITNESS	TAB NO.
STAFF-DR-02-001	James Ziolkowski/Trisha Had	emmerle 1
STAFF-DR-02-002	James Ziolkowski	2
STAFF-DR-02-003	Trisha Haemmerle	3
STAFF-DR-02-004	Trisha Haemmerle	4
STAFF-DR-02-005	James Ziolkowski	5
STAFF-DR-02-006	Trisha Haemmerle	6

Duke Energy Kentucky
Case No. 2014-00388
S 2nd Set of Data Requests

STAFF'S 2nd Set of Data Requests Date Received: February 13, 2015

STAFF-DR-02-001

REQUEST:

Refer to the Application, Appendix B, page 1.

a. Provide an explanation and support for the gas and electric amounts in the 2013

Reconciliation.

b. Refer to columns 11 and 12 for Residential Programs. Provide by month and year, in

Excel spreadsheet format with formulas intact and all cells unprotected, the applicable

gas and electric demand-side management ("DSM") rates charged and the corresponding

monthly revenues collected. If this information was collected for a period prior to July

2013 through June 2014, provide updated information for a time period through

December 31, 2014.

c. Refer to column 8 for Commercial Programs. Provide by month and year, in Excel

spreadsheet format with formulas intact and all cells unprotected, the applicable DSM

rates charged and the corresponding monthly revenues collected. If this information was

collected for a period prior to July 2013 through June 2014, provide updated information

for a time period through December 2014.

d. Provide by month and year, in Excel spreadsheet format with formulas intact and all cells

unprotected, the applicable DSM rate charged and the corresponding monthly revenues

collected for the Power Share program. If this information was collected for a period

prior to July 2013 through June 2014, provide updated information for a time period

through December 31, 2014.

RESPONSE:

a. The 2013 reconciliation is the over/under collection amount as calculated in the prior

year's true-up in Case No. 2013-00395. See STAFF-DR-02-001 Attachment A tab Page

1 columns 13 and 14 for residential and column 9 for non-residential.

b. STAFF-DR-02-001 Attachment B shows the DSM rates and revenues collected by month

for the period July 2013 through June 2014. Residential electric and residential gas data

appear in separate tabs in the spreadsheet.

c. STAFF-DR-02-001 Attachment B shows the actual electric non-residential DSM rates

and revenues collected by month for the period July 2013 through June 2014. The file

STAFF-DR-02-001 Attachment C shows the allocation of the distribution customer DSM

revenues and Rate TT DSM revenues between the PowerShare program and the other

non-residential programs. This spreadsheet "builds up" part (a) and part (b) revenues by

applying the part (a) and (b) rates to the associated kWh sales for a given month. Part (a)

revenues are credited against the standard DSM programs, and part (b) revenues recover

PowerShare costs. Because of rounding errors and prior-period billing adjustments, the

total revenues calculated through the "build-up" process exceeded the actual booked

revenues by \$17,214. The Company elected to use the higher revenue credit amount in

this filing.

d. Please see the response to part (c).

PERSON RESPONSIBLE: James E. Ziolkowski

Trisha Haemmerle

Duke Energy Kentucky
Case No. 2014-00388
S 2nd Set of Data Paguests

STAFF'S 2nd Set of Data Requests

Date Received: February 13, 2015

STAFF-DR-02-002

REQUEST:

Refer to the response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information ("Staff's First

Request"), Item 1.b.

a. Provide the percentage of total residential gas sales that is represented by the 26,044 Ccf

load impact shown on Attachment b, Summary of Load Impacts July 2013 through June

2014.

b. Provide the percentage of total residential gas sales that is represented by the individual

load impacts for each residential program shown on Attachment b, Summary of Load

Impacts July 2013 through June 2014.

c. Provide the percentage of total residential electric sales that is represented by the

26,933,728 kWh load impact shown on Attachment b, Summary of Load Impacts July

2013 through June 2014.

d. Provide the percentage of total residential electric sales that is represented by the

individual load impacts for each residential program shown on Attachment b, Summary

of Load Impacts July 2013 through June 2014.

RESPONSE:

Please see the table below.

Lasth 1	Load Impacts Net of Free	- Did		
Lauth	Load Impacts Net of Free Riders at Meter			
kWh	% of Total Res Sales	ccf	% of Total Res Sales	
657,793	0.0433%	-	0.0000%	
225,486	0.0148%	7,368	0.0103%	
634,158	0.0417%	-	0.0000%	
251,243	0.0165%	7,771	0.0108%	
11,325,468	0.7449%	-	0.0000%	
411,489	0.0271%	10,866	0.0151%	
13,428,091	0.8831%	40	0.0001%	
	0.0000%	-	0.0000%	
26,933,728	1.7714%	26,044	0.0362%	
1,520,477,786	100%	71,881,990	100%	
omer meter point				
of the June 2014	mailings.			
	225,486 634,158 251,243 11,325,468 411,489 13,428,091 - 26,933,728 1,520,477,786	225,486 0.0148% 634,158 0.0417% 251,243 0.0165% 11,325,468 0.7449% 411,489 0.0271% 13,428,091 0.8831% - 0.0000% 26,933,728 1.7714% 1,520,477,786 100%	225,486 0.0148% 7,368 634,158 0.0417% - 251,243 0.0165% 7,771 11,325,468 0.7449% - 411,489 0.0271% 10,866 13,428,091 0.8831% 40 - 0.0000% - 26,933,728 1.7714% 26,044 1,520,477,786 100% 71,881,990	

PERSON RESPONSIBLE: James E. Ziolkowski

Duke Energy Kentucky Case No. 2014-00388 STAFF'S 2nd Set of Data Requests

Date Received: February 13, 2015

STAFF-DR-02-003

REQUEST:

Refer to the response to Staff's First Request, Item 12. Confirm that the average estimated

annual DSMR rider cost for electric customers is projected to increase approximately 52 percent,

while the projected average annual DSMR rider cost for gas customers is more than double the

current cost.

RESPONSE:

Yes, the average estimated annual DSMR rider cost for electric customers is projected

to increase by approximately 52 percent and the projected average annual DSMR rider cost for

gas customers is projected to be more than double the current cost. This large increase in the

monthly DSMR charge is primarily due to the fact that gas customers received a bill credit in

2012 and 2013, which caused Duke Energy Kentucky to be under collected by over \$5 million

for the 2013 - 2014 program year.

PERSON RESPONSIBLE: Trisha Haemmerle

Duke Energy Kentucky Case No. 2014-00388 STAFF'S 2nd Set of Data Requests

Date Received: February 13, 2015

STAFF-DR-02-004

REQUEST:

Refer to the response to Staff's First Request, Item 13.

a. Based on Duke Kentucky's allocation methodology discussed in the response to subparts

a. and b. of this request, show the cost allocations to electric customers and gas

customers, respectively, for every Residential Smart Saver Program measure shown on

pages 1 and 2 of Attachment C.

b. In Excel spreadsheet format with formulas intact and all cells unprotected, and in a

format similar to that used in Attachment C, provide the same information by measure,

indicating whether the measure is specifically targeted to gas and/or electric, the

projected participants by gas and/or electric, projected savings by Ccf and/or kWh,

projected gas and/or electric program costs resulting from application of the measures,

and the projected lost revenues by gas and/or electric.

c. In Excel spreadsheet format with formulas intact and all cells unprotected, provide for

measure RCFL Opt-In Free CFLs the number and wattage of compact florescent light

("CFL") bulbs distributed, the time period for the lost revenues, and kWh savings per

CFL.

d. Irrespective of Duke Kentucky's allocation methodology, for every Residential Smart

Saver Program measure shown on pages 1 and 2 of Attachment C, show allocations of

Projected Program Costs and Projected Lost Revenues between electric and gas

customers based on:

1. Saturation of electric vs. gas water heating for Faucet Aerators, Showerheads, and

Pipe Wrap measures;

2. 100 percent to electric customers for Heat Pump Water Heater, Pool Pump, all

lighting, Central Air Conditioner, and Heat Pump measures; and

3. Saturation of electric vs. gas space heating for Duct Insulation and Duct Sealing

measures.

RESPONSE:

a. See STAFF-DR-02-004 Attachment a b d

b. See STAFF-DR-02-004 Attachment a b d

c. See STAFF-DR-02-004 Attachment c

d. See STAFF-DR-02-004 Attachment a b d

PERSON RESPONSIBLE: Trisha Haemmerle

Duke Energy Kentucky

Case No. 2014-00388 STAFF'S 2nd Set of Data Requests Date Received: February 13, 2015

STAFF-DR-02-005

REQUEST:

Refer to the response to Staff's First Request, Item 14. Provide in a similar format in an Excel

spreadsheet, with formulas intact and all cells unprotected, the same information by RATEC and

RATECODE including the average monthly usage for electric and gas.

RESPONSE:

Please see STAFF-DR-02-005 Attachment.

PERSON RESPONSIBLE: James E. Ziolkowski

Duke Energy Kentucky Case No. 2014-00388 STAFF'S 2nd Set of Data Requests

Date Received: February 13, 2015

STAFF-DR-02-006

REQUEST:

a. Explain whether there are DSM programs in other Duke Energy, Inc. operating utilities

for which costs and lost revenues are attributed to gas customers.

b. If so, provide the name of the operating utility or utilities, the allocation method used to

assign costs and calculate rates for gas and electric customers, the resulting percentage

breakdown of cost between gas and electric, and whether it is by DSM portfolio or

program.

RESPONSE:

a. There are no other DSM programs in other Duke Energy operating utilities for which

costs and lost revenues are attributed to gas customers.

b. There are no other DSM programs offered by any other Duke Energy utility operating

company for which costs and lost revenues are attributed to gas customers. Duke Energy

Ohio is the only other Duke Energy utility operating company that, like Duke Energy

Kentucky, offers its customer both electric and gas service. Duke Energy Ohio differs

from Duke Energy Kentucky in that its DSM programs are specifically associated with

electric customers, because the State has electric only energy efficiency mandates, which

only are evaluated based on the savings associated with solely with electricity.

PERSON RESPONSIBLE: Trisha Haemmerle