| Expense Month | As Filed 60 Percent Customer Share in Off System Sales Margins | 60\% OSS Mitchell Environmental Expense | Total Customer Share of OSS Margins | ATR Revenues | Retail Environmental Surcharge Monthly Credit/Charge* | Retail Mitchell Environmental Expenses | Total Retail Environmental Charge to Customer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | $\begin{gathered} (4) \\ (2)-(3) \end{gathered}$ | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| 13-Nov | $(\$ 879,256)$ | \$0 | $(\$ 879,256)$ | \$0 | $(\$ 188,806)$ | \$0 | $(\$ 188,806)$ |
| 13-Dec | $(\$ 289,281)$ | \$0 | $(\$ 289,281)$ | \$0 | \$65,200 | \$0 | \$65,200 |
| 14-Jan | \$10,708,752 | \$1,289,013 | \$9,419,739 | \$2,258,980 | (\$524,773) | \$3,520,414 | \$2,995,641 |
| 14-Feb | \$6,735,656 | \$1,098,160 | \$5,637,496 | \$4,364,983 | $(\$ 602,043)$ | \$4,013,641 | \$3,411,598 |
| 14-Mar | \$4,739,012 | \$1,049,441 | \$3,689,571 | \$4,047,300 | (\$989,970) | \$4,275,502 | \$3,285,532 |
| 14-Apr | \$4,514,465 | \$1,231,301 | \$3,283,164 | \$2,482,976 | $(\$ 702,204)$ | \$4,092,012 | \$3,389,808 |
| 14-May | $(\$ 857,731)$ | \$747,429 | $(\$ 1,605,159)$ | \$1,970,047 | (\$1,304,459) | \$4,638,169 | \$3,333,710 |
| 14-Jun | \$4,519,911 | \$1,272,502 | \$3,247,409 | \$4,895,180 | $(\$ 567,900)$ | \$3,702,676 | \$3,134,776 |
| 14-Jul | \$4,044,078 | \$1,149,632 | \$2,894,446 | \$5,495,459 | $(\$ 401,012)$ | \$4,241,359 | \$3,840,347 |
| 14-Aug | \$3,499,242 | \$1,145,917 | \$2,353,325 | \$1,795,499 | $(\$ 930,246)$ | \$3,734,463 | \$2,804,217 |
| 14-Sep | \$1,403,758 | \$819,132 | \$584,626 | \$1,735,270 | (\$1,211,556) | \$4,472,271 | \$3,260,715 |
| 14-Oct | (\$741,624) | \$210,158 | (\$951,782) | \$4,549,982 | $(\$ 1,506,592)$ | \$5,159,428 | \$3,652,836 |
| Total | \$37,396,983 | \$10,012,685 | \$27,384,298 | \$33,595,676 | (\$8,864,361) | \$41,849,934 | \$32,985,573 |

* The environmental surcharge monthly credit/charge is based upon the comparison of the Rockport \& Big Sandy environmental expenses to the monthly base level. The amount also includes the under/over recovery adjustment for two months prior. If the Settlement Agreement had not been reached in Case No. 201200578 , the Company would have sought to include the Mitchell environmental expenses in the environmental surcharge.

