Steven L. Beshear Governor

Leonard K. Peters Secretary Energy and Environment Cabinet



Commonwealth of Kentucky **Public Service Commission**211 Sower Blvd.
P.O. Box 615

Frankfort, Kentucky 40602-0615

Telephone: (502) 564-3940 Fax: (502) 564-3460 psc.ky.gov David L. Armstrong Chairman

James W. Gardner Vice Chairman

Charles R. Borders Commissioner

April 1, 2010

Mr. Merle Brewer Henry County Water District No. 2 P. O. Box 219 Campbellsburg, Kentucky 40011

David Edward Spenard, Esq.
Office of Rate Intervention
1024 Capital Center Drive
Suite 200
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601-8204

Mr. James Simpson Henry County Water District No. 2 P. O. Box 219 Campbellsburg, Kentucky 40011

D. Berry Baxter, Esq.117 West Main StreetLa Grange, Kentucky 40031

Re:

Case No. 2009-00370

Henry County Water District No. 2

Gentlemen:

The enclosed electronic mail messages between Commission Staff and the Attorney General regarding the procedural schedule have been placed in the record of the above-referenced proceeding.

Sincerely,

Jeff Derouen

Executive Director

gw Enclosure



Wuetcher, Jerry (PSC)

From:

Spenard, David (KYOAG) [david.spenard@ag.ky.gov]

Sent:

Thursday, March 25, 2010 4:22 PM

To:

Wuetcher, Jerry (PSC)

Cc:

baxterlaw@me.com; jamestsimpson@att.net; cjlee52@roadrunner.com; Osterloh, Todd

(PSC)

Subject:

RE: Case No. 2009-00370

Jerry,

Good afternoon.

No; the OAG has no objection to the District's motion for an extension.

And, no, the OAG does not intend to file testimony; consequently, the OAG has no objection to revising the procedural schedule to eliminate time for this step.

If you have any questions or concerns, then please contact me at your convenience.

Cordially,

David

----Original Message----

From: Wuetcher, Jerry (PSC) [mailto:JWuetcher@ky.gov]

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2010 4:05 PM

To: Spenard, David (KYOAG)

Cc: baxterlaw@me.com; jamestsimpson@att.net; cjlee52@roadrunner.com; Osterloh, Todd (PSC)

Subject: Case No. 2009-00370

David:

Does AG object to HCWD2's motion for extension? Does AG intend to file testimony? If not, would AG object to revision of procedural schedule that would eliminate intervenor testimony? Elimination would assist in adhering to existing hearing date.

Jerry Wuetcher