

**COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY**  
**BEFORE THE**  
**PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY**

**IN THE MATTER OF**

**AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION )**  
**OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF )**  
**KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY FROM ) CASE NO. 2012-00550**  
**NOVEMBER 1, 2010 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2012 )**

**KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY RESPONSES TO**  
**COMMISSION STAFF'S SUPPLEMENTAL DATA REQUESTS**

**March 28, 2013**

**VERIFICATION**

The undersigned, Lila P. Munsey, being duly sworn, deposes and says she is the Manager, Regulatory Services for Kentucky Power, that she has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the forgoing responses for which she is the identified witness and that the information contained therein is true and correct to the best of her information, knowledge, and belief

*Lila P. Munsey*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lila P. Munsey

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY    )  
  ) Case No. 2012-00550  
COUNTY OF FRANKLIN            )

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, by Lila P. Munsey, this 25<sup>th</sup> day of March 2013.

*Judy K. Resquist 481393*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Notary Public

My Commission Expires: January 23, 2017

**Kentucky Power Company**

**REQUEST**

Refer to Kentucky Power's response to Item 41 of the Commission's February 12, 2013 Request for Information ("February 12, 2013 Request"). The response states that "KPCo did not charge the FAC for kWh billed under Tariff RTP." For the period under review, during months in which Kentucky Power had sales under Tariff RTP, provide the total number of kWh sales under Tariff RTP for each month.

**RESPONSE**

Please see table below:

<u>Month</u>	<u>Monthly kWh billed under Tariff RTP</u>
Jul 2012	113,358,982
Aug 2012	129,248,969
Sep 2012	122,435,074
Oct 2012	128,066,618

**WITNESS:** Lila P Munsey

**Kentucky Power Company**

**REQUEST**

Refer to Kentucky Power's response to Item 42 of the February 12, 2013 Request and page 4 of 5 of the monthly FAC filings. For the period under review, during months in which Kentucky Power had sales under Tariff RTP, answer the following:

- a. Refer to line 2 of the monthly FAC filings, titled "Retail KWH Billed at Above Rate." Does the number of kWh shown include the number of kWh sales under Tariff RTP?
- b. Refer to line 4 of the monthly FAC filings, titled "KWH Used to Determine Last FAC Rate Billed." Does the number of kWh shown include the number of kWh sales under Tariff RTP?
- c. Refer to line 6 of the monthly FAC filings, titled "Kentucky Jurisdiction KWH Included in L4 (L4 - L5)." Does the number of kWh shown include the number of kWh sales under Tariff RTP?
- d. Refer to line 9 of the monthly FAC filings, titled "Total Sales (Page 3)." Does the number of kWh shown include the number of kWh sales under Tariff RTP?
- e. Refer to line 10 of the monthly FAC filings, titled "Kentucky Jurisdiction Sales." Does the number of kWh shown include the number of kWh sales under Tariff RTP?

**RESPONSE**

a-e. Yes.

During the six months the ten customers took service under Tariff RTP, the Company calculated its FAC in the same manner as it would have if no customers had been taking service under Tariff RTP. As a result, the per kWh FAC rate for non-RTP customers during the six months was the same as if no customers had been taking service under Tariff RTP. Using the Company's method for calculating the FAC, the non-Tariff RTP customers were no better or worse off than they would have been had the ten customers not chosen to take service under Tariff RTP.

**WITNESS:** Lila P Munsey