COMMONWEALTHOFKENTUCKY BEFORETHEPUBLICSERVICECOMMISSION

IntheMatterof:

ANINVESTIGATIONINTOTHE)INTRASTATESWITCHEDACCESSRATES)OFALLKENTUCKYINCUMBENTAND)COMPETITIVELOCALEXCHANGE)CARRIERS)

ADMINISTRATIVE CASENO. 2010-00398

FOOTHILLSRURAL'SRESPONSESTOAUGUST5,2011DATAREQUESTS

FoothillsRuralTelephoneCooperativeCorporation,Inc.("FoothillsRural"),bycounsel,andpursuanttotheMarch10,2011proceduralorder(the"Order")enteredbythePublicServiceCommission of the Commonwealth of Kentucky (the "Commission") in this matter, herebyresponds to the following August 5, 2011 data requests propounded upon it by AT&T,1TWTC/Level3/PAETEC, ²andSprint ³3

Responsestothesedatarequestsarecontainedinthefollowingsectionsofthisdoc ument,

with each section being numbered in accordance with these rved requests.

- SectionI:August5,2011DataRequestsfromAT&T ;
- SectionII:August5,2011DataRequestsfromTWTC/Level3/PAETEC ;and
- SectionIII:August5,2011DataRequestsfromSprint.

¹BellSouthTelecommunications,Inc.d/b/aAT&TKent LLC,BellSouthLongDistance,Inc.d/b/aAT&TLong

 ² tw telecom, llc, Level 3 Communications, LLC, and Services(collectively, "TWTC/Level3/PAETEC").
³SprintCommunicationsCompanyL.P.;SprintSpectru Corp.,Inc.;andNPCR,Inc.,d/b/aNextelPartners.

ucky, AT&TCommunications of the South Central Stat es, DistanceService, and TCGO hio (collectively, "AT&T"). U.S. LEC of Tennessee L.L.C. d/b/a PAETEC Business

m,L.P.andSprintCom,Inc.d/b/aSprintPCS;Next elWest

I.

AUGUST5,2011DATAREQUESTSFROMAT&T

AT&T

<u>REQUESTNO.1:</u>	Gregory Hale in his Direct Testimony at page 10 says, "For the many wireline facilities."
	a. Does the term "miles in between" refer to transport backhaul of trafficfromwirelessandothertechnologies?Pleaseexplai nindetailwhat services and facilities are provided to wireless carriers f or the wireless callsthattravelovertraditionalwirelinefacilities.
	b. Are the facilities used for the traffic referenced as between" billed pursuant to a tariff as switched access or s services? If neither, how are they billed? "miles in pecial access
<u>OBJECTION:</u>	Mr. Hale's testimony speaks for itself, and he will be avai lable for cross- examination at the hearing in this matter. In addition, because Mr . Hale's testimony referred to wireless calls, the reference to "wi reless and other <u>technologies</u> " is unduly vague and ambiguous. This question is also misdirected and assumes facts not inevidence in sofaras the RLECs do not

transit or otherwise deliver wireless-to-wireless calls.

theseobjections, Foothills Rural states as follows.

Without waiving

<u>RESPONDENT:</u> GregoryHale(Witness)

RESPONSE:

- 1(a): Wireless-to-wireless calls typically travel over wirel ine facilities for that portion of the network between call receipt by a wireless tower and c all delivery by a wireless tower. That is, wireless calls are not typicall ytransferred from wireless antenna to wireless antenna without the use of some wireline facil ities. The specific services and facilities used to deliver calls of this nature vary depending onthe carriers and network arrangements involved.
- **1(b):** TheRLECsdonottypicallytransitwireless-to-wirelessc alls.Normallyawireless carrierwouldorderfacilities(DS1's,DS3'sorEthernetcircuits)i ntoacellsiteand itwouldbebilledasspecialaccess.

II.

AUGUST5,2011DATAREQUESTSFROM <u>TWTC/Level3/PAETEC</u>

- **<u>REQUESTNO.1:</u>** State, for calendar years 2009 and ____2010 separately, the amount of access revenue shift you would have experienced if your **intrastate terminating** switched access rates mirrored your **interstate terminating** switched access rates.
- **<u>OBJECTION:</u>** This request is unduly burden some because the information sought can be derived from information already provided. Without waiving this objection, Foothills Rural states as follows.
- **<u>RESPONDENT:</u>** Sandy Ward, Senior Accountant, Foothills Rural Telephone CooperativeCorporation,Inc.
- **RESPONSE:**The underlying data necessary to perform this analysis is conta ined in
previous responses to data requests.SeeResponse to May 2, 2011
TWTC/Level3/PAETECRequestsNo.2-3;see alsoResponse to August
5,2011TWTC/Level3/PAETECRequestNo.2,below.

<u>REQUESTNO.2:</u> Provide the number of your **terminating** interstate and intrastate access minutesfor 2009 and for 2010 (separately).

<u>OBJECTION:</u> This request is unduly burden some because the information sought can be derived from information already provided. Without waiving this objection, Foothills Rural states as follows.

<u>RESPONDENT:</u> Sandy Ward, Senior Accountant, Foothills Rural Telephone CooperativeCorporation,Inc.

<u>RESPONSE:</u> For 2010 intrastate data, *see* Response to May 2, 2011 TWTC/Level 3/PAETECRequestNo.4.

<u>2009</u>:2 ntrastate;3(terstate

2010:3 ntrastate

- **<u>REQUESTNO.3:</u>** State, for calendar years 2009 and ____2010 separately, the amount of access revenue shift you would have experienced if your intrastate and interstate terminating switched access rates mirrored your reciprocal compensation rates.
- **<u>OBJECTION:</u>** This request is unduly burden some because the information sought can be derived from information already provided. Without waiving this objection, Foothills Rural states as follows.
- **<u>RESPONDENT:</u>** Sandy Ward, Senior Accountant, Foothills Rural Telephone CooperativeCorporation,Inc.
- **RESPONSE:** The underlying data necessary to perform this analysis is conta ined in previous responses to data requests. *See* Response to May 2, 2011 TWTC/Level3/PAETECRequestsNo.1-2; *see also* Response to May 2, 2011AT&TRequestNo.7.

- **<u>REQUESTNO.4:</u>** Provide the number of your **reciprocal compensation** minutes for 2009 and for 2010 (separately). This request is for the number of minutes on which you assess reciprocal compensation charges, not the number of minutesonwhichyoupaidreciprocal compensation.
- **<u>OBJECTION:</u>** This request is unduly burden some because the information sought can be derived from information already provided. Without waiving this objection, Foothills Rural states as follows.
- **<u>RESPONDENT:</u>** Sandy Ward, Senior Accountant, Foothills Rural Telephone CooperativeCorporation,Inc.
- **RESPONSE:** For2010data, *see* ResponsetoMay2,2011AT&TRequestNo.7.

2009: 9

- **<u>REQUESTNO.5:</u>** Produce all workpapers, calculations, and formulas in native format , including spreadsheets (Excel preferred) for the revenue shift num bers stated in requests #1 and #3 above.
- **<u>OBJECTION:</u>** This request is unduly burden some because the information sought can be derived from information already provided. Without waiving this objection, Foothills Rural states as follows.
- **<u>RESPONDENT:</u>** Sandy Ward, Senior Accountant, Foothills Rural Telephone CooperativeCorporation,Inc.

RESPONSE: Notapplicable.

III.

AUGUST5,2011DATAREQUESTS <u>FROMSPRINT</u>

SPRINT

<u>REQUESTNO.1:</u> At page 8, lines 19-21 of his Direct Testimony, Mr. Staurulakis st "Given the potential shift in cost recovery to end user customers bei considered by the FCC, the RLECs believe that the pace of basic local servicedisconnectionwillaccelerate."

- a. PleaseprovideanyandallstudiesdevelopedbyoronbehalfoftheRL ECs,either individually, or collectively, that demonstrate line losses wil lincrease with local rate increases.
- b. If studies exist, did the study(s) consider the impact of the FCC 's plans on broadbandtakerates?Pleasediscloseanyandallfindings.
- c. If studies exist, did the study(s) consider the impact of the FCC 's plans on broadbandprices?Pleasediscloseanyandallfindings.
- **<u>OBJECTION:</u>** Mr. Staurulakis's testimony speaks for itself, and he will be available for cross-examination at the hearing in this matter. Without waiving this objection, Foothills Rural states as follows.

<u>RESPONDENT:</u> EmmanuelStaurulakis(Witness)

RESPONSE:

1(a): NospecificstudiesweredevelopedbyoronbehalfoftheRLECstode monstrate the increase in line losses associated with local rate increases. Rather, Mr. Staurulakis reached his conclusion regarding acceleration of accessibased on industry comment to proposals referenced in the FCC's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking dated February 9, 2011. In comments filed by State MembersoftheUniversalServiceJointBoardonMay2,2011inr esponsetothe FCC'sPublicNotice,thefollowingcommentsaremadeonpage117:

> "State Members found that the multi-proposal combination of reducing i ntrastate access to interstate, eliminating corporate operations expense and reducing HCL percentages would be particularly significant. Among NECA com panies, a significant share of carriers in 32 States would have to raise rates by at least \$20.00permonth, and in 15 States some rate increases would be at least \$50per month. Debt ratios among NECA companies would degrade to the po int that mostcompanieswouldexperiencedifficultyinraisingcapital. Amongmid-sized companies the effects are not as thoroughly analyzed, but they would appear to be of a similar nature, with significant decreases incurrent rev enueslikelytoleadto rateincreases, impairment of access to capital, or both."

1(b): Seeresponseto1(a),above.

1(c): Seeresponseto1(a),above.

SPRINT

REQUESTNO.2:	PleaserefertoMr.Staurulakis'sdiscussionofaccesslinel oss	esandtheir
	impact of potential KYUSF disbursements on pages 8-9 of his I	Direct
	Testimony. Does Mr. Staurulakis assert the RLECs' intrastat accessrevenueshavenotbeendecliningyearoveryear?	e switched
<u>OBJECTION:</u>	Mr. Staurulakis's testimony speaks for itself, and he will be av cross-examination at the hearing in this matter. Without waivin objection, Foothills Rural states as follows.	vailable for g this
RESPONDENT:	EmmanuelStaurulakis(Witness)	
<u>RESPONSE:</u>	No specific trending analysis of the Kentucky RLEC intrastate s access revenues was undertaken by Mr. Staurulakis in preparation filingofdirecttestimony.	witched for the

SPRINT

<u>REQUESTNO</u>	3: Referring to Mr. Staurulakis's discussion of carrier of las t resort obligations(COLR)onpage9,lines3-6ofhisDirectTestimony:
	DoanyoftheRLECstrackthecostofbeingaCarrierofLast Resort(COLR)in Kentucky?
	f so, what was the cost of COLR by year, by RLEC, for the la st three calendar ears(2008,2009and2010)?
	so, please provide a description of how this cost is identifie dand the individual ost components included in the calculation.
OBJECTION:	Mr. Staurulakis's testimony speaks for itself, and he will be available for cross-examination at the hearing in this matter. Without waiving this objection, Foothills Rural states as follows.
RESPONDENT	<u>F:</u> EmmanuelStaurulakis(Witness)
<u>RESPONSE:</u>	
3(a)	The RLECs are not aware of any proceeding initiated by the Kentuc ky Commission to calculate the cost of being a COLR in Kentucky. Accordingly, the RLECs do not perform any specific type of cost ana lysis associated with their COLR obligations in Kentucky.

- **3(b)** Seeresponseto3(a),above.
- **3(c)** Seeresponseto3(a),above.

Respectfullysubmitted,

JohnE.Selent EdwardT.Depp **DINSMORE&SHOHLLLP** 101SouthFifthStreet 2500NationalCityTower Louisville,Kentucky40202 (502)540-2300(Telephone) (502)585-2207(Facsimile)

CounseltotheRLECs

VERIFICATIONPAGETOFOLLOW

CERTIFICATEOFSERVICE

In accordance with Ordering Paragraph No. 5 of the Commission's March 10, 2011 Order, this is to certify that this September 2, 2011 electronic filing is a true and accurate copy of the documents to be filed in paper medium; that the electronic filing has been transmitted to the Commission on September 2, 2011; that an original and one copy of the filed elivered to the Commission on September 2, 2011; and that, on September 2, 2011, electronic mail notification of the electronic filing will be provided through the Commission's electronic filing system.

CounseltotheRLECs

874394v2