OWEN ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE 2012 – 2013 CONSTRUCTION WORK PLAN REPORT

Kentucky 37 Owen

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION NUMBER

TITLE

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- A. Purpose, Results and General Basis of Study.
- B. Service Area and Power Supply.
- C. Summary of Construction Program and Costs.

II. STUDY GUIDELINES AND ANALYSIS OF SYSTEM

- A. Distribution System Design Criteria.
- B. Distribution and Line Equipment Costs.
- C. Status of Previous CWP Items.
- D. Analysis of System Studies.
- E. Analysis of Substation Loading, Current Data and Reliability.
- F. Non-Funded System Recommendations.

III. DATA RESOURCES

- A. Data Resources.
- B. Basic Data and Assumptions, Historical Data/Cost Summary.

IV. PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION ITEMS

- A. Service to New Customers.
- B. System Improvements
- C. Miscellaneous Distribution Equipment.
- D. Automation & Outdoor Lights.
- E. GIS

APPENDICES

- A. RUS Form 300 O & M Survey.
- B. Economic Conductor Analysis.
- C. Smart Grid Initiatives

PURPOSE OF REPORT

This report documents the engineering analysis of, and summarizes the proposed construction for Owen Electric Cooperative (OEC) electric distribution system for the two-year planning period of 2012-2013.

The report also provides engineering support in the form of descriptions, costs and justifications of the required new facilities for a loan application to RUS in order to finance the proposed construction program.

GENERAL BASIS OF STUDY

The summer 2013 and winter 2014 projected total peak system loads were taken from the OEC 2010 Load Forecast (LF) as approved by RUS. Residential and small commercial loads were grown at rates consistent with the LF.

From 2005-2009, the annual average increase in residential energy sales was 2.0%. This rate is projected to be 2.0% over the next two years. Small commercial sales are projected to increase at 2.4% over the next two years. Large Commercial / Industrial energy sales are projected to increase at 7.7% over the next two years.

System analysis models are based on non-coincidental (NC) system peaks that are outlined in the LF. The projected winter 2014 NC peak (based on LF and GFR meeting) is 306,000 kW. The projected summer 2013 NC peak (based on LF and GFR meeting) is 310,000 kW. The projected peaks exclude Gallatin Steel. The system annual load factor is projected to average 48.0% over the next two years.

Winter and summer growth models were examined for what is generally a summerpeaking system; however some areas of the OEC system are winter peaking. Both seasons were reviewed to address system deficiencies for either season.

The current OEC 2006 Long Range Plan (LRP) load projections and improvement recommendations were reviewed to make sure that they generally agree with scope of the 2012-2013 construction work plan (CWP) recommendations.

A RUS Operations and Maintenance Survey (FORM 300) has been completed with the RUS GFR. This survey is used to determine portions of the construction required to replace physically deteriorated equipment and material, upgrade areas of the system to conform to code or safety requirements, and improve the reliability and quality of service. A copy of the survey is included in the Appendices of this report.

GENERAL BASIS OF STUDY (cont.)

A system analysis using RUS guidelines and the OEC Design Criteria was performed on all of the substations and distribution lines of the system. Milsoft Integrated Solutions' PC-Based Distribution Analysis Program – "Windmil" version 7.3 was used to analyze the existing system configuration that was modeled with the projected load growth.

For each deficiency that was found, alternate solutions were considered and economically evaluated.

SUMMARY - RESULTS OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Upon completion of the proposed construction, the system will provide adequate and dependable service to 58,480 residential customers as well as 22 industrial/large commercial loads and 2,320 small commercial loads. Average monthly residential usage is projected to be 1,064 kWh. It is estimated that there will be 3,500 idle services.

There will be one additional substation added to the OEC system upon completion of the CWP. The Messier-Bugatti (MBUSA) substation will be a 25MVA, 69-12.5kV substation dedicated to Messier Bugatti which has a total anticipated load of 18MW by November 2012. The dedicated MBUSA substation will almost completely offload the Duro1 transformer which is predominantly dedicated to Messier-Bugatti at present. The Duro 1 transformer will stay in service feeding its remaining native load, and serve as a backup source for contingency purposes to the surrounding area.

There are two planned substation upgrades in the CWP. Burlington substation which is presently a 14MVA substation will be increased to a 20MVA substation. Once the upgrade is complete, Burlington will relieve the Bullittsville substation by transferring a large industrial, Zumbiel, from Bullittsville to Burlington. Burlington substation also serves as a backup substation for the Western Regional Reclamation Facility of Sanitation District 1 (SD1). Therefore reserve capacity on the Burlington transformer is required. This substation upgrade will ensure that adequate reserve capacity will be available, and allow for native growth in the area that Burlington substation serves.

The second planned substation upgrade is for the Turkeyfoot Substation. Turkeyfoot also serves as a backup reserve for another SD1 facility on Narrows Road. The added reserve load puts the Turkeyfoot substation near capacity. Once the substation upgrade is complete Turkeyfoot Substation will more than likely become the primary feed for the Narrows Road pump station, and Richardson Substation will become the backup. The Turkeyfoot upgrade will also relieve the Richardson substation, specifically feeder 1902, which is heavily loaded.

The Noel substation will have fans added in 2012. The high side fuse of Grantslick II needs to be upgraded.

SUMMARY - RESULTS OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION (cont)

There are additional substations that are nearing capacity, and will be addressed in this CWP with load block transfers to adjacent substations. However each of these substations has been addressed in East Kentucky Power's 2012-2015 Construction Work Plan. Following this 2-year CWP period, the Williamstown and Bromley substations will be upgraded. Hebron Substation will be expanded with a second transformer, and a new substation will be considered to relieve the Banklick substation.

10.0 miles of site specific conductor replacement and conversion will take place in the two-year plan period. Additionally, 60 miles of overhead conductor and approximately 8 miles of underground will be selected for aged conductor replacement. These conductor replacement line sections will be selected based on conductor condition, operational experience and the number of customers served.

Customers Use Per Customer	Class Sales	
Monthly Annual	Annual	
Annual Annual % Average Change % Total	Change %	
Average Change Change (kWh) (kWh) Change (MWh) (MWh) Chang	ge
1990 27,499 947 312,6	03	
1991 28,760 1,261 4.6 995 48 5.1 343,4	99 30,896 9	9.9
1992 30,006 1,246 4.3 951 -44 -4.4 342,5	36 -962 -0).3
1993 31,319 1,313 4.4 1,008 57 6.0 378,8	60 36,323 10).6
1994 32,670 1,351 4.3 1,019 11 1.0 399,3	28 20,468 5	5.4
1995 33,989 1,319 4.0 1,033 14 1.4 421,3	04 21,976 5	5.5
1996 35,416 1,427 4.2 1,064 31 3.0 452,1	62 30,858 7	7.3
1997 37,159 1,743 4.9 1,031 -32 -3.0 459,9	53 7,791 1	.7
1998 38,931 1,772 4.8 1,026 -6 -0.6 479,1	97 19,244 4	1.2
1999 40,550 1,619 4.2 1,053 27 2.7 512,3	92 33,194 6	5.9
2000 42,113 1,563 3.9 1,066 13 1.3 538,8	17 26,426 5	5.2
2001 43,799 1,686 4.0 1,073 7 0.6 563,9	43 25,125 4	.7
2002 45,779 1,980 4.5 1,120 47 4.4 615,1	32 51,189 9	0.1
2003 47,906 2,127 4.6 1,081 -39 -3.5 621,3	31 6,199 1	.0
2004 49,741 1,835 3.8 1,094 13 1.2 652,7	06 31,375 5	5.0
2005 51,461 1,720 3.5 1,127 34 3.1 696,1	07 43,402 6	5.6
2006 52,935 1,474 2.9 1,070 -57 -5.0 679,9	54 -16,143 -2	.3
2007 54,003 1,068 2.0 1,152 82 7.7 746,8	58 66.894 9	0.8
2008 54,427 424 0.8 1,133 -19 -1.7 740,0	35 -6,773 -0	.9
2009 54,805 378 0.7 1,092 -41 -3.6 718,24	01 -21,884 -3	.0
2010 55,299 494 0.9 1,108 16 1.5 735,3	54 17,153 2	.4
2011 56,212 913 1.7 1,091 -17 -1.5 736,12	29 775 0).1
2012 57,302 1,090 1.9 1,078 -13 -1.2 741,12	23 4,994 0	.7
2013 58,480 1,178 2.1 1,064 -14 -1.3 746,64	53 5,540 0	.7
2014 59.695 1.215 2.1 1.062 -2 -0.2 760.8	75 14.212 1	.9
2015 60,960 1,265 2.1 1,060 -2 -0.2 775,1	78 14,303 1	.9
2016 62,244 1,284 2.1 1,060 1 0.1 792,04	60 16.882 2	.2
2017 63,530 1.286 2.1 1.060 0 0.0 808,3'	70 16,310 2	.1
2018 64,823 1,293 2.0 1,062 1 0.1 825,7	58 17.388 2	.2
2019 66,151 1.328 2.0 1.065 3 0.3 845,0	43 19.285 2	.3
2020 67,534 1,383 2.1 1,065 1 0.1 863,3	58 18,315 2	.2
2021 68,960 1.426 2.1 1.067 1 0.1 882.7	48 19.390 2	.2
2022 70.433 1.473 2.1 1.069 3 0.3 903.8	33 21.135 2	.4
2023 71.940 1.507 2.1 1.073 4 0.3 926.4	45 22.562 2	.5
2024 73.465 1.525 2.1 1.077 4 0.3 949.1	36 22.740 2	.5
2025 75.028 1.563 2.1 1.078 1 0.1 970.4	52 21.277 2	2
2026 76.615 1.587 2.1 1.080 2 0.2 993.00	22,540 2	3
2027 78.203 1.588 2.1 1.081 1 0.1 1.014.8	25 21.823 2	2
2028 79.791 1.588 2.0 1.082 1 0.1 1.036 27	28 21 403 2	1
2029 81.378 1.587 2.0 1.082 -1 -0.1 1.056 1	3 19 905 1	9
2030 82.966 1.588 2.0 1.084 3 0.2 1.079 3	39 23.206 2	.2

Owen Electric 2010 Load Forecast

Owen Electric 2010 Load Forecast Small Commercial Summary

		Customers		Use	Per Custon	ner		Class Sales	5
				Annual	Annual			Annual	
	Annual	Annual	%	Average	Change	%	Total	Change	%
	Average	Change	Change	(MWh)	(MWh)	Change	(MWh)	(MWh)	Change
1990	654			71			46,235		
1991	745	91	13.9	82	12	16.5	61,339	15,104	32.7
1992	820	75	10.1	75	-7	-8.6	61,727	389	0.6
1993	879	59	7.2	75	0	-0.1	66,082	4,355	7.1
1994	939	60	6.8	77	2	2.5	72,341	6,259	9.5
1995	1,007	68	7.2	92	15	20.0	93,085	20,744	28.7
1996	1,087	80	7.9	85	-7	-7.5	92,937	-148	-0.2
1997	1,165	78	7.2	88	2	2.9	102,512	9,575	10.3
1998	1,264	99	8.5	90	2	2.2	113,645	11,133	10.9
1999	1,373	109	8.6	92	2	1.8	125,681	12,036	10.6
2000	1,510	137	10.0	93	1	1.5	140,359	14,678	11.7
2001	1,625	115	7.6	87	-6	-6.3	141,591	1,232	0.9
2002	1,690	65	4.0	82	-5	-6.1	138,298	-3,293	-2.3
2003	1,753	63	3.7	86	4	5.2	150,927	12,629	9.1
2004	1,791	38	2.2	90	4	4.5	161,106	10,180	6.7
2005	1,853	62	3.5	96	6	6.8	178,068	16,962	10.5
2006	1,930	77	4.2	107	11	11.8	207,408	29,340	16.5
2007	2,016	86	4.5	112	5	4.6	226,685	19,277	9.3
2008	2,086	70	3.5	103	-9	-8.4	214,939	-11,746	-5.2
2009	2,134	48	2.3	94	-9	-8.7	200,851	-14,088	-6.6
2010	2,175	41	1.9	96	2	1.6	208,010	7,158	3.6
2011	2,215	40	1.8	96	1	0.6	213,146	5,136	2.5
2012	2,264	49	2.2	97	0	0.4	218,708	5,562	2.6
2013	2,320	56	2.5	97	0	0.3	224,898	6,190	2.8
2014	2,381	61	2.6	97	0	0.3	231,414	6,515	2.9
2015	2,445	64	2.7	97	0	0.2	238,219	6,805	2.9
2016	2,510	65	2.7	98	0	0.3	245,227	7,008	2.9
2017	2,577	67	2.7	98	0	0.2	252,322	7,095	2.9
2018	2,644	67	2.6	98	0	0.2	259,459	7,137	2.8
2019	2,712	68	2.6	98	0	0.2	266,695	7,236	2.8
2020	2,782	70	2.6	99	0	0.2	274,155	7,460	2.8
2021	2,854	72	2.6	99	0	0.2	281,873	7,718	2.8
2022	2,929	75	2.6	99	0	0.2	289,834	7,961	2.8
2023	3,006	77	2.6	99	0	0.2	298,034	8,200	2.8
2024	3,084	78	2.6	99	0	0.2	306,389	8,356	2.8
2025	3,164	80	2.6	100	0	0.2	314,899	8,510	2.8
2026	3,246	82	2.6	100	0	0.2	323,596	8,697	2.8
2027	3,328	82	2.5	100	0	0.2	332,370	8,773	2.7
2028	3,410	82	2.5	100	0	0.2	341,167	8,797	2.6
2029	3,493	83	2.4	100	0	0.1	349,971	8,804	2.6
2030	3,576	83	2.4	100	0	0.1	358,777	8,807	2.5

Owen Electric 2010 Load Forecast Large Commercial Summary Excluding Gallatin

		Customers		Use	Per Custon	ner		Class Sales	;
				Annual	Annual			Annual	
	Annual	Annual	%	Average	Change	%	Total	Change	%
	Average	Change	Change	(MWh)	(MWh)	Change	(MWh)	(MWh)	Change
1990	2			10,061			20,123		
1991	2	0	0.0	12,404	2,343	23.3	24,809	4,686	23.3
1992	2	0	0.0	12,096	-308	-2.5	24,192	-617	-2.5
1993	2	0	0.0	12,268	172	1.4	24,535	343	1.4
1994	4	2	100.0	6,301	-5,967	-48.6	25,204	669	2.7
1995	6	2	50.0	4,885	-1,416	-22.5	29,310	4,106	16.3
1996	8	2	33.3	4,450	-435	-8.9	35,603	6,293	21.5
1997	10	2	25.0	3,384	-1,067	-24.0	33,835	-1,768	-5.0
1998	12	2	20.0	2,692	-691	-20.4	32,309	-1,527	-4.5
1999	17	5	41.7	2,543	-149	-5.5	43,239	10,930	33.8
2000	20	3	17.6	3,792	1,248	49.1	75,839	32,600	75.4
2001	23	3	15.0	4,239	447	11.8	97,497	21,658	28.6
2002	21	-2	-8.7	5,405	1,166	27.5	113,503	16,006	16.4
2003	28	7	33.3	4,259	-1,146	-21.2	119,256	5,753	5.1
2004	30	2	7.1	4,623	364	8.5	138,685	19,430	16.3
2005	36	6	20.0	4,807	184	4.0	173,061	34,376	24.8
2006	26	-10	-27.8	7,618	2,811	58.5	198,064	25,003	14.4
2007	13	-13	-50.0	14,780	7,162	94.0	192,139	-5,925	-3.0
2008	16	3	23.1	13,256	-1,524	-10.3	212,094	19,955	10.4
2009	15	- 1	-6.3	13,121	-135	-1.0	196,810	-15,283	-7.2
2010	19	4	26.7	11,408	-1,713	-13.1	216,749	19,938	10.1
2011	19	0	0.0	12,189	781	6.8	231,587	14,838	6.8
2012	21	2	10.5	12,928	739	6.1	271,488	39,901	17.2
2013	22	1	4.8	12,925	-3	0.0	284,344	12,856	4.7
2014	23	1	4.5	12,837	-88	-0.7	295,246	10,902	3.8
2015	24	1	4.3	12,756	-81	-0.6	306,150	10,904	3.7
2016	25	1	4.2	12,686	-70	-0.6	317,152	11,001	3.6
2017	26	1	4.0	12,690	4	0.0	329,940	12,788	4.0
2018	27	1	3.8	12,696	6	0.0	342,789	12,849	3.9
2019	28	1	3.7	12,703	7	0.1	355,689	12,900	3.8
2020	29	1	3.6	12,713	10	0.1	368,677	12,988	3.7
2021	30	1	3.4	12,727	14	0.1	381,797	13,120	3.6
2022	31	1	3.3	12,744	17	0.1	395,063	13,267	3.5
2023	32	1	3.2	12,765	21	0.2	408,486	13,422	3.4
2024	33	1	3.1	12,789	24	0.2	422,044	13,559	3.3
2025	34	1	3.0	12,815	26	0.2	435,715	13,671	3.2
2026	35	1	2.9	12,843	28	0.2	449,503	13,788	3.2
2027	36	1	2.9	12,872	29	0.2	463,387	13,884	3.1
2028	37	1	2.8	12,901	29	0.2	477,327	13,940	3.0
2029	38	1	2.7	12,929	28	0.2	491,295	13,969	2.9
2030	39	1	2.6	12,956	27	0.2	505,278	13,982	2.8

SERVICE AREA

OWEN ELECTIC COOPERATIVE is a RUS-funded electric distribution cooperative. OEC is located in Northern Kentucky. OEC serves portions of Boone, Kenton, Campbell, Grant, Pendleton, Carroll, Scott and Owen Counties. The headquarters are located in Owenton, KY (Owen County). *See Map on following page*.

The OEC service area is due south of Cincinnati, Ohio and north of Georgetown, Kentucky. The system has a fine balance of large industrial and commercial customers combined with a large residential base due to the close proximity to Cincinnati.

The following data is from OEC's 12/10 RUS Form 7:

Total Services in Place	60,166
MWH Purchased	2,224,298
MWH Sold	2,174,225
Maximum MW Demand	418.0
Total Utility Plant	\$217,086,977
Plant Dollars Per Active Member	\$3,826
Consumers/Mile	12.6

OEC will operate 27 delivery points and distributes power at a primary voltages of 12.5/7.2 kV and 14.4/25 kV over approximately 4,500 miles of line.

OEC SYSTEM MAP



GENERATION and TRANSMISSION POWER SUPPLIER

East Kentucky Power Cooperative (EKP) provides all power and energy needs to OEC. EKP provides service to the twenty-seven distribution substations. EKP is located in Winchester, Kentucky.

The 2010 Load Forecast (LF) is a joint effort between OEC and EKP. OEC provides loading data and system growth predictions to EKP for use in the LF growth models.

All new distribution, transmission, and substation construction requirements are considered simultaneously as a "one system" concept - between OEC & EKP - for the orderly and economical development of the total system. All of the recommendations relative to power supply and delivery are discussed with EKP.

SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM AND COSTS

Owen Electric's distribution system was analyzed in order to identify the construction requirements needed to adequately serve the projected CWP load of 310 MW. Improvements were identified based on voltage drop, conductor loading, system reliability improvements, economic conductor analysis and operational experience. A narrative list of system improvements is located in Section IV.

A breakdown of proposed construction projects by RUS 740C codes is listed below in Table I-C-1.

RUS Form 740C Category	Category Name	Estimated Cost
100	New Distribution Line	\$4,862,246
300	Line Conversion &	\$1,462,292
	Replacement	
600	Misc. Equip. & Poles	\$11,873,546
700	Outdoor Lights, AMR & SCADA/DA H/W & S/W	\$1,302,509
1500	GIS	\$1,174,000
	2012-2013 CWP TOTAL	\$20,674,593

Table I-C-1 System Additions and Improvements Summary

- 100 New Construction planned to serve 1,763 new services.
- 300 10.0 miles of conductor upgrading and replacement.
- 600 Miscellaneous distribution equipment and pole changes. This includes aged conductor replacement, voltage regulators, switched capacitors, sectionalizing, automated meters, transformers, pole changes and increased service capacity upgrades.
- 700 Other Distribution Items Outdoor lighting, and software and hardware for AMI, and SCADA/DA.
- 1500- GIS Items Related Hardware and Software for GIS and field inventory.

OWEN ELECTRIC 2012-2013 Construction Workplan COST SUMMARY SPREADSHEET

NEW CONSTRUCTION -- RUS CODE 100

	001					
ITEM	RUS CODE	AVE. \$/CONSUMER	# CONS.	2012	2013	TOTAL
New Overhead Construction	100	\$4,366	448	\$958,917	\$997,273	\$1,956,190
New Underground Construction	101	\$1,932	1,270	\$1,202,477	\$1,250,576	\$2,453,053
New LP Construction	102	\$10,067	45	\$176,954	\$276,049	\$453,003
		TOTAL CODE 100:	1,763	\$2,338,348	\$2,523,898	\$4,862,246

LINE CONVERSION / REPLACEMENT	I - KUS CUDE 3(00							
SUB - SECTION	RUS CODE	Original Conductor	INST. COND/#-PH	\$/MILES	# OF MILES	2012	2013	TOTAL	_
Banklick 45098 - 45414	327	1 ph #2 ACSR	3 ph 336 ACSR	\$167,300	0.8	\$0	\$139,194	\$139,194	_
Big Bone 27348 - 27079	328	1 ph 6A CWC	3 ph 1/0 ACSR	\$135,600	0.3	\$40,680	\$0	\$40,680	
Carson 51 - 29	329	1 ph #2 ACSR	3 ph #2 ACSR	\$100,000	0.2	\$20,000	\$0	\$20,000	_
Hebron 19954 - 19420	330	3 ph 1/0 ACSR	3 ph 336 ACSR	\$167,300	1.1	\$184,030	\$0	\$184,030	
Keith 17337 - 21023	331	1 ph #2 ACSR	3 ph #2 ACSR	\$100,000	2.3	\$230,000	\$0	\$230,000	_
MBUSA	332	Getaways		\$167,300	1.1	\$200,000	\$0	\$200,000	_
Munk 27260-25585	333	1 ph #2 ACSR	3 ph 1/0 ACSR	\$135,600	1.0	0\$	\$141,024	\$141,024	_
Penn 34875 - 67711	334	1 ph #2 ACSR	3 ph 1/0 ACSR	\$135,600	0.8	\$0	\$112,819	\$112,819	_
Williamstown 67678 - 39269	335	1 ph #2 ACSR	3 ph 1/0 ACSR	\$135,600	0.6	\$81,360	\$0	\$81,360	
Williamstown 38823 - 36268	336	1 ph 1/0 ACSR	3 ph 336 ACSR	\$167,300	1.8	\$0	\$313,186	\$313,186	_
			TOTAL CODE 300:		10.0	\$756,070	\$706,222	\$1,462,292	

CARRYOVERS are in BOLD

Workplan	
Construction	CONT
2012-2013 C	READSHEET
ELECTRIC	SUMMARY SP
OWEN	COSTS

MISCELLANEOUS DISTRIBUTION EQUIPMENT – RUS CODE 600's

ITEM	RUS CODE	2 YR. AVE. COST	# ITEMS	2012	2013	TOTAL
New Underground Transformers	601	\$2,071	164	\$143,794	\$195,884	\$339,678
New 3ph UG Transformers	601	\$18,441	17	\$126,118	\$187,375	\$313,493
New Overhead Transformers	601	\$1,032	1,057	\$426,364	\$664,603	\$1,090,967
New Meters	601	\$255	150	\$18,750	\$19,500	\$38,250
New Polyphase Meters	601	\$406	90	\$14,256	\$22,239	\$36,495
Retrofit Polyphase Meters	601	\$310	112	\$34,720	\$0	\$34,720
Disconnect Collars	601	\$239	120	\$14,040	\$14,602	\$28,642
Instrument Transformers	601	\$712	198	\$54,905	\$86,013	\$140,918
Service Upgrades	602	\$3,706	230	\$417,888	\$434,603	\$852,491
Sectionalizing	603			\$544,320	\$500,000	\$1,044,320
Voltage Regulators	604			\$359,200	\$234,700	\$593,900
Capacitors	605			\$135,000	\$135,000	\$270,000
Pole Changes	606	\$4,728	550	\$1,274,663	\$1,325,649	\$2,600,312
Miscellaneous - Replacement	607			\$650,000	\$650,000	\$1,300,000
Conductor Replacement (OH)	608		60 miles	\$624,000	\$648,960	\$1,272,960
Conductor Replacement (UG)	608		8 miles	\$958,200	\$958,200	\$1,916,400
		TOTAL				
		MISC. CODE 600'S:		\$5,796,218	\$6,077,328	\$11,873,546

OTHER DIST. ITEMS - RUS CODE 700

VITEN DIST. TEMP - ROS - CODE /0	0					
ITEM	RUS CODE	2 YR. AVE. COST	# ITEMS	2012	2013	TOTAL
Outdoor Lighting	701	\$1,394	256	\$174,882	\$181,877	\$356,759
SCADA /DA	704			\$590,125	\$155,625	\$745,750
AMI Equipment	705			\$100,000	\$100,000	\$200,000
		TOTAL CODE 700:		\$865,007	\$437,502	\$1,302,509

GIS - RUS CODE 1500

ITEM	RUS CODE	2 YR. AVE. COST	# ITEMS		2012	2013	TOTAL
Hardware/Software	1500				\$0	\$174,000	\$174,000
Field Inventory	1500				80	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000
		TOTAL CODE 700:			\$0	\$1,174,000	\$1,174,000
2012-2013 Kentucky 37 - Owen		CONSTRUCTION W	ORK PLAN	FOTAL:			\$20,674,593

OWEN ELECTRIC CWP: I-C Page 3

DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM DESIGN CRITERIA

Each of the following criteria items were reviewed and accepted by the RUS General Field Representative on August 11, 2011.

- 1) The minimum primary voltage (on a 120 volt base) is 118 volts after re-regulation. The source voltage is 126 volts.
- 2) Primary conductors will be evaluated for replacement, or alternative action, if they exceed 75% of their thermal rating.
- 3) The following line equipment will not be thermally loaded by more than the percentage shown:

		a.	Winter	Summer
ii.	Transformers		130%	100%
iii.	Voltage Regulators		130%	100%
iv.	Step Up / Down Transformers		130%	100%
v.	Reclosers / Line Fuses		80%	80%

- 4) Underground conductors will be considered for replacement on an as needed basis.
- 5) Overhead conductors will be considered for replacement on an as needed basis.
- 6) New primary construction is to be either overhead, or underground, based on governmental or environmental regulations, local restrictions, favorable economics, developmental requests, or safety concerns.
- 7) Single-phase lines with more than 45 Amps of load current will be evaluated for multi-phasing.

DISTRIBUTION LINE AND EQUIPMENT COSTS

Construction cost estimates for the two year planning period are shown in Table II-B-1. Cost summaries for distribution equipment are shown in Table II-B-2.

Table II-B-1 Line Construction Cost Estimates Annual Projected Dollars/Mile*

SIZE	TYPE	2012	2013
1/0 ACSR	CONV 3-PH	\$135,600	\$141,000
336.4 ACSR	CONV 3-PH	\$167,300	\$174,000
#2 ACSR	REPL 1-PH	\$42,300	\$44,000
1/0 ALUG	REPL 1-PH	\$130,000	\$135,200
1/0 ALUG	CONV 3-PH	\$300,000	\$312,000
500 MCM ALUG	CONV 3-PH	\$590,000	\$613,600

Table II-B-2 Distribution Equipment Cost Estimates Annual Projected Unit Costs*

DEVICE	TYPE	2012	2013
V.Regulators (3)	219 amp	\$64,600	\$67,200
V.Regulators (3)	150 amp	\$45,600	\$47,500
V.Regulators (1)	100 amp	\$26,400	\$27,500

* Dollar amounts reflect material, direct labor costs, and a 60% indirect labor overhead multiplier.

STATUS OF PREVIOUS CWP ITEMS

740 C #	Project Description	Status
301	Moffett Rd	Carryover - 327
309	Aulick Rd.	Cancelled –Alternate solution planned.
310	Butler-Greenwood	Deferred
311	Williams Rd.	Carryover - 330
313	Fortner Ridge Rd.	Deferred
314	Breck Rd.	Deferred
315	Swope Rd.	Carryover - 331
317	Violet Rd.	Deferred
324	Fairview-Knoxville Rd.	Deferred
325	Ragtown Rd.	Carryover - 335
326	Keefer Rd.	Carryover - 336

All projects from the 2010-2011 CWP have been completed except the following:

ANALYSIS OF 2006 LONG RANGE PLAN

The 2006 Twenty-Year Long Range Plan (LRP) consists of three load block levels. Load block "A" was a five-year load level, load block "B" represented the 10-year load level, and load block "C" represented the 20 year load level. The Long Range Load Level ("C") system summer 2026 peak is approximately 550 MW (excluding Gallatin Steel). The summer 2013 system peak projected in this CWP is 310 MW.

In **Load Block A**, the LRP recommended four new substation sites and expansions to three existing substation sites. The new substations were Burlington, Sterling, Woolper Creek, and Blanchet. The substations to be expanded/doubled were Munk, Williamstown and Banklick.

The Burlington and Sterling substations were added during the 2008-2009 CWP. The Burlington substation is scheduled for an upgrade by summer 2013 in order for it to serve as an emergency backup for a critical load. The Woolper Creek substation will be called the Belleview substation, and will be completed during the 2010-2011 CWP. The Blanchet substation has been deferred pending analysis of the Penn substation service territory and the future rehabilitation/upgrade of the Penn substation.

Expansion of the Munk substation has been deferred because of load transfer from the Munk service territory to the Noel substation. Also it has been determined that an expansion of the Munk substation is not feasible because of space limitations. The alternative to the Munk expansion was a proposed substation near the town of Crittenden. Due to slower economic growth than anticipated in the LRP, the need for a substation around the Crittenden area has been deferred.

The Williamstown expansion will need to occur at the onset of the next CWP. A new 5MW amusement park, The Ark, will be served by the Williamstown substation and is scheduled to open in late 2014 or early 2015.

The Banklick substation expansion will be analyzed in the next Construction Work Plan. To increase reliability between Banklick and Grantslick substation, a new substation site may be selected in lieu of a substation upgrade at Banklick. Until a new substation or upgrade of Banklick is available, load transfers can be managed with Bristow and Duro to offload Banklick.

In **Load Block B**, the LRP recommended three substation expansions, and three new substation sites. These new substations were North Point, Independence, and Toebben. The substations recommended for expansion were Noel, Bullittsville, and Woolper Creek (now called Belleview).

ANALYSIS OF 2006 LONG RANGE PLAN -con't

Due to slow residential expansion in western Boone County, the North Point substation is no longer considered as a preferred solution. A large planned residential/commercial community off of North Bend Road, on the eastern part of the Hebron substation territory, has made an expansion of the existing Hebron substation the preferred solution for offloading the existing Hebron transformer. A second transformer at Hebron will be needed at the beginning of the next CWP.

The remaining planned new construction and expansion of substations outlined in Load Block B are not needed in this CWP, and presently are not scheduled with EKP. Load growth will be monitored in each of these areas to determine when the planned expansions will be necessary.

In **Load Block C** (**Long-Range Level**), the LRP recommended six new substation sites. These substations were Waterloo, Richwood, Alexandria, Lake Williamstown, Sulphur Well, and North Holbrook.

The Richwood substation was expedited due to expansion in the Duro industrial park area. The Richwood substation was energized the summer of 2010.

The 2006 LRP recommended numerous distribution upgrades that include aged conductor replacement over the 20-year Long Range Planning period.

The 2012-2013 CWP is in basic agreement with the current LRP. All recommendations in the current LRP were closely analyzed during the updating process.

OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE SURVEY

The current O&M Survey ("Review Rating Summary") was completed in July 2009. A copy of the survey is included as an Appendix of this report.

OEC will continue to coordinate with other utilities through frequent follow-ups concerning joint use compliance. This will alleviate issues with poles left standing next to electric poles once a line has been changed.

SECTIONALIZING STUDIES

A sectionalizing study analyzes the existing overcurrent protection scheme and proposes changes to improve the overall effectiveness of the scheme.

Sectionalizing studies take place on a substation-by-substation basis.

The four main goals of a sectionalizing study are Safety, Reliability, Coordination, and Protection.

- 1. Safety Sectionalizing devices should be able to detect and interrupt the full range of fault currents available in their zone of protection coverage. Calculated minimum fault current values should be detected and cleared by the protective device.
- 2. Reliability Limit the outage hours per consumer by isolating or "sectionalizing" faulted portions of the circuit so that the minimum number of customers are interrupted. Additional devices where needed will further limit the overall outage hours.
- Coordination Good protective device coordination will ensure that the closest device to the fault opens. Fault locating is also enhanced. Miscoordination of protective devices can cause confusion and ultimately add to outage times.
- 4. Protection A well designed protection scheme will minimize damage to the distribution system by limiting the time that damaging overcurrent is present on the faulted portion of the system.

Changes that can affect the coordination scheme include: load growth; substation transformer capacity increases; reconductoring distribution lines; single-phase to three-phase conversions; changes in the system's circuit configuration; and the addition of loads in specific locations.

The ongoing, substation-by-substation sectionalizing study will continue after the completion of the CWP report. General sectionalizing device cost projections will be listed in the "603" category in this report.

SUBSTATION LOAD **TABLE II-E-1**

HISTORICAL AND FORECAST LOAD IN KVA

s

TABLE																	
		Installed Capat	oility		Existing	Winter	2 Year V Unimpro	Vinter ove d	2 Year Winte	ar Improved	Existing 5	Summer	2 Year S Unimp	ummer roved	2 Year Summ	ner Improved	
NAME	Nameplate (kVA)	Cooling	Winter Rating (kVA)	Summer Rating (kVA)	Jan '11 (kVA)	%Load	Jan '14 (kVA)	% Load	lan '14 (KVA)	%Load	July '11 (kVA)	% Load	July '13 (KVA)	% Load	(KVA) 13 (kVA)	%Load	Note
Bank Lick	14000	OAFA-65C	17100	13620	10,098	59.05%	11,232	65.68%	9,384	54.88%	12,253	89.96%	12,802	93.99%	10,614	77.93%	5
Bavarian	20000	OAFAFA-65C	14400	14400	4,675	32.47%	5,444	37.81%	5,444	37.81%	3,658	25.40%	4,172	28.97%	4,172	28.97%	
Big Bone	14000	OAFA-65C	17100	13620	3,626	21.20%	4,163	24.35%	4,273	24.99%	3,814	28.00%	4,064	29.84%	4,133	30.35%	
Boone Distribution	25000	OAFAFA-65C	17100	17100	11,172	65.33%	12,969	75.84%	12,969	75.84%	13,537	79.16%	13,953	81.60%	13,953	81.60%	
Bristow	14000	OAFA-65C	17100	13620	6,204	36.28%	8,063	47.15%	8,063	47.15%	6,555	48.13%	6,667	48.95%	6,667	48.95%	
Bristow II	14000	OAFA-65C	14400	13620	5,757	39.98%	7,375	51.22%	8,374	58.15%	7,781	57.13%	8,322	61.10%	9,832	72.19%	9
Bromley	14000	OAFA-65C	13740	13620	7,678	55.88%	7,984	58.11%	7,825	56.95%	6,177	45.35%	6,350	46.62%	6,159	45.22%	
Bullittsville	14000	OAFA-65C	17100	13620	7,019	41.05%	8,042	47.03%	6,770	39.59%	13,385	98.27%	13,976	102.61%	10,832	79.53%	2,8
Burlington	14000	OAFA-65C	14400	14010	7,498	52.07%	15,099	104.85%	8,199/11,677	56.94%/81.09%	10,179	72.66%	16,786	119.81%	9,319/13,043	66.52%/93.10%	2
Carson	11200	OA-65C	13740	11080	7,030	51.16%	8,929	64.99%	8929	64.99%	6,409	57.84%	8,653	78.10%	8,649	78.06%	
Downing #1	14000	OAFA-65C	17100	13620	6,835	39.97%	7,667	44.84%	7,667	44.84%	10,221	75.04%	10,488	77.00%	10,488	77.00%	
Downing #2	14000	OAFA-65C	14400	13620	2,631	18.27%	2,730	18.96%	2,730	18.96%	3,340	24.52%	3,390	24.89%	3,390	24.89%	
Duro #1	14000	OAFA-65C	14400	13620	10,543	73.22%	21,209	147.28%	945	6.56%	12,220	89.72%	19,922	146.27%	1,065	7.82%	с
Duro #2	14000	OAFA-65C	13700	13620	5,170	37.74%	7,055	51.50%	7,889	57.58%	8,997	66.06%	6,015	44.16%	6,692	49.13%	5
Gallatin County #2	20000	OAFAFA-65C	20730	18500	5,459	26.33%	5,612	27.07%	5,612	27.07%	8,677	46.90%	11,377	61.50%	11,377	61.50%	
Grants Lick #1	14000	OAFA-65C	14400	13620	7,886	54.76%	8,766	60.88%	8,763	60.85%	7,243	53.18%	7,666	56.28%	7,661	56.25%	
Grants Lick #2	20000	OAFAFA-65C	17100	17100	15,842	92.64%	16,910	98.89%	16,918	98.94%	13,315	77.87%	13,452	78.67%	13,456	78.69%	6
Griffin	11200	0A-65C	13740	11070	7,657	55.73%	9,449	68.77%	9,445	68.74%	8,493	76.72%	8,675	78.36%	8,667	78.29%	
Hebron	20000	OAFAFA-65C	23610	19200	9,183	38.89%	12,561	53.20%	12,128	51.37%	15,676	81.65%	18,194	94.76%	17,646	91.91%	7
Keith	11200	OA-65C	13740	8820	9,762	71.05%	11,024	80.23%	11,137	81.06%	7,249	82.19%	7,517	85.23%	7,676	87.03%	
Keith #2	11200	OA-65C	15720	11070	1,564	9.95%	1,570	9.99%	1,570	9.99%	1,717	N/A	1,720	15.54%	1,720	15.54%	
Munk	14000	OAFA-65C	17100	13620	11,852	69.31%	12,171	71.18%	12,171	71.18%	9,305	68.32%	9,647	70.83%	9,639	70.77%	
Oakley Noel	11200	OA-65C	13740	11080	9,310	67.76%	10,740	78.17%	10,731	78.10%	9,585	86.51%	9,915	89.49%	9,909	89.43%	4
Penn	14000	OAFA-65C	14400	13620	10,688	74.22%	11,822	82.10%	11,891	82.58%	9,456	69.43%	9,837	72.22%	9,917	72.81%	
Richardson #1	14000	OAFA-65C	17100	13620	7,864	45.99%	11,341	66.32%	8,206/8,183	48.0%/47.9%	10,812	79.38%	13,532	99.35%	10,461/9,364	76.9%/68.8%	1
Richardson #2	11200	OA-65C	14400	11080	4,137	28.73%	4,717	32.76%	4,717	32.76%	4,465	40.30%	4,562	41.17%	4,562	41.17%	
Richwood	20000	OAFAFA-65C	24800	19200	4,440	17.90%	5,790	23.35%	5,790	23.35%	9,094	47.36%	8,588	44.73%	8,588	44.73%	
Sterling	20000	OAFAFA-65C	14400	14400	6,547	45.47%	8,170	56.74%	8,058	55.96%	8,129	56.45%	9,444	65.58%	9,374	65.10%	
Turkey Foot	14000	OAFA-65C	14400	13620	9,525	66.15%	11,570	80.35% 1	14,683/14,701	102%/102%	7,993	58.69%	8,829	64.82%	11,796/12,867	86.6%/94.5%	1,1
W. M. Smith #1	14000	OAFA-65C	14400	13620	5,793	40.23%	6,068	42.14%	6,068	42.14%	8,753	64.27%	9,127	67.01%	9,127	67.01%	
W. M. Smith #2	14000	OAFA-65C	14400	13620	6,330	43.96%	6,746	46.85%	6,746	46.85%	9,635	70.74%	9,799	71.95%	9,800	71.95%	
W. R. Smoot #1	11200	OA-65C	17100	13620	7,641	44.68%	9,374	54.82%	9,375	54.82%	9,348	68.63%	9,748	71.57%	9,748	71.57%	
W. R. Smoot #2	14000	OAFA-65C	14400	13620	8,448	58.67%	8,817	61.23%	8,817	61.23%	10,123	74.32%	10,237	75.16%	10,237	75.16%	
Williamstown	14000	OAFA-65C	17100	13620	11,630	68.01%	12,780	74.74%	12,708	74.32%	11,412	83.79%	12,809	94.05%	12,570	92.29%	
Belleview	11200	OA-65C	14400	11080	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	7,880	54.72%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	9,172	82.78%	
Messier	25000	OAFAFA-65C	31000	24000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	20,228	65.25%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	19,310	80.46%	

1. SD1 on Richardson 1 / Turkeyfoot

Autumn Dr. permanently switched to Turkeyfoot.

Circlewood Dr. fed from opposite substation as SD1

Relieved by Belleview
 Relieved by Messier Substation

4. Add fans.

OWEN ELECTRIC CWP: II-E Page 1

Relieved by Duro 2 and Bristow 2 block load transfer
 Relieved Banklick Substation
 Relieved by block load transfer to Bullittsville
 Relieved Hebron
 High side fuse needs to be upgraded
 Tap Changer needs to be upgraded in short term plus oil cooler until substation upgrade.

.46 25.92 933 OA-65C 35.8 46.8 668 28.8 28.8 125 SMDIA 153-1 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.10 F 17.10 .07 15.72 416 OA-65C 15.9 20.8 668 14.4 14.4 100 SMDIA 13.7 13.6 13.6 13
.46 25.92 933 OA-65C 35.8 46.8 668 28.8 28.8 125 International Internationa Interna Interna
.46 25.92 933 OA-65C 35.8 46.8 668 28.8 28.8 125 SMD1A 153-1 17.2 <t< th=""></t<>
.46 25.92 933 OA-65C 35.8 46.8 668 28.8 28.8 125 SMDIA 137.1 17.1 17.1 .07 15.72 416 OA-65C 15.9 20.8 668 14.4 100 SMDIA 137.1 13.7 13.7 .07 15.72 416 OA-65C 15.9 20.8 668 14.4 14.4 100 SMDIA 137.7 13.7 .02 24.84 747 OA-65C 28.7 37.5 1093 23.6 23.6 100 SMDIA 119-1 13.7 13.7 .03 14.94 333 OA-55C 9.8 15.1 668 14.4 14.0 SMDIA 119-1 13.7 13.7 .07 15.72 433 OA-55C 9.8 15.1 668 14.4 100 SMDIA 119-1 13.7 13.7 .07 15.72 432 OA-65C 16.6 21.4 14.4
.46 25.92 933 OA-65C 35.8 46.8 668 28.8 28.8 125 SMD1A 153-1 17.1 .07 15.72 416 OA-65C 15.9 20.8 668 14.4 100 SMD1A 119-1 13.7 .07 15.72 416 OA-65C 28.7 37.5 1093 23.6 23.6 100 SMD1A 119-1 13.7 .20 24.84 747 OA-65C 28.7 37.5 1093 23.6 23.6 100 SMD1A 119-1 13.7 .21.6 333 OA-55C 9.8 15.1 668 14.4 14.0 SMD1A 119-1 13.7 .07 15.72 432 OA-55C 9.8 15.1 668 14.4 14.0 SMD1A 119-1 13.7 .07 15.72 432 OA-55C 9.8 15.4 14.4 100 SMD1A 13.7 .07 15.72
.46 25.92 933 OA-65C 35.8 46.8 668 28.8 125 SMDIA 153-1 .07 15.72 416 OA-65C 15.9 20.8 668 14.4 100 SMDIA 119-1 .07 15.72 416 OA-65C 15.9 20.8 668 14.4 100 SMDIA 119-1 .20 24.84 747 OA-65C 28.7 37.5 1093 23.6 23.6 100 SN PMA 82 14.94 333 OA-55C 9.8 15.1 668 14.4 100 SMDIA 119-1 .07 15.72 432 OA-65C 16.6 21.6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 100 SMDIA 119-1 .07 15.72 432 OA-65C 16.6 21.6 7 7 100 SMDIA 157-1 .07 15.77 456 OA-6
.46 25.92 933 OA-65C 35.8 46.8 668 28.8 125 SMD1A .07 15.72 416 OA-65C 15.9 20.8 668 14.4 100 SMD1A .20 24.84 747 OA-65C 28.7 37.5 1093 23.6 100 SMD1A 82 14.94 333 OA-55C 9.8 15.1 668 14.4 100 SMD1A 82 14.94 333 OA-55C 9.8 15.1 668 14.4 100 SMD1A .07 15.72 432 OA-65C 15.6 23.4 900 19.4 12.5 SMD1A .07 15.72 436 OA-65C 17.9 23.4 900 19.4 100 SMD1A .07 15.72 466 OA-65C 17.9 23.4 668 14.4 100 SMD1A
.46 25.92 933 OA-65C 35.8 46.8 668 28.8 28.8 125 5 .07 15.72 416 OA-65C 15.9 20.8 668 14.4 14.4 100 5 .20 24.84 747 OA-65C 28.7 37.5 1093 23.6 23.6 100 5 82 14.94 333 OA-55C 9.8 15.1 668 14.4 14.4 100 5 .07 15.72 432 OA-55C 9.8 15.1 668 14.4 100 5 .07 15.72 432 OA-55C 9.8 15.1 668 14.4 100 5 .07 15.72 432 OA-65C 16.6 23.4 900 19.4 12.4 100 5 .07 15.72 456 OA-65C 17.9 23.4 668 14.4 14.4 100 5 5 5
.46 25.92 933 OA-65C 35.8 46.8 668 28.8 28.8 28.8 .07 15.72 416 OA-65C 15.9 20.8 668 14.4 14.4 .20 24.84 747 OA-65C 28.7 37.5 1093 23.6 23.6 82 14.94 333 OA-55C 9.8 15.1 668 14.4 14.4 .07 15.72 432 OA-65C 16.6 21.6 23.6 23.6 .07 15.72 432 OA-65C 16.6 21.6 900 19.4 19.4 .07 15.72 436 OA-65C 17.9 23.4 900 19.4 19.4 .07 15.72 466 OA-65C 17.9 23.4 668 14.4 14.4
.46 25.92 933 OA-65C 35.8 46.8 668 28.8 .07 15.72 416 OA-65C 15.9 20.8 668 14.4 .20 24.84 747 OA-65C 28.7 37.5 1093 23.6 82 14.94 333 OA-55C 9.8 15.1 668 14.4 82 14.94 333 OA-55C 9.8 15.1 668 14.4 .07 15.72 432 OA-65C 16.6 21.6 71.4 .07 15.72 432 OA-65C 17.9 23.4 900 19.4 .07 15.72 466 OA-65C 17.9 23.4 668 14.4
.46 25.92 933 OA-65C 35.8 46.8 668 .07 15.72 416 OA-65C 15.9 20.8 668 .20 24.84 747 OA-65C 15.9 37.5 1093 .20 24.84 747 OA-65C 28.7 37.5 1093 .20 14.94 333 OA-55C 9.8 15.1 668 .07 15.72 432 OA-65C 16.6 21.6 5 .07 15.72 432 OA-65C 17.9 23.4 900 .07 15.72 466 OA-65C 17.9 23.4 668
.46 25.92 933 OA-65C 35.8 46.8 .07 15.72 416 OA-65C 15.9 20.8 .20 24.84 747 OA-65C 28.7 37.5 .20 24.84 747 OA-65C 28.7 37.5 .21 14.94 333 OA-55C 9.8 15.1 .07 15.72 432 OA-65C 16.6 21.6 .07 15.72 432 OA-65C 17.9 23.4 .07 15.72 436 OA-65C 17.9 23.4 .07 15.72 466 OA-65C 17.9 23.4
.46 25.92 933 OA-65C 35.8 .07 15.72 416 OA-65C 15.9 .20 24.84 747 OA-65C 28.7 .82 14.94 333 OA-55C 9.8 .07 15.72 432 OA-55C 9.8 .07 15.72 432 OA-65C 16.6 .07 15.72 432 OA-65C 17.9 .07 15.72 466 OA-65C 17.9 .07 15.72 466 OA-65C 17.9
.46 25.92 933 OA-65C 3 .07 15.72 416 OA-65C 1 .20 24.84 747 OA-65C 2 .20 24.84 747 OA-65C 2 .21 24.94 747 OA-65C 2 .20 14.94 333 OA-55C 2 .07 15.72 432 OA-65C 1 .07 15.72 432 OA-65C 1 .07 15.72 466 OA-65C 1 .07 15.72 466 OA-65C 1
.46 25.92 933 0A. .07 15.72 416 0A. .20 15.72 416 0A. .20 24.84 747 0A. .21 14.94 333 0A. .27 14.94 333 0A. .27 14.94 333 0A. .07 15.72 432 0A. .07 15.72 432 0A. .07 15.72 466 0A. .07 15.72 466 0A.
.46 25.92 933 .07 15.72 416 .07 15.72 416 .20 24.84 747 .20 24.84 747 .21 14.94 333 .07 15.72 436 .07 15.72 432 .07 15.72 436 .07 15.72 466 .07 15.72 466
46 25.92 .07 15.72 .07 15.72 .20 24.84 .82 14.94 .82 14.94 .07 15.72 .07 15.72 .07 15.72 .07 15.72 .07 15.72 .07 15.72 .07 15.72 .07 15.72 .07 15.72 .07 15.72 .07 15.72 .07 15.72 .07 15.72 .07 15.72 .07 15.72 .07 15.72 .07 15.72 .08 18.14
11 11 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 1
0A/FA-65C 0A-65C 0A/FA/FA-65C 0A-55C 0A-65C 0A/FA-65C 0A/FA-65C 0A/FA-65C
20 11.2 20 10 11.2 14 11.2 11.2 14 14
24.94 12.47 12.47 12.47 12.47 12.47 12.47 12.47
69 69 69 69 69 69 69
GRANTS LICK #2 GRIFFIN HEBRON KEITH Keith #2 MUNK OAKLEY NOEL PENN
N012A N029 N069 N038A N038A N021 N008
37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37

Owen Distribution Substation Equipment Ratings

OWEN ELECTRIC CWP: II-E Page 2

SERVICE RELIABILITY

The record of OEC's service interruptions for the past five years is shown in Table II-E-2. The five-year average outage hours per consumer is 7.61. This value is higher than typical because of the extreme winds produced by Hurricane Ike in the fall of 2008 and the ice storm of early 2009 which both caused widespread damage for utilities throughout the region. With this exception OEC's typical average outage hours are below the minimum level allowed by RUS. Ongoing system improvements and continued feeder sectionalizing studies will help to reduce this value even further.

TABLE II-E-2

	Power Supplier	Extreme Storm	Prearranged	All Other	Total
2006 OUTAGE HR/CONS	0.13	0.62	0.08	1.73	2.56
2007 OUTAGE HR/CONS	0.46	1.26	0.14	1.77	3.63
2008 OUTAGE HR/CONS	1.11	12.01	0.05	1.62	14.79
2009 OUTAGE HR/CONS	0.30	12.20	0.12	2.11	14.73
2010 OUTAGE HR/CONS	0.42	0.00	0.12	1.78	2.32
FIVE YEAR AVE. OUTAGE HR/CONS	0.48	5.22	0.10	1.80	7.61

NON-FUNDED SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS

The following recommendations are based upon the review of the projected winter and summer peak systems. These recommendations do not affect the total dollar projections for the CWP, but are recommended for the OEC system to meet the design criteria.

Load Balance

The following feeders would benefit from balancing to alleviate excessive voltage drop or rise due to phase imbalance. Included in the appendix of this report are phase balance recommendations that were generated using Windmil's Load Balance routine which calculates the optimum phase configuration for a feeder based on reducing losses. While all recommendations may not be possible due to field constraints it can be used as a starting point to begin plans for phase balancing.

Substation	Feeder	Issue	Summer	Winter
Banklick	0202	Voltage Rise		Х
Bromley	0603	Voltage rise and	Х	Х
		drop		
Burlington	2404	Voltage Rise	Х	Х
Gallatin	1802	Voltage Rise		Х
Grantslick 1	0304	Voltage Rise		Х
		and drop		
Griffin	0904	Voltage Rise	Х	Х
		and drop		
Keith	1301	Voltage Rise	Х	Х
		and drop		
Keith	1303	Voltage Rise	Х	Х
		and drop		
Munk	0405	Voltage Rise	Х	Х
Noel	2103	Voltage Drop	Х	Х
Noel	2104	Voltage Drop	Х	Х
Penn	0702	Voltage Drop	Х	Х
Penn	0703	Voltage Rise	Х	X
Sterling	2503	Voltage Rise	Х	Х
Turkeyfoot	1002*	Voltage Rise	Х	Х
Williamstown	0501	Voltage Rise	Х	Х
		and drop		

* This feeder will change when new feeder out of Turkeyfoot is added to serve as backfeed for SD1. Load balancing may change.

Switching recommendations

- Banklick: Backfeed 47849 from 47846 and open at 48037 to relieve loading on 47920. Switches not available. This tap is on Old Decoursey Road.
- Banklick offload: Backfeed 65759 from 65758 and open at riser at LOC#72-439-21-1173. This will feed Troopers Crossing from Bristow II.
- Banklick offload: Close SW1267 (LOC#72-424-23-5418) and open at Banklick substation. This will feed all of feeder 0204 (Maher Rd.) from the Duro II transformer.
- Bristow 1: Change the tap beginning with line section 30890 LOC#62-451-20-7033 from C-phase to either A-phase or B-phase to relieve undervoltage caused by imbalance.
- Keith/Bromley: Close SW1715 (LOC#11-073-23-2056) and open SW1684 (LOC#11-073-05-9909) to relieve voltage drop at the end of Bromley 603. This should only be done once the voltage regulators have been added to the mainline of Keith 1304 near 11-054-13-3739.
- Grantslick: Backfeed 52302 from 51949 (LOC#82-354-13-5017) and open at 51342 (LOC#82-353-17-0118) to relieve overload on tap along Aulick Road beginning at 67896 (LOC#82-338-02-5814). This area is planned for aged conductor replacement. The change in open point should accompany the conductor replacement.
- Hebron offload: Add switch south of 62-499-14-1057. Close Bullittsville feeder 803 at the substation and open at new switch. This will offload Hebron until the substation upgrade. This should be done after load on Bullittsville has been switched to Belleview.
- Richardson offload: Switch Turkeyfoot Rd and Autumn Drive to Turkeyfoot substation to free up capacity on Richardson 1 for SD1 Narrows. Close switch SW1408 (LOC#72-452-11-5087) and open at new open point just west of SD1.
- Sterling: Backfeed 14797 from 14817 (LOC#61-389-14-4627) and open at 14571 (LOC#61-373-02-3875) to relieve loading on 16945.
- Sterling: Change tap beginning with 11636 from C-phase to B-phase (LOC#41-343-22-6360.
- Sterling: Change tap beginning with 17213 from C-phase to B-phase (LOC#41-359-17-3353.

• Turkeyfoot: Backfeed 38550 from new 556Spacer on Sterling Dr. at LOC#72-452-23-9681 and open at LOC#72-453-12-3131 to relieve loading on 38459.

Additional Recommendations

- Bristow 1: Consider a 70L OCR at line section 37596, LOC#72-424-10-9780 on Hogreffee Rd.
- Carson 1102: Overvoltage conditions on the lighter phases due to the large amount of capacitance on this feeder which has been confirmed by field readings. Consider reducing the kVAR on this feeder. Carson appears to go leading in winter.
- Downing 1: Feeder 2001. Review coordination on this feeder. Possible heavy loading and low voltage on single phase tap 1/0 UG on Grandview Dr. Field verify.
- Grantslick: Feeder 0304. Take voltage field measurements during winter peak 31-302-20-0444, Brownfield Rd. Consider voltage regulator or changing phase of tap.
- Noel: Feeder 2102. Check voltage at consumer location 22-216-23-7094 during summer peak. May need to move the set of 3-219A voltage regulators at LOC#22-217-18-3041 upline for voltage support.
- Penn: Feeder 701 had low voltage readings at meter on C phase during peak summer 2011. The set of upline voltage regulators should be checked to see if they are online and operating properly.
- Penn: Appears to go leading during non-summer months. Consider removing or switching kVAR on this substation.
- Smith 1: Power factor 92% in summer. Consider capacitors.
- Smith 2: Power factor 89% in summer. According to the model there are several capacitor banks that are turned off. Consider adding on energizing capacitors.
- Smoot 2: Power factor 94% in summer. Consider capacitors.
- Sterling: Power factor 87% in summer. Consider capacitors.
- Turkeyfoot: Location 72-439-14-5614 Meadowglen Dr. is heavily loaded. Check loading in summer, and design coordination accordingly. If the back part of this subdivision develops extend the V-phase down Meadow Breeze Lane to break up the load on Meadowglen Dr.

DATA RESOURCES

The following is a list of the basic data used for this analysis and report.

- 1. Updated circuit diagram map that indicates substations with present feeder configurations.
- 2. Monthly substation non-coincident peak (NCP) demands.
- 3. Billing system kW and kWh sales for last winter and summer peaks.
- 4. 2010 East Kentucky Power Load Forecast.
- 5. Five Year Outage Summary.
- 6. RUS Form 7 data.
- 7. Substation transformer ratings.
- 8. Substation Data Sheets.
- 9. Computerized circuit model databases with voltage drop calculations for each primary line section.

BASIC DATA AND ASSUMPTIONS

Design Load – The construction program in the CWP covers a two-year period to serve the 306 MW, January 2014 winter peak and 310 MW, 2013 summer peak. The design load was derived after reviewing the *2010 Load Forecast* with the GFR.

Load Allocation – Individual areas of the system were grown as spot loads based on the potential for growth in that area. The total system design load was attained by allocating each substation's load to its consumers proportional to the kWh consumption of each residential consumer and billed demand for non-residential consumers. Peak summer and peak winter loading were modeled and analyzed. The system is generally summer peaking.

Voltage Drop – For the design load, an eight volt drop past one set of downline voltage regulators was assumed to be the maximum allowable end-of-line voltage drop.

Substation Voltage Regulation – Voltage regulation was assumed for each substation such that a 10% voltage drop could be experienced on the transmission system at peak load and 126 volts could still be supplied to the substation bus.

System Power Factor – System power factor values were assumed to coincide with the levels listed on the substation load data sheet.

Single-Phase Loading – On taps where more than 45 amps are served from a single-phase line, conversion to 3-phase was considered in order to provide greater system reliability and ease of coordination.

Inflation – An annual inflation rate of 4% was used in this CWP.

Construction Cost Estimates – Cost estimates for the various distribution equipment and conductor sizes are presented in Tables II-B-1 and II-B-2.

Computer Model of Distribution System – The system is modeled on Milsoft Integrated Solution's Windmil v. 7.3 analysis software. Downloading monthly billing computer data into the Windmil billing file directory was the framework for building the winter and summer models. Residential loads were allocated by the kWh Demand Table method. Commercial and industrial loads were allocated based on their billed kW demand. Projected models were analyzed for Design Criteria violations using an unbalanced voltage drop calculation. **Economic Conductor Analysis** – Economic Conductor analysis includes the consideration of initial construction costs and the associated losses of the selected conductors. For two alternative conductors compared, there is generally a kW load level at which the fixed costs associated with construction plus the variable costs related to line losses are equal for both alternatives.

The following general recommendations were generated from the analysis:

- 1. New overhead single-phase line extensions will be constructed of #2 ACSR. New underground extensions will be constructed of 1/0 ALUG or #2 ALUG. New three-phase underground line extensions will be constructed of 1/0 ALUG or 500 MCM ALUG.
- 2. Replacements that are to remain single-phase should generally be constructed of #2 ACSR unless unacceptable voltage drop is likely, in which case 1/0 ACSR should be used.
- 3. Converted 12.5 kV three-phase construction should be of 1/0 ACSR for initial loads up to 2,000 kW except main feeders and major taps; and 336.4 ACSR for initial loads greater than 2,000 kW. Voltage drop and reliability considerations may lower the initial kW level for the use of 336.4 ACSR.

The data table preceding the analysis graph lists the assumptions that were made in the conductor analysis. This analysis appears in the Appendices of this report.

FINANCIAL DATA

- ➢ Cost of Capital = 5.0%
- > Inflation = 4.0%
- Present Worth Discount Factor = 5.0%
- \blacktriangleright Depreciation = 4.47%
- $\sim O\&M = 4.26\%$
- ➤ Tax & Ins = 1.00%
- > TOTAL ANNUAL FIXED CHARGE RATE = 14.73%

Page 3

TABLE III-B-1Inflation = 4%COST SUMMARY DATA(HISTORICAL DATA & PROJECTIONS - EXCLUDING CODES 300, 603, & 604)

DESCRIPTION	July'09- June'11	2012	2013	CWP TOTAL
New OH Construction (100)	ľ			
1. New services constructed	447	224	224	448
2. Cost per Customer	\$4,116	\$4,281	\$4,452	-
3. Cost of New Customers	\$1,839,954	\$958,917	\$997,273	\$1,956,190
4. Total Footage	129,204	64.747	64.747	129,493
	.,			.,
New UG Construction (101)				
1. New services constructed	1270	635	635	1270
2. Cost per Customer	\$1,821	\$1,894	\$1,969	
3. Cost of New Customers	\$2,312,456	\$1,202,477	\$1,250,576	\$2,453,053
4. Total Footage	170,288	85,144	85,144	170,288
New LP Construction (102)				
1. New services constructed	45	18	27	45
2. Cost per Customer	\$9,453	\$9.831	\$10.224	
3. Cost of New Customers	\$425,371	\$176,954	\$276,049	\$453,003
De deservet Theorem formers or (201)				
1 Now transformers added	114	71	02	174
1. New transformers added	£1.047	¢2 025	\$2 10C	104
2. Cost per Transformer	\$1,947	\$2,025	\$2,106	¢220.679
3. Cost of New Transformers	\$222,000	\$143,794	\$195,884	\$339,678
3 PH Padmount Transformers (601)				
1. New transformers added	17	7	10	17
2. Cost per Transformer	\$17,324	\$18,017	\$18,738	
3. Cost of New Transformers	\$294,506	\$126,118	\$187,375	\$313,493
New (OH) Transformers (601)				
1. New transformers added	1057	423	634	1057
2. Cost per Transformer	\$969	\$1,008	\$1,048	
3. Cost of New Transformers	\$1,024,428	\$426,364	\$664,603	\$1,090,967
New Meters (601)				
1 New Meters added	960	75	75	150
2 Cost per Meter	\$149	\$250	\$260	150
2. Cost of New Meters	\$142.508	\$18 750	\$19,500	\$38.250
	\$142,576	\$10,750	\$17,500	\$30,230
New Polyphase Meters (601)				
1. New Meters added	24	36	54	90
2. Cost per Meter	\$275	\$396	\$412	
3. Cost of New Meters	\$6,604	\$14,256	\$22,239	\$36,495
Retrofit Polynhase Meters (601)				
1 Retroft of LP Meters added		112	0	112
2 Cost per Retrofit		\$310	\$322	112
3. Cost of Retrofit LP Meters		\$34.720	\$0	\$34,720
		12 11 2		1 - 7
Disconnect Collars (601)				
1. New Collars added		60	60	120
2. Cost per Collar	\$225	\$234	\$243	
3. Cost of New Collar		\$14,040	\$14,602	\$28,642
Instrument Transformers (601)*				
1 Now instrument transformers oddad	100	70	110	109
Cost per instrument transformers	198	19 ¢.05	119 ¢722	198
2. Cost per instrument dansformers	\$413 \$21 221	\$57 005	\$26 012	\$1/0.019
	φ01,001	φ57,705	φ00,013	ψ1+0,210

* Cost increase. Cost normalized based on number of CT versus PT/CT

TABLE III-B-1Inflation = 4%COST SUMMARY DATA CON'T(HISTORICAL DATA & PROJECTIONS - EXCLUDING CODES 300, 603, & 604)

Service Upgrades 230 115 115 230 1. Number of Service Upgrades 230 115 115 230 2. Cost per Service Upgrades \$803,630 \$417,888 \$434,603 \$852,491 Pole Changes - Replacement (606) 1. Poles Changed 548 275 275 550 2. Cost per Pole Changes \$2,442,361 \$1,274,663 \$1,325,649 \$2,600,312 Miscellaneous - Replacement (607) \$1,300,277* \$650,000 \$1,300,000	DESCRIPTION	July'09- June'11	2012	2013	CWP TOTAL	
1. Number of Service Upgrades 230 115 115 230 2. Cost per Service Upgrade \$3,494 \$3,634 \$3,779 3. Cost of Service Upgrades \$803,630 \$417,888 \$434,603 \$852,491 Pole Changes - Replacement (606) 1. Poles Changed 548 275 275 550 2. Cost per Pole Change \$44,57 \$4,633 \$4,821 \$2,600,312 Miscellancous - Replacement (607) 1. Total cost of Misc. Replacements \$1,030,277* \$650,000 \$1,300,000 Conductor Replacement (607) 1. Total cost of Misc. Replacement \$1,030,277* \$650,000 \$1,300,000 Conductor Replacement OH (608) 1. Miles of small conductor to be replaced 30 30 60 2. Cost per mile \$20,000 \$21,632 \$1,272,960 Conductor replacement CG (608) 1. Miles of small conductor replacement \$3,313,583 \$624,000 \$648,960 \$1,272,960 Conductor for be replaced 4 4 8 2. Cost per mile \$150,000** \$239,550 <td>Service Upgrades (602)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Service Upgrades (602)					
2. Cost per Service Upgrade \$3,494 \$3,634 \$3,779 3. Cost of Service Upgrades \$803,630 \$417,888 \$434,603 \$852,491 Pole Changes - Replacement (606) 1. Poles Changed 548 275 275 550 2. Cost per Pole Changes \$2,442,361 \$1,272,663 \$1,325,649 \$2,600,312 Miscellaneous - Replacement (607) 1. Total cost of Mise. Replacements \$1,030,277* \$650,000 \$1,300,000 Conductor Replacement (607) 1. Total cost of Mise. Replacement \$1,030,277* \$650,000 \$1,300,000 Conductor Replacement (607) 1. Miles of small conductor to be replaced 30 30 60 2. Cost per mile \$20,000 \$21,632 \$242,260 \$242,623 Conductor Replacement UG (608) 1. Miles of small conductor replacement \$33,313,583 \$624,000 \$44,960 \$1,272,960 Cost per mile \$150,000* \$239,550 \$249,132 \$1,016,400 1. Miles of small conductor replacement \$150,000* \$239,5200 \$1,916,400 <td cot<="" td=""><td>1. Number of Service Upgrades</td><td>230</td><td>115</td><td>115</td><td>230</td></td>	<td>1. Number of Service Upgrades</td> <td>230</td> <td>115</td> <td>115</td> <td>230</td>	1. Number of Service Upgrades	230	115	115	230
3. Cost of Service Upgrades \$803,630 \$417,888 \$434,603 \$852,491 Pole Changes - Replacement (606) 1. Poles Changed 548 275 275 550 2. Cost per Pole Changes \$2,442,361 \$1,2274,663 \$1,325,649 \$2,600,312 Miscellaneous - Replacement (607) 1. Total cost of Mis. Replacement (608) 1. Miles of small conductor to be replaced 30 30 60 \$2,000 \$21,632 3. Total cost of small conductor to be replaced \$20,000 \$20,800 \$21,632 \$21,021,632 \$1,272,960 \$20,000 \$21,632 \$1,272,960 \$1,272,960 \$1,272,960 \$1,272,960 \$1,272,960 \$1,2	2. Cost per Service Upgrade	\$3,494	\$3,634	\$3,779		
Pole Changes - Replacement (606) Pole Changed 548 275 275 550 2. Cost per Pole Change \$4,457 \$4,635 \$4,821 \$51,325,649 \$2,600,312 Miscellaneous - Replacement (607) \$1,774,663 \$1,325,649 \$2,600,312 Miscellaneous - Replacement (607) \$1 Total cost of Misc. Replacements \$1,030,277* \$650,000 \$650,000 \$1,300,000 Conductor Replacement OH (608) \$1 Miles of small conductor to be replaced 30 30 60 2. Cost per mile \$20,000 \$20,800 \$21,272,960 \$4 4 4 8 1. Miles of small conductor to be replaced 4 4 4 8 \$20,000 \$249,132 3 3 Total cost of small conductor to be replaced 4 4 4 8 \$259,550 \$249,132 3 Total cost of small conductor replacement \$958,200 \$1,916,400 \$1,916,400 \$1,916,400 \$1,916,400 \$1,916,400 \$1,916,400 \$1,916,400	3. Cost of Service Upgrades	\$803,630	\$417,888	\$434,603	\$852,491	
Pole Changes - Replacement (606) 0 0 1. Poles Changed 548 275 275 550 2. Cost per Pole Change \$4,457 \$4,635 \$4,821 0 Miscellaneous - Replacement (607) 0						
1. Poles Changed 548 275 275 550 2. Cost per Pole Change \$4,457 \$4,635 \$4,821 3. Cost of Pole Changes \$2,442,361 \$1,274,663 \$1,325,649 \$2,600,312 Miscellaneous - Replacement (607) 1. Total cost of Misc. Replacements \$1,030,277* \$650,000 \$1,300,000 Conductor Replacement OH (608) 1. Miles of small conductor to be replaced 30 30 60 2. Cost per mile \$20,000 \$20,800 \$21,632 3. Total cost of small conductor replacement \$3,313,583 \$624,000 \$648,960 \$1,272,960 1. Miles of small conductor to be replaced 4 4 8 2 2 3 3. Total cost of small conductor replacement \$150,000** \$239,550 \$249,132 1. Miles of small conductor replacement \$958,200 \$958,200 \$1,916,400 1. Sot of line relocates \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00	Pole Changes - Replacement (606)					
2. Cost per Pole Change \$4,457 \$4,635 \$4,821 3. Cost of Pole Changes \$2,442,361 \$1,274,663 \$1,325,649 \$2,600,312 Miscellaneous - Replacement (607) 1. Total cost of Misc. Replacements \$1,030,277* \$650,000 \$650,000 \$1,300,000 Conductor Replacement OH (608) 1. Miles of small conductor to be replaced 30 30 60 <	1. Poles Changed	548	275	275	550	
3. Cost of Pole Changes \$2,442,361 \$1,274,663 \$1,325,649 \$2,600,312 Miscellaneous - Replacement (607) 1. Total cost of Misc. Replacements \$1,030,277* \$650,000 \$650,000 \$1,300,000 Conductor Replacement OH (608) 1. Miles of small conductor to be replaced 30 30 60 2. Cost per mile \$20,000 \$20,800 \$21,632	2. Cost per Pole Change	\$4,457	\$4,635	\$4,821		
Miscellaneous - Replacement (607)	3. Cost of Pole Changes	\$2,442,361	\$1,274,663	\$1,325,649	\$2,600,312	
1. Total cost of Mic. Replacements \$1,030,277* \$650,000 \$1,300,000 Conductor Replacement OH (608) 0 0 0 0 1. Miles of small conductor to be replaced 30 30 60 20 0 \$20,800 \$21,632 0 \$1,272,960 2. Cost per mile \$20,000 \$20,800 \$648,960 \$1,272,960 0 \$1,272,960 Conductor Replacement UG (608) 0 <td>Miscellaneous - Replacement (607)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Miscellaneous - Replacement (607)					
Conductor Replacement OH (608) Image: Conductor to be replaced 30 30 60 1. Mikes of small conductor to be replaced 30 30 60 \$20,800 \$21,632 3. Total cost of small conductor replacement \$3,313,583 \$624,000 \$648,960 \$1,272,960 Conductor Replacement UG (608) Image: Conductor to be replaced 4 4 8 2. Cost per mile \$150,000** \$239,550 \$249,132 3 3 3 3 1,916,400 3. Total cost of small conductor replacement \$958,200 \$98,200 \$1,916,400 1	1. Total cost of Misc. Replacements	\$1,030,277*	\$650,000	\$650,000	\$1,300,000	
Conductor Replacement Or (608) 0 0 0 1. Miles of small conductor to be replaced 30 30 60 2. Cost per mile \$20,000 \$21,632 0 3. Total cost of small conductor replacement \$3,313,583 \$624,000 \$648,960 \$1,272,960 Conductor Replacement UG (608) 1. Miles of small conductor to be replaced 4 4 8 2. Cost per mile \$150,000** \$239,550 \$249,132 3. Total cost of small conductor replacement \$958,200 \$958,200 \$1,916,400 Line Relocates (610) - Road 1. Ocst of line relocates \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Outdoor Lights Added 255 128 128 256 2. Cost per Outdoor Light \$1,314 \$1,366 \$1,421 \$356,758 SCADA (704) \$356,758 SCADA (704) <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>						
1. Miles of small conductor to be replaced 30 30 80 2. Cost per mile \$20,000 \$20,800 \$21,632 3. Total cost of small conductor replacement \$3,313,583 \$624,000 \$648,960 \$1,272,960 Conductor Replacement UG (608) 1. Miles of small conductor to be replaced 4 4 8 2. Cost per mile \$150,000** \$239,550 \$249,132 3. Total cost of small conductor replacement \$958,200 \$958,200 \$1,916,400 Line Relocates (610) - Road 1. Cost of line relocates \$0 \$0 \$0 1. New Outdoor Lights Added 255 128 128 256 2. Cost per Outdoor Light \$1,314 \$1,366 \$1,421 536,758 0utdoor Lights \$334,997 \$174,882 \$181,877 \$356,758 Cost of Outdoor Light \$1,314 \$1,366 \$1,421 3. Cost of Outdoor Light \$334,997 \$174,882 \$181,877 \$356,758 Cost of Outdoor Light \$1,314 \$1,300 \$100,000 \$200,000 1. SCADA Hardware & Communication	Lonductor Replacement OH (608)		20	20	(0)	
2. Cost per nile \$20,000 \$20,000 \$21,032 3. Total cost of small conductor replacement \$3,313,583 \$624,000 \$648,960 \$1,272,960 Conductor Replacement UG (608) 1. Miles of small conductor to be replaced 4 4 8 2. Cost per mile \$150,000** \$239,550 \$249,132 3. Total cost of small conductor replacement \$958,200 \$958,200 \$1,916,400 Line Relocates (610) - Road 1 1 1 1 1 1. Cost of line relocates \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Outdoor Lighting (701) 1 1 1 128 256 2. Cost per Outdoor Light \$1,314 \$1,366 \$1,421 1 1. New Outdoor Light \$1334,997 \$174,882 \$181,877 \$356,758 SCADA (704) 1	1. Miles of small conductor to be replaced	\$20,000	\$20,800	\$21,622	00	
3. Total cost of shall conductor replacement 3.3,3,13,353 3.022,000 3.048,900 3.1,272,900 Conductor Replacement UG (608) 1	2. Cost per line	\$20,000	\$20,800	\$21,032	\$1,272,060	
Conductor Replacement UG (608) Image: Conductor to be replaced 4 4 4 8 1. Miles of small conductor to be replaced \$150,000** \$239,550 \$249,132 \$239,550 \$249,132 3. Total cost of small conductor replacement \$958,200 \$958,200 \$1,916,400 Line Relocates (610) - Road Image: Cost of small conductor replacement \$958,200 \$1,916,400 1. Cost of line relocates \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 1. Cost of line relocates \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 0utdoor Lighting (701) Image: Cost per Outdoor Light \$1,314 \$1,366 \$1,421 3. Cost of Outdoor Light \$1,314 \$1,366 \$1,421 \$334,997 \$174,882 \$181,877 \$356,758 SCADA (704) Image: Communications \$590,125 \$155,625 \$745,750 I. Related Software and Hardware \$100,000 \$100,000 \$200,000 I. Related Software and Hardware \$100,000 \$174,000 \$174,000 I. Hardware/Software \$0 \$174,000 \$174,000		\$3,313,383	\$024,000	\$048,900	\$1,272,900	
1. Miles of small conductor to be replaced 4 4 8 2. Cost per mile \$150,000** \$239,550 \$249,132 3. Total cost of small conductor replacement \$958,200 \$958,200 \$1,916,400 Line Relocates (610) - Road 1. Cost of line relocates \$0 \$00 \$00 \$00 Outdoor Lighting (701) 1. New Outdoor Lights Added 255 128 128 256 2. Cost per Outdoor Light \$1,314 \$1,366 \$1,421 3. Cost of Outdoor Lights \$334,997 \$174,882 \$181,877 \$3356,758 SCADA (704) 1. SCADA Hardware & Communications \$590,125 \$155,625 \$745,750 4. MI Equipment (705)	Conductor Replacement UG (608)					
2. Cost per mile \$150,000** \$239,550 \$249,132 3. Total cost of small conductor replacement \$958,200 \$958,200 \$1,916,400 Line Relocates (610) - Road 1. Cost of line relocates \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Outdoor Lighting (701) 1. New Outdoor Lights Added 255 128 128 256 2. Cost per Outdoor Light \$1,314 \$1,366 \$1,421 3. Cost of Outdoor Lights \$334,997 \$174,882 \$181,877 \$356,758 SCADA (704) 1. SCADA Hardware & Communications \$590,125 \$155,625 \$745,750 MI Equipment (705) 1. Related Software and Hardware \$100,000 \$100,000 \$200,000 GIS (1500) 1. Hardware/Software \$0 \$174,000 \$174,000 \$174,000 2. Field Inventory \$0 \$1,000,000 \$1,	1. Miles of small conductor to be replaced		4	4	8	
3. Total cost of small conductor replacement \$958,200 \$958,200 \$1,916,400 Line Relocates (610) - Road 1. Cost of line relocates \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Outdoor Lighting (701) 1. New Outdoor Lights Added 255 128 128 256 2. Cost per Outdoor Light \$1,314 \$1,366 \$1,421 3. Cost of Outdoor Lights \$334,997 \$174,882 \$181,877 \$356,758 SCADA (704) 1. SCADA Hardware & Communications \$590,125 \$155,625 \$745,750 <td< td=""><td>2. Cost per mile</td><td>\$150,000**</td><td>\$239,550</td><td>\$249,132</td><td></td></td<>	2. Cost per mile	\$150,000**	\$239,550	\$249,132		
Line Relocates (610) - Road Image: Constraint of the second	3. Total cost of small conductor replacement		\$958,200	\$958,200	\$1,916,400	
1. Cost of line relocates \$0 \$0 \$0 Outdoor Lighting (701) 1 1 1 1. New Outdoor Lights Added 255 128 128 256 2. Cost per Outdoor Light \$1,314 \$1,366 \$1,421 1 3. Cost of Outdoor Light \$334,997 \$174,882 \$181,877 \$356,758 SCADA (704) 1 1 1 1 \$590,125 \$155,625 \$745,750 1. SCADA Hardware & Communications \$590,125 \$155,625 \$745,750 1 AMI Equipment (705) 1 1 1 100,000 \$200,000 1. Related Software and Hardware \$100,000 \$100,000 \$200,000 GIS (1500) 1 1 1 1 1. Hardware/Software \$0 \$174,000 \$174,000 \$174,000 2. Field Inventory \$0 \$1,000,000 \$1,000,000 \$1,000,000	Line Relocates (610) - Road					
Outdoor Lighting (701) Image: Constraint of the state of	1. Cost of line relocates		\$0	\$0	\$0	
Outdoor Lighting (701) Image: Construction of the state						
1. New Outdoor Light Added 255 128 128 226 2. Cost per Outdoor Light \$1,314 \$1,366 \$1,421 3. Cost of Outdoor Lights \$334,997 \$174,882 \$181,877 \$356,758 SCADA (704) 1. SCADA Hardware & Communications \$590,125 \$155,625 \$745,750 AMI Equipment (705) 1. Related Software and Hardware \$100,000 \$200,000 GIS (1500) 1. Hardware/Software \$0 \$174,000 \$174,000 2. Field Inventory \$0 \$1,000,000 \$1,000,000	Outdoor Lighting (701)	255	120	129	250	
2. Cost per Outdoor Light \$1,514 \$1,306 \$1,421 3. Cost of Outdoor Lights \$334,997 \$174,882 \$181,877 \$356,758 SCADA (704)	1. New Outdoor Lights Added	£55 ¢1.214	£1.266	£1.421	230	
3. Cost of Outdoor Lights \$354,997 \$174,882 \$181,877 \$356,738 SCADA (704) 1. SCADA Hardware & Communications \$590,125 \$155,625 \$745,750 AMI Equipment (705) 1. Related Software and Hardware \$100,000 \$200,000 GIS (1500) 1. Hardware/Software \$0 \$174,000 \$174,000 2. Field Inventory \$0 \$1,000,000 \$1,000,000	2. Cost per Outdoor Light	\$1,314	\$1,300	\$1,421	¢256 759	
SCADA (704) 1. SCADA Hardware & Communications \$590,125 \$155,625 \$745,750 AMI Equipment (705) 1. Related Software and Hardware \$100,000 \$200,000 GIS (1500) 1. Hardware/Software \$0 \$174,000 \$174,000 2. Field Inventory \$0 \$1,000,000 \$1,000,000	3. Cost of Outdoor Lights	\$334,997	\$174,882	\$181,877	\$330,/38	
1. SCADA Hardware & Communications \$590,125 \$155,625 \$745,750 AMI Equipment (705) 1. Related Software and Hardware \$100,000 \$100,000 \$200,000 GIS (1500) 1. Hardware/Software \$0 \$174,000 \$174,000 2. Field Inventory \$0 \$1,000,000 \$1,000,000	SCADA (704)					
AMI Equipment (705) Image: Constraint of the system Image: Constraint of the s	1. SCADA Hardware & Communications	_	\$590,125	\$155,625	\$745,750	
1. Related Software and Hardware \$100,000 \$100,000 \$200,000 I. Related Software and Hardware \$100,000 \$100,000 \$200,000 GIS (1500) Image: Comparison of the system	AMI Equipment (705)					
GIS (1500) \$100,000 1. Hardware/Software \$0 2. Field Inventory \$0 \$1,000,000 \$1,000,000	1. Related Software and Hardware		\$100.000	\$100.000	\$200.000	
GIS (1500) \$						
1. Hardware/Software \$0 \$174,000 \$174,000 2. Field Inventory \$0 \$1,000,000 \$1,000,000	GIS (1500)					
2. Field Inventory \$0 \$1,000,000 \$1,000,000	1. Hardware/Software		\$0	\$174,000	\$174,000	
	2. Field Inventory		\$0	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	

* From January 2010-July 2011

** Reflects single phase only replacement. Projected costs include anticipated single phase and three phase replacement. Therefore the per unit costs are higher.

NEW MEMBER EXTENSIONS – RUS CODE 100

A total of 1,763 new services are anticipated - 1,270 of which are underground, 448 are overhead construction, and 45 new services for large powers. The total projected cost for new service construction is \$4,862,246.

The average length of service per overhead customer is 289 feet, and 134 feet for underground. The total projected length for the work plan period is approximately 57 miles excluding large power extensions.

Cost history and projections are shown in Table III-B-1.

LINE CONVERSION NARRATIVES

Banklick Substation

Code 327 Carryover

Estimated Cost: \$139,194 Year: 2013

Description of Proposed Construction

Sections 45098 to 45414 – Convert 0.8 mile of single-phase #2 ACSR to three-phase 336 ACSR. These line sections begin at the intersection of Moffett and Rector Roads LOC#71-379-05-8142 and run along Moffett Road ending at LOC#71-380-12-8525. Although these converted line sections will be fed by 1/0 ACSR initially this line may become a mainline feeder for a new possible substation on Hwy177 between Kenton and Morning View. Before the design work begins a status update on this new substation should be reviewed as to the likelihood of that substation location. Otherwise 1/0 ACSR would be sufficient.

Reason For Proposed Construction

Design Criteria (DC) Item 7 is being violated.

Results of Proposed Construction

DC Item 7 will be met.

Alternative Corrective Plan Investigated

All possible backfeeds are either already heavily loaded, or have takeoffs far from the source whereby voltage could not be sustained.



Big Bone Substation

<u>Code 328</u> Estimated Cost: \$40,680 Year: 2012

Description of Proposed Construction

Sections 27348 to 27079 – Convert 0.3 mile of single-phase 6A CWC to three-phase 1/0 ACSR. These line sections begin LOC#61-407-16-3841 and end at LOC#61-407-15-9254 along RT42/127. This tap should have field verification of winter peak loading before initiating the design work.

Reason For Proposed Construction

Design Criteria (DC) Item 7 is being violated.

Results of Proposed Construction

DC Item 7 will be met.

Alternative Corrective Plan Investigated

This is a radial tap. Possible tie to nearby tap was investigated, but consists of small, older wire. Any backfeed would require large scale re-conductoring. Therefore the conversion was decided to be most cost-effective.



Carson Substation

<u>Code 329</u> Estimated Cost: \$20,000 Year: 2012

Description of Proposed Construction

Sections 51 to 29 – Convert 0.2 mile of single-phase #2 ACSR to three-phase #2 ACSR. These line sections begin at LOC#91-207-06-6439 and run along Martin Road ending at LOC#91-207-06-0882. Change tap beginning at line section 24 (LOC#91-207-06-0882) from A-phase to C-phase if possible.

Reason For Proposed Construction

Design Criteria (DC) Item 1 is being violated.

Results of Proposed Construction

DC Item 1 will be met.

Alternative Corrective Plan Investigated

This is a radial line so no backfeed exists to relieve loading. A set of voltage regulators were considered to boost voltage; however this single phase line section was approaching overload and contributing to phase imbalance that was causing the undervoltage condition. Therefore the conversion alleviates imbalance and a potential future overload.



Hebron Substation

Code 330 Partial Carryover

Estimated Cost: \$184,030 Year: 2012

Description of Proposed Construction

Sections 19954 to 19420 – Convert 1.1 mile of three-phase 1/0 ACSR to three-phase 336 ACSR. The converted line sections start at LOC#62-499-14-1057, run north on Williams Road to LOC#62-499-01-3600; and will feed into the back of the Thornwilde subdivision.

Reason For Proposed Construction

Design Criteria (DC) Item 2 is being violated.

Results of Proposed Construction

DC Item 2 will be met.

Alternative Corrective Plan Investigated

The 336 ACSR of feeder 3 out of Hebron is approaching overload; this proposed conversion will offload feeder 3 onto feeder 4. The other possible feed to the area, feeder 5, consists of 1/0URD which would not be able to handle the growing load of the Thornwilde area. The feeder 5 alternative would consist of an extensive upgrade of the existing feeders which would be costly.



Keith Substation

<u>Code 331 Carryover</u> Estimated Cost: \$230,000 Year: 2012

Description of Proposed Construction

Sections 17337 to 21023 – Convert 2.3 miles of single-phase and two-phase #2 ACSR to three-phase #2 ACSR. These line sections begin at LOC#11-066-17-1271 and end at LOC#12-078-15-5290 serving Swope Road.

Reason For Proposed Construction

Design Criteria (DC) Item 7 is being violated.

Results of Proposed Construction

DC Item 7 will be met.

Alternative Corrective Plan Investigated

This is a radial tap. Any possible backfeeds are aged conductor or too far from the substation to sustain the voltage if a tie line were considered.



MBUSA Substation

<u>Code 332</u> Estimated Cost: \$200,000 Year: 2012

Description of Proposed Construction

Substation getaways

Reason For Proposed Construction

Getaways needed for new substation.

Alternative Corrective Plan Investigated

Large power expansion will overload existing substation and feeder conductors. No alternative exists.



Munk Substation

<u>Code 333</u> Estimated Cost: \$141,024 Year: 2013

Description of Proposed Construction

Sections 27260 to 25585 – Convert 1.0 mile of single-phase #2 ACSR to three-phase 1/0 ACSR. The converted line sections are on Sugar Creek Rd starting at LOC#22-277-04-3451 to LOC#22-277-08-2124.

Reason For Proposed Construction

Design Criteria (DC) Item 7 is being violated.

Results of Proposed Construction

DC Item 7 will be met.

Alternative Corrective Plan Investigated

This is a radial tap. No alternatives exist.



Penn Substation

<u>Code 334</u> Estimated Cost: \$112,819 Year: 2013

Description of Proposed Construction

Sections 34875 to 67711 – Convert 0.8 mile of single-phase #2 ACSR to three-phase 1/0 ACSR. The converted line sections are in Right-of-Way behind Ray's Fork Rd starting at LOC#51-060-07-4623 and ending at LOC#51-060-09-4135. Check winter loading for field verification of load.

Reason For Proposed Construction

Design Criteria (DC) Item 7 is being violated.

Results of Proposed Construction

DC Item 7 will be met.

Alternative Corrective Plan Investigated

This is a radial tap. No alternatives exist.



Williamstown Substation

Code 335 Carryover Estimated Cost: \$81,360

Year: 2012

Description of Proposed Construction

Sections 67678 to 39269 – Convert 0.6 mile of single-phase #2 ACSR to three-phase 1/0 ACSR. These line sections begin at LOC#21-093-00-2690 on Ragtown Road and ends at LOC#21-093-07-0657 on Corinth Lake Drive.

Reason For Proposed Construction

Design Criteria (DC) Items 1 & 7 are being violated.

Results of Proposed Construction

DC Items 1 & 7 will be met.

Alternative Corrective Plan Investigated

There is a single phase backfeed for this line. Due to the nature and placement of the loading around Corinth Lake, the overload would be shifted to the backfed line and would not eliminate the design criteria violation. The only other alternative would be to build a new tie line over or around the lake which would be cost prohibitive.



Williamstown Substation

Code 336 Carryover

Estimated Cost: \$313,186 Year: 2013

Description of Proposed Construction

Sections 38823 to 36268 – Convert 1.8 miles of single-phase 1/0 ACSR to three-phase 336 ACSR. Remove Voltage Regulator at LOC#21-105-04-4099. These line sections begin at RT 25 and Keefer Road (LOC#21-106-07-1986) and follow Keefer Road west to the split at Shiloh Road ending at LOC#21-105-02-8950. This line will serve as an eventual mainline tie between Keith and the future Blanchet substations. Backfeed 69753 from 29837 at LOC#21-118-01-4087 and open at 33122 (LOC#21-118-23-4649).

Reason For Proposed Construction

Design Criteria (DC) Item 7 is being violated.

Results of Proposed Construction

DC Item 7 will be met.

Alternative Corrective Plan Investigated

The only possible backfeed is already heavily loaded. Therefore no viable alternative exists.



MISCELLANEOUS DISTRIBUTION EQUIPMENT - RUS CODE 600's

Transformers – RUS Code 601

164 new underground transformers are projected at a cost of \$339,678.

This includes:

- 114 units will be projected for new underground services.
- 50 units in this total are allocated for underground replacement projects.

1,057 new overhead transformers are projected at a cost of \$1,090,967.

This includes:

- Approximately 450 will be used for new overhead services.
- The remaining 600 will be used for replacement of failed transformer. Over the past three years an average of 300 transformers per year have been brought in due to failure, or excessive age.

17 new 3-phase underground transformers are projected at a cost of \$313,493.

Meters – RUS Code 601

150 new single-phase AMI meters are projected at a cost of \$38,250 at a per unit cost of \$250 per meter.

The 150 meters includes:

- 110 allocated to the Smart Home project. These meters have added capabilities from the standard AMI residential meters. The 110 meters include 100 meters for initial project installation and 10 meters held in inventory for replacement.
- 40 meters for new installation and replacement (failure/damage rate estimated at 1%) of specialty form meters (1S,3S, and 4S forms). The short supply in inventory and long lead times necessitate having additional meters on hand.
- 0 additional standard residential AMI meters. The existing inventory of standard AMI meters will be adequate to cover new installations, failed meter changeout and PSC meter testing changeout of our standard AMI meters.

112 three-phase AMI capable meters are projected at a cost of \$34,720. This will complete the changeout to all AMI meters on three-phase accounts. Note: the retrofit kits are in stock for these installations. This cost covers only the meters.

90 new Polyphase AMI meters are projected at a cost of \$36,495.

This includes:

- 45 new anticipated Large Power consumers (Code 102)
- 25 additional consumers that require a polyphase meter, but do not fall under Code 102. This number is based on the percent of polyphase meters without PT/CT to the total number of polyphase meters in the field (which is 50%) times the projected Large Power Consumers in the CWP. 50% of 45 = 22.5
- 20 units over the course of two years for meters in the field that will experience damage or failure (reflects a 1% failure rate of the approx. 1000 polyphase meters on the system by end of CWP).

An amount of \$28,642 is projected for 120 meter disconnect collars for the CWP.

An amount of \$140,918 is projected for instrument transformers for new poly-phase meters that do not have internal PT/CT's meters (i.e. self-contained). The cost of the instrument transformers is not included in the per unit cost of the meters.

Historical data was gathered for meters and transformers and is included in Table III-B-1.

Service Upgrades – RUS Code 602

There are 230 service upgrades projected at a total cost of \$852,491. Historical data is included in Table III-B-1.

Sectionalizing – RUS Code 603

Overcurrent analysis is performed on an ongoing basis. Device changeouts, additional substation feeders, conductor multiphasing and load shifts require overcurrent device purchases.

Reclosers, fuses and switches are included in this category. A base cost of \$500,000 for each of the two years has been allocated. Also two smart reclosers have been allocated for the Narrows Rd self-heal project at a base cost of \$88,640. With a DOE contribution of 50% the OEC expense will be \$44,320 in 2012. The total projected cost for sectionalizing is \$1,044,320.

- Continued on next page

MISCELLANEOUS DISTRIBUTION EQUIPMENT – RUS CODE 600's - continued

Voltage Regulators – RUS Code 604

The total cost for voltage regulators projected for the CWP is \$593,900. This includes:

- Four voltage regulators are proposed for voltage design criteria violations. The total cost for these new voltage regulators is projected to be \$205,200.
- Nine autoboosters will be replaced by three 3-150A voltage regulators due to aged deterioration. The total cost for replacement of autoboosters is \$136,800.
- One autoboosters will be replaced with a 100A voltage regulator due to aged deterioration. The total cost for replacement of this autobooster is \$27,500.
- Seventeen voltage regulators for the Volt Var Optimization program. The total cost for the VVO required regulators is projected to be \$224,400.

CFR CODE	SUBSTATION	SECT/RATING	YEAR	COST
604-1	BULLITTSVILLE	LOC#62-481-07-3129	2012	\$45,600
		3-150A		
604-2	KEITH	LOC#11-054-13-3739	2013	\$47,500
		3-150A		
604-3	KEITH	LOC#12-086-19-6871	2013	\$27,500
		1-100A		
604-4	GRIFFIN	LOC feeder 3 TBD	2013	\$47,500
		3-150A		
604-5	NOEL	Feeder 2104	2012	\$64,600
		3-219A		
604-6	PENN	LOC#51-013-03-9834	2012	\$45,600
		3-150A		
604-7	WMTOWN	LOC#31-222-01-1530	2012	\$45,600
		3-150A		
604-8	WMTOWN	LOC#21-221-07-7799	2012	\$45,600
		3-150A		

Voltage Regulators for Design Criteria violations

- 604-1: Replace three-100A autoboosters with 3-150A voltage regulators on what will be the North feeder out of Belleview that feeds the aggregates. These may not be needed once Belleview substation is energized. Evaluate before changeout.
- ➢ 604-2: Add three 150A voltage regulators on the Keith 1304 circuit near LOC#11-054-13-3739 before the three-phase split tie to Bromley 603.
- 604-3: Add one 100A voltage regulator at LOC#12-086-19-6871 to replace an autobooster on Keith 1301.
- 604-4: Add three 150A voltage regulators on Griffin 903. The optimum location is to be determined.

MISCELLANEOUS DISTRIBUTION EQUIPMENT – RUS CODE 600's – continued

- 604-5: Add three 219A voltage regulators on Noel 2104 circuit near LOC#22-237-04-1784. This set of regulators is needed for a backfeed possibility for the Dry Ridge exit off of Williamstown. NOTE: Further analysis will need to be done to determine optimal location.
- 604-6: Add three 150A bi-directional voltage regulators on Penn 0704 near LOC#51-013-03-9834. The location and size of the voltage regulators proposed for Penn 704 feeder may be modified based on future plans for Penn Self-Healing of feeder 701 from feeder 704.
- 604-7: Replace three-100A autoboosters with 3-150A voltage regulators on Williamstown 0505 at LOC#31-222-01-1530.
- ➢ 604-8: Replace three-100A autoboosters with 3-150A voltage regulators on Williamstown 0506 at LOC#21-221-07-7799.

Volt Var Optimization (VVO)

There are 17 voltage regulators anticipated for the VVO project in the CWP. See Smart Grid Initiatives, Appendix C, for a full description of the VVO project.

	2012	2013
17 total voltage regulators projected	\$224,400	\$224,400
Less 50% DOE contribution	(\$112,200)	(\$112,200)
Total OEC expense	\$112,200	\$112,200

Capacitor Banks – RUS Code 605

The total cost for capacitors projected for the CWP is \$270,000. This includes:

- Twenty switched capacitor banks are projected for ordinary capacitor addition, upgrade and replacement as needed An effort to reduce system losses by reducing VARs will be made over the next two years. The total cost for these capacitors is projected to be \$200,000.
- Fourteen switched capacitors for the Volt Var Optimization program. The total cost for the VVO required capacitors is projected to be \$70,000.

Volt Var Optimization

There are 14 switched capacitors anticipated for the VVO project in the CWP. See Smart Grid Initiatives, Appendix C, for a full description of the VVO project.

	2012	2013
14 total capacitors projected	\$70,000	\$70,000
Less 50% DOE contribution	(\$35,000)	(\$35,000)
Total OEC expense	\$35,000	\$35,000

<u>MISCELLANEOUS DISTRIBUTION EQUIPMENT – RUS CODE 600's</u> – continued

Pole Changes – RUS Code 606 Including Clearance Poles

There are 550 projected pole changes in the CWP. This includes all maintenance and clearance poles. The cost for the pole changes is projected to be \$2,600,312. Historical cost data for pole changes may be found in Table III-B-1.

Miscellaneous Replacements – RUS Code 607

An amount of \$1,300,000 is projected in the CWP for miscellaneous replacements.

The 607 category consists of two parts. The first part is for routine maintenance requiring replacement of cross arms, insulators, guys, etc. The second part of this category is based on a recent system hardening initiative at OEC that will serve to improve the overall reliability of the OEC system. This initiative includes inspecting and replacing, if needed, hardware and cross arms by pole beginning at the start of each feeder. Initially the first several spans of each feeder will be investigated gradually working out each pole line. Historical cost data for all miscellaneous replacements may be found in Table III-B-1.

Conductor Replacements – RUS Code 608

The total cost for aged conductor replacement projected for the CWP is \$3,189,360. This includes replacement of conductor due to age, deterioration, and operation and maintenance recommendations.

This includes:

- \$1,272,960 for ordinary overhead conductor replacement. This amount will cover a proposed 60 miles of overhead conductor replacement in the CWP.
- \$1,916,400 for ordinary underground replacements is projected. This amount will cover approximately 8 miles total of underground conductor replacement in the CWP. This amount is higher than previous work plans. One of the main areas of emphasis in the 2012 CWP will be underground replacement.

Conductor replacement cost history and projections are shown in Table III-B-1.

Line Relocates – RUS Code 610

Two state highway projects will potentially occur during the CWP period. Both will be partially reimbursed by the state. The remaining contribution by OEC will be relatively small. Therefore these projects will be handled as small projects if they should occur.

RUS CODE 700

Outdoor Lighting – RUS Code 701

A total of 256 new outdoor lights are anticipated. The projected cost is \$356,759.

Outdoor lighting cost history and projections are shown in Table III-B-1.

SCADA / DA - Hardware and Communication Equipment – RUS Code 704

The total projected cost for SCADA and communications in this CWP is \$745,750.

\$720,000 is allocated for the remaining 18 substations to have SCADA equipment upgrades.

\$2500 is allocated for Fiber and field commissioning for self healing. (\$5000 less 50% DOE contribution.)

\$23,250 for extraneous VVO communication equipment not included in the device. (\$46,500 less 50% DOE contribution.)

SCADA hardware and software cost projections are shown in Table III-B-1.

AMI Equipment – RUS Code 705

An amount of \$200,000 is projected for this CWP for AMR equipment. This accounts for 20 new repeaters added for the two years at a cost of \$100,000; and 20 replacement repeaters for the two years at a cost of \$100,000.

AMR equipment cost projections are shown in Table III-B-1.

RUS CODE 1500

GIS Hardware/Software – RUS Code 1500

An amount of \$174,000 is projected for GIS related hardware and software. This includes five GPS units each costing \$13,000. An amount of \$70,000 is projected for imagery, and an amount of \$39,000 projected for any digital data that OEC will need to acquire.

Field Inventory – RUS Code 1500

Projected costs for conducting a field inventory in the second year of the CWP is \$1,000,000. 75% of this amount can be financed. It is anticipated that half of the OEC field inventory will be covered in this CWP. The field inventory will be concluded in the following CWP.

A pilot field inventory on two substations was begun in 2011 as phase 1 of the Volt Var Optimization project. (See Appendix C: Smart Grid Initiatives) This pilot has been partially funded by the DOE.

APPENDIX A Operation and Maintenance Survey

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE RURAL UTILITIES SERVICE REVIEW RATING SUMMARY REVIEW RATING SUMMARY BORROWER DESIGNATION KY 37 DATE PREPARED July 7, 2009 Ratings on form are: 0: Unsatisfactory – No Records 2: Acceptable, but Should be Improved – See Attached Recommendations NA: Not Applicable 1: Corrective Action Needed 3: Satisfactory – No Additional Action Required at this Time PART I. TRANSMISSION and DISTRIBUTION FACILITIES 1. Subtations (Transmission and Distribution) a Safety, Clearance, Code Compliance b Physical Condition: Structure, Major Equipment, Appearance c Inspection Records - Each Substation d Oil Spill Prevention 8. Right-of-Way: Clearing, Erosion, Appearance, Intrusions a Right-of-Way: Clearing, Erosion, Appearance, Intrusions b Physical Condition: Structure, Conductor, Guying c. Inspection Program and Records 3. Distribution Lines Equipment: Conductor, Guying c. Inspection Program and Records 3. Distribution Lines - Overhead a Inspection Program and Records a Inspection Program and Records b Compliance with Safety Codes: Clearances 3 c. Observed Physical Condition from Field Checking: Clearances 3 b Work Backlogs: Right-of-Way 3 Other NA b Work Backlogs: Right-of-Way 4 B. Power Quality B. Power Quality 1 B. Power Quality 4 B. Power Quality 5 Clearances 3 Clear Freedom from Complaints B. Work Backlogs: Right-of-Way 4 B. Power Quality 5 B. Coard Freedom from Complaints B. Load Control Apparatus B. Load Control Apparatus B. Load Control Apparatus	(Rating) 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 NA 3
REVIEW RATING SUMMARY DATE PREPARED Image: Structure Structure Structure Applicable 0: Unsatisfactory – No Records 2: Acceptable, but Should be Improved – See Attached Recommendations NA: Not Applicable 1: Corrective Action Needed 2: Satisfactory – No Additional Action Required at this Time PART I. TRANSMISSION and DISTRIBUTION PACILITIES 1. Substations (Transmission and Distribution) (Rating) A Satisfactory – No Additional Action Required at this Time Action Records - Each Substation In substations (Transmission Lines NA Inspection Records - Each Substation NA Condition: Structure, Major Equipment, Appearance Inspection Records - Each Substation NA Substation Condition: Structure, Conductor, Guying NA Substation Line Equipment: Condition and Records A Right-of-Way: Clearing, Erosion, Appearance, Intrusions NA Substation Line Equipment: Condition and Records A Right-of-Way: Clearing, Erosion, Appearance, Intrusions A sight-of-Way: Clearing, Erosion, Appearance, Intrusions A sight-of-Way: Clearing, Erosion, Appearance Condition Structure, C	(Rating) 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3
July 7, 209 Ratings on form are: 0: Unsatisfactory – No Records 2: Acceptable, but Should be Improved – See Attached Recommendations NA: Not Applicable 1: Corrective Action Needed 3: Satisfactory – No Additional Action Required at this Time PART I. TRANSMISSION and DISTRIBUTION FACILITIES 1: Substations (Transmission and Distribution) (Rating) a. Safety, Clearance, Code Compliance NA b. Physical Conditions: Structure, Major Equipment, Appearance NA c. Inspection Records – Each Substation NA d. Oil Spill Prevention NA 2. Transmission Lines a. Right-of-Way: Clearing, Erosion, Appearance, Intrusions NA b. Physical Condition: Structure, Conductor, Guying NA Solistribution Line Equipment b. Physical Condition: Structure, Conductor, Guying NA Solistribution Transformers c. Inspection Program and Records 3 Other Safety: Locking, Dead Front, Barriers a. Inspection Program and Records 3 Clearances 4. Pad Mounted Equipment b. Compliance with Safety Codes: Clearances 3 e. Kilowatt-hour and Demand Meter Reading and Testing 2 Attachments 2 c. Observed Physical Co	(Rating) 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 NA 3
Ratings on form are: 0: Unsatisfactory – No Records 2: Acceptable, but Should be Improved – See Attached Recommendations NA: Not Applicable 1: Corrective Action Needed 3: Satisfactory – No Additional Action Required at this Time PART I. TRANSMISSION and DISTRIBUTION FACILITIES 1. Substations (Transmission and Distribution) (Roting) 4. Distribution - Underground Cable a. Grounding and Corrosion Control b b. Physical Conditions: Structure, Major Equipment, Appearance NA a. Grounding and Corrosion Control b. Surface Grading, Appearance c. Inspection Records - Each Substation NA c. Right-of-Way: Clearing, Erosion, Appearance, Intrusions NA b. Sufface Grading, Appearance c. Na b. Ostipuipment c. Distribution Timeformers c. Intrustores NA c. Distribution Lines - Quipment C. Distribution Lines - Quipment C. Distribution Timeformers C. Distribution Timeformers C. Distribution Timeformers C. Distribution Timeformers Distribution Timeformers Distribution Timeformers C. Distribution Timeformers Distribution Timeformers Distribution Timeformers	(Rating) 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 NA 3
NA: Not Applicable 1: Corrective Action Needed 3: Satisfactory - No Additional Action Required at this Time PART I, TRANSMISSION and DISTRIBUTION FACILITIES 1. Substations (Transmission and Distribution) (Rating) a. Safety, Clearance, Code Compliance NA b. Physical Conditions: Structure, Major Equipment, Appearance NA d. Oil Spill Prevention NA 2. Transmission Lines NA a. Right-of-Way: Clearing, Erosion, Appearance, Intrusions NA b. Physical Condition: Structure, Conductor, Guying NA c. Inspection Program and Records NA b. Physical Condition Instructure, Conductor, Guying NA c. Inspection Program and Records NA b. Compliance with Safety Codes: Clearances a. Inspection Program and Records 3 b. Compliance with Safety Codes: Clearances C. Observed Physical Condition from Field Checking: 3 Right-of-Way 3 Other NA b. Work Backlogs: Right-of-Way Poles 3 A Unspective Procedures (Ruing) a. Inspection Program and Records 3 b. Work B	(Rating) 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 NA 3
PART I. TRANSMISSION and DISTRUTION FACILITIES 1. Substationa (Transmission and Distribution) (Rating) 4. Distribution - Underground Cable a. Safety, Clearance, Code Compliance NA a. Grounding and Corrosion Control b. Physical Conditions: Structure, Major Equipment, Appearance NA a. Grounding and Corrosion Control d. Oil Spill Prevention NA 5. Distribution Line Equipment: Conditions and Records a. Right-of-Way: Clearing, Erosion, Appearance, Intrusions NA 5. Distribution Transformers b. Physical Condition: Structure, Conductor, Guying NA c. Distribution Transformers c. Inspection Program and Records NA d. Pad Mounted Equipment 3. Distribution Lines - Overhead Appearance: Settlement, Condition a. Inspection Program and Records 3 Other b. Compliance with Safety Codes: Clearances 2 c. Observed Physical Condition from Field Checking: Right-of-Way 3 d. Other NA e. Kilowatt-hour and Demand Meter Foreign Structures 2 Reading and Testing a. Userved Physical Condition from Field Checking: 3 Attachments 2 c. Observed Physical Condition from Field Checking:	(Rating) 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 NA 3
1. Substations (Transmission and Distribution) (Rating) 4. Distribution - Underground Cable a. Safety, Clearance, Code Compliance NA a. Grounding and Corrosion Control b. Physical Conditions: Structure, Major Equipment, Appearance NA b. Surface Grading, Appearance c. Inspection Records - Each Substation NA b. Surface Grading, Appearance c. Inspection Records - Each Substation NA c. Riser Pole: Hazards, Guying, Condition d. Oil Spill Prevention NA s. Voltage Regulators a. Right-of-Way: Clearing, Erosion, Appearance, Intrusions NA s. Sectionalizing Equipment b. Physical Condition: Structure, Conductor, Guying NA c. Distribution Transformers c. Inspection Program and Records NA d. Pad Mounted Equipment S. Distribution Lines - Overhead a. Inspection Program and Records 3 a. Inspection Program and Records 3 c. Reading and Testing c. Observed Physical Condition from Field Checking: 2 Reading and Testing c. Observed Physical Condition from Field Checking: 3 e. Kilowatt-hour and Demand Meter c. Observed Physical Condition from Field Checking: 3 a. General Freedom from Complaints a. Work Planning & Scheduling </td <td>(Rating) 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 NA 3</td>	(Rating) 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 NA 3
a. Safety, Clearance, Code Compliance NA a. Grounding and Correston Control b. Physical Conditions: Structure, Major Equipment, Appearance NA b. Surface Grading, Appearance c. Inspection Records - Each Substation NA c. Riser Pole: Hazards, Guying, Condition d. Oil Spill Prevention NA s. Distribution Line Equipment: Conditions and Records a. Right-of-Way: Clearing, Erosion, Appearance, Intrusions NA b. Sectionalizing Equipment b. Physical Condition: Structure, Conductor, Guying NA c. Distribution Transformers c. Inspection Program and Records NA d. Pad Mounted Equipment 3. Distribution Lines - Overhead a. Inspection Program and Records 3 a. Inspection Program and Records 3 Other Safety, Locking, Dead Front, Barriers b. Compliance with Safety Codes: Clearances 3 e. Kilowatt-hour and Demand Meter Foreign Structures 2 Right-of-Way 3 c. Observed Physical Condition from Field Checking: 8. Power Quality a. General Freedom from Complaints b. Work Backlogs: Right-of-Way Maintenance 3 9. Leading and Load Balance b. Work Backlogs: Right-of-Way Maintenance 3 9. Loading and	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 NA 3
b Preprint Conditions. Structure, while Equipment, Appearance INA b Surface Orabing, Appearance c. Inspection Records - Each Substation NA c. Riser Pole: Hazards, Guying, Condition d Oil Spill Prevention NA 2. Transmission Lines a. Voltage Regulators a. Voltage Regulators b. Physical Condition: Structure, Conductor, Guying NA c. Distribution Transformers c. Inspection Program and Records NA c. Distribution Transformers 3. Distribution Lines - Overbead A d. Pad Mounted Equipment a Inspection Program and Records 3 e. Kilevent, Condition b. Compliance with Safety Codes: Clearances 2 c. Observed Physical Condition from Field Checking: Right-of-Way 3 c. Observed Physical Condition from Field Checking: Right-of-Way 3 d. Unre NA 3 e. Work Planning & Scheduling 3 a. General Freedom from Complaints b. Work Backlogs: Right-of-Way Maintenance 3 a. Distribution Transformer Loading b. Work Backlogs: Right-of-Way Maintenance 3 a. Distribution Transformer Loading b. Work Backlogs: <td< td=""><td>3 3 3 3 3 3 3 NA 3</td></td<>	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 NA 3
c. Najection Records - Electrodustation NA d. Gil Spill Prevention NA d. Gil Spill Prevention NA 2. Transmission Lines NA a. Right-of-Way: Clearing, Erosion, Appearance, Intrusions NA b. Physical Condition: Structure, Conductor, Guying NA c. Inspection Program and Records NA d. Distribution Lines - Overhead A a. Inspection Program and Records 3 b. Compliance with Safety Codes: Clearances Foreign Structures 2 Attachments 2 c. Observed Physical Condition from Field Checking: Right-of-Way Right-of-Way 3 Other NA e. Line Maintenance and Work Order Procedures (Ruting) a. Work Planning & Scheduling 3 b. Work Backlogs: Right-of-Way Maintenance Poles 3 poles 3 Poles 3 Action remet of Idle Services 3 b. Load Control Apparatus Load Control Apparatus	3 3 3 3 NA 3
2. Transmission Lines 5. Distribution Line Equipment: Conditions and Records a. Right-of-Way: Clearing, Erosion, Appearance, Intrusions NA b. Physical Condition: Structure, Conductor, Guying NA c. Inspection Program and Records NA 3. Distribution Lines - Overhead a. Inspection Program and Records a. Inspection Program and Records 3 b. Compliance with Safety Codes: Clearances Foreign Structures 2 Attachments 2 c. Observed Physical Condition from Field Checking: Right-of-Way Right-of-Way 3 Other NA 6. Line Maintenance and Work Order Procedures (Ruting) a. Work Planning & Scheduling 3 b. Work Backlogs: Right-of-Way Maintenance Poles 3 Poles 3 Poles 3 Poles 3 Actioner in Circle Services 3 b. Work Backlogs: Right-of-Way Maintenance Apoles 3 b. Work Backlogs: Right-of-Way Maintenance Apoles 3 B. Distribution Transformer Loading	3 3 3 3 NA 3
2. Transmission Lines a. Voltage Regulators a. Right-of-Way: Clearing, Erosion, Appearance, Intrusions NA b. Physical Condition: Structure, Conductor, Guying NA c. Inspection Program and Records NA J. Distribution Lines - Overhead A a. Inspection Program and Records A b. Compliance with Safety Codes: Clearances Foreign Structures 3 b. Compliance with Safety Codes: Clearances Attachments 2 c. Observed Physical Condition from Field Checking: Right-of-Way Right-of-Way 3 Other NA 4. Line Maintenance and Work Order Procedures (Ruting) a. Work Backlogs: Right-of-Way Maintenance Poles 3 Poles	3 3 3 3 NA 3
a. Right-of-Way: Clearing, Erosion, Appearance, Intrusions NA b. Sectionalizing Equipment b. Physical Condition: Structure, Conductor, Guying NA c. Distribution Transformers c. Inspection Program and Records NA d. Pad Mounted Equipment 3. Distribution Lines - Overhead a. Inspection Program and Records 3 d. Pad Mounted Equipment a. Inspection Program and Records 3 Other Safety: Locking, Dead Front, Barriers b. Compliance with Safety Codes: Clearances 3 e. Kilowatt-hour and Demand Meter Foreign Structures 2 Reading and Testing Reading and Testing c. Observed Physical Condition from Field Checking: Right-of-Way 3 0ther A trachments 2 Cher NA 8. Power Quality a. Work Planning & Scheduling 3 a. General Freedom from Complaints a. General Freedom from Complaints b. Work Backlogs: Right-of-Way Maintenance 3 9. Loading and Load Balance a. Distribution Transformer Loading b. Work Backlogs: Cither NA b. Load Control Apparatus b. Load Control Apparatus	3 3 3 NA 3
b. Physical Condition: Structure, Conductor, Guying c. Inspection Program and Records 3. Distribution Lines - Overhead a. Inspection Program and Records b. Compliance with Safety Codes: Clearances b. Compliance with Safety Codes: Clearances Foreign Structures Attachments c. Observed Physical Condition from Field Checking: Right-of-Way Other 5. Line Maintenance and Work Order Procedures a. Work Planning & Scheduling b. Work Backlogs: Right-of-Way Maintenance Poles Poles Poles Retirement of Idle Services Other Atta Chrometon b. Conter Clearances Attachments Clearances Cleara	3 3 NA 3
c. Inspection Program and Records 3. Distribution Lines - Overhead a. Inspection Program and Records b. Compliance with Safety Codes: Clearances Foreign Structures Clearances C	3 3 NA 3
3. Distribution Lines - Overhead Safety: Locking, Dead Front, Barriers a. Inspection Program and Records 3 b. Compliance with Safety Codes: Clearances 3 Foreign Structures 2 Attachments 2 c. Observed Physical Condition from Field Checking: Right-of-Way Maintenance and Work Order Procedures (Ruting) 8. Power Quality 3 b. Work Backlogs: Right-of-Way Maintenance 9. Work Backlogs: Right-of-Way Maintenance 9. Loading and Load Balance 3 9. Loading and Load Balance 3 9. Load Control Apparatus 0	3 3 NA 3
3. Distribution Lines - Overhead Appearance: Settlement, Condition a Inspection Program and Records 3 Other b. Compliance with Safety Codes: Clearances 3 e Kilowatt-hour and Demand Meter b. Compliance with Safety Codes: Clearances 2 Reading and Testing c. Observed Physical Condition from Field Checking: Right-of-Way 3 Attachments Other NA NA NA PART II. OPERATIONS and MAINTENANCE 6. Line Maintenance and Work Order Procedures (Ruting) 8. Power Quality a. Work Planning & Scheduling 3 a General Freedom from Complaints b. Work Backlogs: Right-of-Way Maintenance 3 Poles 3 9. Loading and Load Balance a. Distribution Transformer Loading 0. Load Control Apparatus	3 NA 3
a. Inspection Program and Records 3 Other b. Compliance with Safety Codes: Clearances 3 e Kilowatt-hour and Demand Meter Foreign Structures 2 Reading and Testing Reading and Testing c. Observed Physical Condition from Field Checking: 8 Reading and Testing Reading and Testing c. Observed Physical Condition from Field Checking: NA 3 Other Reading and Testing 6. Line Maintenance and Work Order Procedures (Ruting) 3 a. General Freedom from Complaints b. Work Backlogs: Right-of-Way Maintenance 3 Poles 3 Poles Poles 3 Other 3 D. Load Galance a. Distribution Transformer Loading D. Load Control Apparatus	<u>NA</u> 3
b. Compliance with Safety Codes: Clearances <u>3</u> Foreign Structures <u>2</u> Attachments <u>2</u> c. Observed Physical Condition from Field Checking: Right-of-Way <u>3</u> Other <u>NA</u> PART II. OPERATIONS and MAINTENANCE 6. Line Maintenance and Work Order Procedures (Rating) a. Work Planning & Scheduling <u>3</u> b. Work Backlogs: Right-of-Way Maintenance <u>3</u> Poles <u>3</u> Poles <u>3</u> Retirement of Idle Services <u>3</u> Other <u>NA</u> P. Loading and Load Balance a. Distribution Transformer Loading b. Load Control Apparatus	3
Foreign Structures 2 Reading and Testing Attachments 2 c. Observed Physical Condition from Field Checking: Right-of-Way 3 Right-of-Way 3 Other NA PART II. OPERATIONS and MAINTENANCE 6. Line Maintenance and Work Order Procedures (Ruting) a. Work Planning & Scheduling 3 b. Work Backlogs: Right-of-Way Maintenance Poles 3 Poles 3 Retirement of Idle Services 3 Other NA Deading and Load Balance a. Distribution Transformer Loading Deading Load Control Apparatus Deading and Load Deading	3_
Attachments 2 c. Observed Physical Condition from Field Checking: Right-of-Way Right-of-Way 3 Other NA PART II. OPERATIONS and MAINTENANCE 6. Line Maintenance and Work Order Procedures (Ruting) a. Work Planning & Scheduling 3 b. Work Backlogs: Right-of-Way Maintenance Poles 3 Poles 3 Retirement of Idle Services 3 Other NA Deading and Load Balance a. Distribution Transformer Loading Other NA	
c. Observed Physical Condition from Field Checking: Right-of-Way <u>3</u> Other <u>NA</u> PART II. OPERATIONS and MAINTENANCE 6. Line Maintenance and Work Order Procedures (Rating) a. Work Planning & Scheduling <u>3</u> b. Work Backlogs: Right-of-Way Maintenance <u>3</u> Poles <u>3</u> Poles <u>3</u> Retirement of Idle Services <u>3</u> Other <u>NA</u> b. Load Control Apparatus	
Na Other NA PART II. OPERATIONS and MAINTENANCE 6. Line Maintenance and Work Order Procedures (Ruting) a. Work Planning & Scheduling 3 b. Work Backlogs: Right-of-Way Maintenance 7 3 Poles 3 Retirement of Idle Services 3 Other NA b. Load Control Apparatus	
PART II. OPERATIONS and MAINTENANCE 6. Line Maintenance and Work Order Procedures (Ruting) a. Work Planning & Scheduling 3 b. Work Backlogs: Right-of-Way Maintenance 7 3 9. Loading and Load Balance 8. Distribution Transformer Loading 0. Uter NA b. Load Control Apparatus	
PART 11. OPERATIONS and MAINTENANCE 6. Line Maintenance and Work Order Procedures (Ruting) 8. Power Quality a. Work Planning & Scheduling 3 a. General Freedom from Complaints b. Work Backlogs: Right-of-Way Maintenance 3 Poles 3 Poles Retirement of Idle Services 3 a. Distribution Transformer Loading Other NA b. Load Control Apparatus	
6. Line Maintenance and Work Order Procedures (Rating) 8. Power Quality a. Work Planning & Scheduling	
a. Work Plaining & Scheduling b. Work Backlogs: Right-of-Way Maintenance Poles Retirement of Idle Services Other NA b. Load Control Apparatus	(Ruting)
Bit Work Backlogs Clight of Way Waintchalter Poles 3 Retirement of Idle Services 3 Other NA b. Load Control Apparatus	3
Retirement of Idle Services 3 a. Distribution Transformer Loading Other NA b. Load Control Apparatus	
Other NA b. Load Control Apparatus	1
	NA
7. Service interruptions c. Substation and Feeder Loading	3
a. Average Annual Minutes/Consumer (Complete for each of the previous 3 years)	
PREVIOUS POWER MAJOR PLANNED ALL TOTAL 10. Maps and Plant Records	
S YEARS SUPPLIER STORM OTHER a. Operating Maps: Accurate and Up-to-Date	3
<u>(Yeur)</u> a. b. c. d. e. (Ruing) b. Circuit Diagrams	3
2004 0.33 0.44 0.02 2.61 3.40 3 c. Staking Sheets	3
2006 0.13 0.62 0.08 1.73 2.56 3	
2007 0.40 1.20 0.14 1.77 3.03 3	
b. Emergency Restoration Plan	
PART III. ENGINEERING	
11. System Load Conditions and Losses (Rating) 13. Load Studies and Planning	(Rating)
a. Annual System Losses 2.31% 3 a. Long Kange Engineering Plan	3
b. Annual Load Pactor <u>37.4%</u> <u>3</u> b. Construction work rean	
C. FOWER FACTOR ALL MARKEN AND A PARTY AND	3
a. Ratios of murvisual Substation Annual Fear KW to KWA a. Load Data for Engineering Studies	
12. Voltage Conditions	3
a. Voltage Surveys3	3
b. Substation Transformer Output Voltage Spread 3	3

RUS Form 300 (Rev. 3-09) (V2, 5 2009)

PAGE 1 OF 2 PAGES

VELO			LEATION AND MAINT	ENANCE BUDGEIS		
VELO	For Previo	us 2 Years	For Present Year		For Future 3 Years	
YEAR	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
	Actual	Actual	Budget	Budget	Budget	Budget
	\$ Thousands	\$ Thousands	\$ Thousands	\$ Thousands	\$ Thousands	\$ Thousands
ormal peration	3,938	4,406	4,409	4,541	4,678	4,818
ormal laintenance	3,214	3,700	3,829	3,944	4,062	4,184
dditional Deferred) Iaintenance						
otal	7,152	8,106	8,238	8,485	8,740	9,002
1. Budgeting: A	dequacy of Budgets for Ne	eded Work	3	(Rating)		
5, Date Discusse	d with Board of Directors	5	7/30/2009	(Dute)		
			EXPLANATORY NO	TES		
ITEM NO.	1		COMM	ÆNTS		
					П.Е	DATE
(JED BA:	Cafe -	n L		TI VP SYSTEM PLANN	TLE ING & RELIABILITY	DATE 07/07/09
TED BY:		m Ser		TI VP SYSTEM PLANN PRESIDEN	TLE ING & RELIABILITY T AND CEO	DATE 07/07/09 07/07/09

PAGE 2 OF 2 PAGES

APPENDIX B Economic Conductor Analysis

Owen Electric Cooperative 12 kV 3-Phase ECONOMIC CONDUCTOR ANALYSIS

0&M 4.26%	TAX 0.50%	INS 0.50%	INT 5.00%	\$/KW 7.99	\$/KWH 0.060	KW 1000
RMO 12	RAT 0.0%	KWI 3.50%	KWHI 3.50%	LGR 2.00%	INF 4.00%	30 M
LF 48.0%	PF 96.0%	CF 95.0%	0.64 N	KV 7.2	۵. ۳	
CONDUCTOR		1/0 ACSR	336.4 ACSR			
COST/MI OHMS/MI TCOST/MI PWCOST/MI		\$138,000 0.885 \$709,553 \$352,389	\$170,000 0.278 \$828,560 \$410,101			



\$/WIFE

APPENDIX C Smart Grid Initiatives

Project	Total
AMI	\$479,025
SCADA	\$745,750
Volt Var Optimization	\$635,300
Self-Healing	\$93,640
Total:	\$1,953,715

Owen Electric Cooperative (KY-37) Smart Grid Initiatives

OEC has several Smart Grid Initiatives in the CWP. A brief description of each follows.

AMI System:

OEC presently has a fully-deployed AMI system of residential meters. Approximately 88% of the poly-phase meters have been converted to AMI. The remaining poly-phase meters will be changed out during the course of the CWP. The data collected from the AMI meters will be used for engineering studies such as line loss analysis and planning studies. Similarly the AMI meters can be configured to be used as point-of-interest meters useful for voltage validation at key points in the system. Another benefit of the AMI system is that it allows for outage verification and logging of "blinks". Other than the change out of the remaining poly-phase meters, the components covered in the CWP for AMI are for the maintenance and communication enhancements of the AMI system.

SCADA Upgrades:

OEC was one of the first electric cooperatives in the state to have a SCADA system. It was installed in the 1980's, and has been maintained throughout the years. The SCADA system is vital in the daily operation of the OEC system. Presently OEC is in the process of upgrading to fiber communications to all of its substations. During the CWP, the remaining eighteen substations will have fiber communication equipment installed, and upgrades to the RTU's and regulator controls. The substations will also have weather station equipment installed to transmit weather data such as temperature and wind speed.

Volt Var Optimization:

OEC is launching a Volt Var Optimization project in conjunction with the Department of Energy. There are four phases to the project. Only Phase 2 has components that fall under equipment costs in the CWP.

Phase 1 of the project is a "pilot" field inventory project where two of OEC's substation territories will be mapped by GPS. A full system inventory of the included feeders will be made during the pilot. This inventory will allow for field verification and recording of ratings and settings of all devices such as transformers, reclosers, etc. Another component of phase 1 will be to install field monitors to log data at key locations such as Amps, Volts, kW and VARs. This data will allow for engineering model verification.

Phase 2 will be the implementation of voltage regulators and switched capacitors on the two pilot substation feeders to optimize kVAR and the voltage profile. Also included in phase 2 will be additional sensors and communication equipment to send data back to headquarters. Phase 3 will be when OEC attempts to find the most economical voltage level to operate the system. This attempt may allow for an overall reduction in demand on the two pilot substations. Phase 4 will be the integrated volt-var control where, through the use of SCADA and automation based on the sensors and logic controls of downline devices, the entire fleet of "smart" devices installed on the feeder will make

adjustments to maintain voltage levels within a type bandwidth along the feeder; and allow the substation bus voltage to respond accordingly.

Self-Healing

Another smart grid initiative that OEC is presently involved with, in conjunction with the DOE, is self-healing. Self-healing pertains to automated distribution control whereby intelligent electronic devices are automatically operated based on a set of logic criteria being met. OEC currently has one location at the southern portion of its system where a self-healing scheme is in place. In the next year there will be two additional locations where critical loads will require continuous power, and in the event of an outage, must have power restored within minutes. The components that make up a self-heal system include mainline reclosers with communication capability, logic controls, plus a central master control located at OEC headquarters. This intelligent scheme allows for switching between feeders in fewer than 5 minutes. The system can either be reset manually or through remote control.