COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION)	
OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF)	CASE NO.
LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY)	2014-00228
FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2013 THROUGH APRIL 30, 2014)	

RESPONSE OF LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY TO STAFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION DATE DECEMBER 1, 2014

FILED: DECEMBER 10, 2014

VERIFICATION

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY)	
)	SS:
COUNTY OF JEFFERSON)	

The undersigned, **Robert M. Conroy**, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is Director - Rates for LG&E and KU Services Company, and that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the responses for which he is identified as the witness, and the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.

Robert M. Conroy

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, this 9th day of Secember 2014.

Heldy Schoole (SEAL)

Notary Public

My Commission Expires:

JUDY SCHOOLER
Notary Public, State at Large, KY
My commission expires July 11, 2018
Notary ID # 512743

LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECRIC COMPANY

Response to Staff's Second Request for Information Date December 1, 2014

Case No. 2014-00228

Question No. 1

Witness: Robert Conroy

- Q-1. Refer to testimony of Robert Conroy at the November 12, 2014 hearing in this matter at 12:05:00 through 12:06:36 of the video hearing. Confirm that Mr. Conroy's testimony indicates that, for LG&E's coal units, the minimum costs to operate the units are paid for by native load customers. If this cannot be confirmed, explain what is meant by Mr. Conroy's testimony.
- A-1. Yes, Mr. Conroy's testimony is confirmed. LG&E's coal units are operated to serve native load customers and all fuel costs of those units, which have not been allocated to off-system sales or otherwise excluded from Fuel Adjustment Clause ("FAC") recovery due to a forced outage, are recovered from native load customers through the FAC. As noted in the Company's October 31, 2001 AFB Presentation for PSC Informal Conference¹, the minimum blocks of each generating unit are stacked at the bottom and the incremental cost for each source (generation or purchases) is then stacked from lowest to highest on a MW by MW basis. The highest incremental costs are allocated to off-system sales for exclusion from the FAC.

¹ In the Matter of: An Examination by the Public Service Commission of the Application of the Fuel Adjustment Clause of Kentucky Utilities Company from November 1, 2000 to April 30, 2001, Case No. 2000-00497-A; and In the Matter of: An Examination by the Public Service Commission of the Application of the Fuel Adjustment Clause of Louisville Gas and Electric Company from November 1, 2000 to April 30, 2001, Case No. 2000-00498-A. The Informal Conference was held at the Companies' offices for the purpose of reviewing the detailed AFB data provided to the Commission on September 25, 2001 in response to a Staff request at the hearing of September 4, 2001 in the above referenced cases.

LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECRIC COMPANY

Response to Staff's Second Request for Information Date December 1, 2014

Case No. 2014-00228

Question No. 2

Witness: Robert M. Conroy

- Q-2. Provide the calculations and supporting work papers for the \$/MWh of fuel costs allocated each to native load and off-system sales for each month of the review period
- A-2. Please see the response to Question No. 1 above. LG&E does not directly allocate fuel costs to native load. The Company uses its After-the-Fact Billing process ("AFB") to determine the inter-company transactions and to allocate its highest incremental costs of production (generation fuel cost or purchase power energy cost) to off-system sales for exclusion from recovery in the FAC. All other fuel costs and purchase power expenses not otherwise excluded due to forced outages or purchases greater than the Company's highest cost unit are included in the FAC for recovery from native load.

For off-system sales, since 2001 the Company has included in the monthly Form B supporting schedules the MWh of fuel costs allocated to off-system sales. For the period under review, this information is contained on the Detailed Power Transaction Schedule, Form B – Page 2, Sheet 2 of 2. The requested information is summarized on the attachment to this response.

For native load, the attachment to this response shows a calculation of the \$/MWh based on the fuel costs and purchase power expenses included in the monthly FAC filings.

Louisville Gas and Electric Company Response to Question No. 2 PSC Second Data Request Case No. 2014-00228

Month				NATIVE LOAD								OFF SYSTEM SALE	S		
		Fuel Dollars		mWh			\$/mWh		Fi	uel Dollars		mWh		\$/n	mWh
November Total Fuel for	Generation (1)	\$ 28,222,715	(2)	1,116,910.000	(3)	\$	25.27								
Total Purchas		\$ 2,074,182	(4)	42,744.000	(3)	\$	48.53								
System Losses	S			(36,549.901)	(5)	١.									
Total	OSS for KU Economy	\$ 30,296,897 \$ (5,914,690)	(6)	1,123,104.099 (235,128.000)	(6)	\$	26.98		\$	5,914,690	(6)	235,128.000	(6)	\$ 2	25.16
	OSS for KU Replacement	\$ (3,314,030)		(533.000)	(6)				\$	13,814	(6)	533.000	(6)		25.92
OSS from Gen	neration	\$ (495,853)		(17,755.000)	(6)				\$	495,853	(6)	17,755.000	(6)	\$ 2	27.93
OSS from Pure		\$ (459,847)		(14,512.000)	(6)				\$	459,847	(6)	14,512.000	(6)	\$ 3	31.69
Split Savings a System Losses	and Adjustments	\$ (63,648) \$ (4,779)	(6) (7)	(265.000)	(6)				\$ \$	63,648 4,779	(6) (7)	265.000	(6)		
System Losse.	•	\$ 23,344,266	(/)	854,911.099		\$	27.31	•	\$	6,952,631	(1)	268,193.000		\$ 2	25.92
December	C (1)		(2)	4 355 564 000	(2)	_	26.40								
Total Purchas	Generation (1) ed Power (1)	\$ 33,243,151 \$ 3,170,567	(2) (4)	1,255,561.000 93,234.000	(3) (3)	\$ \$	26.48 34.01								
System Losses		3,170,307	(-)	(43,822.350)	(5)	,	54.01								
Total		\$ 36,413,718	İ	1,304,972.650		\$	27.90								
	OSS for KU Economy	\$ (5,542,277)		(233,521.000)	(6)				\$	5,542,277	(6)	233,521.000	(6)	-	23.73
OSS from Gen	OSS for KU Replacement peration	\$ (70,141) \$ (1,299,335)		(3,050.000) (52,263.000)	(6) (6)				\$ \$	70,141 1,299,335	(6) (6)	3,050.000 52,263.000	(6) (6)		23.00 24.86
OSS from Pure		\$ (1,162,480)	l	(38,365.000)	(6)				\$	1,162,480	(6)	38,365.000	(6)		30.30
Split Savings a	and Adjustments	\$ (141,946)	(6)	(96.000)	(6)				\$	141,946	(6)	96.000	(6)		
System Losses	S	\$ (12,309)	(7)	077 677 650		\$	28.83		\$	12,309	(7)	227 205 000		\$ 2	25.14
		\$ 28,185,230	<u> </u>	977,677.650		Ş	20.03		Ş	8,228,488		327,295.000		ې د د	25.14
January															
	Generation (1)	\$ 43,914,975	(2)	1,547,908.000	(3)	\$	28.37								
Total Purchas		\$ 4,523,791	(4)	88,654.000	(3)	\$	51.03								
System Losses Total	S	\$ 48,438,766		(45,275.678) 1,591,286.322	(5)	Ś	30.44								
	OSS for KU Economy	\$ (12,610,207)	(6)	(447,497.000)		Ĭ	*****		\$	12,610,207		447,497.000		\$ 2	28.18
•	OSS for KU Replacement	\$ -	(6)	-	(6)				\$	-	(6)	-	(6)		
OSS from Gen		\$ (1,183,930)	(6)	(32,303.000)	(6)				\$	1,183,930	(6)	32,303.000	(6)	-	36.65
OSS from Pure Split Savings a	cnased Power and Adjustments	\$ (2,741,255) \$ (743,313)		(55,054.000) (110.000)	(6)				\$ \$	2,741,255 743,313		55,054.000 110.000	(6)	\$ 4	49.79
System Losses	-	\$ (19,625)	(7)	(110.000)	(0)				\$	19,625	(7)	110.000	(0)		
		\$ 31,140,436		1,056,322.322		\$	29.48		\$	17,298,330		534,964.000		\$ 3	32.34
Fabruary.				T			1					1			
February Total Fuel for	Generation (1)	\$ 49,340,899	(2)	1,657,659.000	(3)	\$	29.77								
Total Purchas		\$ 12,365,260	(4)	450,232.000	(3)	\$	27.46								
System Losses	S			(123,136.985)	(5)										
Total	OSS for KU Economy	\$ 61,706,159 \$ -		1,984,754.015		\$	31.09		\$						
	OSS for KU Replacement	\$ (1,653,610)	(6)	(39,368.000)	(6)				\$	1,653,610	(6)	39,368.000	(6)	\$ 4	42.00
OSS from Gen	•	\$ -	(-,	-	(-7				\$	-	(-)	-	(-)	Ċ	
OSS from Pure		\$ -		-					\$	-		-	1		
Split Savings a System Losses	and Adjustments	\$ -		(78.000)	(6)				\$ \$	-		78.000	(6)		
System Losse.	•	\$ 60,052,549		1,945,308.015		\$	30.87	•	\$	1,653,610		39,446.000		\$ 4	41.92
March	C (1)	6 47 272 642	(2)	4 525 504 000	(2)	_	20.05								
Total Purchas	Generation (1) ed Power (1)	\$ 47,373,612 \$ 13,014,139	(2) (4)	1,535,594.000 465,976.000	(3) (3)	\$	30.85 27.93								
System Losses		Ψ 15,011,155	(.,	(117,982.944)	(5)	,	27.33								
Total		\$ 60,387,751	İ	1,883,587.056		\$	32.06								
•	OSS for KU Economy	\$ -	10	/30 004 000	<i>(C)</i>				\$	1 224 545	(6)	- 20.001.000	(C)	٠ ,	45.00
Intra-System (OSS from Gen	OSS for KU Replacement peration	\$ (1,331,515) \$ -	(6)	(28,961.000)	(6)				\$ \$	1,331,515	(6)	28,961.000	(6)	\$ 4	45.98
	chased Power	\$ -		-					\$	-		-			
	and Adjustments	\$ -		(15.000)	(6)				\$	-		15.000	(6)		
System Losses	S	\$ - \$ 59,056,236	l	1,854,611.056		\$	31.84		\$	1,331,515		28,976.000		\$ 4	45.95
		J5,U30,Z30 ب	<u> </u>	1,034,011.035		ڊ	31.04		٦	1,331,315		20,970.000		۷ ډ	13.33

April

Total Fuel for Generation (1)
Total Purchased Power (1)
System Losses
Total
Intra-System OSS for KU Economy
Intra-System OSS for KU Replacement
OSS from Generation
OSS from Purchased Power
Split Savings and Adjustments
System Losses

_					1					ı		_	
\$	35,214,654	(2)	1,194,293.000	(3)	\$	29.49							
\$	1,130,678	(4)	40,876.000	(3)	\$	27.66							
			(32,036.404)	(5)									
\$	36,345,332		1,203,132.596		\$	30.21							
\$	(11,531,821)		(384,270.000)					\$ 11,531,821	(6)	384,270.000	(6)	\$	30.01
\$	-	(6)	-	(6)				\$ -		-			
\$	(21,650)		(436.000)					\$ 21,650	(6)	436.000	(6)	\$	49.66
\$	(33,349)		(691.000)					\$ 33,349	(6)	691.000	(6)	\$	48.26
\$	(8,259)		-					\$ 8,259	(6)	-			
\$	(275)							\$ 275	(6)		l		
\$	24,749,978		817,735.596		\$	30.27	,	\$ 11,595,354		385,397.000		\$	30.09

⁽¹⁾ Includes, where applicable, the forced outage and non-economy power purchase exclusions.

⁽²⁾ Monthly FAC Form A, page 2 of 5, Section A.

⁽³⁾ Monthly FAC Form A, page 3 of 5, section A.

⁽⁴⁾ Monthly FAC Form A, page 2 of 5, section B.

⁽⁵⁾ Monthly FAC Form A, page 3 of 5, section B.

⁽⁶⁾ Monthly FAC Form B, page 2, sheet 2 of 2.

⁽⁷⁾ Monthly FAC Form A, page 2 of 5, section C.