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## PURPOSE OF REPORT

This report documents the engineering analysis of, and summarizes the proposed construction for Owen Electric Cooperative (OEC) electric distribution system for the two-year planning period of 2012-2013.

The report also provides engineering support in the form of descriptions, costs and justifications of the required new facilities for a loan application to RUS in order to finance the proposed construction program.

## GENERAL BASIS OF STUDY

The summer 2013 and winter 2014 projected total peak system loads were taken from the OEC 2010 Load Forecast (LF) as approved by RUS. Residential and small commercial loads were grown at rates consistent with the LF.

From 2005-2009, the annual average increase in residential energy sales was $2.0 \%$. This rate is projected to be $2.0 \%$ over the next two years. Small commercial sales are projected to increase at $2.4 \%$ over the next two years. Large Commercial / Industrial energy sales are projected to increase at $7.7 \%$ over the next two years.

System analysis models are based on non-coincidental (NC) system peaks that are outlined in the LF. The projected winter 2014 NC peak (based on LF and GFR meeting) is $306,000 \mathrm{~kW}$. The projected summer 2013 NC peak (based on LF and GFR meeting) is $310,000 \mathrm{~kW}$. The projected peaks exclude Gallatin Steel. The system annual load factor is projected to average $48.0 \%$ over the next two years.

Winter and summer growth models were examined for what is generally a summerpeaking system; however some areas of the OEC system are winter peaking. Both seasons were reviewed to address system deficiencies for either season.

The current OEC 2006 Long Range Plan (LRP) load projections and improvement recommendations were reviewed to make sure that they generally agree with scope of the 2012-2013 construction work plan (CWP) recommendations.

A RUS Operations and Maintenance Survey (FORM 300) has been completed with the RUS GFR. This survey is used to determine portions of the construction required to replace physically deteriorated equipment and material, upgrade areas of the system to conform to code or safety requirements, and improve the reliability and quality of service. A copy of the survey is included in the Appendices of this report.

## GENERAL BASIS OF STUDY (cont.)

A system analysis using RUS guidelines and the OEC Design Criteria was performed on all of the substations and distribution lines of the system. Milsoft Integrated Solutions' PC-Based Distribution Analysis Program - "Windmil" version 7.3 was used to analyze the existing system configuration that was modeled with the projected load growth.

For each deficiency that was found, alternate solutions were considered and economically evaluated.

## SUMMARY - RESULTS OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Upon completion of the proposed construction, the system will provide adequate and dependable service to 58,480 residential customers as well as 22 industrial/large commercial loads and 2,320 small commercial loads. Average monthly residential usage is projected to be $1,064 \mathrm{kWh}$. It is estimated that there will be 3,500 idle services.

There will be one additional substation added to the OEC system upon completion of the CWP. The Messier-Bugatti (MBUSA) substation will be a 25MVA, 69-12.5kV substation dedicated to Messier Bugatti which has a total anticipated load of 18MW by November 2012. The dedicated MBUSA substation will almost completely offload the Duro1 transformer which is predominantly dedicated to Messier-Bugatti at present. The Duro 1 transformer will stay in service feeding its remaining native load, and serve as a backup source for contingency purposes to the surrounding area.

There are two planned substation upgrades in the CWP. Burlington substation which is presently a 14MVA substation will be increased to a 20MVA substation. Once the upgrade is complete, Burlington will relieve the Bullittsville substation by transferring a large industrial, Zumbiel, from Bullittsville to Burlington. Burlington substation also serves as a backup substation for the Western Regional Reclamation Facility of Sanitation District 1 (SD1). Therefore reserve capacity on the Burlington transformer is required. This substation upgrade will ensure that adequate reserve capacity will be available, and allow for native growth in the area that Burlington substation serves.

The second planned substation upgrade is for the Turkeyfoot Substation. Turkeyfoot also serves as a backup reserve for another SD1 facility on Narrows Road. The added reserve load puts the Turkeyfoot substation near capacity. Once the substation upgrade is complete Turkeyfoot Substation will more than likely become the primary feed for the Narrows Road pump station, and Richardson Substation will become the backup. The Turkeyfoot upgrade will also relieve the Richardson substation, specifically feeder 1902, which is heavily loaded.

The Noel substation will have fans added in 2012. The high side fuse of Grantslick II needs to be upgraded.

## SUMMARY - RESULTS OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION (cont)

There are additional substations that are nearing capacity, and will be addressed in this CWP with load block transfers to adjacent substations. However each of these substations has been addressed in East Kentucky Power’s 2012-2015 Construction Work Plan. Following this 2-year CWP period, the Williamstown and Bromley substations will be upgraded. Hebron Substation will be expanded with a second transformer, and a new substation will be considered to relieve the Banklick substation.
10.0 miles of site specific conductor replacement and conversion will take place in the two-year plan period. Additionally, 60 miles of overhead conductor and approximately 8 miles of underground will be selected for aged conductor replacement. These conductor replacement line sections will be selected based on conductor condition, operational experience and the number of customers served.
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Owen Electric
2010 Load Forecast
Residential Summary

|  | Customers |  |  | Use Per Customer |  |  | Class Sales |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Annual <br> Average | Annual Change | \% <br> Change | Monthly <br> Average <br> (kWh) | Annual Change (kWh) | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { (MWh) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Annual Change (MWh) | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1990 | 27,499 |  |  | 947 |  |  | 312,603 |  |  |
| 1991 | 28,760 | 1,261 | 4.6 | 995 | 48 | 5.1 | 343,499 | 30,896 | 9.9 |
| 1992 | 30,006 | 1,246 | 4.3 | 951 | -44 | -4.4 | 342,536 | -962 | -0.3 |
| 1993 | 31,319 | 1,313 | 4.4 | 1,008 | 57 | 6.0 | 378,860 | 36,323 | 10.6 |
| 1994 | 32,670 | 1,351 | 4.3 | 1,019 | 11 | 1.0 | 399,328 | 20,468 | 5.4 |
| 1995 | 33,989 | 1,319 | 4.0 | 1,033 | 14 | 1.4 | 421,304 | 21,976 | 5.5 |
| 1996 | 35,416 | 1,427 | 4.2 | 1,064 | 31 | 3.0 | 452,162 | 30,858 | 7.3 |
| 1997 | 37,159 | 1,743 | 4.9 | 1,031 | -32 | -3.0 | 459,953 | 7,791 | 1.7 |
| 1998 | 38,931 | 1,772 | 4.8 | 1,026 | -6 | -0.6 | 479,197 | 19,244 | 4.2 |
| 1999 | 40,550 | 1,619 | 4.2 | 1,053 | 27 | 2.7 | 512,392 | 33,194 | 6.9 |
| 2000 | 42,113 | 1,563 | 3.9 | 1,066 | 13 | 1.3 | 538,817 | 26,426 | 5.2 |
| 2001 | 43,799 | 1,686 | 4.0 | 1,073 | 7 | 0.6 | 563,943 | 25,125 | 4.7 |
| 2002 | 45,779 | 1,980 | 4.5 | 1,120 | 47 | 4.4 | 615,132 | 51,189 | 9.1 |
| 2003 | 47,906 | 2,127 | 4.6 | 1,081 | -39 | -3.5 | 621,331 | 6,199 | 1.0 |
| 2004 | 49,741 | 1,835 | 3.8 | 1,094 | 13 | 1.2 | 652,706 | 31,375 | 5.0 |
| 2005 | 51,461 | 1,720 | 3.5 | 1,127 | 34 | 3.1 | 696,107 | 43,402 | 6.6 |
| 2006 | 52,935 | 1,474 | 2.9 | 1,070 | -57 | -5.0 | 679,964 | -16,143 | -2.3 |
| 2007 | 54,003 | 1,068 | 2.0 | 1,152 | 82 | 7.7 | 746,858 | 66,894 | 9.8 |
| 2008 | 54,427 | 424 | 0.8 | 1,133 | -19 | -1.7 | 740,085 | -6,773 | -0.9 |
| 2009 | 54,805 | 378 | 0.7 | 1,092 | -41 | -3.6 | 718,201 | -21,884 | -3.0 |
| 2010 | 55,299 | 494 | 0.9 | 1,108 | 16 | 1.5 | 735,354 | 17,153 | 2.4 |
| 2011 | 56,212 | 913 | 1.7 | 1,091 | -17 | -1.5 | 736,129 | 775 | 0.1 |
| 2012 | 57,302 | 1,090 | 1.9 | 1,078 | -13 | -1.2 | 741,123 | 4,994 | 0.7 |
| 2013 | 58,480 | 1,178 | 2.1 | 1,064 | -14 | -1.3 | 746,663 | 5,540 | 0.7 |
| 2014 | 59,695 | 1,215 | 2.1 | 1,062 | -2 | -0.2 | 760,875 | 14,212 | 1.9 |
| 2015 | 60,960 | 1,265 | 2.1 | 1,060 | -2 | -0.2 | 775,178 | 14,303 | 1.9 |
| 2016 | 62,244 | 1,284 | 2.1 | 1,060 | 1 | 0.1 | 792,060 | 16,882 | 2.2 |
| 2017 | 63,530 | 1,286 | 2.1 | 1,060 | 0 | 0.0 | 808,370 | 16,310 | 2.1 |
| 2018 | 64,823 | 1,293 | 2.0 | 1,062 | 1 | 0.1 | 825,758 | 17,388 | 2.2 |
| 2019 | 66,151 | 1,328 | 2.0 | 1,065 | 3 | 0.3 | 845,043 | 19,285 | 2.3 |
| 2020 | 67,534 | 1,383 | 2.1 | 1,065 | 1 | 0.1 | 863,358 | 18,315 | 2.2 |
| 2021 | 68,960 | 1,426 | 2.1 | 1,067 | 1 | 0.1 | 882,748 | 19,390 | 2.2 |
| 2022 | 70,433 | 1,473 | 2.1 | 1,069 | 3 | 0.3 | 903,883 | 21,135 | 2.4 |
| 2023 | 71,940 | 1,507 | 2.1 | 1,073 | 4 | 0.3 | 926,445 | 22,562 | 2.5 |
| 2024 | 73,465 | 1,525 | 2.1 | 1,077 | 4 | 0.3 | 949,186 | 22,740 | 2.5 |
| 2025 | 75,028 | 1,563 | 2.1 | 1,078 | 1 | 0.1 | 970,462 | 21,277 | 2.2 |
| 2026 | 76,615 | 1,587 | 2.1 | 1,080 | 2 | 0.2 | 993,002 | 22,540 | 2.3 |
| 2027 | 78,203 | 1,588 | 2.1 | 1,081 | 1 | 0.1 | 1,014,825 | 21,823 | 2.2 |
| 2028 | 79,791 | 1,588 | 2.0 | 1,082 | 1 | 0.1 | 1,036,228 | 21,403 | 2.1 |
| 2029 | 81,378 | 1,587 | 2.0 | 1,082 | -1 | -0.1 | 1,056,133 | 19,905 | 1.9 |
| 2030 | 82,966 | 1,588 | 2.0 | 1,084 | 3 | 0.2 | 1,079,339 | 23,206 | 2.2 |
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## Owen Electric 2010 Load Forecast Small Commercial Summary

|  | Customers |  |  | Use Per Customer |  |  | Class Sales |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Annual Average | Annual <br> Change | \% Change | Annual Average (MWh) | Annual <br> Change <br> (MWh) | \% Change | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ (\mathrm{MWh}) \end{gathered}$ | Annual <br> Change <br> (MWh) | \% Change |
| 1990 | 654 |  |  | 71 |  |  | 46,235 |  |  |
| 1991 | 745 | 91 | 13.9 | 82 | 12 | 16.5 | 61,339 | 15,104 | 32.7 |
| 1992 | 820 | 75 | 10.1 | 75 | -7 | -8.6 | 61,727 | 389 | 0.6 |
| 1993 | 879 | 59 | 7.2 | 75 | 0 | -0.1 | 66,082 | 4,355 | 7.1 |
| 1994 | 939 | 60 | 6.8 | 77 | 2 | 2.5 | 72,341 | 6,259 | 9.5 |
| 1995 | 1,007 | 68 | 7.2 | 92 | 15 | 20.0 | 93,085 | 20,744 | 28.7 |
| 1996 | 1,087 | 80 | 7.9 | 85 | -7 | -7.5 | 92,937 | -148 | -0.2 |
| 1997 | 1,165 | 78 | 7.2 | 88 | 2 | 2.9 | 102,512 | 9,575 | 10.3 |
| 1998 | 1,264 | 99 | 8.5 | 90 | 2 | 2.2 | 113,645 | 11,133 | 10.9 |
| 1999 | 1,373 | 109 | 8.6 | 92 | 2 | 1.8 | 125,681 | 12,036 | 10.6 |
| 2000 | 1,510 | 137 | 10.0 | 93 | 1 | 1.5 | 140,359 | 14,678 | 11.7 |
| 2001 | 1,625 | 115 | 7.6 | 87 | -6 | -6.3 | 141,591 | 1,232 | 0.9 |
| 2002 | 1,690 | 65 | 4.0 | 82 | -5 | -6.1 | 138,298 | -3,293 | -2.3 |
| 2003 | 1,753 | 63 | 3.7 | 86 | 4 | 5.2 | 150,927 | 12,629 | 9.1 |
| 2004 | 1,791 | 38 | 2.2 | 90 | 4 | 4.5 | 161,106 | 10,180 | 6.7 |
| 2005 | 1,853 | 62 | 3.5 | 96 | 6 | 6.8 | 178,068 | 16,962 | 10.5 |
| 2006 | 1,930 | 77 | 4.2 | 107 | 11 | 11.8 | 207,408 | 29,340 | 16.5 |
| 2007 | 2,016 | 86 | 4.5 | 112 | 5 | 4.6 | 226,685 | 19,277 | 9.3 |
| 2008 | 2,086 | 70 | 3.5 | 103 | -9 | -8.4 | 214,939 | -11,746 | -5.2 |
| 2009 | 2,134 | 48 | 2.3 | 94 | -9 | -8.7 | 200,851 | -14,088 | -6.6 |
| 2010 | 2,175 | 41 | 1.9 | 96 | 2 | 1.6 | 208,010 | 7,158 | 3.6 |
| 2011 | 2,215 | 40 | 1.8 | 96 | 1 | 0.6 | 213,146 | 5,136 | 2.5 |
| 2012 | 2,264 | 49 | 2.2 | 97 | 0 | 0.4 | 218,708 | 5,562 | 2.6 |
| 2013 | 2,320 | 56 | 2.5 | 97 | 0 | 0.3 | 224,898 | 6,190 | 2.8 |
| 2014 | 2,381 | 61 | 2.6 | 97 | 0 | 0.3 | 231,414 | 6,515 | 2.9 |
| 2015 | 2,445 | 64 | 2.7 | 97 | 0 | 0.2 | 238,219 | 6,805 | 2.9 |
| 2016 | 2,510 | 65 | 2.7 | 98 | 0 | 0.3 | 245,227 | 7,008 | 2.9 |
| 2017 | 2,577 | 67 | 2.7 | 98 | 0 | 0.2 | 252,322 | 7,095 | 2.9 |
| 2018 | 2,644 | 67 | 2.6 | 98 | 0 | 0.2 | 259,459 | 7,137 | 2.8 |
| 2019 | 2,712 | 68 | 2.6 | 98 | 0 | 0.2 | 266,695 | 7,236 | 2.8 |
| 2020 | 2,782 | 70 | 2.6 | 99 | 0 | 0.2 | 274,155 | 7,460 | 2.8 |
| 2021 | 2,854 | 72 | 2.6 | 99 | 0 | 0.2 | 281,873 | 7,718 | 2.8 |
| 2022 | 2,929 | 75 | 2.6 | 99 | 0 | 0.2 | 289,834 | 7,961 | 2.8 |
| 2023 | 3,006 | 77 | 2.6 | 99 | 0 | 0.2 | 298,034 | 8,200 | 2.8 |
| 2024 | 3,084 | 78 | 2.6 | 99 | 0 | 0.2 | 306,389 | 8,356 | 2.8 |
| 2025 | 3,164 | 80 | 2.6 | 100 | 0 | 0.2 | 314,899 | 8,510 | 2.8 |
| 2026 | 3,246 | 82 | 2.6 | 100 | 0 | 0.2 | 323,596 | 8,697 | 2.8 |
| 2027 | 3,328 | 82 | 2.5 | 100 | 0 | 0.2 | 332,370 | 8,773 | 2.7 |
| 2028 | 3,410 | 82 | 2.5 | 100 | 0 | 0.2 | 341,167 | 8,797 | 2.6 |
| 2029 | 3,493 | 83 | 2.4 | 100 | 0 | 0.1 | 349,971 | 8,804 | 2.6 |
| 2030 | 3,576 | 83 | 2.4 | 100 | 0 | 0.1 | 358,777 | 8,807 | 2.5 |
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## Owen Electric <br> 2010 Load Forecast <br> Large Commercial Summary <br> Excluding Gallatin

|  | Customers |  |  | Use Per Customer |  |  | Class Sales |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Annual <br> Average | Annual Change | \% Change | Annual Average $(\mathrm{MWh})$ | Annual <br> Change <br> (MWh) | \% Change | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ (\mathrm{MWh}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Annual <br> Change <br> (MWh) | \% Change |
| 1990 | 2 |  |  | 10,061 |  |  | 20,123 |  |  |
| 1991 | 2 | 0 | 0.0 | 12,404 | 2,343 | 23.3 | 24,809 | 4,686 | 23.3 |
| 1992 | 2 | 0 | 0.0 | 12,096 | -308 | -2.5 | 24,192 | -617 | -2.5 |
| 1993 | 2 | 0 | 0.0 | 12,268 | 172 | 1.4 | 24,535 | 343 | 1.4 |
| 1994 | 4 | 2 | 100.0 | 6,301 | -5,967 | -48.6 | 25,204 | 669 | 2.7 |
| 1995 | 6 | 2 | 50.0 | 4,885 | -1,416 | -22.5 | 29,310 | 4,106 | 16.3 |
| 1996 | 8 | 2 | 33.3 | 4,450 | -435 | -8.9 | 35,603 | 6,293 | 21.5 |
| 1997 | 10 | 2 | 25.0 | 3,384 | -1,067 | -24.0 | 33,835 | -1,768 | -5.0 |
| 1998 | 12 | 2 | 20.0 | 2,692 | -691 | -20.4 | 32,309 | -1,527 | -4.5 |
| 1999 | 17 | 5 | 41.7 | 2,543 | -149 | -5.5 | 43,239 | 10,930 | 33.8 |
| 2000 | 20 | 3 | 17.6 | 3,792 | 1,248 | 49.1 | 75,839 | 32,600 | 75.4 |
| 2001 | 23 | 3 | 15.0 | 4,239 | 447 | 11.8 | 97,497 | 21,658 | 28.6 |
| 2002 | 21 | -2 | -8.7 | 5,405 | 1,166 | 27.5 | 113,503 | 16,006 | 16.4 |
| 2003 | 28 | 7 | 33.3 | 4,259 | -1,146 | -21.2 | 119,256 | 5,753 | 5.1 |
| 2004 | 30 | 2 | 7.1 | 4,623 | 364 | 8.5 | 138,685 | 19,430 | 16.3 |
| 2005 | 36 | 6 | 20.0 | 4,807 | 184 | 4.0 | 173,061 | 34,376 | 24.8 |
| 2006 | 26 | -10 | -27.8 | 7,618 | 2,811 | 58.5 | 198,064 | 25,003 | 14.4 |
| 2007 | 13 | -13 | -50.0 | 14,780 | 7,162 | 94.0 | 192,139 | -5,925 | -3.0 |
| 2008 | 16 | 3 | 23.1 | 13,256 | -1,524 | -10.3 | 212,094 | 19,955 | 10.4 |
| 2009 | 15 | -1 | -6.3 | 13,121 | -135 | -1.0 | 196,810 | -15,283 | -7.2 |
| 2010 | 19 | 4 | 26.7 | 11,408 | -1,713 | -13.1 | 216,749 | 19,938 | 10.1 |
| 2011 | 19 | 0 | 0.0 | 12,189 | 781 | 6.8 | 231,587 | 14,838 | 6.8 |
| 2012 | 21 | 2 | 10.5 | 12,928 | 739 | 6.1 | 271,488 | 39,901 | 17.2 |
| 2013 | 22 | 1 | 4.8 | 12,925 | -3 | 0.0 | 284,344 | 12,856 | 4.7 |
| 2014 | 23 | 1 | 4.5 | 12,837 | -88 | -0.7 | 295,246 | 10,902 | 3.8 |
| 2015 | 24 | 1 | 4.3 | 12,756 | -81 | -0.6 | 306,150 | 10,904 | 3.7 |
| 2016 | 25 | 1 | 4.2 | 12,686 | -70 | -0.6 | 317,152 | 11,001 | 3.6 |
| 2017 | 26 | 1 | 4.0 | 12,690 | 4 | 0.0 | 329,940 | 12,788 | 4.0 |
| 2018 | 27 | 1 | 3.8 | 12,696 | 6 | 0.0 | 342,789 | 12,849 | 3.9 |
| 2019 | 28 | 1 | 3.7 | 12,703 | 7 | 0.1 | 355,689 | 12,900 | 3.8 |
| 2020 | 29 | 1 | 3.6 | 12,713 | 10 | 0.1 | 368,677 | 12,988 | 3.7 |
| 2021 | 30 | 1 | 3.4 | 12,727 | 14 | 0.1 | 381,797 | 13,120 | 3.6 |
| 2022 | 31 | 1 | 3.3 | 12,744 | 17 | 0.1 | 395,063 | 13,267 | 3.5 |
| 2023 | 32 | 1 | 3.2 | 12,765 | 21 | 0.2 | 408,486 | 13,422 | 3.4 |
| 2024 | 33 | 1 | 3.1 | 12,789 | 24 | 0.2 | 422,044 | 13,559 | 3.3 |
| 2025 | 34 | 1 | 3.0 | 12,815 | 26 | 0.2 | 435,715 | 13,671 | 3.2 |
| 2026 | 35 | 1 | 2.9 | 12,843 | 28 | 0.2 | 449,503 | 13,788 | 3.2 |
| 2027 | 36 | 1 | 2.9 | 12,872 | 29 | 0.2 | 463,387 | 13,884 | 3.1 |
| 2028 | 37 | 1 | 2.8 | 12,901 | 29 | 0.2 | 477,327 | 13,940 | 3.0 |
| 2029 | 38 | 1 | 2.7 | 12,929 | 28 | 0.2 | 491,295 | 13,969 | 2.9 |
| 2030 | 39 | 1 | 2.6 | 12,956 | 27 | 0.2 | 505,278 | 13,982 | 2.8 |

## SERVICE AREA

OWEN ELECTIC COOPERATIVE is a RUS-funded electric distribution cooperative. OEC is located in Northern Kentucky. OEC serves portions of Boone, Kenton, Campbell, Grant, Pendleton, Carroll, Scott and Owen Counties. The headquarters are located in Owenton, KY (Owen County). See Map on following page.

The OEC service area is due south of Cincinnati, Ohio and north of Georgetown, Kentucky. The system has a fine balance of large industrial and commercial customers combined with a large residential base due to the close proximity to Cincinnati.

The following data is from OEC's 12/10 RUS Form 7:
Total Services in Place 60,166
MWH Purchased 2,224,298
MWH Sold
Maximum MW Demand
2,174,225
Total Utility Plant
Plant Dollars Per Active Member
Consumers/Mile
418.0
\$217,086,977
\$3,826
12.6

OEC will operate 27 delivery points and distributes power at a primary voltages of $12.5 / 7.2 \mathrm{kV}$ and $14.4 / 25 \mathrm{kV}$ over approximately 4,500 miles of line.

## OEC SYSTEM MAP



## GENERATION and TRANSMISSION POWER SUPPLIER

East Kentucky Power Cooperative (EKP) provides all power and energy needs to OEC. EKP provides service to the twenty-seven distribution substations. EKP is located in Winchester, Kentucky.

The 2010 Load Forecast (LF) is a joint effort between OEC and EKP. OEC provides loading data and system growth predictions to EKP for use in the LF growth models.

All new distribution, transmission, and substation construction requirements are considered simultaneously as a "one system" concept - between OEC \& EKP - for the orderly and economical development of the total system. All of the recommendations relative to power supply and delivery are discussed with EKP.

## SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM AND COSTS

Owen Electric's distribution system was analyzed in order to identify the construction requirements needed to adequately serve the projected CWP load of 310 MW. Improvements were identified based on voltage drop, conductor loading, system reliability improvements, economic conductor analysis and operational experience. A narrative list of system improvements is located in Section IV.

A breakdown of proposed construction projects by RUS 740C codes is listed below in Table I-C-1.

Table I-C-1
System Additions and Improvements Summary

| RUS Form 740C Category | Category Name | Estimated Cost |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 100 | New Distribution Line | $\$ 4,862,246$ |
| 300 |  <br> Replacement | $\$ 1,462,292$ |
| 600 | Misc. Equip. \& Poles | $\$ 11,873,546$ |
| 700 |  <br> SCADA/DA H/W \& S/W | $\$ 1,302,509$ |
| 1500 | GIS | $\$ 1,174,000$ |
|  | $2012-2013$ CWP TOTAL | $\$ 20,674,593$ |

100 - New Construction planned to serve 1,763 new services.
300 - 10.0 miles of conductor upgrading and replacement.
600 - Miscellaneous distribution equipment and pole changes. This includes aged conductor replacement, voltage regulators, switched capacitors, sectionalizing, automated meters, transformers, pole changes and increased service capacity upgrades.

700 - Other Distribution Items - Outdoor lighting, and software and hardware for AMI, and SCADA/DA.

1500- GIS Items - Related Hardware and Software for GIS and field inventory.
OWEN ELECTRIC 2012-2013 Construction Workplan COST SUMMARY SPREADSHEET

| NEW CONSTRUCTION -- RUS CODE 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM | RUS CODE |  | AVE. \$/CONSUMER | \# CONS. |  | 2012 | 2013 | TOTAL |
| New Overhead Construction | 100 |  | \$4,366 | 448 |  | \$958,917 | \$997,273 | \$1,956,190 |
| New Underground Construction | 101 |  | \$1,932 | 1,270 |  | \$1,202,477 | \$1,250,576 | \$2,453,053 |
| New LP Construction | 102 |  | \$10,067 | 45 |  | \$176,954 | \$276,049 | \$453,003 |
|  |  |  | TOTAL CODE 100: | 1,763 |  | \$2,338,348 | \$2,523,898 | \$4,862,246 |
| LINE CONVERSION / REPLACEMENT - RUS CODE 300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SUB - SECTION | RUS CODE | Original Conductor | INST. COND/\#-PH | \$/MILES | \# OF MILES | 2012 | 2013 | TOTAL |
| Banklick 45098-45414 | 327 | $1 \mathrm{ph} \# 2$ ACSR | 3 ph 336 ACSR | \$167,300 | 0.8 | \$0 | \$139,194 | \$139,194 |
| Big Bone 27348-27079 | 328 | $1 \mathrm{ph} \mathrm{6A} \mathrm{CWC}$ | 3 ph 1/0 ACSR | \$135,600 | 0.3 | \$40,680 | \$0 | \$40,680 |
| Carson 51-29 | 329 | $1 \mathrm{ph} \# 2$ ACSR | $3 \mathrm{ph} \# 2$ ACSR | \$100,000 | 0.2 | \$20,000 | \$0 | \$20,000 |
| Hebron 19954-19420 | 330 | 3 ph 1/0 ACSR | 3 ph 336 ACSR | \$167,300 | 1.1 | \$184,030 | \$0 | \$184,030 |
| Keith 17337-21023 | 331 | $1 \mathrm{ph} \# 2$ ACSR | $3 \mathrm{ph} \# 2$ ACSR | \$100,000 | 2.3 | \$230,000 | \$0 | \$230,000 |
| MBUSA | 332 | Getaways |  | \$167,300 | 1.1 | \$200,000 | \$0 | \$200,000 |
| Munk 27260-25585 | 333 | $1 \mathrm{ph} \# 2 \mathrm{ACSR}$ | $3 \mathrm{ph} 1 / 0 \mathrm{ACSR}$ | \$135,600 | 1.0 | \$0 | \$141,024 | \$141,024 |
| Penn 34875-67711 | 334 | $1 \mathrm{ph} \# 2$ ACSR | $3 \mathrm{ph} 1 / 0 \mathrm{ACSR}$ | \$135,600 | 0.8 | \$0 | \$112,819 | \$112,819 |
| Williamstown 67678-39269 | 335 | $1 \mathrm{ph} \# 2 \mathrm{ACSR}$ | $3 \mathrm{ph} 1 / 0$ ACSR | \$135,600 | 0.6 | \$81,360 | \$0 | \$81,360 |
| Williamstown 38823-36268 | 336 | 1 ph 1/0 ACSR | 3 ph 336 ACSR | \$167,300 | 1.8 | \$0 | \$313,186 | \$313,186 |
|  |  |  | TOTAL CODE 300: |  | 10.0 | \$756,070 | \$706,222 | \$1,462,292 |

[^0]OWEN ELECTRIC 2012-2013 Construction Workplan
COST SUMMARY SPREADSHEET CON'T

OTHER DIST. ITEMS - RUS CODE 700

2012-2013 Kentucky 37-Owen
CONSTRUCTION WORK PLAN TOTAL: $\mathbf{\$ 2 0 , 6 7 4 , 5 9 3}$

## DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM DESIGN CRITERIA

Each of the following criteria items were reviewed and accepted by the RUS General Field Representative on August 11, 2011.

1) The minimum primary voltage (on a 120 volt base) is 118 volts after re-regulation. The source voltage is 126 volts.
2) Primary conductors will be evaluated for replacement, or alternative action, if they exceed $75 \%$ of their thermal rating.
3) The following line equipment will not be thermally loaded by more than the percentage shown:

| ii. | Transformers | $\underline{130 \%}$ | $\underline{100 \%}$ |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :---: |
| iii. | Voltage Regulators | $130 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| iv. | Step Up / Down Transformers | $130 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| v. | Reclosers / Line Fuses | $80 \%$ | $80 \%$ |

4) Underground conductors will be considered for replacement on an as needed basis.
5) Overhead conductors will be considered for replacement on an as needed basis.
6) New primary construction is to be either overhead, or underground, based on governmental or environmental regulations, local restrictions, favorable economics, developmental requests, or safety concerns.
7) Single-phase lines with more than 45 Amps of load current will be evaluated for multi-phasing.

## DISTRIBUTION LINE AND EQUIPMENT COSTS

Construction cost estimates for the two year planning period are shown in Table II-B-1. Cost summaries for distribution equipment are shown in Table II-B-2.

Table II-B-1
Line Construction Cost Estimates Annual Projected Dollars/Mile*

| SIZE | TYPE | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 |  |  |  |
| 1/0 ACSR | CONV 3-PH | $\$ 135,600$ | $\$ 141,000$ |
| 336.4 ACSR | CONV 3-PH | $\$ 167,300$ | $\$ 174,000$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| \#2 ACSR | REPL 1-PH | $\$ 42,300$ | $\$ 44,000$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1/0 ALUG | REPL 1-PH | $\$ 130,000$ | $\$ 135,200$ |
| 1/0 ALUG | CONV 3-PH | $\$ 300,000$ | $\$ 312,000$ |
| 500 MCM ALUG | CONV 3-PH | $\$ 590,000$ | $\$ 613,600$ |

Table II-B-2
Distribution Equipment Cost Estimates
Annual Projected Unit Costs*

| DEVICE | TYPE | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | 2013 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| V.Regulators (3) | 219 amp | $\$ 64,600$ | $\$ 67,200$ |
| V.Regulators (3) | 150 amp | $\$ 45,600$ | $\$ 47,500$ |
| V.Regulators (1) | 100 amp | $\$ 26,400$ | $\$ 27,500$ |

* Dollar amounts reflect material, direct labor costs, and a 60\% indirect labor overhead multiplier.


## STATUS OF PREVIOUS CWP ITEMS

All projects from the 2010-2011 CWP have been completed except the following:

| $\mathbf{7 4 0}$ C \# | Project Description | Status |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{3 0 1}$ | Moffett Rd | Carryover - 327 |
| $\mathbf{3 0 9}$ | Aulick Rd. | Cancelled -Alternate <br> solution planned. |
| $\mathbf{3 1 0}$ | Butler-Greenwood | Deferred |
| $\mathbf{3 1 1}$ | Williams Rd. | Carryover - 330 |
| $\mathbf{3 1 3}$ | Fortner Ridge Rd. | Deferred |
| $\mathbf{3 1 4}$ | Breck Rd. | Deferred |
| $\mathbf{3 1 5}$ | Swope Rd. | Carryover - 331 |
| $\mathbf{3 1 7}$ | Violet Rd. | Deferred |
| $\mathbf{3 2 4}$ | Fairview-Knoxville Rd. | Deferred |
| $\mathbf{3 2 5}$ | Ragtown Rd. | Carryover - 335 |
| $\mathbf{3 2 6}$ | Keefer Rd. | Carryover - 336 |

## ANALYSIS OF 2006 LONG RANGE PLAN

The 2006 Twenty-Year Long Range Plan (LRP) consists of three load block levels. Load block "A" was a five-year load level, load block "B" represented the 10-year load level, and load block "C" represented the 20 year load level. The Long Range Load Level ("C") system summer 2026 peak is approximately 550 MW (excluding Gallatin Steel). The summer 2013 system peak projected in this CWP is 310 MW.

In Load Block A, the LRP recommended four new substation sites and expansions to three existing substation sites. The new substations were Burlington, Sterling, Woolper Creek, and Blanchet. The substations to be expanded/doubled were Munk, Williamstown and Banklick.

The Burlington and Sterling substations were added during the 2008-2009 CWP. The Burlington substation is scheduled for an upgrade by summer 2013 in order for it to serve as an emergency backup for a critical load. The Woolper Creek substation will be called the Belleview substation, and will be completed during the 2010-2011 CWP. The Blanchet substation has been deferred pending analysis of the Penn substation service territory and the future rehabilitation/upgrade of the Penn substation.

Expansion of the Munk substation has been deferred because of load transfer from the Munk service territory to the Noel substation. Also it has been determined that an expansion of the Munk substation is not feasible because of space limitations. The alternative to the Munk expansion was a proposed substation near the town of Crittenden. Due to slower economic growth than anticipated in the LRP, the need for a substation around the Crittenden area has been deferred.

The Williamstown expansion will need to occur at the onset of the next CWP. A new 5MW amusement park, The Ark, will be served by the Williamstown substation and is scheduled to open in late 2014 or early 2015.

The Banklick substation expansion will be analyzed in the next Construction Work Plan. To increase reliability between Banklick and Grantslick substation, a new substation site may be selected in lieu of a substation upgrade at Banklick. Until a new substation or upgrade of Banklick is available, load transfers can be managed with Bristow and Duro to offload Banklick.

In Load Block B, the LRP recommended three substation expansions, and three new substation sites. These new substations were North Point, Independence, and Toebben. The substations recommended for expansion were Noel, Bullittsville, and Woolper Creek (now called Belleview).

## ANALYSIS OF 2006 LONG RANGE PLAN -con't

Due to slow residential expansion in western Boone County, the North Point substation is no longer considered as a preferred solution. A large planned residential/commercial community off of North Bend Road, on the eastern part of the Hebron substation territory, has made an expansion of the existing Hebron substation the preferred solution for offloading the existing Hebron transformer. A second transformer at Hebron will be needed at the beginning of the next CWP.

The remaining planned new construction and expansion of substations outlined in Load Block B are not needed in this CWP, and presently are not scheduled with EKP. Load growth will be monitored in each of these areas to determine when the planned expansions will be necessary.

In Load Block C (Long-Range Level), the LRP recommended six new substation sites. These substations were Waterloo, Richwood, Alexandria, Lake Williamstown, Sulphur Well, and North Holbrook.

The Richwood substation was expedited due to expansion in the Duro industrial park area. The Richwood substation was energized the summer of 2010.

The 2006 LRP recommended numerous distribution upgrades that include aged conductor replacement over the 20-year Long Range Planning period.

The 2012-2013 CWP is in basic agreement with the current LRP. All recommendations in the current LRP were closely analyzed during the updating process.

## OPERATIONS \& MAINTENANCE SURVEY

The current O\&M Survey ("Review Rating Summary") was completed in July 2009. A copy of the survey is included as an Appendix of this report.

OEC will continue to coordinate with other utilities through frequent follow-ups concerning joint use compliance. This will alleviate issues with poles left standing next to electric poles once a line has been changed.

## SECTIONALIZING STUDIES

A sectionalizing study analyzes the existing overcurrent protection scheme and proposes changes to improve the overall effectiveness of the scheme.
Sectionalizing studies take place on a substation-by-substation basis.
The four main goals of a sectionalizing study are Safety, Reliability, Coordination, and Protection.

1. Safety - Sectionalizing devices should be able to detect and interrupt the full range of fault currents available in their zone of protection coverage. Calculated minimum fault current values should be detected and cleared by the protective device.
2. Reliability - Limit the outage hours per consumer by isolating or "sectionalizing" faulted portions of the circuit so that the minimum number of customers are interrupted. Additional devices - where needed - will further limit the overall outage hours.
3. Coordination - Good protective device coordination will ensure that the closest device to the fault opens. Fault locating is also enhanced. Miscoordination of protective devices can cause confusion and ultimately add to outage times.
4. Protection - A well designed protection scheme will minimize damage to the distribution system by limiting the time that damaging overcurrent is present on the faulted portion of the system.

Changes that can affect the coordination scheme include: load growth; substation transformer capacity increases; reconductoring distribution lines; single-phase to threephase conversions; changes in the system's circuit configuration; and the addition of loads in specific locations.

The ongoing, substation-by-substation sectionalizing study will continue after the completion of the CWP report. General sectionalizing device cost projections will be listed in the "603" category in this report.
TABLE II-E-1
HISTORICAL AND FORECAST LOAD IN KVA

| NAME | Installed Capability |  |  |  | Existing Winter |  | 2 Year Winter Unimproved |  | 2 Year Winter Improved |  | Existing Summer |  | 2 Year Summer Unimproved |  | 2 Year Summer Improved |  | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nameplate } \\ (\mathrm{kVA}) \end{gathered}$ | Cooling | Winter Rating (kVA) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Summer } \\ \text { Rating } \\ \text { (kVA) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan '11 } \\ & (\mathrm{kVFA}) \end{aligned}$ | \%Load | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan '14 } \\ & (\mathrm{kVV}) \end{aligned}$ | \%Load | Jan '14 (kVA) | \%Load | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July '11 } \\ & \text { (kVA) } \end{aligned}$ | \%Load | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{July} \text { '13 } \\ & (\mathrm{kVVA} \end{aligned}$ | \%Load | July '13 (kVA) | \%Load |  |
| Bank Lick | 14000 | OAFA-65C | 17100 | 13620 | 10,098 | 59.05\% | 11,232 | 65.68\% | 9,384 | 54.88\% | 12,253 | 89.96\% | 12,802 | 93.99\% | 10,614 | 77.93\% | 5 |
| Bavarian | 20000 | OAFAFA-65C | 14400 | 14400 | 4,675 | 32.47\% | 5,444 | 37.81\% | 5,444 | 37.81\% | 3,658 | 25.40\% | 4,172 | 28.97\% | 4,172 | 28.97\% |  |
| Big Bone | 14000 | OAFA-65C | 17100 | 13620 | 3,626 | 21.20\% | 4,163 | 24.35\% | 4,273 | 24.99\% | 3,814 | 28.00\% | 4,064 | 29.84\% | 4,133 | 30.35\% |  |
| Boone Distribution | 25000 | OAFAFA-65C | 17100 | 17100 | 11,172 | 65.33\% | 12,969 | 75.84\% | 12,969 | 75.84\% | 13,537 | 79.16\% | 13,953 | 81.60\% | 13,953 | 1.60 |  |
| Bristow | 14000 | OAFA-65C | 17100 | 13620 | 6,204 | 36.28\% | 8,063 | 47.15\% | 8,063 | 47.15\% | 6,555 | 48.13\% | 6,667 | 48.95\% | 6,667 | 48.95\% |  |
| Bristow II | 14000 | OAFA-65C | 14400 | 13620 | 5,757 | 39.98\% | 7,375 | 51.22\% | 8,374 | 58.15\% | 7,781 | 57.13\% | 8,322 | 61.10\% | 9,832 | 72.19\% | 6 |
| Bromley | 14000 | OAFA-65C | 13740 | 13620 | 7,678 | 55.88\% | 7,984 | 58.11\% | 7,825 | 56.95\% | 6,177 | 45.35\% | 6,350 | 46.62\% | 6,159 | 45.22\% |  |
| Bullitssulle | 14000 | OAFA-65C | 17100 | 13620 | 7,019 | 41.05\% | 8,042 | 47.03\% | 6,770 | 39.59\% | 13,385 | 98.27\% | 13,976 | 102.61\% | 10,832 | 79.53\% | 2,8 |
| Burlington | 14000 | OAFA-65C | 14400 | 14010 | 7,498 | 52.07\% | 15,099 | 104.85\% | 8,199/11,677 | 56.94\%/81.09\% | 10,179 | 72.66\% | 16,786 | 119.81\% | 9,319/13,043 | 66.52\%/93.10\% | 2 |
| Carson | 11200 | OA-65C | 13740 | 11080 | 7,030 | 51.16\% | 8,929 | 64.99\% | 8929 | 64.99\% | 6,409 | 57.84\% | 8,653 | 78.10\% | 8,649 | 78.06\% |  |
| Downing \#1 | 14000 | OAFA-65C | 17100 | 13620 | 6,835 | 39.97\% | 7,667 | 44.84\% | 7,667 | 44.84\% | 10,221 | 75.04\% | 10,488 | 77.00\% | 10,488 | 77.00\% |  |
| Downing \#2 | 14000 | OAFA-65C | 14400 | 13620 | 2,631 | 18.27\% | 2,730 | 18.96\% | 2,730 | 18.96\% | 3,340 | 24.52\% | 3,390 | 24.89\% | 3,390 | 24.89\% |  |
| Duro \#1 | 14000 | OAFA-65C | 14400 | 13620 | 10,543 | 73.22\% | 21,209 | 147.28\% | 945 | 6.56\% | 12,220 | 89.72\% | 19,922 | 146.27\% | 1,065 | 7.82\% | 3 |
| Duro \#2 | 14000 | OAFA-65C | 13700 | 13620 | 5,170 | 37.74\% | 7,055 | 51.50\% | 7,889 | 57.58\% | 8,997 | 66.06\% | 6,015 | 44.16\% | 6,692 | 49.13\% | 5 |
| Gallatin County \#2 | 20000 | OAFAFA-65C | 20730 | 18500 | 5,459 | 26.33\% | 5,612 | 27.07\% | 5,612 | 27.07\% | 8,677 | 46.90\% | 11,377 | 61.50\% | 11,377 | 61.50\% |  |
| Grants Lick \#1 | 14000 | OAFA-65C | 14400 | 13620 | 7,886 | 54.76\% | 8,766 | 60.88\% | 8,763 | 60.85\% | 7,243 | 53.18\% | 7,666 | 56.28\% | 7,661 | 56.25\% |  |
| Grants Lick \#2 | 20000 | OAFAFA-65C | 17100 | 17100 | 15,842 | 92.64\% | 16,910 | 98.89\% | 16,918 | 98.94\% | 13,315 | 77.87\% | 13,452 | 78.67\% | 13,456 | 78.69\% | 9 |
| Griffin | 11200 | OA-65C | 13740 | 11070 | 7,657 | 55.73\% | 9,449 | 68.77\% | 9,445 | 68.74\% | 8,493 | 76.72\% | 8,675 | 78.36\% | 8,667 | 78.29\% |  |
| Hebron | 20000 | OAFAFA-65C | 23610 | 19200 | 9,183 | 38.89\% | 12,561 | 53.20\% | 12,128 | 51.37\% | 15,676 | 81.65\% | 18,194 | 94.76\% | 17,646 | 91.91\% | 7 |
| Keith | 11200 | OA-65C | 13740 | 8820 | 9,762 | 71.05\% | 11,024 | 80.23\% | 11,137 | 81.06\% | 7,249 | 82.19\% | 7,517 | 85.23\% | 7,676 | 87.03\% |  |
| Keith \#2 | 11200 | OA-65C | 15720 | 11070 | 1,564 | 9.95\% | 1,570 | 9.99\% | 1,570 | 9.99\% | 1,717 | N/A | 1,720 | 15.54\% | 1,720 | 15.54\% |  |
| Munk | 14000 | OAFA-65C | 17100 | 13620 | 11,852 | 69.31\% | 12,171 | 71.18\% | 12,171 | 71.18\% | 9,305 | 68.32\% | 9,647 | 70.83\% | 9,639 | 70.77\% |  |
| Oakley Noel | 11200 | OA-65C | 13740 | 1108 | 9,310 | 67.76\% | 10,740 | 78.17\% | 10,731 | 78.10\% | 9,585 | 86.51\% | 9,915 | 89.49\% | 9,909 | 89.43\% | 4 |
| Penn | 14000 | OAFA-65C | 14400 | 13620 | 10,688 | 74.22\% | 11,822 | 82.10\% | 11,891 | 82.58\% | 9,456 | 69.43\% | 9,837 | 72.22\% | 9,917 | 72.81\% |  |
| Richardson \#1 | 14000 | OAFA-65C | 17100 | 13620 | 7,864 | 45.99\% | 11,341 | 66.32\% | 8,206/8,183 | 48.0\%/47.9\% | 10,812 | 79.38\% | 13,532 | 99.35\% | 10,461/9,364 | 76.9\%/68.8\% | 1 |
| Richardson \#2 | 11200 | OA-65C | 14400 | 11080 | 4,137 | 28.73\% | 4,717 | 32.76\% | 4,717 | 32.76\% | 4,465 | 40.30\% | 4,562 | 41.17\% | 4,562 | 41.17\% |  |
| Richwood | 20000 | OAFAFA-65C | 24800 | 19200 | 4,440 | 17.90\% | 5,790 | 23.35\% | 5,790 | 23.35\% | 9,094 | 47.36\% | 8,588 | 44.73\% | 8,588 | 44.73\% |  |
| Sterling | 20000 | OAFAFA-65C | 14400 | 14400 | 6,547 | 45.47\% | 8,170 | 56.74\% | 8,058 | 55.96\% | 8,129 | 56.45\% | 9,444 | 65.58\% | 9,374 | 65.10\% |  |
| Turkey Foot | 14000 | OAFA-65C | 14400 | 13620 | 9,525 | 66.15\% | 11,570 | 80.35\% | 14,683/14,701 | 102\%/102\% | 7,993 | 58.69\% | 8,829 | 64.82\% | 11,796/12,867 | 86.6\%/94.5\% | 1,10 |
| W. M. Smith \#1 | 14000 | OAFA-65C | 14400 | 13620 | 5,793 | 40.23\% | 6,068 | 42.14\% | 6,068 | 42.14\% | 8,753 | 64.27\% | 9,127 | 67.01\% | 9,127 | 67.01\% |  |
| W. M. Smith \#2 | 14000 | OAFA-65C | 14400 | 13620 | 6,330 | 43.96 | 6,746 | 46.85\% | 6,746 | 46.85\% | 35 | 70.74\% | 9,799 | 71.95\% | 9,800 | 71.95\% |  |
| W. R. Smoot \#1 | 11200 | OA-65C | 17100 | 13620 | 7,641 | 44.68\% | 9,374 | 54.82\% | 9,375 | 54.82\% | 9,348 | 68.63\% | 9,748 | 71.57\% | 9,748 | 71.57\% |  |
| W. R. Smoot \#2 | 14000 | OAFA-65C | 14400 | 13620 | 8,448 | 58.67\% | 8,817 | 61.23\% | 8,817 | 61.23\% | 10,123 | 74.32\% | 10,237 | 75.16\% | 10,237 | 75.16\% |  |
| Williamstown | 14000 | OAFA-65C | 17100 | 13620 | 11,630 | 68.01\% | 12,780 | 74.74\% | 12,708 | 74.32\% | 11,412 | 83.79\% | 12,809 | 94.05\% | 12,570 | 92.29\% |  |
| Belleview | 11200 | OA-65C | 14400 | 11080 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 7,880 | 54.72\% | NA | N/A | N/A | N/A | 9,172 | 82.78\% |  |
| Messier | 25000 | OAFAFA-65C | 31000 | 24000 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 20,228 | 65.25\% | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 19,310 | 80.46\% |  |

[^1]1. SD1 on Richardson 1 / Turkeyfoot
Autumn Dr. permanently switched to Turkeyfoot.
Circlewood Dr. fed from opposite substation as SD

[^2]SUBSTATION LOAD

|  |  | $\stackrel{1}{1}$ |  |  | 4 | 0 | － | 山 | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | 10 | － | $\bigcirc$ | 10 | u | － | $\bigcirc$ |  | － |  |  | $\stackrel{1}{1}$ | 0 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | O | 0 | O | 山 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & \stackrel{1}{7} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{y}{g}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{o} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\mathfrak{A}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{9}-\underset{\sim}{i}$ | $\underset{j}{2}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} P \\ \underset{\sim}{j} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\dot{b}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & \underset{7}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \underset{\sim}{j} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \text { ñ } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{c} \underset{\sim}{0} \text { g }$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{O}{\text { J }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{q} \\ & \vec{j} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{o} \\ & \dot{q} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{-1}{ }$ | A |  |  |
|  |  | － 0 | － | － | $\vdash$ | － | － | －- | $\vdash$ | － | － | －- | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 1 | － |  | －- | － |  |  | － | － | $1-$ | － | － |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\underset{7}{7}$ | $$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4} \\ \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{N}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{N}{0} \\ \stackrel{\sim}{9} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hat{o} \\ \dot{H} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{c}$ | $\stackrel{\substack{\mathrm{o}}}{\substack{\mathrm{j} \\ \underset{\sim}{j} \\ \hline}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ \underset{\sim}{n} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{O} \\ \underset{\sim}{7} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{C} \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{N}} \\ & \stackrel{y}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{0} \\ & \vec{i} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\sim}{0} \\ \underset{\sim}{\mid} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{\substack{\underset{\sim}{j} \\ \hline}}$ |  |  | $\dot{\exists}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{N}{\tilde{j}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $$ | $$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \underset{\sim}{0} \\ \underset{\sim}{\mid} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{N}{\mathbf{H}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{j} \end{aligned}$ | O |
|  |  | $\underset{\sim}{\lambda}$ | $\cdots$ | 今 | $\vec{A}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\lambda}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\dot{\sim} \mid \vec{\lambda}$ | $\underset{A}{\prime}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{n}$ | $\underset{\sim}{n}) \stackrel{7}{\lambda}$ | $\underset{\sim}{7}$ | $\underset{y}{\lambda}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\text { ¢ }}$ | － | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \underset{~}{7} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{7}{7}$ | $\hat{\sim}$ | $\underset{\sim}{7}$ | $\cdots$ |  | ㄱ |  | $\cdots$ | $\therefore \hat{i}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N}$ | : | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\lambda}$ | $\underset{i}{ }$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & \dot{a} \end{aligned}\right.$ | 云 | $\xrightarrow{-}$ |
|  |  | $\underset{\sim}{\lambda}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{7}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{7}$ | $\stackrel{7}{7}$ | $\underset{\sim}{3}$ | $\hat{\underset{n}{n}} \mid$ | $\dot{n}\|\underset{\sim}{7}\|$ | $\underset{i}{7}$ | $\|\hat{}\|$ | $\dot{\sim} \cdot \underset{\sim}{i}$ | $\underset{y}{n}$ | $\underset{y}{7}$ | ค | － | $\stackrel{7}{7}$ | $\stackrel{\text { İ }}{\text { a }}$ | $\hat{\sim}$ | $\underset{A}{4}$ | $\cdots$ |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\lambda}$ |  | $\overline{7}$ | $A$ |  |  | $\stackrel{i}{\lambda}$ | in | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\lambda}$ | $\stackrel{7}{7}$ | $\|\underset{A}{7}\|$ | $\stackrel{\text { A }}{ }$ |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|ll\|} \hline 0 & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ i & \tilde{N} \end{array}$ | $\underset{\substack{n}}{\vec{n}} \sum_{0}^{\mathbb{1}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \vec{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \overrightarrow{\tilde{n}} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\overrightarrow{\hat{n}} \overrightarrow{\hat{a}} \underset{\sim}{n}$ | $\hat{i}$ | $\vec{A} \overrightarrow{\tilde{n}}$ | $\overrightarrow{\hat{n}} \overrightarrow{\hat{a}} \underset{\sim}{n}$ | $\hat{i}$ | $\overrightarrow{a r}$ | $\hat{n}$ | $\hat{h}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \vec{\sigma} \\ & \vec{j} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\vec{~}$ | $\vec{n}$ | $\hat{i}$ | $\sum_{0}^{\top}$ | $7$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{\hat{\sim}} \mid$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{7} \underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{n}}$ |  |  |  | $\sum_{a}^{G}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline \hat{\tilde{n}} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \\ \underset{\sim}{n} \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\overrightarrow{\tilde{n}} \mid$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \overrightarrow{9} \\ \underset{7}{2} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ g \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n}$ |
|  |  | $\stackrel{i}{n} \stackrel{n}{n} \approx$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \sum_{n}^{1} \\ \sum_{n} \\ \hline \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\sum_{n}^{-}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | $\sum_{n}^{4} \sum_{n}^{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 菏 } \\ & \sum_{n} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\sum_{n}^{4}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline 1 \\ \sum_{n}^{\prime} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\sum_{n}^{a} \sum_{n}^{\infty}$ | $\sum_{n}^{0}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \sum_{n}^{1} \\ & n_{n} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\sum_{n}^{4}$ | $\sum_{n}^{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | $\sim$ | $\sum_{n}$ |  |  |  |  | $\sum_{n}^{4} \sum_{n}^{4}$ |  |  | $\approx$ |  | $\sum_{n}^{\sim}$ | $\hat{S}_{0}^{n}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \widetilde{A} \\ \sum_{n}^{2} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | ${ }_{n}^{4}$ |
|  |  | $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{A}}$ | へ | べ | $\stackrel{\sim}{7}$ | へ્入入 | $\bigcirc$ | O | N | O | O | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | N | \％ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{1}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{7}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{7}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{7}$ | へ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | ヘ |
|  |  |  | or | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \stackrel{0}{n} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\dot{\sim} \dot{\sim}$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \dot{d} \\ \dot{A} \end{array}\right\|$ | 寸i | $\underset{\sim}{~}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \hat{j} \\ \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  | $\underset{f}{f}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{\dot{d}} \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\underset{\substack{t} \hat{i} \mid}{\hat{c}}$ | $\dot{\vec{u}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|c\|} \hline \\ \dot{\sim} \end{array}$ | 『i |  |  |  | 抵\| | $\underset{7}{\circ} \underset{\sim}{9}$ |  |  | $\dot{\vec{~}}$ | if | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{*} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\dot{d}}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \infty \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{array}$ | $\underset{子}{\dot{\sigma}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |
|  |  | $\underset{\sim}{q} \underset{寸}{q}$ | 家 | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\dot{\nabla}$ | $\dot{d}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\dot{\sim}}$ | $\dot{7}$ | $\dot{d}$ | $\hat{i}$ | $\dot{i}$ | $\left.\begin{gathered} \dot{d} \\ \dot{j} \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | $\underset{f}{f} \underset{f}{\dot{f}}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{j} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\underset{\sim}{f} \underset{\substack{\hat{c} \\ \hline}}{ }$ | $\dot{j}$ | $\mathfrak{l} \begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\tilde{\sim}} \mid$ | $\dot{\sim}$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{\circ}$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{\dot{A}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{f}$ |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{\dot{f}}$ | in | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \underset{\sim}{\dot{1}} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\dot{J}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \infty \\ \dot{m} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\underset{\dot{f}}{\substack{\mid}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |
|  |  |  | 8 | $\underset{\sim}{\circ}$ | o̊\| | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}$ | : | $\stackrel{\substack{n \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline}}{\circ}$ | $\dot{\phi}$ | 新守 | $\stackrel{\infty}{0}$ | $0$ | \％ | $\circ$ | $\underset{\substack{0 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline \\ \hline}}{ }$ | $\ddot{0}$ | $8 \text { Bo }$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{0} \mid$ | \％ |  | ৪ | $8$ | © | : |  |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | 荌 | $\stackrel{\circ}{8}$ | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | \|8 | \％ | m |
|  |  | $\underset{\sim}{\dot{m}} \underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\dot{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \dot{q} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \underset{\sim}{n} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{i}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \underset{\sim}{\sim} \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $\dot{f} \dot{f} \underset{\sim}{c} \underset{\sim}{n}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \substack{\sim \\ \sim} \end{array}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim} \underset{\sim}{n}$ | $\dot{i} \dot{f} \mid \underset{\sim}{n}$ | $\dot{\hat{i}} \underset{\underset{\sim}{n}}{\underset{\sim}{n}}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \underset{\sim}{n} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\dot{c}$ | $\underset{f}{\hat{f}} \underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{n}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \dot{q} \\ & \dot{c} \end{aligned}$ | $\dot{c}+\infty$ |  | 永 | $$ | $\dot{i} \mid \dot{\sim}$ |  | $\dot{\sim} \mid$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim} \underset{\sim}{\sim}$ |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\dot{i} \dot{\sim}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{n} \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\dot{\sim}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \underset{\sim}{\dot{m}} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \underset{\sim}{n} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{n}$ |
|  |  | $\underset{\sim}{c} \underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\sim}{m}} \mid$ | $\dot{p}$ | $\underset{i}{9}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 9 \\ \underset{A}{2} \end{array}$ | An | $\stackrel{n}{2} \dot{\sim}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} n \\ \infty \\ \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{9}$ | $\underset{\sim}{9}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\sim}{n}$ | $\dot{\theta}$ | $\mathfrak{c}$ | $\dot{i}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\infty$ | $\mid$ | $\lambda$ |  | $\underset{~}{\lambda}$ | $\therefore$ |  |  | $\stackrel{9}{7} \mid$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & \underset{\lambda}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} n \\ \dot{\sim} \\ \hline \end{array}\right\|$ | $\hat{A}$ | N |
|  |  | 0  <br> 0  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{\lambda} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathbf{U} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{\lambda} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \substack{0 \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & u \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} 6 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{y} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $5$ |  |  | U |
|  |  | 冋 | \％ | लু | ¢ | \％ | ¢ | $\bigcirc$ | \％ | ¢ | 守 | ¢ | ¢ | ¢ | 喜 | － 0 | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | \％ | A | m | ～ิ | \％ |  | 子 | 子 |  |  | \％ | $\bar{q}$ | － | ¢ | © | \％ | A |
|  |  | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}-\infty$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \infty \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\dot{m}}$ |  |  | $$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline N \\ \underset{\sim}{n} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\overbrace{t}^{\prime}$ |  |  | $\underset{\substack{~}}{\substack{\dot{d} \\ \underset{\sim}{\circ} \\ \hline}}$ | $\dot{f}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\sim} \\ & \underset{N}{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\underset{\substack{\dot{\sim} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \\ \hline \\ \hline}}{ }$ | 苃 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \underset{N}{N} \\ \underset{\sim}{n} \end{array}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ |  | an |  |  |  | $\dot{\sim}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{7} \\ & {\underset{\sim}{0}}_{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty} \mid$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{array}$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{\square}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{l\|l\|} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}} & \stackrel{\sim}{n} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\dot{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & f \end{aligned}$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \underset{\sim}{0} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{c\|c} \widetilde{\sim} \\ \stackrel{\sim}{n} & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\bullet}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \hat{O} \\ \overrightarrow{-} \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \dot{\sim} \mid \underset{\sim}{\dot{\sim}}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{\mathcal{W}} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{c}$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 9 \\ 9 \\ 9 \end{array}\right\|$ |  | ni | $\left(\begin{array}{c} \infty \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \\ \text { in } \end{array}$ | $\cdots$ |  |  | $\stackrel{n}{n} \mid \underset{\sim}{n}$ |  |  | ヘٌ | $\mathfrak{n}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\dot{\sim}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \underset{\sim}{0} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\text { ¢ }}$ |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & u \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \frac{1}{4} \\ & \frac{1}{c} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} u \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{4}{d} \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline u \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} u \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & u \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & u \\ & \frac{0}{n} \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} u \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{array}\right\|$ | $3$ | $\dot{y}$ | 4 <br> $\stackrel{U}{4}$ <br> $\stackrel{1}{4}$ |  |  | ＋ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} u \\ 0 \\ \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{u}{4} \\ \vdots \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} u \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ \stackrel{4}{4} \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & u \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{4} \\ & \stackrel{4}{4} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  | $\pm$ |  | へ | $\pm$ | ， | $\pm$ | g | $\dot{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{N}{7}$ | － | $\pm$ | － | $\pm$ | O | $\pm$ | \％ | － | $\bigcirc$ | 9 | $\underset{\sim}{7}$ | $\pm$ |  |  | － |  |  | 0 | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\pm$ | $\square$ |  |
|  |  |  | \|r | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \hat{\underset{~}{i}} \\ \text { 2 } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\underset{\sim}{\hat{i}}$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \hat{\underset{~}{i}} \\ \hline \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{f} \underset{\underset{A}{\prime}}{\underset{\sim}{f}}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \underset{\sim}{\underset{~}{~}} \\ \hline \end{array}\right\|$ | $\underset{\sim}{t} \underset{\sim}{\mathcal{A}} \underset{\sim}{\underset{A}{A}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{f} \underset{\sim}{\dot{\sim}} \left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{f} \\ \hline \end{gathered}\right.$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{\underset{~}{\sim}} \end{gathered}\right.$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{d} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{gathered}$ |  | $: \begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{c}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { İ } \\ & \text { In } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{+}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\underset{7}{ }$ | $\underset{\sim}{\dot{A}} \underset{\underset{\sim}{*}}{\underset{\sim}{f}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hat{f} \\ \underset{A}{\mid} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{A}}$ |  |  | ત્તં\| | $: \begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{\mathcal{A}} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \underset{\sim}{i} \\ \underset{A}{2} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{i}}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \underset{~}{\dot{d}} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{array}\right\|$ |  | ¢ |
|  |  | © | 8 | 8 | 8 8 | 8 | 80 | 8 80 | 8 | 8 | 9 | \％ 0 | ¢ | 9 | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | O | 8 | 8 | $\infty$ | 9 | \％ 0 | 8 |  | 8 | ¢ | 앙 |  | $\infty$ | 8 | ® | ¢ | 8 | 8 | 앙 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \left.\begin{array}{l} 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right\rvert\, \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\frac{3}{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \frac{1}{4} \\ \underset{\sim}{w} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\Sigma$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\sum_{i}^{*}$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \ddot{\#} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ 0 \\ \sum_{n}^{n} \\ \dot{\alpha} \\ \dot{3} \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{l\|l\|} n & 0 \\ & 0 \\ 2 & 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m } \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} n \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $2$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\hat{C}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \substack{n \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ \hline} \end{array}$ | Bn | $\begin{gathered} n \\ \\ \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned} \mathbf{z}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $21$ |  |  |  |  |  | \％ | $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{0} \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{~}} \\ & \mathbf{Z} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} N \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
|  | on |  |  | त |  |  | ले | 合 |  |  | n／$\hat{m}$ |  | min |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## SERVICE RELIABILITY

The record of OEC's service interruptions for the past five years is shown in Table II-E2. The five-year average outage hours per consumer is 7.61. This value is higher than typical because of the extreme winds produced by Hurricane Ike in the fall of 2008 and the ice storm of early 2009 which both caused widespread damage for utilities throughout the region. With this exception OEC's typical average outage hours are below the minimum level allowed by RUS. Ongoing system improvements and continued feeder sectionalizing studies will help to reduce this value even further.

TABLE II-E-2

|  | Power Supplier | Extreme Storm | Prearranged | All Other | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 2006 <br> OUTAGE HR/CONS | 0.13 | 0.62 | 0.08 | 1.73 | 2.56 |
| 2007 <br> OUTAGE HR/CONS | 0.46 | 1.26 | 0.14 | 1.77 | 3.63 |
| 2008 <br> OUTAGE HR/CONS | 1.11 | 12.01 | 0.05 | 1.62 | 14.79 |
| 2009 <br> OUTAGE HR/CONS | 0.30 | 12.20 | 0.12 | 2.11 | 14.73 |
| 2010 <br> OUTAGE HR/CONS | 0.42 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 1.78 | 2.32 |
| FIVE YEAR AVE. <br> OUTAGE HR/CONS | 0.48 | 5.22 | 0.10 | 1.80 | 7.61 |

## NON-FUNDED SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS

The following recommendations are based upon the review of the projected winter and summer peak systems. These recommendations do not affect the total dollar projections for the CWP, but are recommended for the OEC system to meet the design criteria.

## Load Balance

The following feeders would benefit from balancing to alleviate excessive voltage drop or rise due to phase imbalance. Included in the appendix of this report are phase balance recommendations that were generated using Windmil's Load Balance routine which calculates the optimum phase configuration for a feeder based on reducing losses. While all recommendations may not be possible due to field constraints it can be used as a starting point to begin plans for phase balancing.

| Substation | Feeder | Issue | Summer | Winter |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Banklick | 0202 | Voltage Rise | X | X |
| Bromley | 0603 | Voltage rise and <br> drop | X | X |
| Burlington | 2404 | Voltage Rise | X | X |
| Gallatin | 1802 | Voltage Rise |  | X |
| Grantslick 1 | 0304 | Voltage Rise <br> and drop |  | X |
| Griffin | 0904 | Voltage Rise <br> and drop | X | X |
| Keith | 1301 | Voltage Rise <br> and drop | X | X |
| Keith | 1303 | Voltage Rise <br> and drop | X | X |
| Munk | 0405 | Voltage Rise | X | X |
| Noel | 2103 | Voltage Drop | X | X |
| Noel | 2104 | Voltage Drop | X | X |
| Penn | 0702 | Voltage Drop | X | X |
| Penn | 0703 | Voltage Rise | X | X |
| Sterling | 2503 | Voltage Rise | X | X |
| Turkeyfoot | $1002^{*}$ | Voltage Rise | X | X |
| Williamstown | 0501 | Voltage Rise <br> and drop | X | X |

* This feeder will change when new feeder out of Turkeyfoot is added to serve as backfeed for SD1. Load balancing may change.


## Switching recommendations

- Banklick: Backfeed 47849 from 47846 and open at 48037 to relieve loading on 47920. Switches not available. This tap is on Old Decoursey Road.
- Banklick offload: Backfeed 65759 from 65758 and open at riser at LOC\#72-439-21-1173. This will feed Troopers Crossing from Bristow II.
- Banklick offload: Close SW1267 (LOC\#72-424-23-5418) and open at Banklick substation. This will feed all of feeder 0204 (Maher Rd.) from the Duro II transformer.
- Bristow 1: Change the tap beginning with line section 30890 LOC\#62-451-207033 from C-phase to either A-phase or B-phase to relieve undervoltage caused by imbalance.
- Keith/Bromley: Close SW1715 (LOC\#11-073-23-2056) and open SW1684 (LOC\#11-073-05-9909) to relieve voltage drop at the end of Bromley 603. This should only be done once the voltage regulators have been added to the mainline of Keith 1304 near 11-054-13-3739.
- Grantslick: Backfeed 52302 from 51949 (LOC\#82-354-13-5017) and open at 51342 (LOC\#82-353-17-0118) to relieve overload on tap along Aulick Road beginning at 67896 (LOC\#82-338-02-5814). This area is planned for aged conductor replacement. The change in open point should accompany the conductor replacement.
- Hebron offload: Add switch south of 62-499-14-1057. Close Bullittsville feeder 803 at the substation and open at new switch. This will offload Hebron until the substation upgrade. This should be done after load on Bullittsville has been switched to Belleview.
- Richardson offload: Switch Turkeyfoot Rd and Autumn Drive to Turkeyfoot substation to free up capacity on Richardson 1 for SD1 Narrows. Close switch SW1408 (LOC\#72-452-11-5087) and open at new open point just west of SD1.
- Sterling: Backfeed 14797 from 14817 (LOC\#61-389-14-4627) and open at 14571 (LOC\#61-373-02-3875) to relieve loading on 16945.
- Sterling: Change tap beginning with 11636 from C-phase to B-phase (LOC\#41-343-22-6360.
- Sterling: Change tap beginning with 17213 from C-phase to B-phase (LOC\#41-359-17-3353.
- Turkeyfoot: Backfeed 38550 from new 556Spacer on Sterling Dr. at LOC\#72-452-23-9681 and open at LOC\#72-453-12-3131 to relieve loading on 38459.


## Additional Recommendations

- Bristow 1: Consider a 70L OCR at line section 37596, LOC\#72-424-10-9780 on Hogreffee Rd.
- Carson 1102: Overvoltage conditions on the lighter phases due to the large amount of capacitance on this feeder which has been confirmed by field readings. Consider reducing the kVAR on this feeder. Carson appears to go leading in winter.
- Downing 1: Feeder 2001. Review coordination on this feeder. Possible heavy loading and low voltage on single phase tap 1/0 UG on Grandview Dr. Field verify.
- Grantslick: Feeder 0304. Take voltage field measurements during winter peak 31-302-20-0444, Brownfield Rd. Consider voltage regulator or changing phase of tap.
- Noel: Feeder 2102. Check voltage at consumer location 22-216-23-7094 during summer peak. May need to move the set of 3-219A voltage regulators at LOC\#22-217-18-3041 upline for voltage support.
- Penn: Feeder 701 had low voltage readings at meter on C phase during peak summer 2011. The set of upline voltage regulators should be checked to see if they are online and operating properly.
- Penn: Appears to go leading during non-summer months. Consider removing or switching kVAR on this substation.
- Smith 1: Power factor $92 \%$ in summer. Consider capacitors.
- Smith 2: Power factor 89\% in summer. According to the model there are several capacitor banks that are turned off. Consider adding on energizing capacitors.
- Smoot 2: Power factor $94 \%$ in summer. Consider capacitors.
- Sterling: Power factor $87 \%$ in summer. Consider capacitors.
- Turkeyfoot: Location 72-439-14-5614 Meadowglen Dr. is heavily loaded. Check loading in summer, and design coordination accordingly. If the back part of this subdivision develops extend the V-phase down Meadow Breeze Lane to break up the load on Meadowglen Dr.


## DATA RESOURCES

The following is a list of the basic data used for this analysis and report.

1. Updated circuit diagram map that indicates substations with present feeder configurations.
2. Monthly substation non-coincident peak (NCP) demands.
3. Billing system kW and kWh sales for last winter and summer peaks.
4. 2010 East Kentucky Power Load Forecast.
5. Five Year Outage Summary.
6. RUS Form 7 data.
7. Substation transformer ratings.
8. Substation Data Sheets.
9. Computerized circuit model databases with voltage drop calculations for each primary line section.

## BASIC DATA AND ASSUMPTIONS

Design Load - The construction program in the CWP covers a two-year period to serve the 306 MW, January 2014 winter peak and 310 MW, 2013 summer peak. The design load was derived after reviewing the 2010 Load Forecast with the GFR.

Load Allocation - Individual areas of the system were grown as spot loads based on the potential for growth in that area. The total system design load was attained by allocating each substation's load to its consumers proportional to the kWh consumption of each residential consumer and billed demand for non-residential consumers. Peak summer and peak winter loading were modeled and analyzed. The system is generally summer peaking.

Voltage Drop - For the design load, an eight volt drop past one set of downline voltage regulators was assumed to be the maximum allowable end-of-line voltage drop.

Substation Voltage Regulation - Voltage regulation was assumed for each substation such that a $10 \%$ voltage drop could be experienced on the transmission system at peak load and 126 volts could still be supplied to the substation bus.

System Power Factor - System power factor values were assumed to coincide with the levels listed on the substation load data sheet.

Single-Phase Loading - On taps where more than 45 amps are served from a singlephase line, conversion to 3-phase was considered in order to provide greater system reliability and ease of coordination.

Inflation - An annual inflation rate of $4 \%$ was used in this CWP.

Construction Cost Estimates - Cost estimates for the various distribution equipment and conductor sizes are presented in Tables II-B-1 and II-B-2.

Computer Model of Distribution System - The system is modeled on Milsoft Integrated Solution's Windmil v. 7.3 analysis software. Downloading monthly billing computer data into the Windmil billing file directory was the framework for building the winter and summer models. Residential loads were allocated by the kWh Demand Table method. Commercial and industrial loads were allocated based on their billed kW demand. Projected models were analyzed for Design Criteria violations using an unbalanced voltage drop calculation.

Economic Conductor Analysis - Economic Conductor analysis includes the consideration of initial construction costs and the associated losses of the selected conductors. For two alternative conductors compared, there is generally a kW load level at which the fixed costs associated with construction plus the variable costs related to line losses are equal for both alternatives.

The following general recommendations were generated from the analysis:

1. New overhead single-phase line extensions will be constructed of \#2 ACSR. New underground extensions will be constructed of $1 / 0$ ALUG or \#2 ALUG. New threephase underground line extensions will be constructed of $1 / 0$ ALUG or 500 MCM ALUG.
2. Replacements that are to remain single-phase should generally be constructed of \#2 ACSR unless unacceptable voltage drop is likely, in which case $1 / 0$ ACSR should be used.
3. Converted 12.5 kV three-phase construction should be of $1 / 0$ ACSR for initial loads up to $2,000 \mathrm{~kW}$ except main feeders and major taps; and 336.4 ACSR for initial loads greater than $2,000 \mathrm{~kW}$. Voltage drop and reliability considerations may lower the initial kW level for the use of 336.4 ACSR.

The data table preceding the analysis graph lists the assumptions that were made in the conductor analysis. This analysis appears in the Appendices of this report.

## FINANCIAL DATA

$>$ Cost of Capital $=5.0 \%$
$>$ Inflation $=4.0 \%$
$>$ Present Worth Discount Factor $=5.0 \%$
$>$ Depreciation $=4.47 \%$
> $O \& M=4.26 \%$
$>$ Tax \& Ins = 1.00\%
$>$ TOTAL ANNUAL FIXED CHARGE RATE $=14.73 \%$

TABLE III-B-1
Inflation $=4 \%$
COST SUMMARY DATA
(HISTORICAL DATA \& PROJECTIONS - EXCLUDING CODES 300, 603, \& 604)

| DESCRIPTION | July'09- June'11 | 2012 | 2013 | CWP TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New OH Construction (100) |  |  |  |  |
| 1. New services constructed | 447 | 224 | 224 | 448 |
| 2. Cost per Customer | \$4,116 | \$4,281 | \$4,452 |  |
| 3. Cost of New Customers | \$1,839,954 | \$958,917 | \$997,273 | \$1,956,190 |
| 4. Total Footage | 129,204 | 64,747 | 64,747 | 129,493 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| New UG Construction (101) |  |  |  |  |
| 1. New services constructed | 1270 | 635 | 635 | 1270 |
| 2. Cost per Customer | \$1,821 | \$1,894 | \$1,969 |  |
| 3. Cost of New Customers | \$2,312,456 | \$1,202,477 | \$1,250,576 | \$2,453,053 |
| 4. Total Footage | 170,288 | 85,144 | 85,144 | 170,288 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| New LP Construction (102) |  |  |  |  |
| 1. New services constructed | 45 | 18 | 27 | 45 |
| 2. Cost per Customer | \$9,453 | \$9,831 | \$10,224 |  |
| 3. Cost of New Customers | \$425,371 | \$176,954 | \$276,049 | \$453,003 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Padmount Transformers (601) |  |  |  |  |
| 1. New transformers added | 114 | 71 | 93 | 164 |
| 2. Cost per Transformer | \$1,947 | \$2,025 | \$2,106 |  |
| 3. Cost of New Transformers | \$222,000 | \$143,794 | \$195,884 | \$339,678 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 PH Padmount Transformers (601) |  |  |  |  |
| 1. New transformers added | 17 | 7 | 10 | 17 |
| 2. Cost per Transformer | \$17,324 | \$18,017 | \$18,738 |  |
| 3. Cost of New Transformers | \$294,506 | \$126,118 | \$187,375 | \$313,493 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| New (OH) Transformers (601) |  |  |  |  |
| 1. New transformers added | 1057 | 423 | 634 | 1057 |
| 2. Cost per Transformer | \$969 | \$1,008 | \$1,048 |  |
| 3. Cost of New Transformers | \$1,024,428 | \$426,364 | \$664,603 | \$1,090,967 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| New Meters (601) |  |  |  |  |
| 1. New Meters added | 960 | 75 | 75 | 150 |
| 2. Cost per Meter | \$149 | \$250 | \$260 |  |
| 3. Cost of New Meters | \$142,598 | \$18,750 | \$19,500 | \$38,250 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| New Polyphase Meters (601) |  |  |  |  |
| 1. New Meters added | 24 | 36 | 54 | 90 |
| 2. Cost per Meter | \$275 | \$396 | \$412 |  |
| 3. Cost of New Meters | \$6,604 | \$14,256 | \$22,239 | \$36,495 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Retrofit Polyphase Meters (601) |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Retrofit of LP Meters added |  | 112 | 0 | 112 |
| 2. Cost per Retrofit |  | \$310 | \$322 |  |
| 3. Cost of Retrofit LP Meters |  | \$34,720 | \$0 | \$34,720 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Disconnect Collars (601) |  |  |  |  |
| 1. New Collars added |  | 60 | 60 | 120 |
| 2. Cost per Collar | \$225 | \$234 | \$243 |  |
| 3. Cost of New Collar |  | \$14,040 | \$14,602 | \$28,642 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Instrument Transformers (601)* |  |  |  |  |
| 1. New instrument transformers added | 198 | 79 | 119 | 198 |
| 2. Cost per instrument transformers | \$413 | \$695 | \$723 |  |
| 3. Cost of New instrument transformers | \$81,831 | \$54,905 | \$86,013 | \$140,918 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

[^3]TABLE III-B-1
Inflation $=4 \%$
COST SUMMARY DATA CON'T
(HISTORICAL DATA \& PROJECTIONS - EXCLUDING CODES 300, 603, \& 604)

| DESCRIPTION | July'09- June'11 | 2012 | 2013 | CWP TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service Upgrades (602) |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Number of Service Upgrades | 230 | 115 | 115 | 230 |
| 2. Cost per Service Upgrade | \$3,494 | \$3,634 | \$3,779 |  |
| 3. Cost of Service Upgrades | \$803,630 | \$417,888 | \$434,603 | \$852,491 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Pole Changes - Replacement (606) |  |  |  |  |
| 1. P oles Changed | 548 | 275 | 275 | 550 |
| 2. Cost per Pole Change | \$4,457 | \$4,635 | \$4,821 |  |
| 3. Cost of Pole Changes | \$2,442,361 | \$1,274,663 | \$1,325,649 | \$2,600,312 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous - Replacement (607) |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Total cost of Misc. Replacements | \$1,030,277* | \$650,000 | \$650,000 | \$1,300,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Conductor Replacement OH (608) |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Miles of small conductor to be replaced |  | 30 | 30 | 60 |
| 2. Cost per mile | \$20,000 | \$20,800 | \$21,632 |  |
| 3. Total cost of small conductor replacement | \$3,313,583 | \$624,000 | \$648,960 | \$1,272,960 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Conductor Replacement UG (608) |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Miles of small conductor to be replaced |  | 4 | 4 | 8 |
| 2. Cost per mile | \$150,000** | \$239,550 | \$249,132 |  |
| 3. Total cost of small conductor replacement |  | \$958,200 | \$958,200 | \$1,916,400 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Line Relocates (610) - Road |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Cost of line relocates |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Outdoor Lighting (701) |  |  |  |  |
| 1. New Outdoor Lights Added | 255 | 128 | 128 | 256 |
| 2. Cost per Outdoor Light | \$1,314 | \$1,366 | \$1,421 |  |
| 3. Cost of Outdoor Lights | \$334,997 | \$174,882 | \$181,877 | \$356,758 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| SCADA (704) |  |  |  |  |
| 1. SCADA Hardware \& Communications |  | \$590,125 | \$155,625 | \$745,750 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| AMI Equipment (705) |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Related Software and Hardware |  | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | \$200,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| GIS (1500) |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Hardware/Software |  | \$0 | \$174,000 | \$174,000 |
| 2. Field Inventory |  | \$0 | \$1,000,000 | \$1,000,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

[^4]
## NEW MEMBER EXTENSIONS - RUS CODE 100

A total of 1,763 new services are anticipated - 1,270 of which are underground, 448 are overhead construction, and 45 new services for large powers. The total projected cost for new service construction is $\$ 4,862,246$.
The average length of service per overhead customer is 289 feet, and 134 feet for underground. The total projected length for the work plan period is approximately 57 miles excluding large power extensions.
Cost history and projections are shown in Table III-B-1.

## SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS - RUS CODE 300

## LINE CONVERSION NARRATIVES

## Banklick Substation

Code 327 Carryover
Estimated Cost: \$139,194
Year: 2013

## Description of Proposed Construction

Sections 45098 to 45414 - Convert 0.8 mile of single-phase \#2 ACSR to three-phase 336 ACSR. These line sections begin at the intersection of Moffett and Rector Roads LOC\#71-379-05-8142 and run along Moffett Road ending at LOC\#71-380-12-8525. Although these converted line sections will be fed by $1 / 0$ ACSR initially this line may become a mainline feeder for a new possible substation on Hwy177 between Kenton and Morning View. Before the design work begins a status update on this new substation should be reviewed as to the likelihood of that substation location. Otherwise 1/0 ACSR would be sufficient.

## Reason For Proposed Construction

Design Criteria (DC) Item 7 is being violated.

## Results of Proposed Construction

DC Item 7 will be met.

## Alternative Corrective Plan Investigated

All possible backfeeds are either already heavily loaded, or have takeoffs far from the source whereby voltage could not be sustained.


## SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS - RUS CODE 300

## Big Bone Substation

Code 328
Estimated Cost: \$40,680
Year: 2012

## Description of Proposed Construction

Sections 27348 to 27079 - Convert 0.3 mile of single-phase 6A CWC to three-phase $1 / 0$ ACSR. These line sections begin LOC\#61-407-16-3841 and end at LOC\#61-407-159254 along RT42/127. This tap should have field verification of winter peak loading before initiating the design work.

## Reason For Proposed Construction

Design Criteria (DC) Item 7 is being violated.

## Results of Proposed Construction

DC Item 7 will be met.

## Alternative Corrective Plan Investigated

This is a radial tap. Possible tie to nearby tap was investigated, but consists of small, older wire. Any backfeed would require large scale re-conductoring. Therefore the conversion was decided to be most cost-effective.


## SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS - RUS CODE 300

## Carson Substation

Code 329
Estimated Cost: \$20,000
Year: 2012

## Description of Proposed Construction

Sections 51 to 29 - Convert 0.2 mile of single-phase \#2 ACSR to three-phase \#2 ACSR. These line sections begin at LOC\#91-207-06-6439 and run along Martin Road ending at LOC\#91-207-06-0882. Change tap beginning at line section 24 (LOC\#91-207-06-0882) from A-phase to C-phase if possible.

## Reason For Proposed Construction

Design Criteria (DC) Item 1 is being violated.

## Results of Proposed Construction

DC Item 1 will be met.

## Alternative Corrective Plan Investigated

This is a radial line so no backfeed exists to relieve loading. A set of voltage regulators were considered to boost voltage; however this single phase line section was approaching overload and contributing to phase imbalance that was causing the undervoltage condition. Therefore the conversion alleviates imbalance and a potential future overload.


## SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS - RUS CODE 300

## Hebron Substation

Code 330 Partial Carryover
Estimated Cost: \$184,030
Year: 2012

## Description of Proposed Construction

Sections 19954 to 19420 - Convert 1.1 mile of three-phase 1/0 ACSR to three-phase 336 ACSR. The converted line sections start at LOC\#62-499-14-1057, run north on Williams Road to LOC\#62-499-01-3600; and will feed into the back of the Thornwilde subdivision.

## Reason For Proposed Construction

Design Criteria (DC) Item 2 is being violated.

## Results of Proposed Construction

DC Item 2 will be met.

## Alternative Corrective Plan Investigated

The 336 ACSR of feeder 3 out of Hebron is approaching overload; this proposed conversion will offload feeder 3 onto feeder 4 . The other possible feed to the area, feeder 5 , consists of $1 / 0$ URD which would not be able to handle the growing load of the Thornwilde area. The feeder 5 alternative would consist of an extensive upgrade of the existing feeders which would be costly.


## SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS - RUS CODE 300

## Keith Substation

Code 331 Carryover
Estimated Cost: \$230,000
Year: 2012

## Description of Proposed Construction

Sections 17337 to 21023 - Convert 2.3 miles of single-phase and two-phase \#2 ACSR to three-phase \#2 ACSR. These line sections begin at LOC\#11-066-17-1271 and end at LOC\#12-078-15-5290 serving Swope Road.

## Reason For Proposed Construction

Design Criteria (DC) Item 7 is being violated.

## Results of Proposed Construction

DC Item 7 will be met.

## Alternative Corrective Plan Investigated

This is a radial tap. Any possible backfeeds are aged conductor or too far from the substation to sustain the voltage if a tie line were considered.


## SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS - RUS CODE 300

## MBUSA Substation

Code 332
Estimated Cost: \$200,000
Year: 2012

## Description of Proposed Construction

Substation getaways

## Reason For Proposed Construction

Getaways needed for new substation.

## Alternative Corrective Plan Investigated

Large power expansion will overload existing substation and feeder conductors. No alternative exists.


## SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS - RUS CODE 300

## Munk Substation

Code 333
Estimated Cost: \$141,024
Year: 2013

## Description of Proposed Construction

Sections 27260 to 25585 - Convert 1.0 mile of single-phase \#2 ACSR to three-phase 1/0 ACSR. The converted line sections are on Sugar Creek Rd starting at LOC\#22-277-043451 to LOC\#22-277-08-2124.

## Reason For Proposed Construction

Design Criteria (DC) Item 7 is being violated.

## Results of Proposed Construction

DC Item 7 will be met.

## Alternative Corrective Plan Investigated

This is a radial tap. No alternatives exist.


## SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS - RUS CODE 300

## Penn Substation

Code 334
Estimated Cost: \$112,819
Year: 2013

## Description of Proposed Construction

Sections 34875 to 67711 - Convert 0.8 mile of single-phase \#2 ACSR to three-phase $1 / 0$ ACSR. The converted line sections are in Right-of-Way behind Ray's Fork Rd starting at LOC\#51-060-07-4623 and ending at LOC\#51-060-09-4135. Check winter loading for field verification of load.

## Reason For Proposed Construction

Design Criteria (DC) Item 7 is being violated.

## Results of Proposed Construction

DC Item 7 will be met.

## Alternative Corrective Plan Investigated

This is a radial tap. No alternatives exist.


## SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS - RUS CODE 300

## Williamstown Substation

Code 335 Carryover
Estimated Cost: \$81,360
Year: 2012

## Description of Proposed Construction

Sections 67678 to 39269 - Convert 0.6 mile of single-phase \#2 ACSR to three-phase $1 / 0$ ACSR. These line sections begin at LOC\#21-093-00-2690 on Ragtown Road and ends at LOC\#21-093-07-0657 on Corinth Lake Drive.

## Reason For Proposed Construction

Design Criteria (DC) Items $1 \& 7$ are being violated.

## Results of Proposed Construction

DC Items $1 \& 7$ will be met.

## Alternative Corrective Plan Investigated

There is a single phase backfeed for this line. Due to the nature and placement of the loading around Corinth Lake, the overload would be shifted to the backfed line and would not eliminate the design criteria violation. The only other alternative would be to build a new tie line over or around the lake which would be cost prohibitive.


## SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS - RUS CODE 300

## Williamstown Substation

## Code 336 Carryover

Estimated Cost: \$313,186
Year: 2013

## Description of Proposed Construction

Sections 38823 to 36268 - Convert 1.8 miles of single-phase 1/0 ACSR to three-phase 336 ACSR. Remove Voltage Regulator at LOC\#21-105-04-4099. These line sections begin at RT 25 and Keefer Road (LOC\#21-106-07-1986) and follow Keefer Road west to the split at Shiloh Road ending at LOC\#21-105-02-8950. This line will serve as an eventual mainline tie between Keith and the future Blanchet substations. Backfeed 69753 from 29837 at LOC\#21-118-01-4087 and open at 33122 (LOC\#21-118-23-4649).

## Reason For Proposed Construction

Design Criteria (DC) Item 7 is being violated.

## Results of Proposed Construction

DC Item 7 will be met.

## Alternative Corrective Plan Investigated

The only possible backfeed is already heavily loaded. Therefore no viable alternative exists.


## MISCELLANEOUS DISTRIBUTION EQUIPMENT - RUS CODE 600’s

## Transformers - RUS Code 601

164 new underground transformers are projected at a cost of \$339,678.
This includes:

- 114 units will be projected for new underground services.
- 50 units in this total are allocated for underground replacement projects.

1,057 new overhead transformers are projected at a cost of \$1,090,967.
This includes:

- Approximately 450 will be used for new overhead services.
- The remaining 600 will be used for replacement of failed transformer. Over the past three years an average of 300 transformers per year have been brought in due to failure, or excessive age.

17 new 3-phase underground transformers are projected at a cost of \$313,493.

## Meters - RUS Code 601

150 new single-phase AMI meters are projected at a cost of $\$ 38,250$ at a per unit cost of $\$ 250$ per meter.

The 150 meters includes:

- 110 allocated to the Smart Home project. These meters have added capabilities from the standard AMI residential meters. The 110 meters include 100 meters for initial project installation and 10 meters held in inventory for replacement.
- 40 meters for new installation and replacement (failure/damage rate estimated at $1 \%$ ) of specialty form meters ( $1 \mathrm{~S}, 3 \mathrm{~S}$, and 4 S forms). The short supply in inventory and long lead times necessitate having additional meters on hand.
- 0 additional standard residential AMI meters. The existing inventory of standard AMI meters will be adequate to cover new installations, failed meter changeout and PSC meter testing changeout of our standard AMI meters.

112 three-phase AMI capable meters are projected at a cost of $\$ 34,720$. This will complete the changeout to all AMI meters on three-phase accounts. Note: the retrofit kits are in stock for these installations. This cost covers only the meters.

90 new Polyphase AMI meters are projected at a cost of \$36,495.
This includes:

- 45 new anticipated Large Power consumers (Code 102)
- 25 additional consumers that require a polyphase meter, but do not fall under Code 102. This number is based on the percent of polyphase meters without PT/CT to the total number of polyphase meters in the field (which is $50 \%$ ) times the projected Large Power Consumers in the CWP. 50\% of $45=22.5$
- 20 units over the course of two years for meters in the field that will experience damage or failure (reflects a $1 \%$ failure rate of the approx. 1000 polyphase meters on the system by end of CWP).

An amount of $\$ 28,642$ is projected for 120 meter disconnect collars for the CWP.
An amount of $\$ 140,918$ is projected for instrument transformers for new poly-phase meters that do not have internal PT/CT's meters (i.e. self-contained). The cost of the instrument transformers is not included in the per unit cost of the meters.

Historical data was gathered for meters and transformers and is included in Table III-B-1.

## Service Upgrades - RUS Code 602

There are 230 service upgrades projected at a total cost of $\$ 852,491$. Historical data is included in Table III-B-1.

## Sectionalizing - RUS Code 603

Overcurrent analysis is performed on an ongoing basis. Device changeouts, additional substation feeders, conductor multiphasing and load shifts require overcurrent device purchases.

Reclosers, fuses and switches are included in this category. A base cost of \$500,000 for each of the two years has been allocated. Also two smart reclosers have been allocated for the Narrows Rd self-heal project at a base cost of $\$ 88,640$. With a DOE contribution of $50 \%$ the OEC expense will be $\$ 44,320$ in 2012 . The total projected cost for sectionalizing is $\$ 1,044,320$.

## - Continued on next page

## MISCELLANEOUS DISTRIBUTION EQUIPMENT - RUS CODE 600’s continued

## Voltage Regulators - RUS Code 604

The total cost for voltage regulators projected for the CWP is $\$ 593,900$.
This includes:

- Four voltage regulators are proposed for voltage design criteria violations. The total cost for these new voltage regulators is projected to be $\$ 205,200$.
- Nine autoboosters will be replaced by three 3-150A voltage regulators due to aged deterioration. The total cost for replacement of autoboosters is $\$ 136,800$.
- One autoboosters will be replaced with a 100A voltage regulator due to aged deterioration. The total cost for replacement of this autobooster is $\$ 27,500$.
- Seventeen voltage regulators for the Volt Var Optimization program. The total cost for the VVO required regulators is projected to be $\$ 224,400$.

Voltage Regulators for Design Criteria violations
CFR CODE

| $604-1$ | SUBSTATION | SECT/RATING | YEAR | COST |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $604-2$ | BULLITTSVILLE | LOC\#62-481-07-3129 <br> $3-150 A$ | 2012 | $\$ 45,600$ |
| $604-3$ | KEITH | LOC\#11-054-13-3739 <br> $3-150 A$ | 2013 | $\$ 47,500$ |
| $604-4$ | GRIFFIN | LOC\#12-086-19-6871 <br> $1-100 A$ | 2013 | $\$ 27,500$ |
| $604-5$ | LOC feeder 3 TBD <br> $3-150 A$ | 2013 | $\$ 47,500$ |  |
| $604-6$ | NOEL | Feeder 2104 <br> $3-219 A$ | 2012 | $\$ 64,600$ |
| $604-7$ | WENN | LOC\#51-013-03-9834 <br> $3-150 A$ | 2012 | $\$ 45,600$ |
| $604-8$ | WMTOWN | LOC\#31-222-01-1530 <br> $3-150 A$ | 2012 | $\$ 45,600$ |

> 604-1: Replace three-100A autoboosters with 3-150A voltage regulators on what will be the North feeder out of Belleview that feeds the aggregates. These may not be needed once Belleview substation is energized. Evaluate before changeout.
> 604-2: Add three 150A voltage regulators on the Keith 1304 circuit near LOC\#11-054-13-3739 before the three-phase split tie to Bromley 603.
$>$ 604-3: Add one 100A voltage regulator at LOC\#12-086-19-6871 to replace an autobooster on Keith 1301 .
$>$ 604-4: Add three 150A voltage regulators on Griffin 903. The optimum location is to be determined.

## MISCELLANEOUS DISTRIBUTION EQUIPMENT - RUS CODE 600’s continued

> 604-5: Add three 219A voltage regulators on Noel 2104 circuit near LOC\#22-237-04-1784. This set of regulators is needed for a backfeed possibility for the Dry Ridge exit off of Williamstown. NOTE: Further analysis will need to be done to determine optimal location.
> 604-6: Add three 150A bi-directional voltage regulators on Penn 0704 near LOC\#51-013-03-9834. The location and size of the voltage regulators proposed for Penn 704 feeder may be modified based on future plans for Penn Self-Healing of feeder 701 from feeder 704.
> 604-7: Replace three-100A autoboosters with 3-150A voltage regulators on Williamstown 0505 at LOC\#31-222-01-1530.
> 604-8: Replace three-100A autoboosters with 3-150A voltage regulators on Williamstown 0506 at LOC\#21-221-07-7799.

## Volt Var Optimization (VVO)

There are 17 voltage regulators anticipated for the VVO project in the CWP. See Smart Grid Initiatives, Appendix C, for a full description of the VVO project.

|  | 2012 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 17 total voltage regulators projected | $\$ 224,400$ | $\$ 224,400$ |
| Less 50\% DOE contribution | $(\$ 112,200)$ | $(\$ 112,200)$ |
| Total OEC expense | $\$ 112,200$ | $\$ 112,200$ |

## Capacitor Banks - RUS Code 605

The total cost for capacitors projected for the CWP is $\$ 270,000$.
This includes:

- Twenty switched capacitor banks are projected for ordinary capacitor addition, upgrade and replacement as needed An effort to reduce system losses by reducing VARs will be made over the next two years. The total cost for these capacitors is projected to be $\$ 200,000$.
- Fourteen switched capacitors for the Volt Var Optimization program. The total cost for the VVO required capacitors is projected to be $\$ 70,000$.


## Volt Var Optimization

There are 14 switched capacitors anticipated for the VVO project in the CWP. See Smart Grid Initiatives, Appendix C, for a full description of the VVO project.

$$
2012 \quad 2013
$$

| 14 total capacitors projected | $\$ 70,000$ | $\$ 70,000$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Less $50 \%$ DOE contribution | $(\$ 35,000)$ | $(\$ 35,000)$ |
| Total OEC expense | $\$ 35,000$ | $\$ 35,000$ |

## MISCELLANEOUS DISTRIBUTION EQUIPMENT - RUS CODE 600's continued

## Pole Changes - RUS Code 606 Including Clearance Poles

There are 550 projected pole changes in the CWP. This includes all maintenance and clearance poles. The cost for the pole changes is projected to be $\$ 2,600,312$. Historical cost data for pole changes may be found in Table III-B-1.

## Miscellaneous Replacements - RUS Code 607

An amount of $\$ 1,300,000$ is projected in the CWP for miscellaneous replacements.
The 607 category consists of two parts. The first part is for routine maintenance requiring replacement of cross arms, insulators, guys, etc. The second part of this category is based on a recent system hardening initiative at OEC that will serve to improve the overall reliability of the OEC system. This initiative includes inspecting and replacing, if needed, hardware and cross arms by pole beginning at the start of each feeder. Initially the first several spans of each feeder will be investigated gradually working out each pole line. Historical cost data for all miscellaneous replacements may be found in Table III-B-1.

## Conductor Replacements - RUS Code 608

The total cost for aged conductor replacement projected for the CWP is $\$ 3,189,360$. This includes replacement of conductor due to age, deterioration, and operation and maintenance recommendations.
This includes:

- \$1,272,960 for ordinary overhead conductor replacement. This amount will cover a proposed 60 miles of overhead conductor replacement in the CWP.
- $\$ 1,916,400$ for ordinary underground replacements is projected. This amount will cover approximately 8 miles total of underground conductor replacement in the CWP. This amount is higher than previous work plans. One of the main areas of emphasis in the 2012 CWP will be underground replacement.

Conductor replacement cost history and projections are shown in Table III-B-1.

## Line Relocates - RUS Code 610

Two state highway projects will potentially occur during the CWP period. Both will be partially reimbursed by the state. The remaining contribution by OEC will be relatively small. Therefore these projects will be handled as small projects if they should occur.

## RUS CODE 700

## Outdoor Lighting - RUS Code 701

A total of 256 new outdoor lights are anticipated. The projected cost is $\$ 356,759$.
Outdoor lighting cost history and projections are shown in Table III-B-1.
SCADA / DA - Hardware and Communication Equipment - RUS Code 704
The total projected cost for SCADA and communications in this CWP is $\$ 745,750$.
$\$ 720,000$ is allocated for the remaining 18 substations to have SCADA equipment upgrades.
$\$ 2500$ is allocated for Fiber and field commissioning for self healing. (\$5000 less 50\% DOE contribution.)
$\$ 23,250$ for extraneous VVO communication equipment not included in the device. (\$46,500 less 50\% DOE contribution.)

SCADA hardware and software cost projections are shown in Table III-B-1.

## AMI Equipment - RUS Code 705

An amount of $\$ 200,000$ is projected for this CWP for AMR equipment. This accounts for 20 new repeaters added for the two years at a cost of $\$ 100,000$; and 20 replacement repeaters for the two years at a cost of $\$ 100,000$.

AMR equipment cost projections are shown in Table III-B-1.

## RUS CODE 1500

GIS Hardware/Software - RUS Code 1500
An amount of $\$ 174,000$ is projected for GIS related hardware and software. This includes five GPS units each costing $\$ 13,000$. An amount of $\$ 70,000$ is projected for imagery, and an amount of $\$ 39,000$ projected for any digital data that OEC will need to acquire.

## Field Inventory - RUS Code 1500

Projected costs for conducting a field inventory in the second year of the CWP is $\$ 1,000,000.75 \%$ of this amount can be financed. It is anticipated that half of the OEC field inventory will be covered in this CWP. The field inventory will be concluded in the following CWP.

A pilot field inventory on two substations was begun in 2011 as phase 1 of the Volt Var Optimization project. (See Appendix C: Smart Grid Initiatives) This pilot has been partially funded by the DOE.

## APPENDIX A <br> Operation and Maintenance Survey




## APPENDIX B <br> Economic Conductor Analysis
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\begin{gathered}
\text { CONDUCTOR } \\
\text { COST/MI } \\
\text { OHMS/MI } \\
\text { TCOSTMI } \\
\text { PWCOST/MI }
\end{gathered}
$$

## APPENDIX C Smart Grid Initiatives

## Owen Electric Cooperative (KY-37) Smart Grid Initiatives

| Project | Total |
| :--- | :--- |
| AMI | $\$ 479,025$ |
| SCADA | $\$ 745,750$ |
| Volt Var Optimization | $\$ 635,300$ |
| Self-Healing | $\$ 93,640$ |
|  | Total: |$\$ 1,953,715$

OEC has several Smart Grid Initiatives in the CWP. A brief description of each follows.

## AMI System:

OEC presently has a fully-deployed AMI system of residential meters. Approximately $88 \%$ of the poly-phase meters have been converted to AMI. The remaining poly-phase meters will be changed out during the course of the CWP. The data collected from the AMI meters will be used for engineering studies such as line loss analysis and planning studies. Similarly the AMI meters can be configured to be used as point-of-interest meters useful for voltage validation at key points in the system. Another benefit of the AMI system is that it allows for outage verification and logging of "blinks". Other than the change out of the remaining poly-phase meters, the components covered in the CWP for AMI are for the maintenance and communication enhancements of the AMI system.

## SCADA Upgrades:

OEC was one of the first electric cooperatives in the state to have a SCADA system. It was installed in the 1980's, and has been maintained throughout the years. The SCADA system is vital in the daily operation of the OEC system. Presently OEC is in the process of upgrading to fiber communications to all of its substations. During the CWP, the remaining eighteen substations will have fiber communication equipment installed, and upgrades to the RTU's and regulator controls. The substations will also have weather station equipment installed to transmit weather data such as temperature and wind speed.

## Volt Var Optimization:

OEC is launching a Volt Var Optimization project in conjunction with the Department of Energy. There are four phases to the project. Only Phase 2 has components that fall under equipment costs in the CWP.

Phase 1 of the project is a "pilot" field inventory project where two of OEC’s substation territories will be mapped by GPS. A full system inventory of the included feeders will be made during the pilot. This inventory will allow for field verification and recording of ratings and settings of all devices such as transformers, reclosers, etc. Another component of phase 1 will be to install field monitors to log data at key locations such as Amps, Volts, kW and VARs. This data will allow for engineering model verification.

Phase 2 will be the implementation of voltage regulators and switched capacitors on the two pilot substation feeders to optimize kVAR and the voltage profile. Also included in phase 2 will be additional sensors and communication equipment to send data back to headquarters. Phase 3 will be when OEC attempts to find the most economical voltage level to operate the system. This attempt may allow for an overall reduction in demand on the two pilot substations. Phase 4 will be the integrated volt-var control where, through the use of SCADA and automation based on the sensors and logic controls of downline devices, the entire fleet of "smart" devices installed on the feeder will make
adjustments to maintain voltage levels within a type bandwidth along the feeder; and allow the substation bus voltage to respond accordingly.

## Self-Healing

Another smart grid initiative that OEC is presently involved with, in conjunction with the DOE, is self-healing. Self-healing pertains to automated distribution control whereby intelligent electronic devices are automatically operated based on a set of logic criteria being met. OEC currently has one location at the southern portion of its system where a self-healing scheme is in place. In the next year there will be two additional locations where critical loads will require continuous power, and in the event of an outage, must have power restored within minutes. The components that make up a self-heal system include mainline reclosers with communication capability, logic controls, plus a central master control located at OEC headquarters. This intelligent scheme allows for switching between feeders in fewer than 5 minutes. The system can either be reset manually or through remote control.


[^0]:    CARRYOVERS are in BOLD

[^1]:    5. Relieved by Duro 2 and Bristow 2 block load transfer 6. Relieved Banklick Substation
    6. Relieved by block load transfer to Bullittsville 8. Relieved Hebron
    7. High side fuse needs to be upgraded
    8. Tap Changer needs to be upgraded in
[^2]:    3. Relieved by Messier Substation
    4. Add fans.
[^3]:    * Cost increase. Cost normalized based on number of CT versus PT/CT

[^4]:    * From January 2010-July 2011
    ** Reflects single phase only replacement. Projected costs include anticipated single phase and three phase replacement. Therefore the per unit costs are higher.

