# COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY <br> BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 

In the Matter of:

| APPLICATION OF KENTUCKY UTILITIES | )CASE NO. <br> COMPANY FOR AN ADJUSTMENT OF ITS |
| :--- | :--- |
| ELECTRIC RATES | ) |

RESPONSE OF KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

TO FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION OF KENTUCKY SCHOOL BOARDS ASSOCIATION (KSBA)

DATED JANUARY 8, 2015

FILED: JANUARY 23, 2015

# VERIFICATION <br> COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY ) <br> ) $\mathrm{SS}:$ <br> COUNTY OF JEFFERSON <br> ) 

The undersigned, Kent W. Blake, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is Chief Financial Officer for Kentucky Utilities Company and Louisville Gas and Electric Company and an employee of LG\&E and KU Services Company, and that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the responses for which he is identified as the witness, and the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.

## KtWblahe

Kent W. Blake

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, this 2 hat day of Otoculuy


My Commission Expires:

## VERIFICATION

## COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY ) <br> ) SS : <br> COUNTY OF JEFFERSON <br> )

The undersigned, Dr. Martin J. Blake, being duly sworn, deposes and states that he is a Principal of The Prime Group, LLC, that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the responses for which he is identified as the witness, and the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.


Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$

(SEAL)

My Commission Expires:
JUDY SCHMOE ER
Notary Public, State ax Large, RY
Wy commission expires duty 41,2018
Notary 1 综 5 ? 2743

## VERIFICATION

## COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY ) <br> ) $\mathrm{SS}:$ <br> COUNTY OF JEFFERSON

The undersigned, Robert M. Conroy, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is Director - Rates for Louisville Gas and Electric Company and Kentucky Utilities Company, an employee of LG\&E and KU Services Company, and that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the responses for which he is identified as the witness, and the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.


Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, this 2042 day of $\qquad$ 2015.


My Commission Expires:
JuDY SChUyLER
Notary Public, State at Large, KY

- in y commission expires duly 41,2018

Notary ID 312743

## VERIFICATION

## COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY ) ) SS: COUNTY OF JEFFERSON

The undersigned, Christopher M. Garrett, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is Director - Accounting and Regulatory Reporting for Kentucky Utilities Company and Louisville Gas and Electric Company and an employee of LG\&E and KU Services Company, that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the responses for which he is identified as the witness, and the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.


Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, this $19^{\text {th }}$ day of $\qquad$ 2015.


My Commission Expires:

SUSAN HOMMTKMS




## VERIFICATION

## COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

The undersigned, John P. Malloy, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is Vice President, Customer Services for Kentucky Utilities Company and Louisville Gas and Electric Company and an employee of LG\&E and KU Services Company, and that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the responses for which he is identified as the witness, and the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.


John P. Malloy

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County



My Commission Expires:
JUDY SGRUUNETK
Notary Public, State ext Longe, RY

- My commission expires duly 11,2018

Notary 10 结512743

## VERIFICATION

## COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY )

The undersigned, Paula H. Pottinger, Ph.D., being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is Senior Vice President, Human Resources for Kentucky Utilities Company and Louisville Gas and Electric Company and an employee of LG\&E and KU Services Company, and that she has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the responses for which she is identified as the witness, and the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of her information, knowledge and belief.


Paula H. Pottinger, Ph.D.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County



My Commission Expires:

# KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY 

CASE NO. 2014-00371

# Response to First Request for Information of Kentucky School Boards Association (KSBA) Dated January 8, 2015 

Question No. 1

Responding Witness: Dr. Martin J. Blake

Q-1. Please provide copies all calculations, work papers and any other supporting documents including but not limited to load research reports used to develop the Allocation Factor Tables in Exhibits MJB-3 and MJB-9.

A-1. See the attachments provided in response to PSC 2-60.

# KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY 

CASE NO. 2014-00371

# Response to First Request for Information of Kentucky School Boards Association (KSBA) <br> Dated January 8, 2015 

## Question No. 2

## Responding Witness: Robert M. Conroy

Q-2. Please provide copies of all available peak day load profiles for any school accounts recorded in the last five years.

A-2. See the attachment being provided in Excel format. Certain information requested is confidential and proprietary, and is being provided under seal pursuant to a petition for confidential treatment.

## Attachment in Excel

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { The attachment(s) } \\
\text { provided in separate } \\
\text { file(s) in Excel format. }
\end{gathered}
$$

# KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY 

CASE NO. 2014-00371

# Response to First Request for Information of Kentucky School Boards Association (KSBA) Dated January 8, 2015 

Question No. 3

Responding Witness: Dr. Martin J. Blake

Q-3. Please provide a copy of all class loss factors used in the development of MJB-3, MJB-8 and MJB-9 and any supporting studies and documentation.

A-3. See the response to PSC 2-60 for the most recent KU loss study.

# KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY 

CASE NO. 2014-00371

# Response to First Request for Information of Kentucky School Boards Association (KSBA) <br> Dated January 8, 2015 

Question No. 4

## Responding Witness: Dr. Martin J. Blake

Q-4. Please confirm that line 10 Winter Peak Period Costs of MJB-4 calculates to $18.33 \%$ rather than $34.10 \%$ per the expressed formula.

A-4. The formula shown on Line 10 that shows how the Winter Peak Period cost percent was calculated is incorrect. The formula shows the Winter Peak Period percent being calculated using Line 8, which is summer hours. The formula should have used the winter hours in Line 7, instead of the summer hours in Line 8. The correct formula is (Line 7/Line $9 \times$ Line 6). The actual calculation that shows the Winter Peak Period percent as $34.10 \%$ is calculated correctly. See the revised Exhibit MJB-4 provided as an attachment to the response to PSC 2-50(d).

# KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY 

CASE NO. 2014-00371

# Response to First Request for Information of Kentucky School Boards Association (KSBA) Dated January 8, 2015 

Question No. 5

## Responding Witness: Dr. Martin J. Blake

Q-5. Please confirm that line 12 Sumer Peak Period Costs of MJB-4 calculates to $46.67 \%$ rather than 30.91 \% per the expressed formula.

A-5. The formula shown on Line 12 that shows how the Summer Peak Period cost percent was calculated is incorrect. The formula shows the Summer Peak Period percent being calculated using Line 7, which is winter hours. The formula should have used the summer hours in Line 8, instead of the winter hours in Line 7. The correct formula is Line $11+($ Line $8 /$ Line 9 x Line 6). The actual calculation that shows the Summer Peak Period percent as $30.91 \%$ is calculated correctly. See the revised Exhibit MJB-4 provided as an attachment to the response to PSC 2-50(d).

# KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY 

CASE NO. 2014-00371
Response to First Request for Information of Kentucky School Boards Association (KSBA) Dated January 8, 2015

Question No. 6

Responding Witness: Dr. Martin J. Blake

Q-6. Please provide the calculation of the winter (2432) and summer (1308) peak hours shown on MJB-4.

A-6. See the response to PSC 2-60.

# KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY 

CASE NO. 2014-00371
Response to First Request for Information of Kentucky School Boards Association (KSBA) Dated January 8, 2015

Question No. 7

## Responding Witness: Robert M. Conroy

Q-7. Please provide load profiles for each monthly peak day as listed on the 2013 FERC Form 1 page 401b provided in Tab 41 for each company.

A-7. See attached.

Kentucky Utilities Company Peak Load Profiles, 2013 Monthly Peaks as Reported in FERC Form 1, page 401(b)
MW

| Hour | $1 / 22 / 2013$ | $2 / 1 / 2013$ | $3 / 22 / 2013$ | $4 / 3 / 2013$ | $5 / 30 / 2013$ | $6 / 12 / 2013$ | $7 / 17 / 2013$ | $8 / 28 / 2013$ | $9 / 10 / 2013$ | $10 / 4 / 2013$ | $11 / 27 / 2013$ | $12 / 12 / 2013$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 3,436 | 3,221 | 2,994 | 2,439 | 2,245 | 2,290 | 2,609 | 2,450 | 2,503 | 2,136 | 2,783 | 3,136 |
| 1 | 3,442 | 3,267 | 2,953 | 2,411 | 2,132 | 2,221 | 2,428 | 2,454 | 2,386 | 1,998 | 2,735 | 3,113 |
| 2 | 3,419 | 3,332 | 2,983 | 2,397 | 2,042 | 2,174 | 2,296 | 2,277 | 2,280 | 1,927 | 2,713 | 3,119 |
| 3 | 3,456 | 3,426 | 3,086 | 2,569 | 2,031 | 2,067 | 2,305 | 2,271 | 2,264 | 1,921 | 2,677 | 3,138 |
| 4 | 3,519 | 3,540 | 3,224 | 2,707 | 2,025 | 2,198 | 2,339 | 2,281 | 2,340 | 1,998 | 2,737 | 3,232 |
| 5 | 3,674 | 3,705 | 3,528 | 2,980 | 2,226 | 2,322 | 2,488 | 2,513 | 2,595 | 2,241 | 2,877 | 3,420 |
| 6 | 3,941 | 3,945 | 3,723 | 3,143 | 2,362 | 2,536 | 2,648 | 2,662 | 2,734 | 2,440 | 3,074 |  |
| 7 | 4,153 | 4,150 | 3,609 | 3,108 | 2,600 | 2,750 | 2,852 | 2,755 | 2,847 | 2,489 | 3,254 |  |
| 8 | 4,150 | 4,193 | 3,453 | 3,001 | 2,794 | 3,026 | 3,146 | 2,890 | 3,013 | 2,604 | 3,338 |  |
| 9 | 4,041 | 4,111 | 3,321 | 2,877 | 2,920 | 3,282 | 3,361 | 3,097 | 3,215 | 2,746 | 3,403 |  |
| 10 | 3,928 | 4,029 | 3,160 | 2,782 | 3,109 | 3,428 | 3,616 | 3,279 | 3,379 | 2,861 | 3,509 | 3,978 |
| 11 | 3,799 | 3,904 | 3,032 | 2,722 | 3,249 | 3,596 | 3,768 | 3,487 | 3,593 | 2,971 | 3,468 |  |
| 12 | 3,713 | 3,801 | 2,940 | 2,705 | 3,380 | 3,692 | 3,847 | 3,567 | 3,756 | 3,112 | 3,422 | 3,540 |
| 13 | 3,600 | 3,689 | 2,805 | 2,618 | 3,416 | 3,778 | 3,889 | 3,702 | 3,816 | 3,159 | 3,389 | 3,353 |
| 14 | 3,518 | 3,517 | 2,700 | 2,493 | 3,421 | 3,839 | 3,943 | 3,794 | 3,919 | 3,242 | 3,401 | 3,198 |
| 15 | 3,492 | 3,414 | 2,641 | 2,428 | 3,472 | 3,847 | 3,884 | 3,816 | 3,900 | 3,246 | 3,337 | 3,199 |
| 16 | 3,596 | 3,343 | 2,667 | 2,408 | 3,417 | 3,812 | 3,797 | 3,819 | 3,846 | 3,210 | 3,354 | 3,244 |
| 17 | 3,686 | 3,481 | 2,685 | 2,433 | 3,350 | 3,750 | 3,694 | 3,766 | 3,765 | 3,103 | 3,473 | 3,425 |
| 18 | 3,835 | 3,682 | 2,735 | 2,489 | 3,176 | 3,623 | 3,540 | 3,637 | 3,630 | 3,014 | 3,526 | 3,624 |
| 19 | 3,902 | 3,786 | 2,835 | 2,720 | 3,080 | 3,535 | 3,443 | 3,604 | 3,545 | 2,940 | 3,470 | 3,668 |
| 20 | 3,863 | 3,781 | 2,792 | 2,682 | 3,098 | 3,447 | 3,361 | 3,473 | 3,418 | 2,838 | 3,466 | 3,653 |
| 21 | 3,840 | 3,787 | 2,715 | 2,608 | 2,882 | 3,285 | 3,138 | 3,217 | 3,004 | 2,660 | 3,443 | 3,647 |
| 22 | 3,688 | 3,663 | 2,566 | 2,493 | 2,635 | 3,071 | 2,917 | 2,929 | 2,856 | 2,464 | 3,346 | 3,491 |
| 23 | 3,522 | 3,550 | 2,480 | 2,420 | 2,351 | 2,810 | 2,702 | 2,737 | 2,683 | 2,245 | 3,222 | 3,414 |

Attachment to Response to KSBA-1 Question No. 7
Page 1 of 1

# KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY 

CASE NO. 2014-00371

# Response to First Request for Information of Kentucky School Boards Association (KSBA) <br> Dated January 8, 2015 

Question No. 8

## Responding Witness: Dr. Martin J. Blake

Q-8. In developing MJB-9 please explain why secondary lines cost is allocated to Rate AES customers and not to PS-secondary and TODS customers.

A-8. The Company's tariffs define secondary voltage for single phase as $120 / 240$ volts three wire service or $120 / 208 \mathrm{Y}$ volts three wire service where network system is available. Three phase secondary service is defined as 240 volt three wire service, 480 volt three wire, 120/208Y volt four wire service, and 277/480Y volt four wire service. The customers served under Rate AES receive service from a primary line with a transformer that steps the voltage down to a secondary voltage. Secondary lines then take the power to the AES customer. With Rate AES customer, Kentucky Utilities owns the primary conductor, transformer, and secondary conductor. Customers served under PS-Secondary and TODS also receive service from a primary line with a transformer that steps the voltage down to secondary voltage. However, Kentucky Utilities does not own the secondary conductor for customers served under PS-Secondary and TODS.

# KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY 

CASE NO. 2014-00371
Response to First Request for Information of Kentucky School Boards Association (KSBA) Dated January 8, 2015

Question No. 9

## Responding Witness: Dr. Martin J. Blake

Q-9. Please provide copies of all source documents including but not limited to bill frequencies used in the development of Witness M. J. Blake's Schedule M-1.3.

A-9. See attachments provided in response to PSC 2-60.

# KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY 

CASE NO. 2014-00371

# Response to First Request for Information of Kentucky School Boards Association (KSBA) <br> Dated January 8, 2015 

Question No. 10

## Responding Witness: Robert M. Conroy

Q-10. With reference to Mr. Conroy's Schedule N please provide the number of school accounts (SIC 821) in each of the kwh cohorts for Rates AES and GS and in each of the kwh/load factor cohorts for Rates PS-secondary and TODS.

A-10. KU does not have a business reason to maintain customer records by SIC. The requested information is not available.

# KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY 

CASE NO. 2014-00371

# Response to First Request for Information of Kentucky School Boards Association (KSBA) <br> Dated January 8, 2015 

Question No. 11

## Responding Witness: Dr. Martin J. Blake

Q-11. Please provide MJB-10 for each Rate Schedule.
A-11. Exhibit MJB-10, Residential Unit Cost, was calculated within the KU cost of service spreadsheet, a copy of which is provided in KU's response to PSC 2-60. Copies of the Unit Cost calculations for all rate schedules are attached.

Kentucky Utilities Company
Unit Cost of Service Based on the Cost of Service Study
For the 12 Months Ended June 30, 2016
Rate RS

| Description | Production |  |  |  | Transmission <br> Demand-Related |  | Distribution |  |  |  | Customer Service Expenses |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Demand-Related |  | Energy-Related |  |  |  | Demand-Related |  | Customer-Related |  | Customer-Related |  |  |  |
| (1) Rate Base | \$ | 937,770,380 | \$ | 27,090,858 | \$ | 180,628,823 | \$ | 218,927,615 | \$ | 354,472,634 | \$ | 4,682,142 | \$ | 1,723,572,451 |
| (2) Rate Base Adjustments |  | -77,770 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  | \$ | 1,723,572,51 |
| (3) Rate Base as Adjusted | \$ | 937,770,380 | \$ | 27,090,858 | \$ | 180,628,823 | \$ | 218,927,615 | \$ | 354,472,634 | \$ | 4,682,142 | \$ | 1,723,572,451 |
| (4) Rate of Return |  | 4.84\% |  | 4.84\% |  | 4.84\% |  | 4.84\% |  | 4.84\% |  | $4.84 \%$ |  |  |
| (5) Return | \$ | 45,359,791 | \$ | 1,310,380 | \$ | 8,736,985 | \$ | 10,589,491 | \$ | 17,145,780 | \$ | 226,474 | \$ | 83,368,901 |
| (6) Interest Expenses | \$ | 21,408,655 | \$ | 618,466 | \$ | 4,123,632 | \$ | 4,997,967 | \$ | 8,092,367 | \$ | 106,890 | \$ | 39,347,978 |
| (7) Net Income | \$ | 23,951,136 | \$ | 691,914 | \$ | 4,613,353 | \$ | 5,591,523 | \$ | 9,053,413 | \$ | 119,584 | \$ | 44,020,923 |
| (8) Income Taxes | \$ | 14,781,421 | \$ | 427,014 | \$ | 2,847,126 | \$ | 3,450,803 | \$ | 5,587,305 | \$ | 73,801 | \$ | 27,167,471 |
| (9) Operation and Maintenance Expenses | \$ | 49,963,182 | \$ | 222,480,404 | \$ | 14,629,106 | \$ | 17,348,567 | \$ | 32,609,093 | \$ | 34,942,422 | \$ | 371,972,774 |
| (10) Depreciation Expenses | \$ | 53,012,487 | \$ | - | \$ | 6,944,603 | \$ | 11,229,657 | \$ | 18,166,364 | \$ | - | \$ | 89,353,112 |
| (11) Other Taxes | \$ | 9,306,272 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,750,509 | \$ | 2,078,545 | \$ | 3,362,490 | \$ | - | \$ | 16,497,816 |
| (12) Curtailable Service Credit | \$ | 5,590,836 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 5,590,836 |
| (13) Expense Adjustments - Prod. Demand | \$ | 102,798 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 102,798 |
| (14) Expense Adjustments - Energy | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | s | - |
| (15) Expense Adjustments - Trans. Demand | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| (16) Expense Adjustments - Distribution | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| (17) Expense Adjustments - Other | \$ | 444,967 | \$ | 12,854 | \$ | 85,707 | \$ | 103,880 | \$ | 168,196 | \$ | 2,222 | \$ | 817,827 |
| (18) Expense Adjustments - Total | \$ | 547,766 | \$ | 12,854 | \$ | 85,707 | \$ | 103,880 | \$ | 168,196 | \$ | 2,222 | \$ | 920,625 |
| (19) Total Cost of Service | \$ | 178,561,755 | \$ | 224,230,652 | \$ | 34,994,037 | \$ | 44,800,944 | \$ | 77,039,227 | \$ | 35,244,920 | \$ | 594,871,536 |
| (20) Less: Misc Revenue - Tran. Demand |  |  | \$ | - | \$ | $(6,689,982)$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | $(6,689,982)$ |
| (21) Less: Misc Revenue - Energy | \$ | - | \$ | $(9,539,699)$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | (1) | \$ | - | \$ | $(9,539,699)$ |
| (22) Less: Misc Revenue - Other | \$ | $(3,705,546)$ | \$ | $(107,048)$ | \$ | $(713,745)$ | \$ | $(865,080)$ | \$ | $(1,400,678)$ | \$ | $(18,501)$ | \$ | $(6,810,598)$ |
| (23) Less: Misc Revenue - Total | \$ | $(3,705,546)$ | \$ | $(9,646,747)$ | \$ | (7,403,726) | \$ | $(865,080)$ | \$ | $(1,400,678)$ | \$ | $(18,501)$ | \$ | $(23,040,279)$ |
| (24) Net Cost of Service | \$ | 174,856,209 | \$ | 214,583,905 | \$ | 27,590,311 | \$ | 43,935,864 | \$ | 75,638,549 | \$ | 35,226,419 | \$ | 571,831,256 |
| (25) Billing Units |  | 6,197,488,349 |  | 6,197,488,349 |  | 6,197,488,349 |  | 6,197,488,349 |  | 5,164,249 |  | 5,164,249 |  |  |
| (26) Unit Costs |  | 0.028214044 |  | 0.034624334 |  | 0.004451854 |  | 0.007089302 | \$ | 14.65 | \$ | 6.82 | \$ | 21.47 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | mer Charge <br> y Charge | \$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.47 \\ 0.07438 \end{gathered}$ |

Attachment to Response to KSBA-1 Question No. 11

Kentucky Utilities Company
Unit Cost of Service Based on the Cost of Service Study
For the 12 Months Ended June 30, 2016
Rate GS

| Description | Production |  |  |  | Transmission <br> Demand-Related |  | Distribution |  |  |  | Customer Service Expenses |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Demand-Related |  | Energy-Related |  |  |  | Demand-Related |  | Customer-Related |  | Customer-Related |  |  |  |
| (1) Rate Base | \$ | 217,622,640 | \$ | 8,329,430 | \$ | 41,913,909 | \$ | 55,400,110 | \$ | 90,529,979 | \$ | 1,786,563 | \$ | 415,582,631 |
| (2) Rate Base Adjustments |  | , |  | - - |  | - |  | 55,40, - |  | - |  | 1,786,563 | \$ | - -7 |
| (3) Rate Base as Adjusted | \$ | 217,622,640 | \$ | 8,329,430 | \$ | 41,913,909 | \$ | 55,400,110 | \$ | 90,529,979 | \$ | 1,786,563 | \$ | 415,582,631 |
| (4) Rate of Return |  | 12.14\% |  | 12.14\% |  | 12.14\% |  | 12.14\% |  | 12.14\% |  | 12.14\% |  |  |
| (5) Return | \$ | 26,427,456 | \$ | 1,011,502 | \$ | 5,089,903 | \$ | 6,727,627 | \$ | 10,993,696 | \$ | 216,955 | \$ | 50,467,138 |
| (6) Interest Expenses | \$ | 4,933,091 | \$ | 188,812 | \$ | 950,109 | \$ | 1,255,815 | \$ | 2,052,142 | \$ | 40,498 | \$ | 9,420,468 |
| (7) Net Income | \$ | 21,494,365 | \$ | 822,689 | \$ | 4,139,794 | \$ | 5,471,812 | \$ | 8,941,553 | \$ | 176,457 | \$ | 41,046,671 |
| (8) Income Taxes | \$ | 14,103,672 | \$ | 539,813 | \$ | 2,716,354 | \$ | 3,590,366 | \$ | 5,867,060 | \$ | 115,783 | \$ | 26,933,049 |
| (9) Operation and Maintenance Expenses | \$ | 11,729,952 | \$ | 68,404,438 | \$ | 3,394,602 | \$ | 4,429,660 | \$ | 8,189,620 | \$ | 13,332,966 | \$ | 109,481,239 |
| (10) Depreciation Expenses | \$ | 12,301,252 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,611,456 | \$ | 2,841,477 | \$ | 4,636,596 | \$ | - | \$ | 21,390,781 |
| (11) Other Taxes | \$ | 2,159,469 | \$ | - | \$ | 406,196 | \$ | 525,941 | \$ | 858,207 | \$ | - | \$ | 3,949,813 |
| (12) Curtailable Service Credit | \$ | 1,137,787 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,137,787 |
| (13) Expense Adjustments - Prod. Demand | \$ | 23,854 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 23,854 |
| (14) Expense Adjustments - Energy | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| (15) Expense Adjustments - Trans. Demand | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| (16) Expense Adjustments - Distribution | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 57 | \$ | - |
| (17) Expense Adjustments - Other | \$ | 1,044,248 | \$ | 39,968 | \$ | 201,121 | \$ | 265,834 | \$ | 434,402 | \$ | 8,573 | \$ | 1,994,145 |
| (18) Expense Adjustments - Total | \$ | 1,068,101 | \$ | 39,968 | \$ | 201,121 | \$ | 265,834 | \$ | 434,402 | \$ | 8,573 | \$ | 2,017,999 |
| (19) Total Cost of Service | \$ | 68,927,689 | \$ | 69,995,721 | \$ | 13,419,632 | \$ | 18,380,906 | \$ | 30,979,581 | \$ | 13,674,277 | \$ | 215,377,806 |
| (20) Less: Misc Revenue - Tran. Demand |  |  | \$ | - | \$ | $(1,552,373)$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | $-$ | \$ | $(1,552,373)$ |
| (21) Less: Misc Revenue - Energy | \$ | - | \$ | $(2,459,015)$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | $(2,459,015)$ |
| (22) Less: Misc Revenue - Other | \$ | $(529,030)$ | \$ | $(20,248)$ | \$ | $(101,891)$ | \$ | $(134,675)$ | \$ | $(220,074)$ | \$ | $(4,343)$ | \$ | $(1,010,260)$ |
| (23) Less: Misc Revenue - Total | \$ | $(529,030)$ | \$ | $(2,479,263)$ | \$ | $(1,654,264)$ | \$ | $(134,675)$ | \$ | $(220,074)$ | \$ | $(4,343)$ | \$ | $(5,021,648)$ |
| (24) Net Cost of Service | \$ | 68,398,660 | \$ | 67,516,458 | \$ | 11,765,368 | \$ | 18,246,231 | \$ | 30,759,508 | \$ | 13,669,934 | \$ | 210,356,158 |
| (25) Billing Units |  | 773,603,156 |  | 773,603,156 |  | 773,603,156 |  | 773,603,156 |  | 985,260 |  | 985,260 |  |  |
| (26) Unit Costs |  | 0.088415694 |  | 0.087275313 |  | 0.015208532 |  | 0.023586035 | \$ | 31.22 | \$ | 13.87 | \$ | 45.09 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | mer Charge <br> gy Charge | \$ | $\begin{gathered} 45.09 \\ 0.21449 \end{gathered}$ |

Attachment to Response to KSBA-1 Question No. 11

Kentucky Utilities Company
Unit Cost of Service Based on the Cost of Service Study
For the 12 Months Ended June 30, 2016
Rate AES


Attachment to Response to KSBA-1 Question No. 11

Kentucky Utilities Company
Unit Cost of Service Based on the Cost of Service Study For the 12 Months Ended June 30, 2016

## Rate PSS



Attachment to Response to KSBA-1 Question No. 11

Kentucky Utilities Company
Unit Cost of Service Based on the Cost of Service Study For the 12 Months Ended June 30, 2016

## Rate PSP



Attachment to Response to KSBA-1 Question No. 11

Kentucky Utilities Company
Unit Cost of Service Based on the Cost of Service Study For the 12 Months Ended June 30, 2016

## TODS



Attachment to Response to KSBA-1 Question No. 11

Kentucky Utilities Company
Unit Cost of Service Based on the Cost of Service Study For the 12 Months Ended June 30, 2016

## TODP



## Kentucky Utilities Company

Unit Cost of Service Based on the Cost of Service Study For the 12 Months Ended June 30, 2016

RTS


Attachment to Response to KSBA-1 Question No. 11

Kentucky Utilities Company
Unit Cost of Service Based on the Cost of Service Study
For the 12 Months Ended June 30, 2016
FLS


Kentucky Utilities Company
Unit Cost of Service Based on the Cost of Service Study
For the 12 Months Ended June 30, 2016
Rate LE

| Description | Production |  |  |  | TransmissionDemand-Related |  | Distribution |  |  |  | Customer Service Expenses |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Demand-Related |  | Energy-Related |  |  |  | Demand-Related |  | Customer-Related |  |  | Customer-Related |  |  |
| (1) Rate Base | \$ | 28,609 | \$ | 1,940 | \$ | 5,511 | \$ | 4,332 | \$ | 275 | \$ | - | \$ | 40,667 |
| (2) Rate Base Adjustments |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | \$ | - |
| (3) Rate Base as Adjusted | \$ | 28,609 | \$ | 1,940 | \$ | 5,511 | \$ | 4,332 | \$ | 275 | \$ | - | \$ | 40,667 |
| (4) Rate of Return |  | 35.59\% |  | 35.59\% |  | 35.59\% |  | 35.59\% |  | 35.59\% |  | 35.59\% |  |  |
| (5) Return | \$ | 10,182 | \$ | 690 | \$ | 1,962 | \$ | 1,542 | \$ | 98 | \$ | - | \$ | 14,474 |
| (6) Interest Expenses | \$ | 639 | \$ | 43 | \$ | 123 | \$ | 97 | \$ | 6 | \$ | - | \$ | 909 |
| (7) Net Income | \$ | 9,543 | \$ | 647 | \$ | 1,838 | \$ | 1,445 | \$ | 92 | \$ | - | \$ | 13,565 |
| (8) Income Taxes | \$ | $(2,163)$ | \$ | (147) | \$ | (417) | \$ | (328) | \$ | (21) | \$ | - | \$ | $(3,075)$ |
| (9) Operation and Maintenance Expenses | \$ | 1,490 | \$ | 15,928 | \$ | 446 | \$ | 362 | \$ | 40 | \$ | - | \$ | 18,266 |
| (10) Depreciation Expenses | \$ | 1,618 | \$ | , | \$ | 212 | \$ | 222 | \$ | 14 | \$ | - | \$ | 2,066 |
| (11) Other Taxes | \$ | 284 | \$ | - | \$ | 53 | \$ | 41 | \$ | 3 | \$ | - | \$ | 381 |
| (12) Curtailable Service Credit | \$ | 84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 84 |
| (13) Expense Adjustments - Prod. Demand | \$ | 3 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 3 |
| (14) Expense Adjustments - Energy | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| (15) Expense Adjustments - Trans. Demand | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| (16) Expense Adjustments - Distribution | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| (17) Expense Adjustments - Other | \$ | (299) | \$ | (20) | \$ | (58) | \$ | (45) | \$ | (3) | \$ | - | \$ | (425) |
| (18) Expense Adjustments - Total | \$ | (296) | \$ | (20) | \$ | (58) | \$ | (45) | \$ | (3) | \$ | - | \$ | (421) |
| (19) Total Cost of Service | \$ | 11,199 | \$ | 16,452 | \$ | 2,199 | \$ | 1,794 | \$ | 131 | \$ | - | \$ | 31,774 |
| (20) Less: Misc Revenue - Tran. Demand |  |  | \$ | - | \$ | (204) | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | (204) |
| (21) Less: Misc Revenue - Energy | \$ | - | \$ | (425) | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | (425) |
| (22) Less: Misc Revenue - Other | \$ | (28) | \$ | (2) | \$ | (5) |  | (4) | \$ | (0) | \$ | - | \$ | (39) |
| (23) Less: Misc Revenue - Total | \$ | (28) | \$ | (427) | \$ | (209) | \$ | (4) | \$ | (0) | \$ | - | \$ | (668) |
| (24) Net Cost of Service | \$ | 11,171 | \$ | 16,025 | \$ | 1,990 | \$ | 1,790 | \$ | 131 | \$ | - | \$ | 31,106 |
| (25) Billing Units |  | 7,766,692 |  | 7,766,692 |  | 7,766,692 |  | 7,766,692 |  | 24 |  | 24 |  |  |
| (26) Unit Costs |  | 0.001438319 |  | 0.002063302 |  | 0.000256171 |  | 0.000230438 | \$ | 5.46 | \$ | $-$ | \$ | 5.46 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | mer Charge y Charge | \$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.46 \\ 0.00399 \end{gathered}$ |

Attachment to Response to KSBA-1 Question No. 11

Kentucky Utilities Company
Unit Cost of Service Based on the Cost of Service Study
For the 12 Months Ended June 30, 2016
Rate LE

| Description | Production |  |  |  | TransmissionDemand-Related |  | Distribution |  |  |  | Customer Service Expenses |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Demand-Related |  | Energy-Related |  |  |  | Demand-Related |  | Customer-Related |  | Customer-Related |  |  |  |
| (1) Rate Base | \$ | 113,914 | \$ | 5,426 | \$ | 21,942 | \$ | 14,800 | \$ | 102,844 | \$ | 903 | \$ | 259,829 |
| (2) Rate Base Adjustments |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | \$ | - |
| (3) Rate Base as Adjusted | \$ | 113,914 | \$ | 5,426 | \$ | 21,942 | \$ | 14,800 | \$ | 102,844 | \$ | 903 | \$ | 259,829 |
| (4) Rate of Return |  | 12.48\% |  | 12.48\% |  | 12.48\% |  | 12.48\% |  | 12.48\% |  | 12.48\% |  |  |
| (5) Return | \$ | 14,214 | \$ | 677 | \$ | 2,738 | \$ | 1,847 | \$ | 12,833 | \$ | 113 | \$ | 32,421 |
| (6) Interest Expenses | \$ | 2,563 | \$ | 122 | \$ | 494 | \$ | 333 | \$ | 2,314 | \$ | 20 | \$ | 5,845 |
| (7) Net Income | \$ | 11,651 | \$ | 555 | \$ | 2,244 | \$ | 1,514 | \$ | 10,519 | \$ | 92 | \$ | 26,575 |
| (8) Income Taxes | \$ | 7,794 | \$ | 371 | \$ | 1,501 | \$ | 1,013 | \$ | 7,036 | \$ | 62 | \$ | 17,777 |
| (9) Operation and Maintenance Expenses | \$ | 6,061 | \$ | 44,563 | \$ | 1,777 | \$ | 1,236 | S | 15,087 | \$ | 6,739 | \$ | 75,463 |
| (10) Depreciation Expenses | \$ | 6,440 | \$ | - | \$ | 844 | \$ | 759 | S | 5,226 | \$ | - | \$ | 13,268 |
| (11) Other Taxes | \$ | 1,130 | \$ | - | \$ | 213 | \$ | 140 | \$ | 967 | \$ | - | \$ | 2,451 |
| (12) Curtailable Service Credit | \$ | 512 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 512 |
| (13) Expense Adjustments - Prod. Demand | \$ | 12 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 12 |
| (14) Expense Adjustments - Energy | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| (15) Expense Adjustments - Trans. Demand | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| (16) Expense Adjustments - Distribution | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| (17) Expense Adjustments - Other | \$ | 801 | \$ | 38 | \$ | 154 | \$ | 104 | \$ | 723 | \$ | 6 | \$ | 1,828 |
| (18) Expense Adjustments - Total | \$ | 814 | \$ | 38 | \$ | 154 | \$ | 104 | \$ | 723 | \$ | 6 | \$ | 1,840 |
| (19) Total Cost of Service | \$ | 36,965 | \$ | 45,650 | \$ | 7,227 | \$ | 5,098 | \$ | 41,872 | \$ | 6,920 | \$ | 143,732 |
| (20) Less: Misc Revenue - Tran. Demand |  |  | \$ | - | \$ | (813) | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | (813) |
| (21) Less: Misc Revenue - Energy | \$ | - | \$ | $(1,415)$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | $(1,415)$ |
| (22) Less: Misc Revenue - Other | \$ | (113) | \$ | (5) | \$ | (22) | \$ | (15) | \$ | (102) | \$ | (1) | \$ | (258) |
| (23) Less: Misc Revenue - Total | \$ | (113) | \$ | $(1,421)$ | \$ | (834) | \$ | (15) | \$ | (102) | \$ | (1) | \$ | $(2,485)$ |
| (24) Net Cost of Service | \$ | 36,852 | \$ | 44,229 | \$ | 6,392 | \$ | 5,084 | \$ | 41,770 | \$ | 6,919 | \$ | 141,246 |
| (25) Billing Units |  | 7,766,692 |  | 7,766,692 |  | 7,766,692 |  | 7,766,692 |  | 8,964 |  | 8,964 |  |  |
| (26) Unit Costs |  | 0.004744865 |  | 0.005694721 |  | 0.00082303 |  | 0.000654564 | \$ | 4.66 | \$ | 0.77 | \$ | 5.43 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | mer Charge y Charge | \$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.43 \\ 0.01192 \end{gathered}$ |

# KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY 

CASE NO. 2014-00371

# Response to First Request for Information of Kentucky School Boards Association (KSBA) <br> Dated January 8, 2015 

Question No. 12

## Responding Witness: Robert M. Conroy

Q-12. Please provide a 2013 or most recent available annual bill frequency for SIC 821 ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY SCHOOLS for Rates GS, AES, PSsecondary, PS-primary and TODS.

A-12. KU does not have a business reason to maintain customer records by SIC. The requested information is not available.

## KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

CASE NO. 2014-00371

# Response to First Request for Information of Kentucky School Boards Association (KSBA) <br> Dated January 8, 2015 

Question No. 13

## Responding Witness: Kent W Blake

Q-13. Please provide the number of employees budgeted by year in each five-year budget period from 2005 through 2014 as follows separately by generation, transmission, (gas distribution-LOE), electric distribution, customer service, administrative and total:

## Generation

| Year | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2005 | 500 | 505 | 510 | 515 | 520 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  | 505 | 495 | 495 | 500 | 500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

A-13. See attached. Budget years 2005-2010: Information to this level of detail cannot be extracted from the system. The Companies’ workforce includes LG\&E and KU Services Company ("LKS"), LG\&E and KU employees. For actuals, LKS employees’ labor costs are allocated to LG\&E or KU consistent with the Cost Allocation Manual ("CAM"). For purposes of this response, we have included headcount for each Company.

## KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

## Budgeted headcount by year

## GENERATION

LKS Headcount

| Year | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 185 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 184 | 188 | 191 |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 189 | 193 |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 189 | 190 | 192 | 194 | 195 |
| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## LG\&E Headcount

| Year | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 498 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 502 | 511 | 515 |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 508 | 512 |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 524 | 487 | 484 | 465 | 485 |
| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## KU Headcount

| Year | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 413 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 415 | 425 | 432 |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 415 | 426 |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 398 | 396 | 391 | 390 | 413 |
| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes:

Budget years 2005-2010: Information to this level of detail cannot be extracted from the system.
Budget year 2011: Information to this level of detail was only entered into the system for one year
Budget year 2012: Information to this level of detail was only entered into the system for three years
Budget year 2013: Information to this level of detail was only entered into the system for two years

## Attachment to Response to KU KSBA-1 Question No. 13

Page 2 of 7

## KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

## Budgeted headcount by year

## TRANSMISSION

LKS Headcount

| Year | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 128 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 142 | 144 | 145 |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 145 | 146 |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 149 | 154 | 156 | 156 | 156 |
| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## LG\&E Headcount

| Year | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

KU Headcount

| Year | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes:

Budget years 2005-2010: Information to this level of detail cannot be extracted from the system.
Budget year 2011: Information to this level of detail was only entered into the system for one year
Budget year 2012: Information to this level of detail was only entered into the system for three years
Budget year 2013: Information to this level of detail was only entered into the system for two years

## KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

## Budgeted headcount by year

GAS DISTRIBUTION

| Year | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## LG\&E Headcount

| Year | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 229 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 224 | 226 | 226 |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 224 | 224 |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 238 | 234 | 237 | 239 | 237 |
| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## KU Headcount

| Year | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes:

Budget years 2005-2010: Information to this level of detail cannot be extracted from the system.
Budget year 2011: Information to this level of detail was only entered into the system for one year
Budget year 2012: Information to this level of detail was only entered into the system for three years
Budget year 2013: Information to this level of detail was only entered into the system for two years
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## KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

## Budgeted headcount by year

## ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION

LKS Headcount

| Year | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 77 | 79 | 78 |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 76 | 75 |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60 | 61 | 61 | 62 | 62 |
| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

LG\&E Headcount

| Year | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 221 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 215 | 220 | 227 |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 220 | 233 |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 240 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 |
| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

KU Headcount

| Year | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 381 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 378 | 380 | 380 |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 378 | 378 |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 381 | 381 | 384 | 386 | 386 |
| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes:

Budget years 2005-2010: Information to this level of detail cannot be extracted from the system.
Budget year 2011: Information to this level of detail was only entered into the system for one year
Budget year 2012: Information to this level of detail was only entered into the system for three years
Budget year 2013: Information to this level of detail was only entered into the system for two years

## KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

## Budgeted headcount by year

CUSTOMER SERVICE
LKS Headcount

| Year | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 267 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 437 | 440 | 440 |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 420 | 420 |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 450 | 452 | 453 | 454 | 456 |
| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

LG\&E Headcount

| Year | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 102 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 66 | 74 | 77 |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 62 | 61 |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 68 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## KU Headcount

| Year | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 182 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 152 | 152 | 152 |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 155 | 155 |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 169 | 187 | 188 | 188 | 186 |
| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes:

Budget years 2005-2010: Information to this level of detail cannot be extracted from the system.
Budget year 2011: Information to this level of detail was only entered into the system for one year
Budget year 2012: Information to this level of detail was only entered into the system for three years
Budget year 2013: Information to this level of detail was only entered into the system for two years
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## KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

## Budgeted headcount by year

## ADMINISTRATIVE

LKS Headcount

| Year | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 573 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 594 | 605 | 607 |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 601 | 608 |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 638 | 645 | 648 | 650 | 653 |
| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## LG\&E Headcount

| Year | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 14 | 14 |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | 16 |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

KU Headcount

| Year | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 | 23 | 23 |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23 | 23 |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23 | 23 |  | 23 | 23 |
| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23 |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes:

Budget years 2005-2010: Information to this level of detail cannot be extracted from the system.
Budget year 2011: Information to this level of detail was only entered into the system for one year
Budget year 2012: Information to this level of detail was only entered into the system for three years
Budget year 2013: Information to this level of detail was only entered into the system for two years
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## KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

## Budgeted headcount by year

TOTAL
LKS Headcount

| Year | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,228 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,435 | 1,457 | 1,462 |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,432 | 1,443 |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,490 | 1,506 | 1,514 | 1,520 | 1,526 |
| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

LG\&E Headcount

| Year | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,064 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,021 | 1,045 | 1,059 |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,029 | 1,046 |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,085 | 1,057 | 1,057 | 1,040 | 1,058 |
| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

KU Headcount

| Year | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 967 | 980 | 987 |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 971 | 982 |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 971 | 987 | 986 | 987 | 1,008 |
| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes:

Budget years 2005-2010: Information to this level of detail cannot be extracted from the system.
Budget year 2011: Information to this level of detail was only entered into the system for one year
Budget year 2012: Information to this level of detail was only entered into the system for three years
Budget year 2013: Information to this level of detail was only entered into the system for two years

## KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

CASE NO. 2014-00371

> Response to First Request for Information of Kentucky School Boards Association (KSBA) Dated January 8, 2015

Question No. 14

## Responding Witness: Paula H. Pottinger, Ph.D.

Q-14. Please provide the actual number of employees as follows by year from 2005 through 2014:

| Year | Generation | Transmission | Distribution | Customer <br> Service | Administrative | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

A-14. See attached. Actual years 2005-2008: Information to this level of detail cannot be extracted from the system. The Companies' workforce includes LKS, LG\&E and KU employees. For actuals, LKS employees’ labor costs are allocated to LG\&E or KU consistent with the CAM. For purposes of this response, we have included headcount for each Company.

## KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

Number of employees by year from 2009-2014
LG\&E Headcount

| Year | Generation | Transmission | Electric Distribution | Gas Distribution | Customer Service | Administrative | All Other | Total |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2009 | 461 | - | 202 | 211 | 104 | - | 13 | 991 |
| 2010 | 476 | - | 205 | 215 | 104 | - | 14 | 1,014 |
| 2011 | 476 | - | 202 | 217 | 57 | - | 14 | 966 |
| 2012 | 485 | - | 214 | 218 | 62 | - | 14 | 990 |
| 2013 | 495 | - | 224 | - | 14 | 998 |  |  |
| 2014 | 498 | - | 215 | 239 | 63 | - | 14 | 1,029 |

KU Headcount

| Year | Generation | Transmission | Electric Distribution | Gas Distribution | Customer Service | Administrative | All Other | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2009 | 402 | - | 365 | - | 175 | 8 | 12 | 962 |
| 2010 | 406 | - | 368 | - | 176 | 8 | 13 | 971 |
| 2011 | 399 | - | - | 149 | 8 | 12 | 939 |  |
| 2012 | 387 | - | 372 | - | 150 | 8 | 14 | 931 |
| 2013 | 406 | - | 365 | - | 151 | 8 | 14 | 944 |
| 2014 | 408 | - | 367 | - | 152 | 8 | 14 | 949 |

LKS Headcount

| Year | Generation | Transmission | Electric Distribution | Gas Distribution | Customer Service | Administrative | All Other | Total |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2009 | 164 | 109 | 61 | 1 | 241 | 167 | 375 | 1,118 |
| 2010 | 161 | 117 | 63 | 1 | 235 | 166 | 377 | 1,120 |
| 2011 | 161 | 134 | 72 | 1 | 358 | 176 | 383 | 1,285 |
| 2012 | 177 | 137 | 61 | 1 | 396 | 180 | 403 | 1,355 |
| 2013 | 178 | 140 | 86 | 4 | 419 | 187 | 420 | 1,434 |
| 2014 | 198 | 147 | 93 | 4 | 434 | 190 | 438 | 1,504 |

# KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY 

CASE NO. 2014-00371
Response to First Request for Information of Kentucky School Boards Association (KSBA) Dated January 8, 2015

Question No. 15

## Responding Witness: Paula H. Pottinger, Ph.D.

Q-15. Please provide the average annual wage increase provided employees for the last ten years.

A-15. The average annual wage increases for KU employees from 2005-2014 are provided below.

|  | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Union | $3.5 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| Hourly | $3.5 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| Salaried | $3.4 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |

# KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY 

CASE NO. 2014-00371
Response to First Request for Information of Kentucky School Boards Association (KSBA)

Dated January 8, 2015
Question No. 16

## Responding Witness: John P. Malloy

Q-16. Please explain when and why the company began advising customers of their best available rate option.

A-16. It is the customer's responsibility to determine the best available rate option. Upon a customer's request the Company performs a rate review of any optional rates available to the customer.

# KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY 

CASE NO. 2014-00371
Response to First Request for Information of Kentucky School Boards Association (KSBA) Dated January 8, 2015

Question No. 17

## Responding Witness: Christopher M. Garrett

Q-17. Please provide a copy of FERC Form 1 page 40lb for the years 2004-2012.
A-17. See attached.

| Name of Respondent <br> Kentucky Utilities Company | This Report Is: <br> (1) XAn Original <br> (2) <br> (IA Resubmission | Date of Report <br> (Mo, Da, Yr) <br> $03 / 31 / 2005$ | Year/Period of Report <br> End of <br> 2004/Q4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MONTHLY PEAKS AND OUTPUT |  |  |  |

(1) Report the monthly peak load and energy output. If the respondent has two or more power which are not physically integrated, furnish the required information for each non- integrated system.
(2) Report on line 2 by month the system's output in Megawalt hours for each month.
(3) Report on line 3 by month the non-requirements sales for resale. Include in the monthly amounts any energy losses associated with the sales. (4) Report on line 4 by month the system's monthly maximum megawatt load ( 60 minute integration) associated with the system.
(5) Report on lines 5 and 6 the specified information for each monthly peak load reported on line 4.

| NAME OF SYSTEM: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Line } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Month <br> (a) | Total Monthly Energy <br> (b) | Monthly Non-Requirments Sales for Resale \& Associated Losses <br> (c) | MONTHLY PEAK |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Megawalts (See Instr. 4) <br> (d) | Day of Month (e) | Hour <br> (f) |
| 29 | January | 2,570,752 | 509,766 | 3,768 | 7 | 8:00 AM |
| 30 | February | 2,248,043 | 422,143 | 3,457 | 16 | 9:00 AM |
| 31 | March | 2,028,453 | 338,873 | 3,129 | 10 | 8:00 AM |
| 32 | April | 1,707,273 | 144,462 | 2,891 | 14 | 7:00 AM |
| 33 | May | 2,071,269 | 321,098 | 3,382 | 24 | 3:00 PM |
| 34 | June | 2,023,312 | 201,583 | 3,622 | 17 | 3:00 PM |
| 35 | July | 2,119,409 | 211,090 | 3,744 | 13 | 4;00 PM |
| 36 | August | 2,017,131 | 150,394 | 3,684 | 19 | 3:00 PM |
| 37 | September | 2,036,377 | 336,617 | 3,332 | 15 | 4:00 PM |
| 38 | October | 2,066,164 | 504,828 | 2,641 | 29 | 3:00 PM |
| 39 | November | 1,823,534 | 236,243 | 2,923 | 15 | 8:00 AM |
| 40 | December | 2,351,818 | 370,163 | 3,944 | 20 | 9:00 AM |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 | TOTAL | 25,063,535 | 3,747,260 |  | + | 4, |


| Name of Respondent | This Report Is: | Date of Report <br> (Mo, Da, Yr) <br> Kentucky Utilities Company | Year/Period of Report <br> 03/31/2006 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | (2) An Original | $\square$ A Resubmission | End of |

(1) Report the monthly peak load and energy output. If the respondent has two or more power which are not physically integrated, furnish the required information for each non-integrated system.
(2) Report on line 2 by month the system's output in Megawatt hours for each month.
(3) Report on line 3 by month the non-requirements sales for resale. Include in the monthly amounts any energy losses associated with the sales.
(4) Report on line 4 by month the system's monthly maximum megawatt load ( 60 minute integration) associated with the system.
(5) Report on lines 5 and 6 the specified information for each monthly peak load reported on line 4.

| NAME OF SYSTEM: KU |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Line } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | Month <br> (a) | Total Monthly Energy <br> (b) | Monthly Non-Requirments Sales for Resale \& Associated Losses (c) | MONTHLY PEAK |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Megawatts (See Instr. 4) <br> (d) | Day of Month <br> (e) | Hour <br> (f) |
| 29 | January | 2,475,753 | 503,536 | 4,065 | 18 | 08:00 AM |
| 30 | February | 2,187,783 | 450,383 | 3,474 | 11 | 08:00 AM |
| 31 | March | 2,282,556 | 416,559 | 3,476 | 2 | 08:00 AM |
| 32 | April | 1,752,805 | 192,801 | 2,718 | 11 | 01:00 PM |
| 33 | May | 1,909,011 | 253,210 | 3,238 | 11 | 05:00 PM |
| 34 | June | 2,108,761 | 183,812 | 3,847 | 30 | 03:00 PM |
| 35 | July | 2,228,051 | 164,509 | 4,079 | 25 | 04:00 PM |
| 36 | August | 2,397,758 | 235,677 | 4,035 | 11 | 04:00 PM |
| 37 | September | 2,174,812 | 320,978 | 3,578 | 23 | 04:00 PM |
| 38 | October | 1,915,056 | 217,688 | 3,288 | 3 | 05:00 PM |
| 39 | November | 2,175,768 | 458,960 | 3,447 | 18 | 08:00 AM |
| 40 | December | 2,510,210 | 368,908 | 4,019 | 20 | 07:00 PM |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 | TOTAL | 26,118,324 | 3,767,021 |  |  |  |


| Name of Respondent | This Report Is: | Date of Report | Year/Period of Report |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kentucky Utilities Company | (1) $X$ An Original <br> (2) $\square$ A Resubmission | (Mo, Da, Yr) 03/31/2007 | End of | 2006/Q4 |

(1) Report the monthly peak load and energy output. If the respondent has two or more power which are not physically integrated, furnish the required information for each non- integrated system.
(2) Report on line 2 by month the system's output in Megawatt hours for each month.
(3) Report on line 3 by month the non-requirements sales for resale. Include in the monthly amounts any energy losses associated with the sales. (4) Report on line 4 by month the system's monthly maximum megawatt load ( 60 minute integration) associated with the system.
(5) Report on lines 5 and 6 the specified information for each monthly peak load reported on line 4.

| NAME OF SYSTEM: KU |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Line } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | Month <br> (a) | Total Monthly Energy <br> (b) | Monthly Non-Requirments Sales for Resale \& Associated Losses (c) | MONTHLY PEAK |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Megawatts (See Instr. 4) <br> (d) | Day of Month <br> (e) | Hour <br> (f) |
| 29 | January | 2,287,077 | 366,317 | 3,512 | 26 | 08:00 AM |
| 30 | February | 2,026,349 | 146,456 | 3,631 | 6 | 08:00 AM |
| 31 | March | 1,929,214 | 87,477 | 3,415 | 22 | 08:00 AM |
| 32 | April | 1,673,459 | 108,267 | 2,867 | 10 | 07:00 AM |
| 33 | May | 1,930,229 | 236,266 | 3,688 | 31 | 02:00 PM |
| 34 | June | 1,991,734 | 146,828 | 3,919 | 22 | 02:00 PM |
| 35 | July | 2,236,660 | 168,979 | 4,033 | 31 | 06:00 PM |
| 36 | August | 2,283,142 | 94,727 | 4,207 | 2 | 02:00 PM |
| 37 | September | 1,836,460 | 194,427 | 3,109 | 8 | 03:00 PM |
| 38 | October | 1,956,482 | 234,629 | 3,208 | 25 | 07:00 AM |
| 39 | November | 2,232,514 | 484,977 | 3,442 | 21 | 08:00AM |
| 40 | December | 2,120,015 | 204,001 | 4.172 | 8 | 08:00 AM |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 | TOTAL | 24,503,335 | 2,473,351 |  |  |  |


| Name of Respondent Kentucky Utilities Company | This Report is: | Date of Report | Year/Period of Report |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) X An Original (2) A Resubmission | $\left(\mathrm{Mo}, \mathrm{Da}, \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)$ $11$ | End of | 2007/Q4 |

(1) Report the monthly peak load and energy output. If the respondent has two or more power which are not physically integrated, furnish the required information for each non- integrated system.
(2) Report on line 2 by month the system's output in Megawatt hours for each month.
(3) Report on line 3 by month the non-requirements sales for resale. Include in the monthly amounts any energy losses associated with the sales. (4) Report on line 4 by month the system's monthly maximum megawatt load ( 60 minute integration) associated with the system.
(5) Report on lines 5 and 6 the specified information for each monthly peak load reported on line 4.

| NAME OF SYSTEM: KU |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Month <br> (a) | Total Monthly Energy <br> (b) | Monthly Non-Requirments Saies for Resale \& Associated Losses <br> (c) | MONTHLY PEAK |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Megawatts (See Instr. 4) <br> (d) | Day of Month <br> (e) | Hour <br> (f) |
| 29 | January | 2,400,909 | 310,736 | 4,178 | 31 | 08:00 AM |
| 30 | February | 2,287,994 | 182,842 | 4,300 | 6 | 08:00 AM |
| 31 | March | 1,943,557 | 135,447 | 3,436 | 5 | 08:00 AM |
| 32 | April | 1,759,686 | 60,358 | 3,198 | 9 | 07:00 AM |
| 33 | May | 1,888,455 | 86,311 | 3,573 | 30 | 03:00 PM |
| 34 | June | 2,029,806 | 95,697 | 3,727 | 27 | 03:00 PM |
| 35 | July | 2,105,955 | 115,918 | 3,844 | 9 | 03:00 PM |
| 36 | August | 2,359,492 | 48,200 | 4,344 | 9 | 03:00 PM |
| 37 | September | 1,926,423 | 79,209 | 3,886 | 4 | 05:00 PM |
| 38 | October | 1,890,809 | 156,403 | 3,623 | 8 | 03:00 PM |
| 39 | November | 1,857,196 | 107,718 | 3,475 | 30 | 08:00 AM |
| 40 | December | 2,220,654 | 202,664 | 3,688 | 17 | 08:00́ AM |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 | TOTAL | 24,670,936 | 1,581,503 |  |  |  |


| Name of Respondent | This | Date of Report | Year/Period of Report |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kentucky Utilities Company | (1) XAn Original <br> (2) A Resubmission | $\begin{gathered} (\mathrm{Mo}, \mathrm{Da}, \mathrm{Yr}) \\ / / \end{gathered}$ | End of | 2008/Q4 |

(1) Report the monthly peak load and energy output. If the respondent has two or more power which are not physically integrated, furnish the required information for each non- integrated system.
(2) Report on line 2 by month the system's output in Megawatt hours for each month.
(3) Report on line 3 by month the non-requirements sales for resale. Include in the monthly amounts any energy losses associated with the sales. (4) Report on line 4 by month the system's monthly maximum megawatt load ( 60 minute integration) associated with the system.
(5) Report on lines 5 and 6 the specified information for each monthly peak load reported on line 4.

| NAME OF SYSTEM: KU |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left\|\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Line } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}\right\|$ | Month <br> (a) | Total Monthly Energy <br> (b) | Monthly Non-Requirments Sales for Resale \& Associated Losses <br> (c) | MONTHLY PEAK |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Megawatts (See Instr. 4) <br> (d) | Day of Month <br> (e) | Hour (f) |
| 29 | January | 2,468,476 | 213,504 | 4,476 | 25 | 800 |
| 30 | February | 2,091,223 | 91,340 | 3,938 | 11 | 800 |
| 31 | March | 2,076,280 | 183,756 | 3,452 | 25 | 700 |
| 32 | April | 1,757,255 | 138,325 | 3,087 | 15 | 700 |
| 33 | May | 1,847,233 | 228,430 | 3,090 | 27 | 1700 |
| 34 | June | 2,057,476 | 152,557 | 3,910 | 9 | 1400 |
| 35 | July | 2,182,025 | 170,840 | 3,943 | 21 | 1400 |
| 36 | August | 2,118,058 | 137,419 | 3,699 | 5 | 1600 |
| 37 | September | 2,051,977 | 256,286 | 3,832 | 3 | 1500 |
| 38 | October | 2,105,423 | 448,486 | 3,069 | 30 | 800 |
| 39 | November | 2,309,619 | 550,419 | 3,567 | 19 | 900 |
| 40 | December | 2,400,937 | 322,351 | 4,113 | 22 | 900 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 | TOTAL | 25,465,982 | 2,893,713 |  |  |  |



| Name of Respondent | This Report Is: | Date of Report | Year/Period of Report |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kentucky Utilities Company | (1) $\square$ An Original <br> (2) $\bar{X} A$ Resubmission | ( $\mathrm{Mo}, \mathrm{Da}, \mathrm{Yr}$ ) 12/12/2011 | End of | 2010/Q4 |
| MONTHLY PEAKS AND OUTPUT |  |  |  |  |

1. Report the monthly peak load and energy output. If the respondent has two or more power which are not physically integrated, furnish the required information for each non- integrated system.
2. Report in column (b) by month the system's output in Megawatt hours for each month.
3. Report in column (c) by month the non-requirements sales for resale. Include in the monthly amounts any energy losses associated with the sales.
4. Report in column (d) by month the system's monthly maximum megawatt load ( 60 minute integration) associated with the system.
5. Report in column (e) and (f) the specified information for each monthly peak load reported in column (d).

| NAME OF SYSTEM: KU |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LineNo. | Month <br> (a) | Total Monthly Energy <br> (b) | Monthly Non-Requirments Sales for Resale \& Associated Losses (c) | MONTHLY PEAK |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Megawatts (See Instr. 4) <br> (d) | Day of Month <br> (e) | Hour (f) |
| 29 | January | 2,391,633 | 50,159 | 4,353 | 8 | 1000 |
| 30 | February | 2,221,152 | 135,531 | 4,121 | 12 | 800 |
| 31 | March | 1,852,967 | 34,792 | 3,609 | 5 | 800 |
| 32 | April | 1,583,229 | 21,075 | 2,893 | 15 | 1600 |
| 33 | May | 1,805,229 | 41,837 | 3,439 | 27 | 1500 |
| 34 | June | 2,041,994 | 8,903 | 4,072 | 23 | 1500 |
| 35 | July | 2,133,114 | 16,458 | 4,014 | 23 | 1400 |
| 36 | August | 2,309,035 | 14,803 | 4,354 | 4 | 1300 |
| 37 | September | 1,858,905 | 17,577 | 3,852 | 2 | 1600 |
| 38 | October | 1,644,649 | 35,237 | 2,893 | 11 | 1600 |
| 39 | November | 1,714,742 | 36,980 | 3,093 | 29 | 800 |
| 40 | December | 2,403,034 | 30,373 | 4,517 | 15 | 800 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 | TOTAL | 23,959,683 | 443,725 |  |  |  |


| Name of Respondent <br> Kentucky Utilities Company | This Report Is: <br> (1) <br> XAA Original <br> (2) | Date of Report <br> (Mo, $\mathrm{Da}, \mathrm{Yr})$ <br> $1 / 1$ | Year/Period of Report <br> End of <br> 2011/Q4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

1. Report the monthly peak load and energy output. If the respondent has two or more power which are not physically integrated, furnish the required information for each non- integrated system.
2. Report in column (b) by month the system's output in Megawatt hours for each month.
3. Report in column (c) by month the non-requirements sales for resale. Include in the monthly amounts any energy losses associated with the sales. 4. Report in column (d) by month the system's monthly maximum megawatt load ( 60 minute integration) associated with the system.
4. Report in column (e) and (f) the specified information for each monthly peak load reported in column (d).



| Name of Respondent Kentucky Utilities Company | This Report Is:(1) XAn Original(2) $\square$ A Resubmission | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date of Report } \\ & \text { (Mo, Da, Yr) } \\ & / / \end{aligned}$ | Year/Period of Report |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | End of | 2013/Q4 |

1. Report the monthly peak load and energy output. If the respondent has two or more power which are not physically integrated, furnish the required information for each non-integrated system.
2. Report in column (b) by month the system's output in Megawatt hours for each month.
3. Report in column (c) by month the non-requirements sales for resale. Include in the monthly amounts any energy losses associated with the sales.
4. Report in column (d) by month the system's monthly maximum megawatt load ( 60 minute integration) associated with the system.
5. Report in column (e) and (f) the specified information for each monthly peak load reported in column (d).

NAME OF SYSTEM:

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Line } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Month <br> (a) | Total Monthly Energy <br> (b) | Monthly Non-Requirments Sales for Resale \& Associated Losses <br> (c) | MONTHLY PEAK |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Megawatts (See Instr. 4) <br> (d) | Day of Month (e) | Hour <br> (f) |
| 29 | January | 2,155,462 | 16,466 | 4,153 | 22 | 800 |
| 30 | February | 1,930,096 | 8,564 | 4,193 | 1 | 900 |
| 31 | March | 2,033,908 | 12,896 | 3,723 | 22 | 700 |
| 32 | April | 1,634,153 | 8,354 | 3,143 | 3 | 700 |
| 33 | May | 1,771,613 | 48,071 | 3,472 | 30 | 1600 |
| 34 | June | 1,882,906 | 40,823 | 3,847 | 12 | 1600 |
| 35 | July | 1,986,445 | 35,696 | 3,943 | 17 | 1500 |
| 36 | August | 2,013,215 | 19,823 | 3,819 | 28 | 1700 |
| 37 | September | 1,758,878 | 14,510 | 3,919 | 10 | 1500 |
| 38 | October | 1,804,725 | 83,022 | 3,246 | 4 | 1600 |
| 39 | November | 1,869,456 | 17,896 | 3,588 | 13 | 800 |
| 40 | December | 2,241,438 | 54,036 | 3,978 | 12 | 900 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 | TOTAL | 23,082,295 | 360,157 |  |  |  |

# KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY 

CASE NO. 2014-00371

# Response to First Request for Information of Kentucky School Boards Association (KSBA) <br> Dated January 8, 2015 

Question No. 18

## Responding Witness: Robert M. Conroy

Q-18. Please reconcile the average number of Rate AES customers (779) shown on Attachment to Filing Requirement 807 KAR 5:001 Section 16(7)(c) page 25 of 30 with that shown on Schedule M-1.3 page 4 of8 (7576/12=631) and Form One pages 304.1 and $304.3(101+527=628)$. Explain the origin of an increase of some 148 customers.

A-18. The number of Rate AES customers from the referenced attachment to the Filing Requirement includes 143 customers in KU’s ODP service territory; Schedule M1.3 and the Form 1 amounts included in the question above are for Kentucky retail service only.

# KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY 

CASE NO. 2014-00371

# Response to First Request for Information of Kentucky School Boards Association (KSBA) <br> Dated January 8, 2015 

Question No. 19

## Responding Witness: Robert M. Conroy

Q-19. Please explain why the company does not offer a sports field rate schedule in its tariff.

A-19. The Company has never offered a rate specifically for a "sports field." Service is available to sports fields under All Electric Schools ("AES"), General Service ("GS"), Power Service ("PS"), or one of the time-of-day schedules. The choice is dependent of the magnitude of the load.

The Company is moving away from specialty rates designed for particular interests and toward rates reflective of cost of service. The rate design structure adopted for all rates above 250 kVA utilizes a Basic Service Charge, an Energy Charge and a time-differentiated Demand Charge. As the rates for service to loads under 250 kVA continue to evolve, a similar rate design will be available to sports fields and comparable loads.
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Question No. 20

## Responding Witness: Robert M. Conroy

Q-20. Please explain why it is appropriate to charge sport fields the same rate that recovers peak service costs as other customers whose loads are also present during daytime hours.

A-20. Specific studies for the consumption patterns related to sports fields have not been conducted. The current peak load billing period is from 1 P.M. to 7 P.M. during May, June, July, August, and September and 6 A.M. to 12 Noon during all other months. While there is a lack of empirical data, the Company does believe sports fields do, in fact, consume electricity during these times. Even if such peak load requirements are random, recovering the costs of idle facilities prevents crosssubsidization.
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Question No. 21

## Responding Witness: Robert M. Conroy

Q-21. Please describe and provide the cost savings provided customers from harmonizing the tariffs of KU and LGE.

A-21. In the past several rate cases, KU and LG\&E have been making an effort to harmonize their respective tariffs for the purpose of comparing, recognizing and adopting the best of both Companies rate designs and tariff language. It is an ongoing process that has reduced general confusion arising from a variety of overlapping rates, terms and conditions. In addition to these revisions, tariff language has been rewritten in a manner that has resulted in providing customers information with greater clarity and understanding in the terms and conditions for services and reducing the opportunities for confusion and misunderstanding. In addition, the harmonization of the tariffs between KU and LG\&E allows customer service representatives to respond to customers, regardless of whether they are KU or LG\&E customers, in a more consistent manner. No analysis of any resulting savings has been performed.
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Question No. 22

## Responding Witness: Robert M. Conroy

Q-22. Please provide the sales volume, number of customers and billing demands for each rate class for the years 2005 through 2014.

A-22. The requested data for 2005 through 2011 is not readily available or does not exist. See the attachment to the response to AG 1-236 for the requested data for calendar years 2012-2014.
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Question No. 23

## Responding Witness: Christopher M. Garrett

Q-23. Please provide the 2014 monthly system sales volumes, peaks, dates and times in the same format as FERC Form 1 page 401b.

A-23. See attached.
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Question No. 24

Responding Witness: Kent W. Blake

Q-24. Please reconcile the below Witnesses Thompson and K. Blake's stated headcount increases since April, 2012 to the levels provided in Attachment to Filing Requirement 807 KAR5:001 Section 16(7)(h)(9):

Generation 50
Transmission 19
Distribution 53
Gas Distribution 42
Customer Service 93
IT 53
Adm. Services 19

A-24. The headcount changes above sum to an increase of 329 and they cover the period from March 31, 2012 (end of test period from last base rate case) to June 30, 2016. There is also an increase of seven in Safety and Technical Training (TT) that is not listed above, which leads to a total change of 336 full-time headcount between March 31, 2012 and June 30, 2016. The filing requirement referenced above starts with December 31, 2014 forecasted headcount and ends with December 31, 2017 forecasted headcount. Therefore, the testimony and filing requirement are referencing different time periods. The table below reconciles headcount increases between the starting point used in the Thompson/K. Blake testimonies in Attachment to Filing Requirement 807 KAR 5:001 Section 16(7)(h)(9).

|  | Change from <br> to $\frac{3 / 31 / 12(\text { actual) }}{12 / 31 / 14 ~(\text { forecasted) }}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Generation | 79 |
| Transmission | 12 |
| Distribution | 28 |
| Gas Distribution | 27 |
| Customer Service | 64 |

IT ..... 53
Adm. Services ..... 18
Safety and TT ..... 8Total289

