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## KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

# Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information <br> in Appendix B of Commission's Order Dated December 23, 2013 

Case No. 2013-00436
Question No. 1
Witness: Robert M. Conroy / Christopher M. Garrett

Q-1. Concerning the rate of return on the two amendments to the environmental compliance plan, for the period under review, calculate any true-up adjustment needed to recognize changes in KU's cost of debt, preferred stock, accounts receivable financing (if applicable), or changes in KU's jurisdictional capital structure. Include all assumptions and other supporting documentation used to make this calculation. Any true-up adjustment is to be included in the determination of the over- or under-recovery of the surcharge for the corresponding billing period under review. Provide all exhibits and schedules of your response in Excel spreadsheet format, with formulas intact and unprotected and all rows and columns accessible.

A-1. Please see the attachment being provided in Excel format.
KU calculated the true-up adjustment to recognize changes in the cost of debt and capital structure in two steps, shown on Pages 1 and 2 of the attachment to this response. Page 1 reflects the true-up required due to the changes between the Rate Base as filed and the Rate Base as Revised through the Monthly Filings. However, during the period under review there were no revisions to reflect. Page 2 represents the true-up in the Rate of Return as filed compared to the actual Rate of Return calculations, which impacted the true-up adjustment for the period under review in this case. No further revisions to Rate Base were identified in preparation of this response.

Page 3 provides the adjusted weighted average cost of capital for the billing period under review ending October 31, 2013. The Commission approved a single rate of return for all Plans in Case No. 2012-00221; therefore, the Pre-2011 and 2011 Plans are no longer shown separately. KU calculated the short- and long-term debt rates using average daily balances and daily interest rates pursuant to the Commission's Order in Case No. 201100161.

KU did not engage in accounts receivable financing or have any preferred stock outstanding during the period under review.

Kentucky Utilities Company
Overall Rate of Return True-up Adjustment - Revised Rate Base Impact on Calculated E(m)

Attachment to Response to Question No. 1
Page 1 of 3 Conroy


Kentucky Utilities Company
Overall Rate of Return True-up Adjustment - Revised Rate of Return
Impact on Calculated E(m)

Attachment to Response to Question No. 1
Page 2 of 3 Conroy


## KENTUCKY UTILITIES

## Adjusted Electric Rate of Return on Common Equity

As of October 31, 2013

1. Short Term Debt
2. Long Term Debt
3. Common Equity
4. Total Capitalization


| Jurisdictional <br> Rate Base <br> Percentage <br> $(7)$ |  | Kentucky <br> Jurisdictional <br> Capitalization <br> (Col6x col7) <br> $(8)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $119,334,507$ <br> $87.63 \%$ |
| $87.63 \%$ | $\$$ | $1,613,361,578$ |
| $87.63 \%$ |  | 2,076,096,215 |
|  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Adjusted <br> Kentucky |  |  | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kentucky Jurisdictional | Capital |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nmental } \\ & \text { harge } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SM } \\ & \text { e Base } \end{aligned}$ |  | urisdictional Capitalization | Adjusted Capital | Annual Cost | of Capital |
| Capitalization <br> (8) | Structure (9) |  | $\begin{aligned} & 110 \text { Line 4) } \\ & 0) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Col } 11 \text { Line 4) } \\ & (11) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 18+\operatorname{Col} 10+\text { Col } 111 \\ (12) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Structure <br> (13) | Rate (14) | (Col $13 \times \mathrm{Col} 14$ ) <br> (15) |
| 119,334,507 | 3.13\% | \$ | $(17,082,451)$ | \$ | $(62,377)$ | \$ | 102,189,679 | 3.13\% | 0.32\% | 0.01\% |
| 1,613,361,578 | 42.36\% |  | $(231,186,140)$ |  | $(844,178)$ |  | 1,381,331,260 | 42.36\% | 3.65\% | 1.55\% |
| 2,076,096,215 | 54.51\% |  | (297,496,613) |  | (1,086,311) |  | 1,777,513,291 | 54.51\% | 10.25\% | 5.59\% |
| 3,808,792,300 | 100.000\% | \$ | (545,765,204) | \$ | $(1,992,866)$ | \$ | 3,261,034,230 | 100.000\% |  | 7.15\% |
| or Income Tax Effect $\{$ ROR $+(\mathrm{ROR}-\mathrm{DR}) \times \mathrm{TRR} /(1-\mathrm{TR})]\}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.25\% |

(a) Average daily balance per Settlement Agreement in Case No. 2011-00161

## KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

# Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information in Appendix B of Commission's Order Dated December 23, 2013 

Case No. 2013-00436
Question No. 2

## Witness: Robert M. Conroy

Q-2. Prepare a summary schedule showing the calculation of Total E(m), Net Retail E(m), and the surcharge factor for the expense months covered by the applicable billing period. Include the expense months for the two expense months subsequent to the billing period in order to show the over- and under-recovery adjustments for the months included for the billing period under review. The summary schedule is to incorporate all corrections and revisions to the monthly surcharge filings KU has submitted during the billing period under review. Include a calculation of any additional over- or under-recovery amount KU believes needs to be recognized for the six-month review. Include all supporting calculations and documentation for any such additional over- or under-recovery. Provide all exhibits and schedules of your response in Excel spreadsheet format, with formulas intact and unprotected and all rows and columns accessible.

A-2. Please see the attachment being provided in Excel format for the summary schedule and components that make up the over-recovery.

For the period under review, KU experienced an over-recovery of \$347,028.

Kentucky Utilities Company
Calculation of E(m) and Jurisdictional Surcharge Billing Factor
Summary Schedule for Expense Months March 2013 through August 2013

Attachment to Response to Question No. 2

| (1) |  | (2) |  | (3) | (4) | (5) |  | (6) | (7) | (8) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expense <br> Month | Rate Base as Revised |  | Monthly Rate Base as Revised |  | Rate of Return as Revised | Operating Expenses (net of allowance proceeds) | Total E(m) |  | Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio | Retail E(m) | Comments: As Revised in This Review |
|  | ES | S Form 2.00 |  | (2) / 12 |  | ES Form 2.00 |  | (3) * (4) + (5) | ES Form 1.10 | (6) * (7) |  |
| Mar-13 | \$ | 466,605,240 | \$ | 38,883,770 | 10.25\% | \$ 1,534,382 | \$ | 5,519,968 | 87.29\% | \$ 4,818,380 |  |
| Apr-13 |  | 488,353,534 |  | 40,696,128 | 10.25\% | 1,482,877 |  | 5,654,230 | 88.61\% | 5,010,213 |  |
| May-13 |  | 500,727,214 |  | 41,727,268 | 10.25\% | 1,574,123 |  | 5,851,168 | 86.28\% | 5,048,388 |  |
| Jun-13 |  | 522,490,759 |  | 43,540,897 | 10.25\% | 1,282,021 |  | 5,744,963 | 87.29\% | 5,014,778 |  |
| Jul-13 |  | 545,861,106 |  | 45,488,426 | 10.25\% | 1,328,577 |  | 5,991,141 | 88.29\% | 5,289,578 |  |
| Aug-13 |  | 565,466,957 |  | 47,122,246 | 10.25\% | 1,293,263 |  | 6,123,293 | 87.53\% | 5,359,719 |  |

Kentucky Utilities Company
Calculation of $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{m})$ and Jurisdictional Surcharge Billing Factor
Summary Schedule for Expense Months March 2013 through August 2013

Attachment to Response to Question No. 2
Page 2 of 3 Conroy


## Kentucky Utilities Company

## Reconciliation of Combined Over/(Under) Recovery

## Summary Schedule for Expense Months March 2013 through August 2013

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Billing <br> Month | Expense Month | Rate of Return as Filed | Rate of Return as Revised | Change in Rate of Return (4) - (3) |  | Rate Base as Revised | Impact of change in Rate of Return (5) * (6) / 12 | Jurisdictional Allocation, ES Form 1.10 | Jurisdictional Impact (Over)/Under (7) * (8) |
| May-13 | Mar-13 | 10.26\% | 10.25\% | -0.01\% | \$ | 466,605,240 | $(3,888)$ | 87.29\% | $(3,394)$ |
| Jun-13 | Apr-13 | 10.30\% | 10.25\% | -0.05\% |  | 488,353,534 | $(20,348)$ | 88.61\% | $(18,030)$ |
| Jul-13 | May-13 | 10.30\% | 10.25\% | -0.05\% |  | 500,727,214 | $(20,864)$ | 86.28\% | $(18,001)$ |
| Aug-13 | Jun-13 | 10.30\% | 10.25\% | -0.05\% |  | 522,490,759 | $(21,770)$ | 87.29\% | $(19,003)$ |
| Sep-13 | Jul-13 | 10.30\% | 10.25\% | -0.05\% |  | 545,861,106 | $(22,744)$ | 88.29\% | $(20,081)$ |
| Oct-13 | Aug-13 | 10.30\% | 10.25\% | -0.05\% |  | 565,466,957 | $(23,561)$ | 87.53\% | $(20,623)$ |
| Impact of Changes in Rate of Return during period under review |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (113,176) |  | \$ $(99,133)$ |


| (1) | (2) |  |  | (4) |  | (5) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Recovery Position Explanation - Over/(Under) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Billing <br> Month | Expense <br> Month |  | ined Total /(Under) covery 2, Col 11) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Return } \\ & \text {-up } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| May-13 | Mar-13 | \$ | $(408,841)$ | \$ | 3,394 | \$ | $(412,235)$ |
| Jun-13 | Apr-13 |  | $(12,091)$ |  | 18,030 |  | $(30,122)$ |
| Jul-13 | May-13 |  | 408,154 |  | 18,001 |  | 390,153 |
| Aug-13 | Jun-13 |  | 352,907 |  | 19,003 |  | 333,903 |
| Sep-13 | Jul-13 |  | 353,438 |  | 20,081 |  | 333,357 |
| Oct-13 | Aug-13 |  | $(346,538)$ |  | 20,623 |  | $(367,162)$ |
| Total Over-Recovery for |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-month | ng period | \$ | 347,028 | \$ | 99,133 | \$ | 247,895 |


| OVER/(UNDER) RECONCILIATION |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Combined Over/(Under) Recovery |  |  |  |
| Due to Change in ROR $\$$ | 99,133 |  |  |
| Use of 12 Month Average Revenues | 247,895 |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  | 347,028 |
| Unreconciled Difference | $\$$ |  |  |

## KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

# Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information in Appendix B of Commission's Order Dated December 23, 2013 

Case No. 2013-00436
Question No. 3

## Witness: Christopher M. Garrett

Q-3. Provide the calculations, assumptions, workpapers, and other supporting documents used to determine the amounts KU has reported during each billing period under review for Pollution Control Deferred Income Taxes. Provide all exhibits and schedules of your response in Excel spreadsheet format, with formulas intact and unprotected and all rows and columns accessible.

A-3. KU calculates Deferred Income Taxes as the taxable portion of the difference between book depreciation, using straight line depreciation, and tax depreciation, generally using 20 year MACRS accelerated depreciation, bonus depreciation or 5 or 7 year rapid amortization. Accelerated depreciation results in a temporary tax savings to the Company and the Accumulated Deferred Tax balance reflects the value of those temporary savings as a reduction to environmental rate base.

Please see the attachment being provided in Excel format for the calculation of Deferred Income Taxes and the balance of Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes reported each month of the period under review.

## Kentucky Utilities Company <br> Deferred Tax Calculations <br> Environmental Compliance Plans, by Approved Project

2009 - Plan
Project 28 - Brown 3 SCR

| Month | Plant Balance | Book <br> Depreciation | Tax <br> Depreciation | Temporary <br> Difference | Income Tax <br> Rate | Deferred <br> Taxes on <br> Deferred Tax | Accumulated <br> Deferred Taxes |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beg Balance |  |  |  |  |  |  | $16,993,413$ |
| Mar-13 | $91,793,424$ | 179,762 | 593,165 | 413,403 | $38.9000 \%$ | 160,814 | $17,154,227$ |
| Apr-13 | $91,793,424$ | 179,762 | 593,164 | 413,402 | $38.9000 \%$ | 160,813 | $17,315,040$ |
| May-13 | $91,793,424$ | 179,762 | 593,164 | 413,402 | $38.9000 \%$ | 160,813 | $17,475,854$ |
| Jun-13 | $91,793,424$ | 179,762 | 593,164 | 413,402 | $38.9000 \%$ | 160,813 | $17,636,667$ |
| Jul-13 | $91,793,424$ | 179,762 | 593,168 | 413,406 | $38.9000 \%$ | 160,815 | $17,797,481$ |
| Aug-13 | $91,793,424$ | 179,762 | 593,167 | 413,405 | $38.9000 \%$ | 160,815 | $17,958,294$ |

Due to Bonus Depreciation for tax purposes taken on certain components of Project 28, the deferred tax calculation for this project is computed separately for Federal and State purposes. Specifically, for Federal taxes, certain assets received 50\% bonus depreciation, which reduces the Federal tax basis to $50 \%$ of the plant balance. A sample calculation of deferred taxes for Mar 2013 is shown below:

| Federal Basis | Book Depr. | Federal Tax Def Fed. Differencı Fed Tax Rate | Fed Def Tax |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $45,896,712$ | 179,762 | 532,251 | 352,489 | $35.0000 \%$ | 123,371 |
| $45,896,712$ |  | - | - | $35.0000 \%$ | - |
| State Basis | Book Depr. | State Tax Depr | St. Difference State Tax Rate | St Def Tax |  |
| $91,793,424$ | 179,762 | $1,139,838$ | 960,076 | $6.0000 \%$ | 57,605 |
|  |  |  |  |  | St. Offset for Fed Taxes not Owed |
|  |  |  |  | $(20,162)$ |  |

## Kentucky Utilities Company <br> Deferred Tax Calculations <br> Environmental Compliance Plans, by Approved Project

2009 - Plan
Project 29 - ATB Expansion at E.W. Brown Station (Phase II)

| Month | Plant <br> Balance | Book Depreciation | Tax Depreciation | Temporary Difference | Income Tax Rate | Deferred Tax | Accumulated Deferred Taxes | Deferred <br> Taxes on Retirements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beg Balance |  |  |  |  |  |  | 861,626 |  |
| Mar-13 | 16,622,587 | 22,343 | 704,140 | 681,797 | 38.9000\% | 265,219 | 1,126,844 |  |
| Apr-13 | 16,622,587 | 22,343 | 703,623 | 681,280 | 38.9000\% | 265,018 | 1,391,862 |  |
| May-13 | 16,622,587 | 22,343 | 703,625 | 681,282 | 38.9000\% | 265,019 | 1,656,880 |  |
| Jun-13 | 16,622,587 | 22,343 | 703,623 | 681,280 | 38.9000\% | 265,018 | 1,921,898 | - |
| Jul-13 | 16,622,587 | 22,343 | 703,627 | 681,284 | 38.9000\% | 265,019 | 2,186,916 | - |
| Aug-13 | 16,622,587 | 22,343 | 703,626 | 681,283 | 38.9000\% | 265,019 | 2,451,934 | - |

Due to Bonus Depreciation for tax purposes taken on certain components of Project 29, the deferred tax calculation for this project is computed separately for Federal and State purposes. Specifically, for Federal taxes, certain assets received $50 \%$ bonus depreciation, which reduces the Federal tax basis to $50 \%$ of the plant balance. A sample calculation of deferred taxes for Aug 2013 is shown below:

| Federal Basis | Book Depr. | Federal Tax Dep | Fed. Differenct | Fed Tax Rate | Fed Def Tax |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8,311,293 | 22,343 | 66,520 | 44,177 | 35.0000\% | 15,462 |
| 8,311,293 |  | 692,608 | 692,608 | 35.0000\% | 242,413 |
| State Basis | Book Depr. | State Tax Depr | St. Difference | State Tax Rate | St Def Tax |
| 16,622,587 | 22,343 | 205,504 | 183,161 | 6.0000\% | 10,990 |
|  |  |  |  |  | St. Offset for Fed Taxes not Owed $(3,846)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total Deferred Tax 265,018 |

## Kentucky Utilities Company <br> Deferred Tax Calculations <br> Environmental Compliance Plans, by Approved Project

2009 - Plan
Project 30 - Ghent CCP Storage (Landfill-Phase I)

| Month | Plant Balance | Book <br> Depreciation | Tax <br> Depreciation | Temporary <br> Difference | Income Tax <br> Rate | Deferred <br> Deferred Tax | Accumulated <br> Deferred Taxes |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Retirements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Due to Bonus Depreciation for tax purposes taken on certain components of Project 30, the deferred tax calculation for this project is computed separately for Federal and State purposes. Specifically, for Federal taxes, certain assets received 50\% bonus depreciation, which reduces the Federal tax basis to $50 \%$ of the plant balance. A sample calculation of deferred taxes for Aug 2013 is shown below:

| Federal Basis $1,167,900$ | Book Depr. $4,837$ | Federal Tax Dep 1,994 | Fed. Differenct $(2,843)$ | Fed Tax Rate 35.0000\% | Fed Def Tax (995) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,167,900 |  | 145,988 | 145,988 | 35.0000\% | 51,096 |
| State Basis | Book Depr. | State Tax Depr | St. Difference | State Tax Rate | St Def Tax |
| 2,335,800 | 4,837 | 21,377 | 16,540 | 6.0000\% | 992 |
|  |  |  |  |  | St. Offset for Fed Taxes not Owed (347) |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total Deferred Tax 50,746 |

# Attachment to Response to Question No. 3 

## Kentucky Utilities Company <br> Deferred Tax Calculations <br> Environmental Compliance Plans, by Approved Project

2009 - Plan
Project 31 - Trimble County Ash Treatment Basin (BAP/GSP)

| Month | Plant Balance | Book <br> Depreciation | Tax <br> Depreciation | Temporary Difference | Income Tax Rate | Deferred Tax | Accumulated Deferred Taxes | Deferred Taxes on Retirements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beg Balance |  |  |  |  |  |  | 322,320 |  |
| Mar-13 | 9,102,469 | 14,253 | 50,656 | 36,403 | 38.9000\% | 14,161 | 336,480 | - |
| Apr-13 | 9,102,469 | 14,253 | 50,656 | 36,403 | 38.9000\% | 14,161 | 350,641 | - |
| May-13 | 9,102,469 | 14,253 | 50,658 | 36,405 | 38.9000\% | 14,162 | 364,801 | - |
| Jun-13 | 9,102,469 | 14,253 | 50,656 | 36,403 | 38.9000\% | 14,161 | 378,962 | - |
| Jul-13 | 9,102,469 | 14,253 | 50,656 | 36,403 | 38.9000\% | 14,161 | 393,122 | - |
| Aug-13 | 9,102,469 | 14,253 | 50,660 | 36,407 | 38.9000\% | 14,162 | 407,283 | - |

## Kentucky Utilities Company <br> Deferred Tax Calculations <br> Environmental Compliance Plans, by Approved Project

2009 - Plan
Project 33 - Beneficial Reuse

| Month | Plant Balance | Book Depreciation | Tax <br> Depreciation | Temporary Difference | Income Tax Rate | Deferred Tax | Accumulated Deferred Taxes | Deferred Taxes on Retirements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beg Balance |  |  |  |  |  |  | 785,464 |  |
| Mar-13 | 4,279,420 | 7,489 | 14,163 | 6,674 | 38.9000\% | 2,596 | 788,060 | - |
| Apr-13 | 4,279,420 | 7,489 | 14,163 | 6,674 | 38.9000\% | 2,596 | 790,656 | - |
| May-13 | 4,279,420 | 7,489 | 14,161 | 6,672 | 38.9000\% | 2,595 | 793,252 | - |
| Jun-13 | 4,279,420 | 7,489 | 14,165 | 6,676 | 38.9000\% | 2,597 | 795,849 | - |
| Jul-13 | 4,279,420 | 7,489 | 14,165 | 6,676 | 38.9000\% | 2,597 | 798,445 | - |
| Aug-13 | 4,279,420 | 7,489 | 14,165 | 6,676 | 38.9000\% | 2,597 | 801,041 | - |

Due to Bonus Depreciation for tax purposes taken on certain components of Project 33, the deferred tax calculation for this project is computed separately for Federal and State purposes. Specifically, for Federal taxes, certain assets received 50\% bonus depreciation, which reduces the Federal tax basis to $50 \%$ of the plant balance. A sample calculation of deferred taxes for Mar 2013 is shown below:

| Federal Basis | Book Depr. | Federal Tax Dep Fed. Differencı Fed Tax Rate | Fed Def Tax |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2,139,710$ | 7,489 | 12,872 | 5,383 | $35.0000 \%$ | 1,884 |
| $2,139,710$ |  | - | - | $35.0000 \%$ | - |
| State Basis | Book Depr. | State Tax Depr | St. Difference State Tax Rate | St Def Tax |  |
| $4,279,420$ | 7,489 | 25,744 | 18,255 | $6.0000 \%$ | 1,095 |
|  |  |  |  | St. Offset for Fed Taxes not Owed |  |

Total Deferred Tax 2,596

## Kentucky Utilities Company <br> Deferred Tax Calculations <br> Environmental Compliance Plans, by Approved Project

2011 - Plan
Project 35 - Ghent Station Air Compliance

| Month | Plant Balance | Book <br> Depreciation | Tax <br> Depreciation | Temporary Difference | Income Tax Rate | Deferred Tax | Accumulated Deferred Taxes | Deferred Taxes on Retirements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beg Balance |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,253,783 |  |
| Mar-13 | 3,645,863 | 7,026 | 2,201 | $(4,825)$ | 38.9000\% | $(1,877)$ | 1,251,906 | - |
| Apr-13 | 12,079,086 | 12,156 | 456,058 | 443,902 | 38.9000\% | 172,678 | 1,424,584 |  |
| May-13 | 12,079,086 | 17,286 | 463,571 | 446,285 | 38.9000\% | 173,605 | 1,598,189 |  |
| Jun-13 | 12,079,086 | 17,286 | 463,571 | 446,285 | 38.9000\% | 173,605 | 1,771,794 | - |
| Jul-13 | 11,376,711 | 16,902 | 407,504 | 390,602 | 38.9000\% | 151,944 | 1,923,738 | 949 |
| Aug-13 | 12,184,254 | 17,009 | 483,891 | 466,882 | 38.9000\% | 181,617 | 2,105,354 | 949 |

Due to Bonus Depreciation for tax purposes taken on certain components of Project 35, the deferred tax calculation for this project is computed separately for Federal and State purposes. Specifically, for Federal taxes, certain assets received 100\% bonus depreciation, which reduces the Federal tax basis to $100 \%$ of the plant balance. A sample calculation of deferred taxes for Mar 2013 is shown below:


## KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

# Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information <br> in Appendix B of Commission's Order Dated December 23, 2013 

Case No. 2013-00436
Question No. 4

## Witness: Christopher M. Garrett

Q-4. Refer to ES Form 2.50, Pollution Control - Operations \& Maintenance Expenses, for the March 2013 through August 2013 expense months. For each expense account number listed on this schedule, explain the reason(s) for any change in the expense levels from month to month if that change is greater than plus or minus 10 percent.

A-4. Attached please find a schedule showing the changes in the operations and maintenance expense accounts for March 2013 through August 2013 expense months. The changes in the expense levels are reasonable and generally occurred as a part of routine plant operations and maintenance or normal annual testing expenses.

## 2009 Plan

Monthly variances in the NOx operation expenses, accounts 506154 and 506155, reflect normal SCR operations at E.W. Brown Unit 3. The variances for account 506154 are driven by the purchase and delivery timing of the raw consumable material (ammonia), as well as variations in generation and coal quality.

Fluctuations in the NOx maintenance expenses, account 512151, are the result of routine monthly maintenance on the SCR at E.W. Brown Unit 3. The decrease in June is due to increased air compressor maintenance in April and May. The increase in August is due to air filter replacement.

## 2011 Plan

Fluctuations in sorbent injection operation expenses, account 506159, are the result of ongoing operation of Ghent and E.W. Brown Units.

Fluctuations in sorbent injection reactant (hydrated lime/trona) expenses, account 506152, are the result of on-going operation of all Ghent and E.W. Brown Units.

Fluctuations in sorbent injection maintenance expenses, account 512152, are the result of normal system maintenance and the timing of preventive maintenance performed.

## KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

## ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT

Pollution Control - Operations \& Maintenance Expenses

| O\&M Expense Account | Mar-13 | Apr-13 | \% Change from Prior Period | May-13 | \% Change from Prior Period | Jun-13 | \% Change from Prior Period | Jul-13 | \% Change from Prior Period | Aug-13 | \% Change from Prior Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 Plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 506154 - ECR NOx Operation -- Consumables | 31,466.24 | 62,224.49 | 98\% | 75,760.64 | 22\% | 60,016.45 | -21\% | 57,653.83 | -4\% | 53,792.47 | -7\% |
| 506155 - ECR NOx Operation -- Labor and Other | - | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% |
| 512151 - ECR NOx Maintenance | 14,207.68 | 22,551.69 | 59\% | 20,743.16 | -8\% | 3,094.47 | -85\% | 8,989.73 | 191\% | 13,830.81 | 54\% |
| 502013 - ECR Landfill Operations | - | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% |
| 512107 - ECR Landfill Maintenance | - | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% |
| Total 2009 Plan O\&M Expenses | 45,673.92 | 84,776.18 | 86\% | 96,503.80 | 14\% | 63,110.92 | -35\% | 66,643.56 | 6\% | 67,623.28 | 1\% |
| 2011 Plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 506159 - ECR Sorbent Injection Operation | 57,544.96 | 59,974.57 | 4\% | 58,381.82 | -3\% | 54,362.92 | -7\% | 61,567.56 | 13\% | 62,890.58 | 2\% |
| 506152 - ECR Sorbent Reactant - Reagent Only | 1,114,685.97 | 999,005.78 | -10\% | 1,016,377.75 | $2 \%$ | 804,996.28 | -21\% | 831,844.92 | 3\% | 814,316.17 | -2\% |
| 512152 - ECR Sorbent Injection Maintenance | 23,546.99 | 28,404.04 | 21\% | 47,345.97 | 67\% | 33,808.38 | -29\% | 41,273.12 | 22\% | 14,263.29 | -65\% |
| 506156 - ECR Baghouse Operations | - | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% |
| 512156 - ECR Baghouse Maintenance | - | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% |
| 506151 - ECR Activated Carbon | - | - | 0\% | - | $0 \%$ | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% |
| 502013 - ECR Landfill Operations | - | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% |
| 512107 - ECR Landfill Maintenance | - | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% |
| Total 2011 Plan O\&M Expenses | 1,195,777.92 | 1,087,384.39 | -9\% | 1,122,105.54 | 3\% | 893,167.58 | -20\% | 934,685.60 | 5\% | 891,470.04 | -5\% |

## KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

# Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information in Appendix B of Commission's Order Dated December 23, 2013 

Case No. 2013-00436
Question No. 5

## Witness: Christopher M. Garrett

Q-5. In Case No. 2000-00439, the Commission ordered that KU's cost of debt and preferred stock would be reviewed and re-established during the six-month review case. Provide the following information as of August 31, 2013:
a. The outstanding balances for long-term debt, short-term debt, preferred stock, and common equity. Provide this information on total company and Kentucky jurisdictional bases.
b. The blended interest rates for long-term debt, short-term debt, and preferred stock. Include all supporting calculations showing how these blended interest rates were determined. If applicable, provide the blended interest rates on total company and Kentucky jurisdictional bases. For each outstanding debt listed, indicate whether the interest rate is fixed or variable.
c. KU's calculation of its weighted average cost of capital for environmental surcharge purposes.
d. Provide all exhibits and schedules of your response in Excel spreadsheet format, with formulas intact and unprotected and all rows and columns accessible.

A-5. a-d. Please see the attachment being provided in Excel format. There was no preferred stock outstanding as of August 31, 2013; therefore, it is not listed in the attached schedule. KU is utilizing a return on equity of $10.25 \%$ as agreed to for all ECR Plans and approved by the Commission in its December 20, 2012 Order in Case No. 201200221.

## KENTUCKY UTILITIES

## Adjusted Electric Rate of Return on Common Equity

As of August 31, 2013

|  |  | Balance at 08-31-13 $\qquad$ | Capital Structure (2) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EEI } \\ & \text { (3) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | tments in $\times$ Col 4 Line 4) <br> (4) |  | Adjustments to Total Co. Capitalization um of $\mathrm{CO13-Col4)}$ (5) |  | Adjusted Total <br> Company <br> Capitalization <br> (Coll + Col 5 ) (6) | Jurisdictional Rate Base Percentage (7) |  | Kentucky Jurisdictional Capitalization (Col $6 \times \mathrm{Col} 7$ ) (8) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Short Term Debt | \$ | 124,993,822 | 2.90\% | \$ |  | \$ | $(35,430)$ | \$ | $(35,430)$ | \$ | 124,958,392 | 87.63\% | \$ | 109,501,039 |
| 2. Long Term Debt |  | 1,841,650,311 | 42.79\% |  | - |  | $(522,774)$ |  | $(522,774)$ |  | 1,841,127,537 | 87.63\% |  | 1,613,380,061 |
| 3. Common Equity |  | 2,336,831,556 | 54.31\% |  | $(504,066)$ |  | (663,516) |  | $(1,167,582)$ |  | 2,335,663,974 | 87.63\% |  | 2,046,742,340 |
| 4. Total Capitalization | \$ | 4,303,475,689 | $\underline{ }$ | \$ | $\underline{(504,066)}$ | \$ | $\underline{(1,221,720)}$ | \$ | $\underline{(1,725,786)}$ | \$ | 4,301,749,903 |  | \$ | 3,769,623,440 |


| 1. | Short Term Debt | \$ | 109,501,039 | 2.90\% | \$ | (14,370,042) | \$ | $(52,545)$ | \$ | 95,078,452 | 2.91\% | 0.29\% | 0.01\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | Long Term Debt |  | 1,613,380,061 | 42.80\% |  | $(212,082,001)$ |  | $(775,493)$ |  | 1,400,522,567 | 42.80\% | 3.64\% | 1.56\% |
| 3. | Common Equity |  | 2,046,742,340 | 54.30\% |  | $(269,066,651)$ |  | $(983,862)$ |  | 1,776,691,827 | 54.29\% | 10.25\% | 5.56\% |
| 4. | Total Capitalization | \$ | 3,769,623,440 | 100.000\% | \$ | $(495,518,694)$ | \$ | (1,811,900) | \$ | 3,272,292,846 | 100.000\% |  | 7.13\% |
| 5. | Weighted Cost of Ca | or | come Tax Effect | - DR) x | / |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.22\% |

KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY
analysis of the embedded cost of capital at August 31, 2013

|  |  |  |  |  |  | LONG-TE | BT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ann | nualiz | Cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | mortized Debt Issuance |  | Am | zed Loss- |  |  | er of Credit |  |  |  | Embedded |
| Pollution Control Bonds - |  |  |  | Principal |  | $\underline{\text { Interest }}$ |  |  |  |  | uired Debt |  |  | dother fees |  |  | Total | Cost |
| Mercer Co. 2000 Series A | 05/01/23 | 0.080\% | \$ | \$ 12,900,000 | \$ | \$ 10,320 | \$ | - |  | \$ | 46,931 |  | \$ | 137,839 | a | \$ | 195,090 | 1.512\% |
| Carroll Co. 2002 Series A | 02/01/32 | 0.300\% |  | 20,930,000 |  | 62,790 |  | 4,104 |  |  | 36,300 |  |  | 20,930 | b |  | 124,124 | 0.593\% |
| Carroll Co. 2002 Series B | 02/01/32 | 0.300\% |  | 2,400,000 |  | 7,200 |  | 2,856 |  |  | 4,164 |  |  | 2,400 | b |  | 16,620 | 0.693\% |
| Muhlenberg Co. 2002 Series A | 02/01/32 | 0.300\% |  | 2,400,000 |  | 7,200 |  | 1,140 |  |  | 12,744 |  |  | 2,400 | b |  | 23,484 | 0.979\% |
| Mercer Co. 2002 Series A | 02/01/32 | 0.330\% |  | 7,400,000 |  | 24,420 |  | 3,180 |  |  | 12,900 |  |  | 7,400 | b |  | 47,900 | 0.647\% |
| Carroll Co. 2002 Series C | 10/01/32 | 0.140\% |  | 96,000,000 |  | 134,400 |  | 73,658 |  |  | 186,036 |  |  | 300,538 | c |  | 694,632 | 0.724\% |
| Carroll Co. 2004 Series A | 10/01/34 | 0.060\% |  | 50,000,000 |  | 30,000 |  |  |  |  | 105,113 |  |  | 536,621 | a |  | 671,734 | 1.343\% |
| Carroll Co. 2006 Series B | 10/01/34 | 0.070\% |  | 54,000,000 |  | 37,800 |  | 48,011 |  |  |  |  |  | 580,188 | a |  | 665,999 | 1.233\% |
| Carroll Co. 2007 Series A | 02/01/26 | 5.750\% |  | 17,875,000 |  | 1,027,813 |  | 33,342 |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | 1,061,155 | 5.937\% |
| Trimble Co. 2007 Series A | 03/01/37 | 6.000\% |  | 8,927,000 |  | 535,620 |  | 16,072 |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | 551,692 | 6.180\% |
| Carroll Co. 2008 Series A | 02/01/32 | 0.070\% |  | 77,947,405 |  | 54,563 |  | 34,503 |  |  | - ${ }^{-}$ |  |  | 837,484 | a |  | 926,550 | 1.189\% |
| Called Bonds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 201,063 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 201,063 |  |
| First Mortgage Bonds - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 due 2015 | 11/01/15 | 1.625\% |  | 250,000,000 |  | 4,062,500 |  | 461,126 | ** |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | 4,523,626 | 1.809\% |
| Debt discount on FMB | 11/01/15 | 1.625\% |  | $(386,458)$ |  |  |  | 175,000 | ** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 175,000 | -45.283\% |
| 2010 due 2020 | 11/01/20 | 3.250\% |  | 500,000,000 |  | 16,250,000 |  | 418,360 | ** |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | 6,668,360 | 3.334\% |
| Debt discount on FMB | 11/01/15 | 3.250\% |  | $(1,362,375)$ |  |  |  | 189,000 | ** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 189,000 | -13.873\% |
| 2010 due 2040 | 11/01/40 | 5.125\% |  | 750,000,000 |  | 38,437,500 |  | 249,641 | ** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8,687,141 | 5.158\% |
| Debt discount on FMB | 11/01/40 | 5.125\% |  | $(7,380,260)$ |  |  |  | 271,250 | ** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 271,250 | -3.675\% |
| S-3 SEC Shelf Registration | 03/27/15 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,292 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,292 |  |
| Revolving Credit Facility | 10/19/16 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 676,646 | $3 \& 4$ |  |  |  |  | 500,000 | d |  | 1,176,646 |  |
| Letter of Credit Facility | 04/29/14 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 169,819 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 169,819 |  |
| Total External Debt |  |  |  | \$ 1,841,650,311 |  | \$ 60,682,126 | \$ | 2,830,000 |  | \$ | 605,251 |  | \$ | 2,925,800 |  |  | 7,043,177 | 3.640\% |
| Notes Payable to PPL. |  | 2 | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | \$ | - |  | \$ | - |  | \$ | - |  | \$ | - |  |
| Total Internal Debt |  |  | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | \$ | - |  | \$ | - |  | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | 0.000\% |
|  |  | Total |  | \$ 1,841,650,311 |  | \$ 60,682,126 | \$ | 2,830,000 |  | \$ | 605,251 |  | \$ | 2,925,800 |  |  | 7,043,177 | 3.640\% |


** Debt discount shown on separate line.
1 Series $P$ and $R$ bonds were redeemed in 2003, and 2005, respectively. They were not replaced with other bond series. The remaining unamortized expense is
being amortized over the remainder of the original lives (due $5 / 15 / 07,6 / 1 / 25,6 / 1 / 35$, and $6 / 1 / 36$ respectively) of the bonds as loss on reacquired debt.
2 Fidelia Notes Payable were paid off on $11 / 1 / 2010$ with PPL Notes Payable that were paid off with the new FMB issues on 11/16/2010.
3 Included setup fees for the Wachovia Credit Facility in Long-term Debt due to 4 year credit arrangement
4 Credit Facility amended effective October 19, 2011. New term of 5 years at lower interest rate.
a - Letter of credit fee $=$ (principal bal +45 days interest) $)^{*} 2 \%$ L/C Fee and $.25 \%$ L/C Fronting Fee. Rate based on company credit rating. Remarketing Fee $=10$ basis points.
$b$ - Remarketing fee $=10$ basis points
c - Remarketing fee $=25$ basis points (25bp on $\$ 43.7 \mathrm{M}$; 5bp on $\$ 52.3 \mathrm{M}$; avg 14bp $+\$ 166,137.60$ Annual Ins. Premium)
d - Revolving Credit facility fee $=12.5$ basis points

## ECR - Gross-up Revenue Factor \& Composite Income Tax Calculation 2013

Assume pre-tax income of

State income tax (see below)

Taxable income for Federal income tax before production credit
a. Production Rate
b. Allocation to Production Income
c. Allocated Production Rate (a x b)

Less: Production tax credit
Taxable income for Federal income tax

Federal income tax

Total State and Federal income taxes

Gross-up Revenue Factor

Therefore, the composite rate is:
Federal
State
Total

State Income Tax Calculation
Assume pre-tax income of
Production credit @ 6\%

Taxable income for State income tax

State Tax Rate
$\qquad$
6.0000\%
5.6400

## KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

# Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information in Appendix B of Commission's Order Dated December 23, 2013 

Case No. 2013-00436
Question No. 6

## Witness: Robert M. Conroy

Q-6. Provide the actual average residential customer's usage. Based on this usage amount, provide the dollar impact the over/under-recovery will have on the average residential customer's bill for the requested recovery period. Provide all supporting calculations and documentation in Excel spreadsheet format, with formulas intact and unprotected and all rows and columns accessible.

A-6. Please see the attachment being provided in Excel format.
The actual average residential customer's usage for the 12-months ending November 30, 2013 is $1,208 \mathrm{kWh}$ per month. Actual average monthly usage for residential customers will vary from month to month depending upon the time period of the year.

Based upon distributing the over-recovered position of $\$ 347,028$ in one month, the ECR billing factor will be lower by approximately $0.31 \%$ for that month. For a residential customer using $1,208 \mathrm{kWh}$ per month the impact of the adjusted ECR billing factor would be a decrease of approximately $\$ 0.31$ on that month's bill, using rates and adjustment clause factors in effect for the November 2013 billing month.

| INPUTS: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Expense Month for calculations |  | Nov-13 |
| ECR Monthly Filing - Expense Month for calculations |  |  |  |
| Form 1.10 - Line 13 | Adjusted Net Juris E(M) as filed | \$ | 5,438,479 |
| Form 1.10-Line 14 | Group 1 Rev as \% 12-mo Total Rev |  | 40.55\% |
| Form 1.10-Line 15 | Group 1 E(m) | \$ | 2,205,303 |
| Form 1.10-Line 16 | Group 1 12-month avg revenue | \$ | 45,373,396 |
| Form 1.10-Line 17 | Billing Factor filed |  | 4.86\% |
| Financial Reports - Year Ended Current Month |  |  | Nov-13 |
| Page 28.1 | Residential Sales (kWh) |  | 6,085,794,561 |
| Page 41 | Residential Avg Customers Year Ended |  | 419,984 |
|  | times 12 for 12-month number |  | 5,039,808 |
| Response to DR Q2 | Adjustment for (Over)/Under Collection |  | $(347,028)$ |
|  | Number of Months to collect/distribute |  | 1 |
|  | Monthly Adj for (Over)/Under Collection |  | $(347,028)$ |
|  | Position for Review Period Collection |  | OVER |
| Billing Factors |  |  |  |
| Tariff | Basic Service Charge |  | 10.75 |
| Tariff | Base Rate |  | 0.07459 |
| Monthly Filing | FAC for Nov-13 |  | (0.00180) |
| Tariff | DSM |  | 0.00301 |

## Residential Bill Impact Calculations




[^0]:    GUSAN MA. WATMNS
    
    My Camniesion Expines Mas. 19, 2047
    Hoten Mo : 4.3723

