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## KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

# Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information in Appendix B of Commission's Order Dated July 12, 2013 

Case No. 2013-00242

## Question No. 1

## Witness: Robert M. Conroy

Q-1. Concerning the rate of return on the two amendments to the environmental compliance plan, for the period under review, calculate any true-up adjustment needed to recognize changes in KU's cost of debt, preferred stock, accounts receivable financing (if applicable), or changes in KU's jurisdictional capital structure. Include all assumptions and other supporting documentation used to make this calculation. Any true-up adjustment is to be included in the determination of the over- or under-recovery of the surcharge for the corresponding billing period under review.

A-1. Please see the attachment.
KU calculated the true-up adjustment to recognize changes in the cost of debt and capital structure in two steps, shown on Pages 1 and 2 of the attachment to this response. Page 1 reflects the true-up required due to the changes between the Rate Base as filed and the Rate Base as Revised through the Monthly Filings. However, during the period under review there were no revisions to reflect. Page 2 represents the true-up in the Rate of Return as filed compared to the actual Rate of Return calculations, which impacted the true-up adjustment for the last six months of the two-year period under review in this case. No further revisions to Rate Base were identified in preparation of this response.

Pages 3 and 4 provide the adjusted weighted average cost of capital for the Pre-2011 and 2011 Plans, respectively, for the period ending February 28, 2013. This new rate was then applied to true-up the months in the review with different rates of return for the Pre2011 and 2011 Plans as approved by the Commission in Case No. 2011-00161. KU calculated the short- and long-term debt rates using average daily balances and daily interest rates pursuant to the Commission's Order in Case No. 2011-00161.

Page 5 provides the adjusted weighted average cost of capital for the period under review ending April 30, 2013. The Commission approved a single rate of return for all Plans in Case No. 2012-00221; therefore, the Pre-2011 and 2011 Plans are not shown separately. Also, KU calculated the short- and long-term debt rates using average daily balances and daily interest rates pursuant to the Commission's Order in Case No. 2011-00161.

KU did not engage in accounts receivable financing or have any preferred stock outstanding during the period under review.


Notes: Pursuant to the Commission's Order dated December 15, 2011 approving the Settlement Agreement in Case No. 2011-00161, KU calculated the short- and long-term debt rates using average daily balances and daily interest rates in connection with the ECR true-up calculation shown above and used separate rates of return for the Pre-2011 and 2011 ECR Plans beginning with the December 2011 expense month.
The billing periods ending October 31, 2011 and April 30, 2012 were reviewed in Case No. 2012-00207.
The billing period ending October 31, 2012 was reviewed in Case No. 2012-00546.

Kentucky Utilities Company

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  | (6) | (7) | (8) |  | (9) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Billing <br> Month | Expense <br> Month | Rate of Return as Filed | Rate of Return as Revised | Change in Rate of Return $(4)-(3)$ | Rate | Base as Revised | True-up Adjustment | Jurisdictional <br> Allocation, ES <br> Form 1.10 | Jurisdictional True up Adjustment$(7) *(8)$ |  |
| May-11 | Mar-11 | 11.04\% | 10.59\% | -0.45\% | \$ | 1,214,135,093 | $(455,301)$ | 86.01\% |  | $(391,604)$ |
| Jun-11 | Apr-11 | 11.04\% | 10.59\% | -0.45\% |  | 1,212,576,264 | $(454,716)$ | 87.31\% |  | $(397,013)$ |
| Jul-11 | May-11 | 11.04\% | 10.59\% | -0.45\% |  | 1,211,354,448 | $(454,258)$ | 84.19\% |  | $(382,440)$ |
| Aug-11 | Jun-11 | 11.04\% | 10.59\% | -0.45\% |  | 1,214,206,242 | $(455,327)$ | 84.42\% |  | $(384,387)$ |
| Sep-11 | Jul-11 | 11.04\% | 10.59\% | -0.45\% |  | 1,212,691,706 | $(454,759)$ | 85.70\% |  | $(389,729)$ |
| Oct-11 | Aug-11 | 11.04\% | 10.59\% | -0.45\% |  | 1,213,318,388 | $(454,994)$ | 87.18\% |  | $(396,664)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(2,729,356)$ |  |  | $(2,341,837)$ |
|  |  | Pre-2011 Plans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov-11 | Sep-11 | 11.04\% | 10.58\% | -0.46\% | \$ | 1,210,886,436 | $(464,173)$ | 87.51\% |  | $(406,198)$ |
| Dec-11 | Oct-11 | 11.04\% | 10.58\% | -0.46\% |  | 1,227,064,849 | $(470,375)$ | 85.36\% |  | $(401,512)$ |
| Jan-12 | Nov-11 | 11.04\% | 10.58\% | -0.46\% |  | 1,225,988,797 | $(469,962)$ | 86.51\% |  | $(406,564)$ |
| Feb-12 | Dec-11 | 11.04\% | 10.60\% | -0.44\% |  | 1,241,656,918 | $(455,274)$ | 83.93\% |  | $(382,112)$ |
| Mar-12 | Jan-12 | 10.56\% | 10.60\% | 0.04\% |  | 1,242,892,839 | 41,430 | 84.75\% |  | 35,112 |
| Apr-12 | Feb-12 | 10.56\% | 10.60\% | 0.04\% |  | 1,244,978,286 | 41,499 | 87.48\% |  | 36,304 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (1,776,856) |  |  | (1,524,971) |
|  |  | 2011 Plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov-11 | Sep-11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec-11 | Oct-11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan-12 | Nov-11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb-12 | Dec-11 | 10.59\% | 10.15\% | -0.44\% |  | 19,369,355 | $(7,102)$ | 83.93\% |  | $(5,961)$ |
| Mar-12 | Jan-12 | 10.13\% | 10.15\% | 0.02\% |  | 19,986,822 | 333 | 84.75\% |  | 282 |
| Apr-12 | Feb-12 | 10.13\% | 10.15\% | 0.02\% |  | 20,805,672 | 347 | 87.48\% |  | 303 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(6,422)$ |  |  | $(5,375)$ |
|  |  | Pre-2011 Plans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May-12 | Mar-12 | 10.56\% | 10.65\% | 0.09\% | \$ | 1,248,378,620 | 93,628 | 87.24\% |  | 81,681 |
| Jun-12 | Apr-12 | 10.56\% | 10.65\% | 0.09\% |  | 1,253,579,055 | 94,018 | 87.74\% |  | 82,492 |
| Jul-12 | May-12 | 10.56\% | 10.65\% | 0.09\% |  | 1,260,849,300 | 94,564 | 87.07\% |  | 82,337 |
| Aug-12 | Jun-12 | 10.56\% | 10.65\% | 0.09\% |  | 1,271,789,980 | 95,384 | 86.30\% |  | 82,317 |
| Sep-12 | Jul-12 | 10.56\% | 10.65\% | 0.09\% |  | 1,282,342,880 | 96,176 | 87.10\% |  | 83,769 |
| Oct-12 | Aug-12 | 10.56\% | 10.65\% | 0.09\% |  | 1,292,808,307 | 96,961 | 87.71\% |  | 85,044 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 570,731 |  |  | 497,640 |
|  |  | 2011 Plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May-12 | Mar-12 | 10.13\% | 10.21\% | 0.08\% |  | 22,921,881 | 1,528 | 87.24\% |  | 1,333 |
| Jun-12 | Apr-12 | 10.13\% | 10.21\% | 0.08\% |  | 26,890,090 | 1,793 | 87.74\% |  | 1,573 |
| Jul-12 | May-12 | 10.13\% | 10.21\% | 0.08\% |  | 30,004,260 | 2,000 | 87.07\% |  | 1,742 |
| Aug-12 | Jun-12 | 10.13\% | 10.21\% | 0.08\% |  | 31,884,830 | 2,126 | 86.30\% |  | 1,834 |
| Sep-12 | Jul-12 | 10.13\% | 10.21\% | 0.08\% |  | 38,467,121 | 2,564 | 87.10\% |  | 2,234 |
| Oct-12 | Aug-12 | 10.13\% | 10.21\% | 0.08\% |  | 40,163,370 | 2,678 | 87.71\% |  | 2,348 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ 12,689 |  | \$ | 11,064 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Pre-2011 Plans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov-12 | Sep-12 | 10.58\% | 10.56\% | -0.02\% | \$ | 1,295,742,781 | $(21,596)$ | 87.55\% |  | $(18,907)$ |
| Dec-12 | Oct-12 | 10.58\% | 10.56\% | -0.02\% |  | 1,302,585,878 | $(21,710)$ | 87.60\% |  | $(19,018)$ |
| Jan-13 | Nov-12 | 10.58\% | 10.56\% | -0.02\% |  | 1,314,694,707 | $(21,912)$ | 87.45\% |  | $(19,162)$ |
| Feb-13 | Dec-12 | 10.58\% | 10.56\% | -0.02\% |  | 1,310,645,920 | $(21,844)$ | 86.62\% |  | $(18,921)$ |
| Mar-13 | Jan-13 | 10.26\% | 10.24\% | -0.02\% |  | 325,829,594 | $(5,430)$ | 86.57\% |  | $(4,701)$ |
| Apr-13 | Feb-13 | 10.26\% | 10.24\% | -0.02\% |  | 332,420,690 | $(5,540)$ | 87.24\% |  | $(4,833)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(98,032)$ |  |  | $(85,542)$ |
|  |  | 2011 Plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov-12 | Sep-12 | 10.14\% | 10.12\% | -0.02\% |  | 40,481,524 | (675) | 87.55\% |  | (591) |
| Dec-12 | Oct-12 | 10.14\% | 10.12\% | -0.02\% |  | 49,858,033 | (831) | 87.60\% |  | (728) |
| Jan-13 | Nov-12 | 10.14\% | 10.12\% | -0.02\% |  | 57,150,344 | (953) | 87.45\% |  | (833) |
| Feb-13 | Dec-12 | 10.14\% | 10.12\% | -0.02\% |  | 89,752,710 | $(1,496)$ | 86.62\% |  | $(1,296)$ |
| Mar-13 | Jan-13 | 10.26\% | 10.24\% | -0.02\% |  | 101,012,563 | $(1,684)$ | 86.57\% |  | $(1,457)$ |
| Apr-13 | Feb-13 | 10.26\% | 10.24\% | -0.02\% |  | 114,551,704 | $(1,909)$ | 87.24\% |  | $(1,666)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(7,547)$ |  |  | $(6,570)$ |
|  | Impact of | hange in Rate of | eturn during the six- | month billing period | endin | ng April 30, 2013 | $\underline{\text { \$ } \quad(105,579)}$ |  | \$ | $(92,113)$ |

Note: Pursuant to the Commission's Order dated December 15, 2011 approving the Settlement Agreement in Case No. 2011-00161, KU calculated the short- and long-term debt rates using average daily balances and daily interest rates in connection with the ECR true-up calculation shown above and used separate rates of return for the Pre-2011 and 2011 ECR Plans beginning with the December 2011 expense month.
The billing periods ending October 31, 2011 and April 30, 2012 were reviewed in Case No. 2012-00207.
The billing period ending October 31, 2012 was reviewed in Case No. 2012-00546.

## KENTUCKY UTLLITIES COMPANY

## Adjusted Electric Rate of Return on Common Equity - Pre-2011 ECR Plans <br> As of February 28, 2013

|  |  |  | Balance at 02-28-13 <br> (1) |  | Capital Structure (2) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EEI } \\ & \text { (3) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Investments in OVEC and Other ( $\mathrm{Col} 2 \times \mathrm{Col} 4$ Line 4) (4) |  | Adjustments <br> to <br> Total Co. <br> Capitalization <br> (Sum of Col 3-Col 4) (5) |  | Adjusted Total Company Capitalization $(\mathrm{Col} 1+\mathrm{Col} 5)$ (6) | Jurisdictional <br> Rate Base <br> Percentage <br> (7) |  | Kentucky Jurisdictional Capitalization $(\operatorname{Col} 6 \times \operatorname{Col} 7)$ (8) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Short Term Debt | \$ | 80,279,871 | (a) | 1.92\% | \$ | - | \$ | $(23,457)$ | \$ | $(23,457)$ | \$ | 80,256,414 | 87.63\% | \$ | 70,328,696 |
| 2. | Long Term Debt |  | 1,841,251,957 | (a) | 44.05\% |  | - |  | $(538,168)$ |  | $(538,168)$ |  | 1,840,713,789 | 87.63\% |  | 1,613,017,493 |
| 3. | Common Equity |  | 2,258,035,860 |  | 54.03\% |  | $(504,066)$ |  | $(660,095)$ |  | $(1,164,161)$ |  | 2,256,871,699 | 87.63\% |  | 1,977,696,670 |
| 4. | Total Capitalization | \$ | 4,179,567,688 |  | 100.000\% | \$ | $\xrightarrow{(504,066)}$ |  | $\underline{(1,221,720)}$ | \$ | $\underline{(1,725,786)}$ | \$ | 4,177,841,902 |  | \$ | $\xrightarrow{3,661,042,859}$ |
|  |  |  | Kentucky Jurisdictional Capitalization (8) |  | Capital <br> Structure (9) |  | Environmental Surcharge $($ Col $9 \times$ Col 10 Line 4$)$ $(10)$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { DSM } \\ \text { Rate Base } \\ (\text { Col } 9 \times \text { Col } 11 \text { Line } 4) \\ (11) \end{gathered}$ |  | Adjusted <br> Kentucky Jurisdictional Capitalization $\operatorname{Col} 8+\operatorname{Col} 10+\operatorname{Col} 11)$ $\qquad$ |  | Adjusted <br> Capital <br> Structure <br> (13) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Annual } \\ \text { Cost } \\ \text { Rate } \\ \text { (14) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\qquad$ |
| 1. | Short Term Debt | \$ | 70,328,696 |  | 1.92\% | \$ | $(7,520,293)$ | \$ | $(9,655)$ | \$ | 62,798,748 |  | 1.92\% | 0.40\% |  | 0.01\% |
| 2. | Long Term Debt |  | 1,613,017,493 |  | 44.06\% |  | $(172,575,049)$ |  | $(221,565)$ |  | 1,440,220,879 |  | 44.06\% | 3.68\% |  | 1.62\% |
| 3. | Common Equity |  | 1,977,696,670 |  | 54.02\% |  | $(211,586,567)$ |  | $(271,652)$ |  | 1,765,838,451 |  | 54.02\% | 10.63\% |  | 5.74\% |
| 4. | Total Capitalization | \$ | 3,661,042,859 |  | 100.000\% | \$ | (391,681,909) | \$ | (502,872) | \$ | 3,268,858,078 |  | 100.000\% |  |  | 7.37\% |

(a) Average daily balance per Settlement Agreement in Case No. 2011-00161.

## KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

## Adjusted Electric Rate of Return on Common Equity - 2011 ECR Plan <br> As of February 28, 2013

|  |  |  | Balance at 02-28-13 <br> (1) |  | Capital Structure (2) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { EEI } \\ (3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Investments in OVEC and Other ( $\mathrm{Col} 2 \times \mathrm{Col} 4$ Line 4) <br> (4) |  | Adjustments to Total Co. Capitalization (Sum of Col 3 - Col 4 ) (5) |  | Adjusted Total <br> Company <br> Capitalization <br> $(\mathrm{Coll}+\mathrm{Col} 5)$ <br> (6) | Jurisdictional <br> Rate Base <br> Percentage <br> (7) |  | Kentucky Jurisdictional Capitalization $(\operatorname{Col} 6 \times \operatorname{Col} 7)$ (8) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Short Term Debt | \$ | 80,279,871 | (a) | 1.92\% | \$ | - | \$ | $(23,457)$ | \$ | $(23,457)$ | \$ | 80,256,414 | 87.63\% | \$ | 70,328,696 |
| 2. | Long Term Debt |  | 1,841,251,957 | (a) | 44.05\% |  | - |  | $(538,168)$ |  | $(538,168)$ |  | 1,840,713,789 | 87.63\% |  | 1,613,017,493 |
| 3. | Common Equity |  | 2,258,035,860 |  | 54.03\% |  | $(504,066)$ |  | $(660,095)$ |  | $(1,164,161)$ |  | 2,256,871,699 | 87.63\% |  | 1,977,696,670 |
| 4. | Total Capitalization | \$ | 4,179,567,688 |  | $\underline{ } 100.000 \%$ | \$ | $\xrightarrow{(504,066)}$ | \$ | $\underline{(1,221,720)}$ | \$ | $\underline{(1,725,786)}$ | \$ | 4,177,841,902 |  | \$ | 3,661,042,859 |
|  |  |  | Kentucky Jurisdictional Capitalization (8) |  | Capital <br> Structure <br> (9) |  | Environmental Surcharge (Col $9 \times$ Col 10 Line 4$)$ $(10)$ |  | DSM Rate Base $($ Col $9 \times$ Col 11 Line 4$)$ $(11)$ |  | Adjusted <br> Kentucky Jurisdictional Capitalization $\mathrm{Col} 8+\mathrm{Col} 10+\mathrm{Col} 11)$ $\qquad$ |  | Adjusted Capital Structure (13) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Annual } \\ & \text { Cost } \\ & \text { Rate } \\ & (14) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\qquad$ |
| 1. | Short Term Debt | \$ | 70,328,696 |  | 1.92\% | \$ | $(7,520,293)$ | \$ | $(9,655)$ | \$ | 62,798,748 |  | 1.92\% | 0.40\% |  | 0.01\% |
| 2. | Long Term Debt |  | 1,613,017,493 |  | 44.06\% |  | $(172,575,049)$ |  | $(221,565)$ |  | 1,440,220,879 |  | 44.06\% | 3.68\% |  | 1.62\% |
| 3. | Common Equity |  | 1,977,696,670 |  | 54.02\% |  | (211,586,567) |  | (271,652) |  | 1,765,838,451 |  | 54.02\% | 10.10\% |  | 5.46\% |
| 4. | Total Capitalization | \$ | 3,661,042,859 |  | $\underline{ }$ | \$ | $\underline{(391,681,909)}$ | \$ | $\underline{(502,872)}$ | \$ | 3,268,858,078 |  | $\underline{100.000 \%}$ |  |  | 7.09\% |

(a) Average daily balance per Settlement Agreement in Case No. 2011-00161.

## KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

## Adjusted Electric Rate of Return on Common Equity

As of April 30, 2013

|  |  | Balance at 04-30-13 <br> (1) $\qquad$ |  | Capital Structure (2) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EEI } \\ & \text { (3) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | Adjustments to Total Co. Capitalization (Sum of $\mathrm{Col} 3-\mathrm{Col} 4)$ (5) |  | Adjusted Total Company Capitalization $(\operatorname{Col} 1+\operatorname{Col} 5)$ $\qquad$ | Jurisdictional Rate Base Percentage (7) |  | Kentucky Jurisdictional Capitalization (COI $6 \times \mathrm{Col} 7$ ) (8) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Short Term Debt | \$ | 97,479,104 | (a) | 2.31\% | \$ | - | \$ | $(28,222)$ | \$ | $(28,222)$ | \$ | 97,450,882 | 87.63\% | \$ | 85,396,208 |
| 2. Long Term Debt |  | 1,841,305,779 | (a) | 43.59\% |  | - |  | $(532,548)$ |  | $(532,548)$ |  | 1,840,773,231 | 87.63\% |  | 1,613,069,582 |
| 3. Common Equity |  | 2,285,693,928 |  | 54.10\% |  | $(504,066)$ |  | $(660,950)$ |  | $(1,165,016)$ |  | 2,284,528,912 | 87.63\% |  | 2,001,932,686 |
| 4. Total Capitalization | \$ | 4,224,478,811 |  | $\underline{ }$ | \$ | $\xrightarrow{(504,066)}$ | \$ | (1,221,720) | \$ | (1,725,786) |  | 4,222,753,025 |  | \$ | 3,700,398,476 |


| 1. | Short Term Debt | \$ | 85,396,208 | 2.31\% | \$ | (9,885,511) | \$ | $(16,124)$ | \$ | 75,494,573 | 2.31\% | 0.39\% | 0.01\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | Long Term Debt |  | 1,613,069,582 | 43.59\% |  | (186,540,878) |  | $(304,257)$ |  | 1,426,224,447 | 43.59\% | 3.68\% | 1.60\% |
| 3. | Common Equity |  | 2,001,932,686 | 54.10\% |  | $(231,517,813)$ |  | $(377,616)$ |  | 1,770,037,257 | 54.10\% | 10.25\% | 5.55\% |
| 4. | Total Capitalization | \$ | 3,700,398,476 | 100.000\% | \$ | (427,944,202) | \$ | $\underline{(697,997)}$ | \$ | 3,271,756,277 | 100.000\% |  | 7.16\% |

5. Weighted Cost of Capital Grossed up for Income Tax Effect $\{R O R+(R O R-D R) x[T R /(1-T R)]\}$
10.24\%
(a) Average daily balance per Settlement Agreement in Case No. 2011-00161.

## KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

# Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information in Appendix B of Commission's Order Dated July 12, 2013 

Case No. 2013-00242
Question No. 2

## Witness: Robert M. Conroy

Q-2. Prepare a summary schedule showing the calculation of Total $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{m})$, Net Retail $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{m})$, and the surcharge factor for the expense months covered by the applicable billing period. Include the two expense months subsequent to the billing period in order to show the over- and under-recovery adjustments for the months included for the billing period under review. The summary schedule is to incorporate all corrections and revisions to the monthly surcharge filings KU has submitted during the billing period under review. Include a calculation of any additional over- or under-recovery amount KU believes needs to be recognized for the two-year review. Include all supporting calculations and documentation for any such additional over- or under-recovery.

A-2. Please see the attachment to this response for the summary schedule and cumulative components which make up the net over-recovery.

For the period under review, KU experienced a net over-recovery of \$444,933.


Notes:
In Case No. 2011-00161, the Commission approved the 2011 ECR Plan and the use of separate rates of return for the Pre-2011 and
2011 ECR Plans for use beginning with the December 2011 expense month.
The billing periods ending October 31, 2011 and April 30, 2012 were reviewed in Case No. 2012-00207.
The billing period ending October 31, 2012 was reviewed in Case No. 2012-00546.

Kentucky Utilities Company
Calculation of $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{m})$ and Jurisdictional Surcharge Billing Factor
Summary Schedule for Expense Months March 2011 through February 2013


| Sep-12 \$ | 15,687,279 | \$ | $(499,693)$ | \$ | 15,187,586 | 2.03\% | 3.55\% | \$ | 13,060,343 | Nov-12 | \$ | 752,609 | \$ | 1,172,149 | \$ | $(202,484)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oct-12 | 16,382,127 |  | $(499,693)$ |  | 15,882,434 | 4.11\% | 7.17\% |  | 11,568,737 | Dec-12 |  | 1,739,575 |  | 2,327,679 |  | $(246,443)$ |
| Nov-12 | 15,798,273 |  | $(499,693)$ |  | 15,298,580 | 3.37\% | 5.88\% |  | 11,746,712 | Jan-13 |  | 1,912,924 |  | 2,290,322 |  | 651,378 |
| Dec-12 | 16,308,296 |  | $(499,693)$ |  | 15,808,603 | 2.98\% | 5.21\% |  | 12,659,369 | Feb-13 |  | 1,698,344 |  | 2,038,315 |  | 587,424 |
| Jan-13 | 4,336,843 |  | $(499,694)$ |  | 3,837,149 | -4.30\% | -7.49\% |  | 8,445,957 | Mar-13 |  | $(2,248,946)$ |  | (2,454,545) |  | $(94,684)$ |
| Feb-13 | 4,520,494 |  | $(499,694)$ |  | 4,020,800 | 3.22\% | 5.59\% |  | 555,233 | Apr-13 |  | 1,407,851 |  | 1,807,457 |  | $(250,258)$ |
|  |  |  |  | \$ | 70,035,152 |  |  | \$ | 58,036,351 |  | \$ | 5,262,358 | \$ | 7,181,377 | \$ | 444,933 |
| Total for the 2-y | period: |  |  | \$ | 328,444,542 |  |  | \$ | 268,240,273 |  | \$ | 45,696,611 | \$ | 18,169,261 | \$ | 3,661,603 |
| Less Amounts from previous 6-month reviews: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Case No. | 201 |  |  | 80,811,617 |  |  |  | 65,900,319 |  |  | 17,371,950 |  | - |  | 2,460,652 |
|  | Case No. | 201 |  |  | 86,395,078 |  |  |  | 65,896,948 |  |  | 18,115,946 |  | 2,919,692 |  | 537,508 |
|  | Case No. |  |  |  | 91,202,694 |  |  |  | 78,406,655 |  |  | 4,946,358 |  | 8,068,192 |  | 218,511 |
| Total for the current 6-month period: |  |  |  | \$ | 70,035,152 |  |  | \$ | 58,036,351 |  | \$ | 5,262,358 | \$ | 7,181,377 | \$ | 444,933 |
| Net Over-Recovery to be returned to customers for the 6-month billing period ending April 30, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 444,933 |

Notes: In Case No. 2011-00161, the Commission approved the 2011 ECR Plan and the use of separate rates of return for the Pre-2011 and 2011 ECR Plans for use beginning with the December 2011 expense month.
The billing periods ending October 31, 2011 and April 30, 2012 were reviewed in Case No. 2012-00207. The billing period ending October 31, 2012 was reviewed in Case No. 2012-00546.

## Kentucky Utilities Company

## Reconciliation of Combined Over/(Under) Recovery

## Summary Schedule for Expense Months September 2012 through February 2013

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Billing <br> Month | Expense <br> Month | Rate of Return as Filed | Rate of Return as Revised | Change in Rate of Return (4) - (3) |  | Rate Base as Revised | Impact of change in Rate of Return $(5) *(6) / 12$ | Jurisdictional <br> Allocation, <br> ES Form 1.10 | Jursidictional Impact (7) * (8) |
| Pre-2011 Plans (Note 1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov-12 | Sep-12 | 10.58\% | 10.56\% | -0.02\% | \$ | 1,295,742,781 | $(21,596)$ | 87.55\% | $(18,907)$ |
| Dec-12 | Oct-12 | 10.58\% | 10.56\% | -0.02\% |  | 1,302,585,878 | $(21,710)$ | 87.60\% | $(19,018)$ |
| Jan-13 | Nov-12 | 10.58\% | 10.56\% | -0.02\% |  | 1,314,694,707 | $(21,912)$ | 87.45\% | $(19,162)$ |
| Feb-13 | Dec-12 | 10.58\% | 10.56\% | -0.02\% |  | 1,310,645,920 | $(21,844)$ | 86.62\% | $(18,921)$ |
| Mar-13 | Jan-13 | 10.26\% | 10.24\% | -0.02\% |  | 325,829,594 | $(5,430)$ | 86.57\% | $(4,701)$ |
| Apr-13 | Feb-13 | 10.26\% | 10.24\% | -0.02\% |  | 332,420,690 | $(5,540)$ | 87.24\% | $(4,833)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(98,032)$ |  | $(85,542)$ |
| 2011 Plan (Note 1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov-12 | Sep-12 | 10.14\% | 10.12\% | -0.02\% | \$ | 40,481,524 | (675) | 87.55\% | (591) |
| Dec-12 | Oct-12 | 10.14\% | 10.12\% | -0.02\% |  | 49,858,033 | (831) | 87.60\% | (728) |
| Jan-13 | Nov-12 | 10.14\% | 10.12\% | -0.02\% |  | 57,150,344 | (953) | 87.45\% | (833) |
| Feb-13 | Dec-12 | 10.14\% | 10.12\% | -0.02\% |  | 89,752,710 | $(1,496)$ | 86.62\% | $(1,296)$ |
| Mar-13 | Jan-13 | 10.26\% | 10.24\% | -0.02\% |  | 101,012,563 | $(1,684)$ | 86.57\% | $(1,457)$ |
| Apr-13 | Feb-13 | 10.26\% | 10.24\% | -0.02\% |  | 114,551,704 | $(1,909)$ | 87.24\% | $(1,666)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(7,547)$ |  | $(6,570)$ |
|  |  |  | Cumulative Impact of Changes in Rate of Return |  |  |  | \$ (105,579) |  | $(92,113)$ |


| (1) | (2) | (3) |  | (4) |  | (5) | (6) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Recovery Position Explanation - Over/(Under) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Billing <br> Month | Expense <br> Month |  | ined Total <br> /(Under) covery 2, Col 11) |  | ROR Trueup (Pre-2011 Plans) | ROR Trueup (2011 Plan) |  | 12 Month <br> Revenues |
| Nov-12 | Sep-12 | \$ | $(202,484)$ | \$ | 18,907 | \$ 591 | \$ | $(221,982)$ |
| Dec-12 | Oct-12 |  | $(246,443)$ |  | 19,018 | 728 |  | $(266,189)$ |
| Jan-13 | Nov-12 |  | 651,378 |  | 19,162 | 833 |  | 631,383 |
| Feb-13 | Dec-12 |  | 587,424 |  | 18,921 | 1,296 |  | 567,207 |
| Mar-13 | Jan-13 |  | $(94,684)$ |  | 4,701 | 1,457 |  | $(100,842)$ |
| Apr-13 | Feb-13 |  | $(250,258)$ |  | 4,833 | 1,666 |  | $(256,757)$ |
| Total Over-Recovery for |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-month | ng period |  | 444,933 |  | 85,542 | 6,570 |  | 352,820 |


| OVER/(UNDER) RECONCILIATION |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Combined Over/(Under) Recovery |  | 444,933 |
| Due to Change in ROR (Pre-2011 Plans) | 85,542 |  |
| Due to Change in ROR (2011 Plan) | 6,570 |  |
| Use of 12 Month Average Revenues | 352,820 |  |
| Subtotal | 444,933 |  |
| Unreconciled Difference |  |  |

NOTE 1: Pursuant to the KPSC's Order dated December 15, 2011 approving the Settlement Agreement in Case No. 2011-00161, the 2011 ECR Plan, KU calculated the short- and long-term debt rates using average daily balances and daily interest rates in connection with the ECR true-up calculation shown above and used a separate rate of return for the Pre-2011 and 2011 Plans beginning with the December 2011 expense month.

## KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

# Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information in Appendix B of Commission's Order Dated July 12, 2013 

Case No. 2013-00242
Question No. 3

## Witness: Robert M. Conroy

Q-3. Provide the calculations, assumptions, workpapers, and other supporting documents used to determine the amounts KU has reported during each billing period under review for Pollution Control Deferred Income Taxes.

A-3. KU calculates Deferred Income Taxes as the taxable portion of the difference between book depreciation, using straight line depreciation, and tax depreciation, generally using 20 year MACRS accelerated depreciation, bonus depreciation or 5 or 7 year rapid amortization. Accelerated depreciation results in a temporary tax savings to the Company and the Accumulated Deferred Tax balance reflects the value of those temporary savings as a reduction to environmental rate base.

See the attachment for the calculation of Deferred Income Taxes and the balance of Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes reported each month of the period under review.

In KU's Case No. 2012-00221, the Commission approved the elimination of the 2005 and 2006 ECR Compliance Plans effective with the January 2013 expense month. Therefore, the attachment includes the calculation of Deferred Income Taxes and the balance of Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes for the 2005 and 2006 Plan projects as reported each month through the December 2012 expense month.

## Kentucky Utilities Company <br> Deferred Tax Calculations <br> Environmental Compliance Plans, by Approved Project

2005 - Plan
Project 19 -- Ash Handling at Ghent 1 and Ghent Station

| Month | Plant Balance | Book <br> Depreciation | Tax <br> Depreciation | Temporary Difference | Income Tax Rate | Deferred Tax | Accumulated Deferred Taxes | Deferred <br> Taxes on Retirements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beg Balance |  |  |  |  |  |  | 76,901 |  |
| Mar-11 | 835,046 | 1,941 | 5,977 | 4,036 | 38.9000\% | 1,570 | 78,471 | 79,280 |
| Apr-11 | 835,046 | 1,941 | 5,977 | 4,036 | 38.9000\% | 1,570 | 80,041 | 79,280 |
| May-11 | 835,046 | 1,941 | 5,977 | 4,036 | 38.9000\% | 1,570 | 81,611 | 79,280 |
| Jun-11 | 835,046 | 1,941 | 5,977 | 4,036 | 38.9000\% | 1,570 | 83,181 | 79,280 |
| Jul-11 | 835,046 | 1,941 | 5,977 | 4,036 | 38.9000\% | 1,570 | 84,751 | 79,280 |
| Aug-11 | 835,046 | 1,941 | 5,977 | 4,036 | 38.9000\% | 1,570 | 86,321 | 79,280 |
| Sep-11 | 835,046 | 1,941 | 5,977 | 4,036 | 38.9000\% | 1,570 | 87,891 | 79,280 |
| Oct-11 | 835,046 | 1,941 | 5,977 | 4,036 | 38.9000\% | 1,570 | 89,461 | 79,280 |
| Nov-11 | 835,046 | 1,941 | 5,990 | 4,049 | 38.9000\% | 1,575 | 91,036 | 79,280 |
| Dec-11 | 835,046 | 1,941 | 5,977 | 4,036 | 38.9000\% | 1,570 | 92,606 | 79,280 |
| Jan-12 | 835,046 | 1,941 | 5,746 | 3,805 | 38.9000\% | 1,480 | 94,086 | 79,280 |
| Feb-12 | 835,046 | 1,941 | 5,746 | 3,805 | 38.9000\% | 1,480 | 95,565 | 79,280 |
| Mar-12 | 835,046 | 1,941 | 5,746 | 3,805 | 38.9000\% | 1,480 | 97,045 | 79,280 |
| Apr-12 | 835,046 | 1,941 | 5,743 | 3,802 | 38.9000\% | 1,479 | 98,524 | 79,280 |
| May-12 | 835,046 | 1,941 | 5,743 | 3,802 | 38.9000\% | 1,479 | 100,003 | 79,280 |
| Jun-12 | 835,046 | 1,941 | 5,745 | 3,804 | 38.9000\% | 1,480 | 101,483 | 79,280 |
| Jul-12 | 835,046 | 1,941 | 5,744 | 3,803 | 38.9000\% | 1,479 | 102,962 | 79,280 |
| Aug-12 | 835,046 | 1,941 | 5,743 | 3,802 | 38.9000\% | 1,479 | 104,441 | 79,280 |
| Sep-12 | 835,046 | 1,941 | 5,744 | 3,803 | 38.9000\% | 1,479 | 105,920 | 79,280 |
| Oct-12 | 835,046 | 1,941 | 5,744 | 3,803 | 38.9000\% | 1,479 | 107,400 | 79,280 |
| Nov-12 | 835,046 | 1,941 | 5,743 | 3,802 | 38.9000\% | 1,479 | 108,879 | 79,280 |
| Dec-12 | 835,046 | 1,941 | 5,745 | 3,804 | 38.9000\% | 1,480 | 110,358 | 79,280 |
| Jan-13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb-13 |  |  |  | - |  | - |  |  |

## Kentucky Utilities Company <br> Deferred Tax Calculations <br> Environmental Compliance Plans, by Approved Project

2005 - Plan
Project 20 -- Ash Treatment Basin at E.W. Brown

| Month | Plant Balance | Book Depreciation | Tax Depreciation | Temporary Difference | Income Tax Rate | Deferred Tax | Accumulated Deferred Taxes | Deferred <br> Taxes on Retirements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beg Balance |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,676,292 |  |
| Mar-11 | 34,655,229 | 75,401 | 423,103 | 347,702 | 38.9000\% | 135,256 | 2,811,548 |  |
| Apr-11 | 34,655,229 | 75,401 | 423,103 | 347,702 | 38.9000\% | 135,256 | 2,946,804 |  |
| May-11 | 34,655,229 | 75,401 | 423,103 | 347,702 | 38.9000\% | 135,256 | 3,082,060 |  |
| Jun-11 | 34,655,229 | 75,401 | 423,103 | 347,702 | 38.9000\% | 135,256 | 3,217,316 |  |
| Jul-11 | 34,655,229 | 75,401 | 423,103 | 347,702 | 38.9000\% | 135,256 | 3,352,572 |  |
| Aug-11 | 34,655,229 | 75,401 | 423,103 | 347,702 | 38.9000\% | 135,256 | 3,487,828 |  |
| Sep-11 | 34,655,229 | 75,401 | 423,103 | 347,702 | 38.9000\% | 135,256 | 3,623,085 |  |
| Oct-11 | 34,655,229 | 75,401 | 423,103 | 347,702 | 38.9000\% | 135,256 | 3,758,341 |  |
| Nov-11 | 34,655,229 | 75,401 | 423,103 | 347,702 | 38.9000\% | 135,256 | 3,893,597 |  |
| Dec-11 | 34,655,229 | 75,401 | 423,103 | 347,702 | 38.9000\% | 135,256 | 4,028,853 |  |
| Jan-12 | 34,655,229 | 75,401 | 417,365 | 341,964 | 38.9000\% | 133,024 | 4,161,877 |  |
| Feb-12 | 34,655,229 | 75,401 | 417,365 | 341,964 | 38.9000\% | 133,024 | 4,294,898 |  |
| Mar-12 | 37,439,024 | 78,939 | 427,800 | 348,861 | 38.9000\% | 135,707 | 4,430,605 | 25,730 |
| Apr-12 | 37,439,024 | 82,477 | 427,799 | 345,322 | 38.9000\% | 134,330 | 4,564,935 | 25,730 |
| May-12 | 37,439,024 | 82,477 | 427,800 | 345,323 | 38.9000\% | 134,331 | 4,699,266 | 25,730 |
| Jun-12 | 37,439,024 | 82,477 | 427,800 | 345,323 | 38.9000\% | 134,331 | 4,833,596 | 25,730 |
| Jul-12 | 37,439,024 | 82,477 | 427,798 | 345,321 | 38.9000\% | 134,330 | 4,967,926 | 25,730 |
| Aug-12 | 37,439,024 | 82,477 | 427,799 | 345,322 | 38.9000\% | 134,330 | 5,102,257 | 25,730 |
| Sep-12 | 37,439,024 | 82,477 | 427,799 | 345,322 | 38.9000\% | 134,330 | 5,236,587 | 25,730 |
| Oct-12 | 37,439,024 | 82,477 | 427,798 | 345,321 | 38.9000\% | 134,330 | 5,370,917 | 25,730 |
| Nov-12 | 37,439,024 | 82,477 | 427,800 | 345,323 | 38.9000\% | 134,331 | 5,505,248 | 25,730 |
| Dec-12 | 37,439,024 | 82,477 | 427,800 | 345,323 | 38.9000\% | 134,331 | 5,639,578 | 25,730 |

Jan-13
Feb-13
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## Kentucky Utilities Company <br> Deferred Tax Calculations <br> Environmental Compliance Plans, by Approved Project

2005 - Plan
Project 21 -- FGD's

| Month | Plant Balance | Book <br> Depreciation | Tax <br> Depreciation | Temporary <br> Difference | Income Tax <br> Rate | Accumulated <br> Deferred Tax <br> Deferred Taxes |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| on Retirements |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Kentucky Utilities Company <br> Deferred Tax Calculations <br> Environmental Compliance Plans, by Approved Project 

2006 - Plan
Project 23-TC2 AQCS Equipment
$\left.\begin{array}{lrllllll}\text { Month } & \text { Plant Balance } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Book } \\ \text { Depreciation }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Tax } \\ \text { Depreciation }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Temporary } \\ \text { Difference }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Income Tax } \\ \text { Rate }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Deferred Tax }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Accumulated } \\ \text { Deferred Taxes }\end{array} \\ \text { Taxes on } \\ \text { Retirements }\end{array}\right]$

# Kentucky Utilities Company <br> Deferred Tax Calculations Environmental Compliance Plans, by Approved Project 

2006 - Plan
Project 24 - Sorbent Injection

| Month | Plant Balance | Book Depreciation | Tax Depreciation | Temporary Difference | Income Tax Rate | Deferred Tax | Accumulated Deferred Taxes | Deferred <br> Taxes on Retirements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beg Balance |  |  |  |  |  |  | 835,759 |  |
| Mar-11 | 12,751,272 | 29,598 | 131,658 | 102,060 | 38.9000\% | 39,701 | 875,461 | 6,147 |
| Apr-11 | 12,954,833 | 29,835 | 142,208 | 112,373 | 38.9000\% | 43,713 | 919,175 | 6,147 |
| May-11 | 12,954,833 | 30,072 | 142,314 | 112,242 | 38.9000\% | 43,662 | 962,838 | 6,147 |
| Jun-11 | 12,954,833 | 30,072 | 142,314 | 112,242 | 38.9000\% | 43,662 | 1,006,500 | 6,147 |
| Jul-11 | 12,954,833 | 30,072 | 142,314 | 112,242 | 38.9000\% | 43,662 | 1,050,162 | 6,147 |
| Aug-11 | 12,954,833 | 30,072 | 142,314 | 112,242 | 38.9000\% | 43,662 | 1,093,824 | 6,147 |
| Sep-11 | 12,954,833 | 30,072 | 142,314 | 112,242 | 38.9000\% | 43,662 | 1,137,486 | 6,147 |
| Oct-11 | 12,954,833 | 30,072 | 142,314 | 112,242 | 38.9000\% | 43,662 | 1,181,148 | 6,147 |
| Nov-11 | 12,954,833 | 30,072 | 142,314 | 112,242 | 38.9000\% | 43,662 | 1,224,810 | 6,147 |
| Dec-11 | 12,954,833 | 30,072 | 142,314 | 112,242 | 38.9000\% | 43,662 | 1,268,473 | 6,147 |
| Jan-12 | 12,954,833 | 30,072 | 130,231 | 100,159 | 38.9000\% | 38,962 | 1,307,434 | 6,147 |
| Feb-12 | 12,954,833 | 30,072 | 130,226 | 100,154 | 38.9000\% | 38,960 | 1,346,395 | 6,147 |
| Mar-12 | 12,954,833 | 30,072 | 130,226 | 100,154 | 38.9000\% | 38,960 | 1,385,355 | 6,147 |
| Apr-12 | 12,954,833 | 30,072 | 130,226 | 100,154 | 38.9000\% | 38,960 | 1,424,315 | 6,147 |
| May-12 | 12,954,833 | 30,072 | 130,227 | 100,155 | 38.9000\% | 38,960 | 1,463,275 | 6,147 |
| Jun-12 | 12,954,833 | 30,072 | 130,227 | 100,155 | 38.9000\% | 38,960 | 1,502,235 | 6,147 |
| Jul-12 | 12,954,833 | 30,072 | 130,226 | 100,154 | 38.9000\% | 38,960 | 1,541,195 | 6,147 |
| Aug-12 | 12,954,833 | 30,072 | 130,224 | 100,152 | 38.9000\% | 38,959 | 1,580,154 | 6,147 |
| Sep-12 | 12,954,833 | 30,072 | 130,226 | 100,154 | 38.9000\% | 38,960 | 1,619,114 | 6,147 |
| Oct-12 | 12,954,833 | 30,072 | 130,226 | 100,154 | 38.9000\% | 38,960 | 1,658,074 | 6,147 |
| Nov-12 | 12,954,833 | 30,072 | 130,227 | 100,155 | 38.9000\% | 38,960 | 1,697,034 | 6,147 |
| Dec-12 | 12,954,833 | 30,072 | 130,227 | 100,155 | 38.9000\% | 38,960 | 1,735,994 | 6,147 |
| Jan-13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Kentucky Utilities Company <br> Deferred Tax Calculations <br> Environmental Compliance Plans, by Approved Project 

2006 - Plan
Project 25 - Mercury Monitors

| Month | Plant Balance | Book Depreciation | Tax Depreciation | Temporary Difference | Income Tax Rate | Deferred Tax | Accumulated Deferred Taxes | Deferred <br> Taxes on Retirements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beg Balance |  |  |  |  |  |  | 58,301 |  |
| Mar-11 | 1,031,953 | 3,424 | 7,822 | 4,398 | 38.9000\% | 1,711 | 60,013 | - |
| Apr-11 | 1,031,953 | 3,424 | 7,822 | 4,398 | 38.9000\% | 1,711 | 61,725 | - |
| May-11 | 1,031,953 | 3,424 | 7,822 | 4,398 | 38.9000\% | 1,711 | 63,436 | - |
| Jun-11 | 1,031,953 | 3,424 | 7,822 | 4,398 | 38.9000\% | 1,711 | 65,148 |  |
| Jul-11 | 1,031,953 | 3,424 | 7,822 | 4,398 | 38.9000\% | 1,711 | 66,859 |  |
| Aug-11 | 1,031,953 | 3,424 | 7,822 | 4,398 | 38.9000\% | 1,711 | 68,570 | - |
| Sep-11 | 1,031,953 | 3,424 | 7,822 | 4,398 | 38.9000\% | 1,711 | 70,281 |  |
| Oct-11 | 1,031,953 | 3,424 | 7,822 | 4,398 | 38.9000\% | 1,711 | 71,992 |  |
| Nov-11 | 1,031,953 | 3,424 | 7,822 | 4,398 | 38.9000\% | 1,711 | 73,702 | - |
| Dec-11 | 1,031,953 | 3,424 | 6,789 | 3,365 | 38.9000\% | 1,309 | 75,011 | - |
| Jan-12 | 1,031,953 | 3,424 | 6,439 | 3,015 | 38.9000\% | 1,173 | 76,184 | - |
| Feb-12 | 1,031,953 | 3,424 | 6,439 | 3,015 | 38.9000\% | 1,173 | 77,357 | - |
| Mar-12 | 1,031,953 | 3,424 | 6,439 | 3,015 | 38.9000\% | 1,173 | 78,530 | - |
| Apr-12 | 1,031,953 | 3,424 | 6,439 | 3,015 | 38.9000\% | 1,173 | 79,703 | - |
| May-12 | 1,031,953 | 3,424 | 6,439 | 3,015 | 38.9000\% | 1,173 | 80,876 | - |
| Jun-12 | 1,031,953 | 3,424 | 6,439 | 3,015 | 38.9000\% | 1,173 | 82,049 | - |
| Jul-12 | 1,031,953 | 3,424 | 6,439 | 3,015 | 38.9000\% | 1,173 | 83,222 | - |
| Aug-12 | 1,031,953 | 3,424 | 6,439 | 3,015 | 38.9000\% | 1,173 | 84,396 | - |
| Sep-12 | 1,031,953 | 3,424 | 6,439 | 3,015 | 38.9000\% | 1,173 | 85,569 | - |
| Oct-12 | 1,031,953 | 3,424 | 4,514 | 1,090 | 38.9000\% | 424 | 85,993 | - |
| Nov-12 | 1,031,953 | 3,424 | 4,513 | 1,089 | 38.9000\% | 424 | 86,416 | - |
| Dec-12 | 1,031,953 | 3,424 | 4,511 | 1,087 | 38.9000\% | 423 | 86,840 | - |

Jan-13
Feb-13

## Kentucky Utilities Company <br> Deferred Tax Calculations <br> Environmental Compliance Plans, by Approved Project

2006 - Plan
Project 27 - E.W. Brown Electrostatic Precipitators

| Month | Plant Balance | Book Depreciation | Tax Depreciation | Temporary Difference | Income Tax Rate | Deferred Tax | Accumulated Deferred Taxes | Deferred <br> Taxes on Retirements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beg Balance |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42,353 |  |
| Mar-11 | 1,349,165 | 3,376 | 7,795 | 4,419 | 38.9000\% | 1,719 | 44,072 | 7,850 |
| Apr-11 | 1,349,165 | 3,376 | 7,795 | 4,419 | 38.9000\% | 1,719 | 45,791 | 7,850 |
| May-11 | 1,349,165 | 3,376 | 7,795 | 4,419 | 38.9000\% | 1,719 | 47,510 | 7,850 |
| Jun-11 | 1,349,165 | 3,376 | 7,330 | 3,954 | 38.9000\% | 1,538 | 49,048 | 7,850 |
| Jul-11 | 1,349,165 | 3,376 | 7,330 | 3,954 | 38.9000\% | 1,538 | 50,586 | 7,850 |
| Aug-11 | 1,349,165 | 3,376 | 7,330 | 3,954 | 38.9000\% | 1,538 | 52,124 | 7,850 |
| Sep-11 | 1,349,165 | 3,376 | 7,330 | 3,954 | 38.9000\% | 1,538 | 53,662 | 7,850 |
| Oct-11 | 1,349,165 | 3,376 | 7,330 | 3,954 | 38.9000\% | 1,538 | 55,201 | 7,850 |
| Nov-11 | 1,349,165 | 3,376 | 7,330 | 3,954 | 38.9000\% | 1,538 | 56,739 | 7,850 |
| Dec-11 | 1,349,165 | 3,376 | 7,330 | 3,954 | 38.9000\% | 1,538 | 58,277 | 7,850 |
| Jan-12 | 1,349,165 | 3,376 | 6,767 | 3,391 | 38.9000\% | 1,319 | 59,596 | 7,850 |
| Feb-12 | 1,349,165 | 3,376 | 6,777 | 3,401 | 38.9000\% | 1,323 | 60,919 | 7,850 |
| Mar-12 | 1,349,165 | 3,376 | 6,777 | 3,401 | 38.9000\% | 1,323 | 62,243 | 7,850 |
| Apr-12 | 1,349,165 | 3,376 | 6,777 | 3,401 | 38.9000\% | 1,323 | 63,566 | 7,850 |
| May-12 | 1,349,165 | 3,376 | 6,777 | 3,401 | 38.9000\% | 1,323 | 64,890 | 7,850 |
| Jun-12 | 1,349,165 | 3,376 | 6,777 | 3,401 | 38.9000\% | 1,323 | 66,213 | 7,850 |
| Jul-12 | 1,349,165 | 3,376 | 6,777 | 3,401 | 38.9000\% | 1,323 | 67,537 | 7,850 |
| Aug-12 | 1,349,165 | 3,376 | 6,777 | 3,401 | 38.9000\% | 1,323 | 68,860 | 7,850 |
| Sep-12 | 1,349,165 | 3,376 | 6,777 | 3,401 | 38.9000\% | 1,323 | 70,184 | 7,850 |
| Oct-12 | 1,349,165 | 3,376 | 6,779 | 3,403 | 38.9000\% | 1,324 | 71,508 | 7,850 |
| Nov-12 | 1,349,165 | 3,376 | 6,776 | 3,400 | 38.9000\% | 1,323 | 72,831 | 7,850 |
| Dec-12 | 1,349,165 | 3,376 | 6,778 | 3,402 | 38.9000\% | 1,323 | 74,155 | 7,850 |

Jan-13
Feb-13

# Kentucky Utilities Company <br> Deferred Tax Calculations <br> Environmental Compliance Plans, by Approved Project 

2009-Plan
Project 28 - Brown 3 SCR

| Month | Plant <br> Balance | Book <br> Depreciation | Tax <br> Depreciation | Temporary Difference | Income Tax Rate | Deferred Tax | Accumulated Deferred Taxes | Deferred <br> Taxes on <br> Retirements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beg Balance |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Mar-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Apr-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| May-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jun-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jul-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Aug-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sep-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Oct-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nov-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jan-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mar-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Apr-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| May-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jun-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jul-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Aug-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sep-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Oct-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nov-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dec-12 | 92,674,495 | 108,120 | 42,966,182 | 42,858,062 | 38.9000\% | 16,671,786 | 16,671,786 | - |
| Jan-13 | 91,793,424 | 180,625 | 594,031 | 413,406 | 38.9000\% | 160,815 | 16,832,600 | - |
| Feb-13 | 91,793,424 | 179,762 | 593,165 | 413,403 | 38.9000\% | 160,814 | 16,993,413 | - |

Due to Bonus Depreciation for tax purposes, taken on certain components of Project 28, the deferred tax calculation for this project is computed separately for Federal and State purposes. Specifically, for Federal taxes, certain assets placed in service in 2012 received 50\% bonus depreciation, which reduces the Federal tax basis to $50 \%$ of the plant balance. A sample calculation of deferred taxes for Dec 2012 is shown below:

| Federal Basi | Book Depr. | Federal Tax Der | Fed. Differenc | Fed Tax Rate | Fed Def Tax |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 46,337,247 | 108,120 | 1,158,431 | 1,050,311 | 35.0000\% | 367,609 |
| 46,337,247 |  | 46,337,247 | 46,337,247 | 35.0000\% | 16,218,036 |
| State Basis | Book Depr. | State Tax Depr | St. Difference | State Tax Rat | St Def Tax |
| 1,965,028 | 108,120 | 2,316,862 | 2,208,742 | 6.0000\% | 132,525 |
|  |  |  |  |  | St. Offset for Fed Taxes not Owed $(46,384)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total Deferred Tax } \\ \text { 16,671,786 } \end{gathered}$ |

Kentucky Utilities Company
Deferred Tax Calculations
Environmental Compliance Plans, by Approved Project
2009 - Plan
Project 29 - ATB Expansion at E.W. Brown Station (Phase II)

| Month | Plant Balance | Book <br> Depreciation | Tax <br> Depreciation | Temporary Difference | $\begin{gathered} \text { Income Tax } \\ \text { Rate } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Deferred Tax | Accumulated Deferred Taxes | Deferred <br> Taxes on <br> Retirements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beg Balance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Apr-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| May-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jun-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jul-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Aug-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Sep-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Oct-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nov-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jan-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mar-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Apr-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| May-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jun-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jul-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Aug-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sep-12 | 15,999,164 | 3,860 | 219,992 | 216,132 | 38.9000\% | 84,075 | 84,074 | - |
| Oct-12 | 15,999,164 | 7,721 | 219,987 | 212,266 | 38.9000\% | 82,571 | 166,646 | - |
| Nov-12 | 15,999,164 | 7,721 | 219,991 | 212,270 | 38.9000\% | 82,573 | 249,218 | - |
| Dec-12 | 15,999,164 | 7,721 | 219,991 | 212,270 | 38.9000\% | 82,573 | 331,791 | - |
| Jan-13 | 16,622,587 | 21,924 | 703,205 | 681,281 | 38.9000\% | 265,018 | 596,808 | - |
| Feb-13 | 16,622,587 | 22,343 | 703,625 | 681,282 | 38.9000\% | 265,019 | 861,826 | - |

# Kentucky Utilities Company <br> Deferred Tax Calculations <br> Environmental Compliance Plans, by Approved Project 

2009 - Plan
Project 30 - Ghent CCP Storage (Landfill-Phase I)

| Month | Plant <br> Balance | Book Depreciation | Tax Depreciation | Temporary Difference | Income Tax Rate | Deferred Tax | Accumulated Deferred Taxes | Deferred <br> Taxes on Retirements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beg Balance |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Mar-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Apr-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| May-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jun-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jul-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Aug-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sep-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Oct-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nov-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jan-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mar-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Apr-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| May-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jun-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jul-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Aug-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sep-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Oct-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nov-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dec-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jan-13 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Feb-13 | 34,137 | 203 | 1,324 | 1,121 | 38.9000\% | 435 | 435 | - |

## Kentucky Utilities Company <br> Deferred Tax Calculations <br> Environmental Compliance Plans, by Approved Project

2009 - Plan
Project 31 - Trimble County Ash Treatment Basin (BAP/GSP)

| Month | Plant Balance | Book Depreciation | Tax Depreciation | Temporary Difference | Income Tax Rate | Deferred Tax | Accumulated Deferred Taxes | Deferred <br> Taxes on Retirements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beg Balance |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Mar-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Apr-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| May-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jun-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jul-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Aug-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sep-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Oct-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nov-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dec-11 | 9,102,469 | 9,707 | 341,342 | 331,635 | 38.9000\% | 129,006 | 129,006 | - |
| Jan-12 | 9,102,469 | 19,413 | 54,760 | 35,347 | 38.9000\% | 13,750 | 142,756 | - |
| Feb-12 | 9,102,469 | 19,413 | 54,760 | 35,347 | 38.9000\% | 13,750 | 156,505 | - |
| Mar-12 | 9,102,469 | 19,413 | 54,760 | 35,347 | 38.9000\% | 13,750 | 170,254 | - |
| Apr-12 | 9,102,469 | 19,413 | 54,760 | 35,347 | 38.9000\% | 13,750 | 184,004 | - |
| May-12 | 9,102,469 | 19,413 | 54,760 | 35,347 | 38.9000\% | 13,750 | 197,753 | - |
| Jun-12 | 9,102,469 | 19,413 | 54,760 | 35,347 | 38.9000\% | 13,750 | 211,503 | - |
| Jul-12 | 9,102,469 | 19,413 | 54,760 | 35,347 | 38.9000\% | 13,750 | 225,252 | - |
| Aug-12 | 9,102,469 | 19,413 | 54,760 | 35,347 | 38.9000\% | 13,750 | 239,001 | - |
| Sep-12 | 9,102,469 | 19,413 | 54,762 | 35,349 | 38.9000\% | 13,751 | 252,751 | - |
| Oct-12 | 9,102,469 | 19,413 | 54,759 | 35,346 | 38.9000\% | 13,750 | 266,500 | - |
| Nov-12 | 9,102,469 | 19,413 | 54,762 | 35,349 | 38.9000\% | 13,751 | 280,250 | - |
| Dec-12 | 9,102,469 | 19,413 | 54,758 | 35,345 | 38.9000\% | 13,749 | 293,999 | - |
| Jan-13 | 9,102,469 | 14,253 | 50,656 | 36,403 | 38.9000\% | 14,161 | 308,159 | - |
| Feb-13 | 9,102,469 | 14,253 | 50,658 | 36,405 | 38.9000\% | 14,162 | 322,320 | - |

## Kentucky Utilities Company <br> Deferred Tax Calculations <br> Environmental Compliance Plans, by Approved Project

2009-Plan
Project 33 - Beneficial Reuse

| Month | Plant Balance | Book Depreciation | Tax Depreciation | Temporary Difference | Income Tax Rate | Deferred Tax | Accumulated Deferred Taxes | Deferred Taxes on Retirements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beg Balance |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Mar-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Apr-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| May-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jun-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jul-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Aug-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Sep-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Oct-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nov-11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jan-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Feb-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mar-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Apr-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| May-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jun-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jul-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Aug-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sep-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Oct-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nov-12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dec-12 | 4,279,420 | 7,632 | 2,013,473 | 2,005,841 | 38.9000\% | 780,272 | 780,272 | - |
| Jan-13 | 4,279,420 | 7,489 | 14,165 | 6,676 | 38.9000\% | 2,597 | 782,868 | - |
| Feb-13 | 4,279,420 | 7,489 | 14,165 | 6,676 | 38.9000\% | 2,597 | 785,464 | - |

Due to Bonus Depreciation for tax purposes, taken on certain components of Project 33, the deferred tax calculation for this project is computed separately for Federal and State purposes. Specifically, for Federal taxes, certain assets placed in service in 2012 received $50 \%$ bonus depreciation, which reduces the Federal tax basis to $50 \%$ of the plant balance. A sample calculation of deferred taxes for Dec 2012 is shown below:

| Federal Basis | Book Depr. | Federal Tax Depr | Fed. Differenc Fed Tax Ra Fed Def Tax |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2,139,710$ | 7,632 | 80,239 | 72,607 | $35.0000 \%$ | 25,412 |
| $2,139,710$ |  | $2,139,710$ | $2,139,710$ | $35.0000 \%$ | 748,899 |
| State Basis | Book Depr. | State Tax Depr | St. Difference | State Tax F St Def Tax |  |
| $4,279,420$ | 7,632 | 160,478 | 152,846 | $6.0000 \%$ | 9,171 |
|  |  |  |  |  | St. Offset for Fed Taxes not Owed |
|  |  |  |  | $(3,210)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total Deferred Tax |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Kentucky Utilities Company <br> Deferred Tax Calculations Environmental Compliance Plans, by Approved Project 

2011 - Plan
Project 35-Ghent Station Air Compliance
$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrrrr}\text { Month } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Plant } \\ \text { Balance }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Book } \\ \text { Depreciation }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Tax } \\ \text { Depreciation }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Temporary } \\ \text { Difference }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Income } \\ \text { Tax Rate }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Deferred Tax } \\ \text { Deg Balance }\end{array} & - \\ \text { Deferred Taxes }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Deferred Taxes on } \\ \text { Retirements }\end{array}\right]$

Due to Bonus Depreciation for tax purposes, taken on certain components of Project 35, the deferred tax calculation for this project is computed separately for Federal and State purposes. Specifically, for Federal taxes, certain assets placed in service in 2012 received $100 \%$ bonus depreciation, which reduces the Federal tax basis to $100 \%$ of the plant balance. A sample calculation of deferred taxes for Dec 2012 is shown below:

| Federal Bas | Book Depr. | Federal Tax De Fed. Difference Fed Tax Rai Fed Def Tax |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3,645,863$ | 9,431 | 521,512 | 512,081 | $35.0000 \%$ | 179,228 |
| State Basis | Book Depr. | State Tax Depr | St. Difference | State Tax Ri | St Def Tax |
| $3,645,863$ | 9,431 | 19,531 | 10,100 | $6.0000 \%$ | 606 |
|  |  |  |  | St. Offset for Fed Taxes not Owed |  |
|  |  |  | (212) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total Deferred Tax |  |  |
|  |  |  | 179,622 |  |  |

## KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information
in Appendix B of Commission's Order Dated July 12, 2013 in Appendix B of Commission's Order Dated July 12, 2013

Case No. 2013-00242
Question No. 4
Witness: Robert M. Conroy

Q-4. Refer to ES Form 2.50, Pollution Control - Operations \& Maintenance Expenses, for the September 2012 through February 2013 expense months. For each expense account number listed on this schedule, explain the reason(s) for any change in the expense levels from month to month if that change is greater than plus or minus 10 percent.

A-4. Attached please find a schedule showing the changes in the operations and maintenance expense accounts for September 2012 through February 2013 expense months. The changes in the expense levels are reasonable and generally occurred as a part of routine plant operations and maintenance or normal annual testing expenses.

## 2005 Plan

Fluctuations in the scrubber operation expenses, account 502056, are the result of regular operation of the FGDs for Ghent, E.W. Brown ("Brown"), and Trimble County 2 ("TC2"). These are variable production expenses and will fluctuate with generation, coal quality and the $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ removal rate.

Fluctuations in the scrubber maintenance expenses, account 512055, are the result of routine FGD maintenance at Ghent and Brown. October is higher due to FGD maintenance performed during planned outages on Ghent Unit 4 and at Brown. An absorber rebuild at Brown and annual absorber preventive maintenance on Ghent Unit 4 were also performed.

## 2006 Plan

Fluctuations in sorbent injection reactant (hydrated lime) expenses, account 506152, are the result of on-going operation of TC2. November and December 2012 are lower due to the unit being on a maintenance outage.

Fluctuations in sorbent injection operation expenses are the result of on-going operation of TC2.

Fluctuations in sorbent injection maintenance expenses are the result of normal system maintenance.

Fluctuations in mercury monitor operation expenses, account 506150, are the result of normal system operation.

Monthly variances in the NOx operation expenses, accounts 506154 and 506155, reflect normal SCR operations at TC2. The variances for account 506154 are driven by the purchase and delivery timing of the raw consumable material (ammonia), as well as variations in generation and coal quality. TC2 was offline in November and December 2012 for a maintenance outage.

Fluctuations in the NOx maintenance expenses, account 512151, are the result of routine monthly maintenance on the SCR at TC2. The increases in November and December 2012 are the result of SCR vacuuming completed during the maintenance outage.

Fluctuations in the scrubber operation expenses, account 502056, are the result of regular operation of the TC2 FGD. These are variable production expenses and fluctuate with generation, coal quality and the $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ removal rate. TC2 was offline in November and December 2012 for a maintenance outage.

Fluctuations in the scrubber maintenance expenses, account 512055, are the result of routine maintenance of TC2. The increases in November and December 2012 are due to maintenance performed during the maintenance outage.

Fluctuations in the precipitator maintenance and operation expenses, accounts 512051 and 506051, are the result of routine monthly operation and maintenance on the precipitator at TC2.

The increase in October 2012 is the result of an inspection of and subsequent repairs to the precipitator.

Fluctuations for activated carbon, account 506151, are the result of regular operation of the TC2 baghouse for the removal of mercury. This is a variable production expense and fluctuates with generation, coal quality, flue gas chemistry and delivery timing. TC2 was offline in November and December 2012 for a maintenance outage.

## 2009 Plan

Monthly variances in the NOx operation expenses, accounts 506154 and 506155, reflect normal and expected SCR operations. The variances for account 506154 are driven by the purchase and delivery timing of the raw consumable material (ammonia) as well as variations in generation and coal quality. The Brown 3 SCR construction was completed and began operating in January 2013.

Fluctuations in the NOx maintenance expenses, account 512151, are the result of routine monthly maintenance on the SCR at Brown Unit 3.

2011 Plan

Fluctuations in sorbent injection operation expenses, account 506159, are the result of ongoing operation of Ghent Units 1 and 3.

Fluctuations in sorbent injection reactant (hydrated lime/trona) expenses, account 506152, are the result of on-going operation of all Ghent Units.

Fluctuations in sorbent injection maintenance expenses, account 512152, are the result of normal system maintenance. Increases in November 2012 - February 2013 are the result of quarterly CSA billings covering maintenance to the sorbent injection system and compliance testing.

## KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT

## Pollution Control - Operations \& Maintenance Expenses

| O\&M Expense Account | Sep-12 | Oct-12 | \% Change from Prior Period | Nov-12 | \% Change from Prior Period | Dec-12 | \% Change from Prior Period | Jan-13 | \% Change from Prior Period | Feb-13 | \% Change from Prior Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 Plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 502056 Scrubber Operations | 423,926.37 | 309,805.06 | -27\% | 457,582.74 | 48\% | 570,777.98 | 25\% | - | -100\% | - | 0\% |
| 512055 Scrubber Maintenance | 406,304.90 | 1,199,594.24 | 195\% | 127,817.65 | -89\% | 311,259.30 | 144\% | - | -100\% | - | 0\% |
| Total 2005 Plan O\&M Expenses | 830,231.27 | 1,509,399.30 | 82\% | 585,400.39 | -61\% | 882,037.28 | 51\% | - | -100\% | - | 0\% |
| 2006 Plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 506159 Sorbent Injection Operation | 4,548.27 | 9,001.13 | 98\% | 13,134.49 | 46\% | 8,287.52 | -37\% | - | -100\% | - | 0\% |
| 506152 Sorbent Reactant - Reagent Only | 44,902.03 | 52,504.74 | 17\% | - | -100\% | 196.30 | 0\% | - | -100\% | - | 0\% |
| 512152 Sorbent Injection Maintenance | 4,476.02 | 2,336.42 | -48\% | 580.31 | -75\% | - | -100\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% |
| 506150 Mercury Monitors Operation | 3,651.69 | 9,968.93 | 173\% | 7,894.95 | -21\% | 6,741.98 | -15\% | - | -100\% | - | 0\% |
| 512153 Mercury Monitors Maintenance | - | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% |
| 506154 NOx Operation --Consumables | 75,948.90 | 86,709.04 | 14\% | - | -100\% | 310.74 | 0\% | - | -100\% | - | 0\% |
| 506155 NOx Operation -- Labor and Other | 2,803.44 | 2,849.89 | 2\% | 3,500.29 | 23\% | 19,670.58 | 462\% | - | -100\% | - | 0\% |
| 512151 NOx Maintenance | 1,732.85 | 676.09 | -61\% | 26,203.74 | 3776\% | 20,792.84 | -21\% | - | -100\% | - | 0\% |
| 502056 Scrubber Operations | 72,405.59 | 99,962.97 | 38\% | - | 100\% | 330.75 | 0\% | - | -100\% | - | 0\% |
| 512055 Scrubber Maintenance | 29,704.72 | 27,886.17 | -6\% | 94,909.29 | 240\% | 161,707.34 | 70\% | - | -100\% | - | 0\% |
| 506051 Precipitator Operation | 6,977.20 | 5,713.93 | -18\% | 5,708.18 | 0\% | 7,339.85 | 29\% | - | -100\% | - | 0\% |
| 506151 Activated Carbon | 124,254.41 | 94,635.74 | -24\% | - | 100\% | 95,438.25 | 0\% | - | 100\% | - | 0\% |
| 512051 Precipitator Maintenance | 14,653.63 | 36,509.42 | 149\% | (449.66) | -101\% | 6,528.02 | -1552\% | - | -100\% | - | 0\% |
| Total 2006 Plan O\&M Expenses | 386,058.75 | 428,754.47 | $11 \%$ | 151,481.59 | -65\% | 327,344.17 | 116\% | - | -100\% | - | 0\% |
| 2009 Plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 506154 NOx Operation -- Consumables | - | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | 82,039.98 | 0\% | 33,020.18 | -60\% |
| 506155 NOx Operation -- Labor and Other | - | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% |
| 512151 NOx Maintenance | - | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | 257.36 | 0\% | 1,865.40 | 625\% |
| 502013 ECR Landfill Operations | - | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% |
| 512107 ECR Landfill Maintenance | - | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% |
| Total 2009 Plan O\&M Expenses | - | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | 82,297.34 | 0\% | 34,885.58 | -58\% |

## KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT

Pollution Control - Operations \& Maintenance Expenses

|  | O\&M Expense Account | Sep-12 | Oct-12 | \% Change from Prior Period | Nov-12 | \% Change from Prior Period | Dec-12 | \% Change from Prior Period | Jan-13 | \% Change from Prior Period | Feb-13 | \% Change from Prior Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 Plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 506159 | ECR Sorbent Injection Operation | 30,612.37 | 31,906.37 | 4\% | 44,074.29 | 38\% | 40,148.22 | -9\% | 62,509.93 | 56\% | 92,549.64 | 48\% |
| 506152 | ECR Sorbent Reactant - Reagent Only | 679,631.15 | 592,664.55 | -13\% | 943,273.29 | 59\% | 887,047.20 | -6\% | 889,302.05 | 0\% | 920,077.50 | 3\% |
| 512152 | ECR Sorbent Injection Maintenance | 18,929.66 | 16,684.69 | -12\% | 48,176.07 | 189\% | 34,078.98 | -29\% | 39,565.59 | 16\% | 25,882.44 | -35\% |
| 506156 | ECR Baghouse Operations | - | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% |
| 512156 | ECR Baghouse Maintenance | - | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% |
| 506151 | ECR Activated Carbon | - | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% |
|  | Total 2011 Plan O\&M Expenses | 729,173.18 | 641,255.61 | -12\% | 1,035,523.65 | $61 \%$ | 961,274.40 | -7\% | 991,377.57 | 3\% | 1,038,509.58 | 5\% |

The 2005 and 2006 Plans were eliminated from ECR recovery in December 2012 per PSC order No. 2012-00221.

## KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

# Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information in Appendix B of Commission's Order Dated July 12, 2013 

Case No. 2013-00242
Question No. 5

## Witness: Robert M. Conroy

Q-5. KRS 278.183(3) provides that during the two-year review, the Commission shall, to the extent appropriate, incorporate surcharge amounts found just and reasonable into the existing base rates of the utility.
a. Provide the surcharge amount that KU believes should be incorporated into its existing base rates. Include all supporting calculations, workpapers, and assumptions.
b. The surcharge factor reflects a percentage of revenue approach, rather than a per kWh approach. Taking this into consideration, explain how the surcharge amount should be incorporated into KU's base rates. Include any analysis that KU believes supports its position.
c. Does KU believe that there will need to be modifications to either the surcharge mechanism or the monthly surcharge reports, as a result of incorporating additional environmental surcharge amounts into KU's existing base rates? If so, provide a detailed explanation of the modifications and provide updated monthly surcharge reports.

A-5. a. KU is proposing to roll-in $\$ 45,820,651$ of incremental environmental surcharge revenues into base rates resulting in total environmental surcharge revenues in base rates of $\$ 50,966,954$. Please see the attached schedule for the determination of this amount. Page 3 of the attachment shows the Jurisdictional Environmental Revenue Previously Rolled-In from Case No. 2011-00161 and the amounts associated with the 2005 and 2006 Plans that were eliminated from the ECR in KU's most recent base rate case.
b. The Commission previously approved KU's proposed roll-in methodology in Case No. 2011-00231, which allocated the amount between Group 1 and Group 2 based on the percentage of each group's total revenue, excluding base environmental surcharge revenue, to KU's total revenue, excluding base environmental surcharge revenue. The rolled-in amounts for Group 1 also use total billed revenues excluding base environmental surcharge revenues to allocate costs to base rates between the rate classes in Group 1. For Group 2 rate classes, the roll-in will be allocated based on non-fuel revenues only for each Group 2 rate class, rather than total revenues
excluding base environmental surcharge revenues, which has been the allocation methodology previously utilized for all rate classes, including what are now Group 2.

For Group 1 and Group 2, the amount of the roll-in was spread to the energy portion of rates without a demand charge and to the demand portion of rates that include a separately metered and billed demand component. Lighting rates continue to be billed on a per-light basis. KU recommends that this method continue to be used to accomplish this roll-in to base rates.
c. No. The incorporation of additional environmental surcharge revenues into existing base rates does not require modifications to the surcharge mechanism or monthly ES Forms.

## Kentucky Utilities Company Calculation of ECR Roll-in At February 28, 2013

| Calculation of Revenue Requirement for Roll-In: |  | Environmental Compliance Plans at Feb. 28, 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Environmental Compliance Rate Base |  |  |  |
| Pollution Control Plant in Service | ES Form 2.00, February 2013 |  | 126,324,568 |
| Pollution Control CWIP Excluding AFUDC | ES Form 2.00, February 2013 |  | 340,110,167 |
| Subtotal |  |  | 466,434,735 |
| Additions: |  |  |  |
| Emission Allowances | ES Form 2.00, February 2013 |  | 313,660 |
| Cash Working Capital Allowance | ES Form 2.00, February 2013 |  | 1,350,378 |
| Subtotal |  |  | 1,664,038 |
| Deductions: |  |  |  |
| Accumulated Depreciation on Pollution Control Plant | ES Form 2.00, February 2013 |  | 909,137 |
| Pollution Control Deferred Income Taxes | ES Form 2.00, February 2013 |  | 20,217,242 |
| Subtotal |  |  | 21,126,379 |
| Environmental Compliance Rate Base |  | \$ | 446,972,394 |
| Rate of Return -- Environmental Compliance Rate Base | ES Form 1.10, February 2013 |  | 10.26\% |
| Return on Environmental Compliance Rate Base |  | \$ | 45,859,368 |
| Pollution Control Operating Expenses |  |  |  |
| 12 Month Depreciation and Amortization Expense | See Support Schedule A |  | 860,603 |
| 12 Month Taxes Other than Income Taxes | See Support Schedule A |  | 327,867 |
| 12 Month Operating and Maintenance Expense | See Support Schedule A |  | 11,190,851 |
| 12 Month Emission Allowance Expense | See Support Schedule A |  | 135,316 |
| 12 Month Beneficial Reuse Expense, net of amounts in base rates | See Support Schedule A |  | 63,616 |
| 12 Month KPSC Consultant Expense | See Support Schedule A |  | 30,030 |
| Total Pollution Control Operating Expenses |  | + | 12,608,283 |
| Gross Proceeds from Allowance Sales | See Support Schedule B |  | 887 |
| Total Company Environmental Surcharge Gross Revenue Requirement -- Roll In Amount |  |  |  |
| Return on Environmental Compliance Rate Base |  |  | 45,859,368 |
| Pollution Control Operating Expenses |  |  | 12,608,283 |
| Less Gross Proceeds from Allowance Sales |  |  | 887 |
| Roll In Amount |  | \$ | 58,466,764 |
| Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio -- Roll In | See Support Schedule C |  | 87.1725\% |
| Jurisdictional Revenues for 12 Months for Roll In | See Support Schedule C |  | 1,293,704,560 |

## Roll In Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Factor:

| Total Company Environmental Surcharge Gross Revenue Requirement -- Roll In Amount | $58,466,764$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio -- Roll In | $\underline{87.1725 \%}$ |
| Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Gross Revenue Requirement -- Gross Roll In Amount <br> Less Jurisdictional Environmental Revenue Previously Rolled In |  |
|  <br> Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Gross Revenue Requirement -- Net Roll In Amount | $\$ 0,966,954$ |

[^0]
## Kentucky Utilities Company

## Calculation of ECR Roll-in At February 28, 2013

Support Schedule A
12 Month Balances for Selected Operating Expense Accounts ${ }^{1}$

|  |  | Depreciation \& Amortization Steam Plant | Taxes Other than Income Taxes | Operating and Maintenance Expense |  |  | Emission <br> Allowance <br> Expense <br> FERC 509 | Beneficial Reuse Expense FERC 501 | KPSC <br> Consultant Expense | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mar-12 |  | 19,413 | 22,157 | - | 845,852 | 6,430 | 10,569 | 5,524 | - | 909,946 |
| Apr-12 |  | 19,413 | 22,157 | - | 660,411 | 8,819 | 7,973 | - | - | 718,774 |
| May-12 |  | 19,413 | 22,157 | - | 844,440 | 26,688 | 8,770 | - | - | 921,468 |
| Jun-12 |  | 24,129 | 22,157 | - | 1,034,874 | 28,121 | 10,967 | 5,109 | - | 1,125,357 |
| Jul-12 |  | 28,844 | 22,157 | - | 1,005,342 | 13,160 | 12,873 | 17,691 | 5,697 | 1,105,765 |
| Aug-12 |  | 28,844 | 22,157 | - | 1,031,046 | 34,320 | 12,761 | 11,847 | 4,145 | 1,145,120 |
| Sep-12 |  | 32,705 | 22,157 | - | 759,694 | 23,406 | 9,942 | 6,559 | - | 854,462 |
| Oct-12 |  | 36,565 | 22,157 | - | 686,077 | 16,685 | 7,588 | 10,355 | 2,279 | 781,706 |
| Nov-12 |  | 36,565 | 22,157 | - | 1,000,482 | 48,176 | 8,790 | 6,530 | 14,255 | 1,136,956 |
| Dec-12 |  | 152,317 | 22,157 | - | 935,679 | 34,079 | 29,737 | - | - | 1,173,969 |
| Jan-13 |  | 231,317 | 53,149 | - | 1,033,852 | 39,823 | 9,110 | - | - | 1,367,251 |
| Feb-13 |  | 231,076 | 53,149 | - | 1,045,647 | 27,748 | 6,236 | - | 3,654 | 1,367,510 |
|  | Totals | 860,603 | 327,867 | - | 10,883,397 | 307,454 | 135,316 | 63,616 | 30,030 | 12,608,283 |

1 All amounts included in Support Schedule A are exclusive of costs associated with KU's 2005 and 2006 Plans, which were eliminated from the ECR in January 2013.

## Support Schedule B

12 Month Balances for Allowance Sales
$\left.\begin{array}{lc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Total Proceeds } \\ \text { from Allowance } \\ \text { Sales }\end{array} \\ \text { ES Form 2.00 }\end{array}\right\}$

## Support Schedule C

12 Month Balances for Jurisdictional Revenues and Allocation Ratio

|  | KY Retail <br> Revenues, Excl. <br> Envir. Surch. <br> Revenues | Total Company <br> Revenues, <br> Excluding Envir. <br> Surch. Revenues | KY Retail <br> Allocation <br> Ratio |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | ES Form 3.10 | ES Form 3.10 | KY Retail/ <br> Total Company |
| Mar-12 | $\$$ | $102,350,679$ | $\$$ |
| Apr-12 |  | $117,314,195$ | $87.2449 \%$ |
| May-12 | $93,126,928$ | $106,141,344$ | $87.7386 \%$ |
| Jun-12 | $96,517,194$ | $110,854,917$ | $87.0662 \%$ |
| Jul-12 | $108,687,233$ | $125,938,856$ | $86.3016 \%$ |
| Aug-12 | $125,417,569$ | $143,990,662$ | $87.1012 \%$ |
| Sep-12 | $124,269,242$ | $141,683,483$ | $87.7091 \%$ |
| Oct-12 | $109,361,897$ | $124,913,923$ | $87.5498 \%$ |
| Nov-12 | $95,291,080$ | $108,777,664$ | $87.6017 \%$ |
| Dec-12 | $96,744,809$ | $110,624,518$ | $87.4533 \%$ |
| Jan-13 | $99,554,098$ | $114,934,033$ | $86.6185 \%$ |
| Feb-13 | $120,177,633$ | $138,819,678$ | $86.5710 \%$ |
|  |  | $122,206,198$ | $140,080,499$ |

## Calculation of ECR Roll-in At February 28, 2011 and Elimination of 2005-2006 Plans

## Calculation of Revenue Requirement

```
Environmental Compliance Rate Base
    ironmental Compliance Rate Base 
```

    ironmental Compliance Rate Base
    Pollution Control Plant in Service
Pollution Control CWIP Excluding AFUDC
Additions
Limestone, net of amount in base rates
Emission Allowances, net of amount in base rates
Cash Working Capital Allowance
正
ES Form 2.00, February 2011
ES Form 2.00, February 2011
Subtotal
uctions:
Accumulated Depreciation on Pollution Control Plant
Pollution Control Deferred Income Taxes
Pollution Control Deferred Investment Tax Credit
$\square$

ES Form 2.00, February 201 ES Form 2.00, February 201 Subtotal

Environmental Compliance Rate Base

Rate of Return -- Environmental Compliance Rate Base

## Return on Environmental Compliance Rate Bas

Pollution Control Operating Expenses
12 Month Depreciation and Amortization Expense (Note 1)
12 Month Taxes Other than Income Taxes (Note 1)
12 Month Operating and Maintenance Expense
12 Month Emission Allowance Expense, net of amounts in base rates
See Support Schedule A See Support Schedule A See Support Schedule A See Support Schedule A

## Total Pollution Control Operating Expenses

## oss Proceds from By-Product Sale

See Support Schedule B See Support Schedule B

Total Company Environmental Surcharge Gross Revenue Requirement
Return on Environmental Compliance Rate Base
Pollution Control Operating Expenses
Less Gross Proceeds from By-Product \& Allowance Sales
Total Company Environmental Surcharge Gross Revenue Requirement

## Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio

Jurisdictional Revenues for $\mathbf{1 2}$ Months
See Support Schedule C

Total Company Environmental Surcharge Gross Revenue Requirement
Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio
Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Gross Revenue Requirement


Note 1: The Depreciation and Amortization Expense and Taxes Other than Income Taxes for the 2003 ECR Plan were inadvertently included the Calculation of ECR Roll-In provided in the Case No. $2011-00231$
Response to the Technical Conference Data Request Question No. 3 filed on October 7, 2011. This resulted in an overstatement of the Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Gross Revenue Requirement of $\$ 171,565$.
Attachment to Response to Question No. 5(a)

## KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

# Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information in Appendix B of Commission's Order Dated July 12, 2013 

Case No. 2013-00242
Question No. 6

## Witness: Robert M. Conroy

Q-6. In Case No. 2000-00439, the Commission ordered that KU's cost of debt and preferred stock would be reviewed and re-established during the six-month review case. Provide the following information as of February 28, 2013:
a. The outstanding balances for long-term debt, short-term debt, preferred stock, and common equity. Provide this information on total company and Kentucky jurisdictional bases.
b. The blended interest rates for long-term debt, short-term debt, and preferred stock. Include all supporting calculations showing how these blended interest rates were determined. If applicable, provide the blended interest rates on total company and Kentucky jurisdictional bases. For each outstanding debt listed, indicate whether the interest rate is fixed or variable.
c. KU's calculation of its weighted average cost of capital for environmental surcharge purposes.

A-6 a. Please see the attachment. There was no preferred stock outstanding as of February 28, 2013; therefore, it is not listed in the attached schedule.
b. Please see the attachment. There was no preferred stock outstanding as of February 28, 2013; therefore, it is not listed in the attached schedule.
c. Please see the attachment. KU is utilizing a return on equity of $10.25 \%$ as agreed to for all ECR Plans and approved by the Commission in its December 20, 2012 Order in Case No. 2012-00221.

## KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

Adjusted Electric Rate of Return on Common Equity As of February 28, 2013

1. Short Term Debt
2. Long Term Debt
3. Common Equity
4. Total Capitalizatio

5. Weighted Cost of Capital Grossed up for Income Tax Effect $\{R O R+(R O R-D R) x[T R /(1-T R)]\}$

KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY ANALYSIS OF THE EMBEDDED COST OF CAPITAL AT February 28, 2013


** Debt discount shown on separate line.
1 Series P and R bonds were redeemed in 2003, and 2005, respectively. They were not replaced with other bond series. The remaining unamortized expense is
being amortized over the remainder of the original lives (due $5 / 15 / 07,6 / 1 / 25,6 / 1 / 35$, and $6 / 1 / 36$ respectively) of the bonds as loss on reacquired debt.
Fidelia Notes Payable were paid off on 11/1/2010 with PPL Notes Payable that were paid off with the new FMB issues on 11/16/2010.
3 Included setup fees for the Wachovia Credit Facility in Long-term Debt due to 4 year credit arrangement
4 Credit Facility amended effective October 19, 2011. New term of 5 years at lower interest rate.

## ECR - Gross-up Revenue Factor \& Composite Income Tax Calculation 2013

Assume pre-tax income of

State income tax (see below)
5.6400

9\%
100\%
9.00\%
$8.4924 \quad(6) *(9)$
85.8676
6.0000\%
5.6400
(40)
(1)-(3)
(6)-(11)
(13)*35\%
(3)+(15)

100-(18)
(15)/100
(3)/100
(23) $+(24)$
(32)-(34)
(6)*(9)
(36)*(38)

## KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

# Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information in Appendix B of Commission's Order Dated July 12, 2013 

Case No. 2013-00242
Question No. 7

## Witness: Robert M. Conroy

Q-7. Provide the actual average residential customer's usage. Based on this usage amount, provide the dollar impact the over/under recovery will have on the average residential customer's bill for the requested recovery period. Provide all supporting calculations.

A-7. The actual average residential customer's usage for the 12 -months ending May 31, 2013 is $1,178 \mathrm{kWh}$ per month. Actual average monthly usage for residential customers will vary from month to month depending upon the time period of the year.

Based upon distributing the net over-recovered position of $\$ 444,933$ in one month, the ECR billing factor will be lower by approximately $0.41 \%$ for that month. For a residential customer using $1,178 \mathrm{kWh}$ per month the impact of the adjusted ECR billing factor would be a decrease of approximately $\$ 0.43$ on that month's bill, using rates and adjustment clause factors in effect for the July 2013 billing month.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Amount Previously Rolled-in is the roll-in from Case 2011-00161 less the amounts associated with KU's 2005 and 2006 Plans, which were eliminated from the ECR in KU's most recent base rate case.

