# IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

## Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

## December 23, 2013

1	Item 1)	Produce all agreements between you and the TVA, including but not
2	limited to "Po	ower Contract TV-50871A" dated February 13, 1979.
3		
4	Response)	Hickman-Fulton objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly
5	broad and une	duly burdensome. Notwithstanding this objection, but without waiving it,
6	Hickman-Ful	ton states as follows.
7	Please	e see the attached documents.
8		

9 Witness) Debbie Weatherford

Case No. 2012-00544 Hickman-Fulton Counties Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation Response to KCTA 2-1 Witness: Debbie Weatherford Page 1 of 1

## IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

## Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

#### December 23, 2013

1 Item 2) Produce all pole attachment agreements, joint use agreements, and pole 2 license agreements between you and all pole users dated on or after February 13, 1979. 3 4 **Response**) Hickman-Fulton objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly 5 broad and unduly burdensome. Hickman-Fulton also objects to this request on the 6 grounds that it is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant and Notwithstanding these objections, but without waiving them, 7 admissible evidence. Hickman-Fulton states as follows. 8 9 Please see Hickman-Fulton's responses to KCTA 1-6 and KCTA 1-16. 10

11 Witness) Debbie Weatherford

Case No. 2012-00544 Hickman-Fulton Counties Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation Response to KCTA 2-2 Witness: Debbie Weatherford Page 1 of 1

## IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

## Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

#### December 23, 2013

Item 3)Identify specifically any agreement responsive to Request Number 2-2

2 *that the TVA explicitly approved.* 

3

4 **Response**) Hickman-Fulton objects to this request on the grounds that the phrase 5 "explicitly approved" is unduly vague and ambiguous. Hickman-Fulton also objects to 6 this request on the grounds that it is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant and admissible evidence. Notwithstanding these objections, but without waiving 7 8 them, Hickman-Fulton states as follows. 9 As Hickman-Fulton understands the phrase, no pole attachment agreements are 10 "explicitly approved" by the TVA. 11

12 Witness) Debbie Weatherford

Case No. 2012-00544 Hickman-Fulton Counties Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation Response to KCTA 2-3 Witness: Debbie Weatherford Page 1 of 1

# IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

## Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

## December 23, 2013

1	Item 4)	For all agreements identified in response to Request 2-3, please produce
2	all documents	reflecting TVA's approval of these agreements.
3		
4	Response)	Please see Hickman-Fulton's responses to KCTA 1-3 and KCTA 2-3.
5		

## IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

## Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

## December 23, 2013

1	Item 5)	Did you consult with TVA prior to entering any agreement identified in
2	response to <b>F</b>	Request 2-2?
3		
4	Response)	Hickman-Fulton objects to this request on the grounds that the word
5	"consult" is	anduly vague and ambiguous. Notwithstanding this objection, but without
6	waiving it, H	ickman-Fulton states as follows.
7	Please	e see Hickman-Fulton's response to KCTA 2-3.
8		

# IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

## Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

#### December 23, 2013

If the answer to Request 2-5 is affirmative, identify all oral 1 Item 6) 2 communications with TVA and produce all documents reflecting your consultation 3 with TVA prior to entering these agreements. 4 5 **Response**) Hickman-Fulton objects to this request on the grounds that the word 6 "consultation" is unduly vague and ambiguous. Notwithstanding this objection, but 7 without waiving it, Hickman-Fulton states as follows. 8 Please see Hickman-Fulton's responses to KCTA 2-3 and KCTA 2-5. 9 Debbie Weatherford 10 Witness)

# IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

## Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

#### December 23, 2013

- 1 Item 7) Did TVA explicitly approve your March 2010 Joint Use Agreement with
- 2 BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.?
- 3

4	<b>Response</b> ) Hickman-Fulton objects to this request on the grounds that the phrase
5	"explicitly approve" is unduly vague and ambiguous. Hickman-Fulton also objects to
6	this request on the grounds that it is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of
7	relevant and admissible evidence. Notwithstanding these objections, but without waiving
8	them, Hickman-Fulton states as follows.
9	Please see Hickman-Fulton's response to KCTA 2-3 and KCTA 2-5.
10	

11 Witness) Debbie Weatherford

Case No. 2012-00544 Hickman-Fulton Counties Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation Response to KCTA 2-7 Witness: Debbie Weatherford Page 1 of 1

# IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

# Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

## December 23, 2013

1	Item 8)	If the answer to Request 2-7 is affirmative, please produce all documents
2	reflecting T	VA's approval of the March 2010 Joint Use Agreement with BellSouth
3	Telecommun	nications, Inc.
4		
5	Response)	Please see Hickman-Fulton's responses to KCTA 2-3 and KCTA 2-7.
6		

# IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

# Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

#### December 23, 2013

1	Item 9)	Did you consult with TVA regarding your March 2010 Joint Use
2	Agreement w	ith BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. prior to entering the contract?
3		
4	Response)	Hickman-Fulton objects to this request on the grounds that the word
5	"consult" is	unduly vague and ambiguous. Notwithstanding this objection, but without
6	waiving it, H	ickman-Fulton states as follows.
7	Please	e see Hickman-Fulton's responses to KCTA 2-5 and KCTA 2-7.
8		
9	Witness)	Debbie Weatherford

Case No. 2012-00544 Hickman-Fulton Counties Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation Response to KCTA 2-9 Witness: Debbie Weatherford Page 1 of 1

# IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

### Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

#### December 23, 2013

1	Item 10) If the answer to Request 2-9 is affirmative, please identify all
2	communications and produce all documents reflecting your consultation with TVA
3	before you entered the March 2010 Joint Use Agreement with BellSouth
4	Telecommunications, Inc.
5	
6	<b>Response</b> ) Hickman-Fulton objects to this request on the grounds that the word
7	"consultation" is unduly vague and ambiguous. Notwithstanding this objection, but
8	without waiving it, Hickman-Fulton states as follows.

9 Please see Hickman-Fulton's responses to KCTA 2-5, KCTA 2-7, and KCTA 2-9.

10

11 Witness) Debbie Weatherford

Case No. 2012-00544 Hickman-Fulton Counties Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation Response to KCTA 2-10 Witness: Debbie Weatherford Page 1 of 1

# IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

## Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

#### December 23, 2013

- 1 Item 11) Did TVA explicitly approve your undated Joint Use Agreement with
- 2 Windstream Kentucky East, LLC?
- 3

4	<b>Response</b> ) Hickman-Fulton objects to this request on the grounds that the phrase
5	"explicitly approve" is unduly vague and ambiguous. Hickman-Fulton also objects to
6	this request on the grounds that it is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of
7	relevant and admissible evidence. Notwithstanding these objections, but without waiving
8	them, Hickman-Fulton states as follows.
9	Please see Hickman-Fulton's response to KCTA 2-3.
0	

11 Witness) Debbie Weatherford

Case No. 2012-00544 Hickman-Fulton Counties Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation Response to KCTA 2-11 Witness: Debbie Weatherford Page 1 of 1

# IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

# Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

#### December 23, 2013

1	<b>Item 12</b> )	If your answer to Request 2-11 is affirmative, please produce all
2	documents	reflecting TVA's approval of the undated Joint Use Agreement with
3	Windstream	Kentucky East, LLC.
4		
5	Response)	Please see Hickman-Fulton's response to KCTA 2-11.
6		

# IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

# Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

#### December 23, 2013

1	<b>Item 13</b> )	Did you consult with TVA regarding your undated Joint Use Agreement
2	with Windstr	eam Kentucky East LLC prior to entering the contract?
3		
4	Response)	Hickman-Fulton objects to this request on the grounds that the word
5	"consult" is	unduly vague and ambiguous. Notwithstanding this objection, but without
6	waiving it, H	ickman-Fulton states as follows.
7	Pleas	e see Hickman-Fulton's response to KCTA 2-5.
8		

9 Witness) Debbie Weatherford

Case No. 2012-00544 Hickman-Fulton Counties Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation Response to KCTA 2-13 Witness: Debbie Weatherford Page 1 of 1

# IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

# Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

#### December 23, 2013

1	Item 14) If the answer to Request 2-13 is affirmative, please identify all
2	communications and produce all documents reflecting your consultation with TVA
3	before you entered the undated Joint Use Agreement with Windstream Kentucky East
4	LLC.
5	
6	<b>Response</b> ) Hickman-Fulton objects to this request on the grounds that the word
7	"consultation" is unduly vague and ambiguous. Notwithstanding this objection, but
8	without waiving it, Hickman-Fulton states as follows.
9	Please see Hickman-Fulton's responses to KCTA 2-3 and KCTA 2-13.
10	

11 Witness) Debbie Weatherford

Case No. 2012-00544 Hickman-Fulton Counties Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation Response to KCTA 2-14 Witness: Debbie Weatherford Page 1 of 1

## IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

## Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

#### December 23, 2013

1	Item 15)	For each of the last three fiscal years, produce the annual financial
2	reports you p	rovided to TVA.
3		
4	Response)	Hickman-Fulton objects to this request on the grounds that it is not
5	reasonably c	alculated to lead to the discovery of relevant and admissible evidence.
6	Notwithstand	ing this objection, but without waiving it, Hickman-Fulton states as follows.
7	Please	e see the attached documents.
8		

9 Witness) Debbie Weatherford

Case No. 2012-00544 Hickman-Fulton Counties Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation Response to KCTA 2-15 Witness: Debbie Weatherford Page 1 of 1

## IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

## Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

#### December 23, 2013

- 1 Item 16) Do you report the revenues you generate from pole attachments to the
- 2 TVA, separate and distinct from the other revenue you generate?
- 3

4 **Response**) As prescribed by Form TVA 3957, Hickman-Fulton reports pole

5 attachment revenues as "rent from electric property" along with other rents. Please see

6 Hickman-Fulton's response to KCTA 2-15.

7

# IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

# Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

## December 23, 2013

<b>Item 17</b> )	If the answer to Request 2-16 is affirmative, please produce all
documents re	eflecting your pole attachment revenue reports to TVA for each of the past
five years.	
Response)	Please see Hickman-Fulton's responses to KCTA 2-15 and KCTA 2-16.
	documents re five years.

## IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

## Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

## December 23, 2013

1	<b>Item 18</b> )	Do you report your pole attachment rates to TVA?
2		
3	Response)	No. Hickman-Fulton reports its rates and revenues only as required by the
4	TVA.	
5		

# IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

# Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

## December 23, 2013

1	<b>Item 19</b> )	If the answer to Request 2-18 is affirmative, please produce all
2	documents r	eflecting your reports to TVA regarding your pole attachment rates for
3	each of the p	ast five years.
4		
5	Response)	Please see Hickman-Fulton's response to KCTA 2-18.
6		

# IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

## Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

#### December 23, 2013

1 Item 20) Do you report your pole-related costs to TVA?

2

- 3 **Response**) Pole-related costs are captured as part of Hickman-Fulton's reporting of
- 4 operations, maintenance, and depreciation expenses in its annual reports. Please see
- 5 Hickman-Fulton's response to KCTA 2-15.
- 6
- 7 Witness) Debbie Weatherford

# IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

## Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

## December 23, 2013

1	Item 21) If the answer to Request 2-20 is affirmative, please produce all
2	documents reflecting your reports to TVA regarding your pole-related costs for each of
3	the past five years.
4	
5	<b>Response</b> ) Hickman-Fulton objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly
6	broad and unduly burdensome. Notwithstanding this objection, but without waiving it,
7	Hickman-Fulton states as follows.
8	Please see Hickman-Fulton's responses to KCTA 2-15 and KCTA 2-20.
9	

# IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

## Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

## December 23, 2013

1	Item 22) Do you contend that the Commission's regulation of your pole
2	attachment rates would result in a situation where you would not be able to operate in
3	a self-supporting and financially sound basis?
4	
5	<b>Response</b> ) Hickman-Fulton objects to this request on the grounds that the phrase
6	"self-supporting and financially sound basis" is unduly vague and ambiguous.
7	Notwithstanding this objection, but without waiving it, Hickman-Fulton states as follows.
8	Hickman-Fulton's position is adequately described in its pleadings.
9	
10	Witness) Debbie Weatherford

## IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

## Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

#### December 23, 2013

1	<b>Item 23</b> )	If your answer to Request 2-22 is affirmative, please explain fully,
2	making refer	ence to all facts known to you supporting that answer.
3		
4	Response)	Please see Hickman-Fulton's response to KCTA 2-22.
5		

# IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

## Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

## December 23, 2013

1	Item 24) Provide the information requested in KCTA's First Request for
2	Information Number 20 for each of the years 2010, 2011, and 2012 according to the
3	FERC accounting system outlined in 18 C.F.R. Chapter 1.
4	
5	<b>Response</b> ) Hickman-Fulton objects to this request on the grounds that it is duplicative
6	of KCTA 1-20. Notwithstanding this objection, but without waiving it, Hickman-Fulton
7	states as follows.
8	Please see Hickman-Fulton's response to KCTA 1-20 and the TVA Cooperatives'
9	Response to KCTA's Motion to Compel filed November 27, 2013 in this matter.
10	

11 Witness) Debbie Weatherford

Case No. 2012-00544 Hickman-Fulton Counties Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation Response to KCTA 2-24 Witness: Debbie Weatherford Page 1 of 1

# IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

## Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

#### December 23, 2013

- 1 Item 25) Admit that you have produced all documents responsive to KCTA's First
- 2 Request for Information Number 6, which states, "Produce all documents concerning
- 3 how you ensure a pole attachment rate will provide sufficient revenues to cover the
- 4 costs of providing for the attachments."
- 5
- Response) Hickman-Fulton objects to this request on the grounds that the civil rules
  pertaining to requests for admissions do not apply to data requests in Commission
  proceedings. Notwithstanding this objection, but without waiving it, Hickman-Fulton
  states as follows.
- 10 Please see Hickman-Fulton's response to KCTA 1-6.
- 11
- 12 **Witness**) Debbie Weatherford

Case No. 2012-00544 Hickman-Fulton Counties Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation Response to KCTA 2-25 Witness: Debbie Weatherford Page 1 of 1

# IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

# Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

## December 23, 2013

1	Item 26)	If your answer to Request 2-25 is anything other than an unqualified
2	admission,	please produce all remaining documents responsive to KCTA's First
3	Request for	Information Number 6.
4		
5	Response)	Please see Hickman-Fulton's responses to KCTA 1-6 and KCTA 2-25.
6		

# IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

### Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

#### December 23, 2013

- 1 Item 27) Admit that you have produced all documents responsive to KCTA's First
- 2 Request for Information Number 17, which states, "Produce all documents concerning
- 3 any order, directive, contract, or other communication from or by the TVA concerning
- 4 pole attachment rates."
- 5
- Response) Hickman-Fulton objects to this request on the grounds that the civil rules
  pertaining to requests for admissions do not apply to data requests in Commission
  proceedings. Notwithstanding this objection, but without waiving it, Hickman-Fulton
  states as follows.
- 10 Please see Hickman-Fulton's response to KCTA 1-17.
- 11
- 12 **Witness**) Debbie Weatherford

Case No. 2012-00544 Hickman-Fulton Counties Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation Response to KCTA 2-27 Witness: Debbie Weatherford Page 1 of 1

# IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

# Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

## December 23, 2013

1	Item 28)	If your answer to Request 2-27 is anything other than an unqualified
2	admission,	please produce all remaining documents responsive to KCTA's First
3	Request for 1	Information Number 17.
4		
5	Response)	Please see Hickman-Fulton's responses to KCTA 1-17 and KCTA 2-27.
6		

# IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

# Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

#### December 23, 2013

1	Item 29)	For your November 14, 2013 response to KCTA's First Request for
2	Information	Number 17, please identify any and all specific documents and references
3	within the	produced documents that is an order, directive, contract, or other
4	communicat	ion from or by the TVA concerning pole attachment rates.
5		
6	Response)	Hickman-Fulton objects to this request on the grounds that the words
7	"directive" a	nd "communication" are unduly vague and ambiguous given the breadth of
8	the request.	Notwithstanding this objection, but without waiving it, Hickman-Fulton
9	states as follo	ows.
10	KCT	A is in possession of the documents. Please see Hickman-Fulton's responses
11	to KCTA 1-1	7, KCTA 2-27, and KCTA 2-28.
12		
13	Witness)	Debbie Weatherford

Case No. 2012-00544 Hickman-Fulton Counties Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation Response to KCTA 2-29 Witness: Debbie Weatherford Page 1 of 1

# IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

## Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

#### December 23, 2013

1	Item 30)	Admit that you have produced all documents responsive to KCTA's First
2	Request for	Information Number 18 that states, "Identify and produce all
3	communicatio	ons with the TVPPA concerning pole attachment rates."
4		
5	Response)	Hickman-Fulton objects to this request on the grounds that the civil rules
6	pertaining to	requests for admissions do not apply to data requests in Commission
7	proceedings.	Notwithstanding this objection, but without waiving it, Hickman-Fulton
8	states as follow	VS.
9	Please	see Hickman-Fulton's response to KCTA 1-18.

10

11 Witness) Debbie Weatherford

Case No. 2012-00544 Hickman-Fulton Counties Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation Response to KCTA 2-30 Witness: Debbie Weatherford Page 1 of 1

# IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

# Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

## December 23, 2013

1	Item 31)	If your answer to Request 2-30 is anything other than an unqualified
2	admission, p	lease produce all communications between you and the TVPPA relating to
3	pole attachm	ent rates within the last five years.
4		
5	Response)	Please see Hickman-Fulton's responses to KCTA 1-18 and KCTA 2-30.
6		

# IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

# Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

#### December 23, 2013

1	Item 32)	For your November 14, 2013 response to KCTA's Request for	
2	Information	Number 18, please identify any and all specific documents and references	
3	within the produced documents that is responsive to Request Number 18.		
4			
5	Response)	KCTA is in possession of the documents. The documents are responsive.	
6	Please see Hi	ickman-Fulton's responses to KCTA 1-18, KCTA 2-30, and KCTA 2-31.	
7			
8	Witness)	Debbie Weatherford	

Case No. 2012-00544 Hickman-Fulton Counties Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation Response to KCTA 2-32 Witness: Debbie Weatherford Page 1 of 1

## IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

## Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

#### December 23, 2013

1	Item 33)	Produce all documents reflecting any communication between you and
2	the TVA con	ncerning pole attachment revenues or rates from 2000 to present.
3		
4	Response)	Hickman-Fulton objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly
5	broad and u	unduly burdensome. Hickman-Fulton also objects to this request on the
6	grounds tha	t it is duplicative of KCTA 1-16. Notwithstanding these objections, but
7	without waiv	ving them, Hickman-Fulton states as follows.
8	Pleas	se see Hickman-Fulton's response to KCTA 1-16.
9		
10	Witness)	Debbie Weatherford

Case No. 2012-00544 Hickman-Fulton Counties Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation Response to KCTA 2-33 Witness: Debbie Weatherford Page 1 of 1

# IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

## Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

#### December 23, 2013

Item 34) State the total pole attachment revenues you received from licensee
 attachers (i.e., non-joint users) for each of the years 2008 to present.
 Response) Hickman-Fulton objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly
 broad and unduly burdensome. Hickman-Fulton also objects to this request on the

6 grounds that it is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant and

- 7 admissible evidence.
- 8

9 Witness) Counsel

Case No. 2012-00544 Hickman-Fulton Counties Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation Response to KCTA 2-34 Witness: Counsel Page 1 of 1

## IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

## Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

#### December 23, 2013

1Item 35)Produce all invoices for pole attachment fees you sent to all pole2attachers for each of the past three years.

3

4 **Response**) Hickman-Fulton objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly 5 broad and unduly burdensome. Hickman-Fulton also objects to this request on the 6 grounds that it is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant and 7 admissible evidence.

8

9 Witness) Counsel

Case No. 2012-00544 Hickman-Fulton Counties Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation Response to KCTA 2-35 Witness: Counsel Page 1 of 1

# IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

### Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

#### December 23, 2013

1	Item 36) Have you, or has anyone on your behalf, ever analyzed what your pole
2	attachment rates would be under the Commission's cost-based pole attachment rate
3	methodology as set forth in the September 17, 1982 order by the Commission in
4	Administrative Case No. 251?
5	
6	<b>Response</b> ) Hickman-Fulton objects to this request on the grounds that it is not
7	reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant and admissible evidence.
8	Notwithstanding this objection, but without waiving it, Hickman-Fulton states as follows.
9	Hickman-Fulton is not aware of any such analysis.

10

11 Witness) Debbie Weatherford

Case No. 2012-00544 Hickman-Fulton Counties Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation Response to KCTA 2-35 Witness: Debbie Weatherford Page 1 of 1

## IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

## Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

#### December 23, 2013

1	Item 37)	If your answer to Request 2-36 is affirmative, please produce all			
2	documents r	documents relating to that analysis.			
3					
4	Response)	Please see Hickman-Fulton's response to KCTA 2-36.			
5					
6	Witness)	Debbie Weatherford			

Case No. 2012-00544 Hickman-Fulton Counties Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation Response to KCTA 2-37 Witness: Debbie Weatherford Page 1 of 1

## IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

## Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

#### December 23, 2013

1	Item 38) Have you, or has anyone on your behalf, ever analyzed what your pole
2	attachment rates would be under the Federal Communication Commission's pole
3	attachment rate methodology used to determine the maximum just and reasonable rate
4	under 47 U.S.C. § 224(d)?
5	
6	<b>Response</b> ) Hickman-Fulton objects to this request on the grounds that it is not
7	reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant and admissible evidence.
8	Hickman-Fulton also objects to this request on the grounds that cooperatively organized
9	entities are exempt from the 1978 Pole Attachment Act. Notwithstanding this objection,
10	but without waiving it, Hickman-Fulton states as follows.
11	Hickman-Fulton is not aware of any such analysis.
12	

13 Witness) Debbie Weatherford

Case No. 2012-00544 Hickman-Fulton Counties Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation Response to KCTA 2-38 Witness: Debbie Weatherford Page 1 of 1

## IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

## Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

1	Item 39)	If your answer to Request 2-38 is affirmative, please produce all			
2	documents r	documents relating to that analysis.			
3					
4	Response)	Please see Hickman-Fulton's response to KCTA 2-38.			
5					
6	Witness)	Debbie Weatherford			

## IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

## Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

#### December 23, 2013

1	Item 40)	Have you, or has anyone on your behalf, ever determined if your pole
2	attachment	rates provide a subsidy to KCTA members?
3		
4	Response)	Hickman-Fulton objects to this request on the grounds that it is not
5	reasonably	calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant and admissible evidence.
6	Notwithstan	ding this objection, but without waiving it, Hickman-Fulton states as follows.
7	Hick	man-Fulton has not alleged that its "pole attachment rates provide a subsidy
8	to KCTA me	embers."
9		
10	Witness)	Debbie Weatherford

Case No. 2012-00544 Hickman-Fulton Counties Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation Response to KCTA 2-40 Witness: Debbie Weatherford Page 1 of 1

## IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

## Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

- 1 Item 41) If your answer to Request 2-40 is affirmative, please explain the analysis
- 2 and produce all documents relating to that analysis.
- 3
- 4 **Response**) Please see Hickman-Fulton's response to KCTA 2-40.
- 5
- 6 Witness) Debbie Weatherford

## IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

## Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

#### December 23, 2013

- Item 42) Produce your pole rates charged to Windstream and Galaxy Cable,
   Inc./Zito Media for each of the last ten years.
- 3

4 **Response**) Hickman-Fulton objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly 5 broad and unduly burdensome. Hickman-Fulton also objects to this request on the 6 grounds that it is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant and admissible evidence. Hickman-Fulton also objects to this request on the grounds that it is 7 duplicative of KCTA 1-9. Notwithstanding these objections, but without waiving them, 8 9 Hickman-Fulton states as follows. 10 Please see Hickman-Fulton's response to KCTA 1-9. 11

12 **Witness**) Debbie Weatherford

Case No. 2012-00544 Hickman-Fulton Counties Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation Response to KCTA 2-42 Witness: Debbie Weatherford Page 1 of 1

## IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY **AUTHORITY** CASE NO. 2012-00544

## **Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information** dated December 2, 2013

#### December 23, 2013

1 Item 43) Admit that your responses to KCTA's First Request for Information 2 Numbers 1 and 2 contain all the evidence of which you are aware that supports your

- 3 contention that TVA regulates your pole attachment rates.
- 4

5 Hickman-Fulton objects to this request on the grounds that the civil rules **Response**) 6 pertaining to requests for admissions do not apply to data requests in Commission proceedings. Hickman-Fulton also objects to this request on the grounds that data 7 requests are not "evidence." Hickman-Fulton also objects to this request on the grounds 8 9 that the question presented by KCTA is a question of law, which requires no evidence to 10 resolve. Notwithstanding these objections, but without waiving them, Hickman-Fulton states as follows. 11 12

Please see Hickman-Fulton's responses to KCTA 1-1 and KCTA 1-2.

- 13
- 14 Witness) Debbie Weatherford

Case No. 2012-00544 **Hickman-Fulton Counties Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation Response to KCTA 2-43** Witness: Debbie Weatherford Page 1 of 1

## IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

# Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

1	<b>Item 44</b> )	If your answer to Request 2-43 is anything but an unqualified
2	admission, p	please identify and produce all remaining documents and evidence that
3	support your	contention.
4		
5	Response)	Please see Hickman-Fulton's responses to KCTA 1-1, KCTA 1-2, and
6	КСТА 2-43.	
7		
8	Witness)	Debbie Weatherford

## IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

### Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

#### December 23, 2013

1 Item 45) Admit that your responses to KCTA's First Request for Information 2 Numbers 1-5 contain all the evidence of which you are aware that supports your 3 contention that the Commission's regulation of your pole attachment rates would 4 conflict with TVA's regulation of your electric rates.

5

6 **Response**) Hickman-Fulton objects to this request on the grounds that the civil rules 7 pertaining to requests for admissions do not apply to data requests in Commission 8 proceedings. Hickman-Fulton also objects to this request on the grounds that data 9 requests are not "evidence." Hickman-Fulton also objects to this request on the grounds 10 that the question presented by KCTA is a question of law, which requires no evidence to 11 resolve. Notwithstanding this objection, but without waiving it, Hickman-Fulton states as 12 follows.

- 13 Please see Hickman-Fulton's response to KCTA 1-5.
- 14

15 Witness) Debbie Weatherford

Case No. 2012-00544 Hickman-Fulton Counties Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation Response to KCTA 2-45 Witness: Debbie Weatherford Page 1 of 1

## IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

# Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

#### December 23, 2013

ce that
-45.
-

7 Witness) Debbie Weatherford

## IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

### Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

#### December 23, 2013

1 Item 47) Admit that your responses to KCTA's First Request for Information 2 Numbers 1-6 contain all the evidence of which you are aware concerning how you 3 ensure your pole attachment rates will ensure your customers do not subsidize 4 attaching entities.

5

6 **Response**) Hickman-Fulton objects to this request on the grounds that the civil rules 7 pertaining to requests for admissions do not apply to data requests in Commission 8 proceedings. Hickman-Fulton also objects to this request on the grounds that data 9 requests are not "evidence." Hickman-Fulton also objects to this request on the grounds 10 that the question presented by KCTA is a question of law, which requires no evidence to 11 resolve. Notwithstanding these objections, but without waiving them, Hickman-Fulton 12 states as follows.

- 13 Please see Hickman-Fulton's response to KCTA 1-6.
- 14
- 15 Witness) Debbie Weatherford

Case No. 2012-00544 Hickman-Fulton Counties Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation Response to KCTA 2-47 Witness: Debbie Weatherford Page 1 of 1

## IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

# Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

#### December 23, 2013

nce that
2-47.

7 Witness) Debbie Weatherford

## IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

## Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

#### December 23, 2013

1 Item 49) Identify each increase or decrease in electric rates set by TVA that has

2 resulted from a change in your pole attachment revenues within the last ten years.

3

Response) Hickman-Fulton objects to this request on the grounds that is unduly
burdensome. Hickman-Fulton also objects to this request on the grounds that it is not
reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant and admissible evidence.
Hickman-Fulton also objects to this request on the grounds that the requested information
is not in the custody or control of Hickman-Fulton.

10 Witness) Counsel

Case No. 2012-00544 Hickman-Fulton Counties Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation Response to KCTA 2-49 Witness: Counsel Page 1 of 1

## IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

# Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

1	Item 50) Do you charge BellSouth for attachments to your secondary		
2			
3	Response)	Yes.	
4			
5	Witness)	Debbie Weatherford	

## IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

## Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

- 1 Item 51) Do you charge Galaxy Cable, Inc./Zito Media for attachments to your
- 2 secondary poles?
- 3
- 4 **Response**) Yes.
- 5
- 6 Witness) Debbie Weatherford

## IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

## Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

#### December 23, 2013

1Item 52)Have you, or has anyone on your behalf, discussed with TVA the2possibility that someone from TVA may testify on your behalf?

3

4 **Response**) Hickman-Fulton objects to this request on the grounds that it is not 5 reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant and admissible evidence. 6 Hickman-Fulton also objects to this request on the grounds that it is premature in light of 7 the fact that discovery is ongoing and no decision regarding potential witnesses has been 8 made. Hickman-Fulton will identify its witnesses, if any, in accordance with the 9 Commission's scheduling orders.

10

11 Witness) Counsel

Case No. 2012-00544 Hickman-Fulton Counties Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation Response to KCTA 2-52 Witness: Debbie Weatherford Page 1 of 1

## IN THE MATTER OF: PETITION OF THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION HAS JURISDICTION TO REGULATE THE POLE ATTACHMENT RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COOPERATIVES THAT PURCHASE ELECTRICITY FROM THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY CASE NO. 2012-00544

## Response to KCTA's Supplemental Requests for Information dated December 2, 2013

## December 23, 2013

1 Item 53) If y	our answer to Request 2-5	52 is affirmative,	please identify th	e people
-----------------	---------------------------	--------------------	--------------------	----------

2 involved in each such discussion and the TVA representative who may testify.

3

- 4 **Response**) Please see Hickman-Fulton's response to KCTA 2-52.
- 5
- 6 Witness) Counsel