## AsSOCIATION OF <br> Management CONSULTING <br> FIRMS

Operating Ratios For Management Consulting Firms

# A Resource for 

 Benchmarking2006 UnitedStates Edition

|  | LESS THAN \$2,000,000 |  |  | \$2,000,000 TO \$4,999,999 |  |  | \$5,000,000 TO \$19,999,999 |  |  |  | 520,000,000 \& OVER |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Typical | Midd |  | Typical |  | Range | Typleal | Midd | dle | ange | Typied | Mid | nge |
| ETPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prof Develeporent Expense (dime (@) moral shary \& bonus rempensation cors and \$ spent:) <br> At 3 I of Toual Consuhtrig Fee Revenues Per Consulding Absochate | $\begin{array}{r} 8.7 \pi \\ \$ 11.649 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TSD } \\ & \text { is0 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 150 \\ & 150 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25 \times \\ \$ 5,659 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.0 \\ 2.166 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.5 \\ 10,022 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.9 \% \\ \mathbf{4 . 0 9 9} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,5 \\ 3.590 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 3.1 \\ 13,527 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23 \% \\ 56,888 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.8 \\ 5.365 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26 \\ 9,297 \end{array}$ |
| BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT COSTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Toral Businers Development Corss (dima © toul inlary \& bonus compersation cort and $\$$ rpent): As a\% of Total Consulting Fee Revenues As $3 \times$ of New Client Fees | $\begin{array}{r} 8.6 \% \\ 30.6 \times \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.0 \\ 22.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.0 \\ & 41.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.8 \mathrm{x} \\ \mathbf{2 3 . 2 X} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.6 \\ 20.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.5 \\ 103.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.6 x \\ 18.2 x \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.6 \\ 14.1 \end{array}$ | $\div$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3 \\ & 32.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 89 \% \\ 3248 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.8 \\ 23.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.0 \\ 39.0 \end{array}$ |
| BILLNG RATES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hourty Allting Rate for Employees Earring \$35,000 $/ \mathrm{Mr}$ Bxe Salary $\$ 50,000 \mathrm{Tr}$ Base Salary \$75,000/7r 8axe 5aday $\$ 125,000 \mathrm{Kr}$ gate Salary | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 68 \\ \$ 98 \\ \$ 150 \\ \$ 200 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ 78 \\ 114 \\ 149 \end{gathered}$ | 101 1211 205 266 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 85 \\ & \$ 169 \\ & \$ 210 \\ & \$ 250 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55 \\ 130 \\ 150 \\ 195 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 125 \\ & 215 \\ & 225 \\ & 325 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 75 \\ & \$ 145 \\ & \$ 178 \\ & \$ 263 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 150 \\ & 115 \\ & 141 \\ & 221 \end{aligned}$ |  | 150 171 199 325 | $\$ 100$ $\$ 159$ $\$ 200$ $\$ 275$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \\ & 151 \\ & 185 \\ & 185 \\ & 267 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 121 \\ & 180 \\ & 217 \\ & 298 \end{aligned}$ |
| Biling Races to Eurnings Muldipliers: Overill Compary \$35,000/Yr Consultant (Bate Sabry) $\$ 60,000 \mathrm{Or}$ Consulamt (Base Sahry) \$75,000 Mr Consulant (Axte Salary) $\$ 125,000 \mathrm{Mr}$ Consulant (Base Szlary) | 3.7 TIMES <br> 4.0 TMES <br> 3.4 TIMES <br> 4.2 TIMES <br> 3.3 TMES | 2.8 2.9 27 3.2 2.5 | 3.9 6.0 4.2 5.7 4.4 | 4.9 TIMES 5.1 TMMES 5.8 TIMES 5.8 TMMES 4.2 TIMES | 4.0 3.3 4.5 4.2 3.2 | 6.2 7.4 7.5 6.2 5.4 | 4.6 TMES 4.5 TMME 5.0 TIMES 4.9 TIMES 4.4 TMES | $\begin{array}{r} 150 \\ 150 \\ 4.0 \\ 3.9 \\ 3.7 \end{array}$ |  | 150 150 50.9 5.5 5.4 | 5.3 71MES 5.9 TMES 5.5 TMMES 5.6 TIMES 4.6 TIMES | 5.0 5.1 5.2 5.1 4.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.0 \\ & 7.2 \\ & 5.8 \\ & 6.0 \\ & 4.8 \end{aligned}$ |
| Stanctard Hourty Biliting Races for: Seniar Parners or Equivalent Junior Partriers or Equivalent Sthior Manayement Consultans Management Consulants Enury Levd Consulaurs Rusearch Asroctutes | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 250 \\ & \$ 263 \\ & \$ 143 \\ & \$ 110 \\ & \$ 75 \\ & 150 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 200= \\ & 150 \\ & 116= \\ & 90= \\ & 150 . \\ & 150 \end{aligned}$ | 283 150 188 160 150 150 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 283 \\ & \$ 311 \\ & \$ 205 \\ & \$ 163 \\ & \$ 174 \\ & \$ 128 \end{aligned}$ | 241 211 164 150 150 150 | $\begin{aligned} & 420 \\ & 408 \\ & 260 \\ & 244 \\ & 150 \\ & 150 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 395$ $\$ 328$ $\$ 260$ $\$ 205$ $\$ 163$ 150 | $\begin{aligned} & 325 \\ & 291 \\ & 216 \\ & 163 \\ & 118 \\ & 150 \end{aligned}$ |  | 465 381 325 244 181 150 | $\$ 373$ $\$ 314$ $\$ 251$ $\$ 208$ $\$ 157$ $\$ 122$ | $\begin{array}{r} 350- \\ 292 \\ 245 \\ 190 \\ 135 \\ 74 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 515 \\ & 416 \\ & 335 \\ & 249 \\ & 194 \\ & 149 \end{aligned}$ |
| Mezdmum Hourly Billing Razes for: Sender Parthers or Equivilent junlor Pantiers or Equiralent Sentor thangement Consultans Mandememt Consulants Ency Level Consultants Reserrch Assodates | $\$ 250$ 150 $\$ 175$ $\$ 125$ 150 150 | 220 150 110 110 150 150 | 308 150 175 160 150 150 | $\$ 325$ $\$ 320$ $\$ 250$ $\$ 225$ $\$ 175$ $\$ 143$ | 260 215 160 160 150 150 | 469 419 290 250 150 150 | $\$ 100$ $\$ 375$ $\$ 725$ $\$ 215$ $\$ 183$ 150 | $\begin{aligned} & 375 \\ & 330 \\ & 240 \\ & 200 \\ & 164 \\ & 150 \end{aligned}$ |  | 600 453 400 301 210 150 | $\$ 600$ $\$ 150$ $\$ 400$ $\$ 290$ $\$ 260$ $\$ 193$ | $\begin{aligned} & 470 . \\ & 150 \\ & 313 . \\ & 250 . \\ & 215 . \\ & 118 . \end{aligned}$ | 645 150 452 373 288 241 |
| \% Increase Last Yr in Bulling Riates for: Sentor Partners or Equivalenz jurior Partners or Equivatern Senfor Management Consulants Managemens Consulants Entr Level Consulanes Research Axsoclates Total Consuldong Sat | $\begin{aligned} & 4.08 \\ & 150 \\ & 2.0 x \\ & 5.08 \\ & 150 \\ & 150 \\ & 5.88 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 . \\ & 150 . \\ & 150 . \\ & 150 . \\ & 150 . \\ & 150 . \\ & 150 . \end{aligned}$ | 5.0 150 150 150 150 150 150 | $\begin{array}{r} 5.0 \% \\ 0.0 \% \\ 5.0 \% \\ 10.0 x \\ 0.00 \\ 150 \\ 10.0 \% \end{array}$ | 25 150 25 25 150 150 98 | $\begin{aligned} & 10.0 \\ & 150 \\ & 10.0 \\ & 10.5 \\ & 150 \\ & 150 \\ & 10.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.0 x \\ 10.5 x \\ 8.08 \\ 8.0 x \\ 2.0 \% \\ 150 \\ 10.0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 2.5 \\ 3.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 150 \\ 7.1 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 11.5 \\ & 16.5 \\ & 10.0 \\ & 10.0 \\ & 10.0 \\ & 150 \\ & 11.5 \end{aligned}$ | 3.38 20x 1.08 3.38 3.08 3.38 3.08 | 3.0 1.0 0.0 0.0 2.0 2.3 2.6 | 6.0 6.4 3.3 4.0 6.0 5.0 3.6 |
| Typlal Percentrge Mark-Up on Project Spectic "Blifed Experses"-df Applicable | 6.9\% | 0.0 | 14.3 | 23\% | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2x | 0.0 | - | 1.0 | 0.0\% | 0.0 | 0.0 |

## Kentucky American Water Company <br> Management Consultant Billing Rates Company Witness: Patrick Baryenbruch

A Calculation of Average Hourly Billing Rate by Consultant Position Survey billing rates were those in effect in 2005 (Note A)

| Average Hourly Rates (Note A) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry-Level <br> Consultant | Associate <br> Consultant | Senior <br> Consultant | Junior <br> Partner | Senior <br> Partner |  |  |
| $\$ 141$ | $\$ 186$ | $\$ 234$ | $\$$ | 320 | $\$$ | 350 |

B Caiculation of Overall Average Hourly Billing Rate Based on a Typical Distribution of Time on an Engagement

| Average Hourly Billing Rate (from above) | Entry-Level Consultant | Associate Consultant | Senior Consultant | Junior <br> Partner | Senior <br> Partner |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$ 141 | \$186 | \$234 | \$320 | \$350 |  |
| Typical Percent of Time Spent on a Consulting Project | 30\% | 30\% | 20\% | 10\% | 10\% | Weighted |
|  | \$ 42 | \$ 56 | \$ 47 | \$ 32 | \$ 35 | \$ 212 |
| Escalation to Test Year Mid-Point June 30, 2006 (Note B) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CPI at December 31,2005 1968 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CPl at June 30, 20062029 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated Average Hourly Billing Rate For Consultants At June 30, 2006 |  |  |  |  |  | 3.1\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ 218 |

Note A: source: "Operating Ratios For Management Consulting Firms, 2006 Edition" Association of Management Consulting Firms
Note B: source is US Bureau of Labor Statistics (ftp://ftp bls gov/pub/special requests/cpi/cpiai txt )
Calculation From Survey

| Typical Rate By Firm Size | Average Standard Hourly Rates (Note A) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Entry-Level Consultant |  | Associate Consultant |  | SeniorConsultant |  | Junior <br> Partner |  | Senior Partner |  |
| Less than $\$ 2$ million |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Range - Low |  |  | \$ | 90 | \$ | 116 |  |  | \$ | 200 |
| Range - High |  |  | \$ | 160 | \$ | 188 |  |  | \$ | 283 |
| Average | \$ | 75 | \$ | 125 | \$ | 152 | \$ | 263 | \$ | 242 |
| \$2-\$5 million |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Range - Low |  |  | \$ | 150 | \$ | 164 | \$ | 211 | \$ | 241 |
| Range - High |  |  | \$ | 244 | \$ | 280 | \$ | 406 | \$ | 420 |
| Average | \$ | 174 | \$ | 197 | \$ | 222 | \$ | 309 | \$ | 331 |
| \$5-\$20 million |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Range - Low | \$ | 116 | \$ | 163 | \$ | 216 | \$ | 291 | \$ | 325 |
| Range - High | \$ | 181 | \$ | 244 | \$ | 325 | \$ | 381 | \$ | 465 |
| Average | \$ | 149 | \$ | 204 | \$ | 271 | \$ | 336 | \$ | 395 |
| $\$ 20$ million and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Range - Low | \$ | 135 | \$ | 190 | \$ | 245 | \$ | 292 | \$ | 350 |
| Range - High | \$ | 194 | \$ | 249 | \$ | 335 | \$ | 416 | \$ | 515 |
| Average | \$ | 165 | \$ | 220 | \$ | 290 | \$ | 373 | \$ | 433 |
| Overall Average | \$ | 141 | \$ | 186 | \$ | 234 | \$ | 320 | \$ | 350 |

Note: see separate hardcopy of the AMCF survey

# Kentucky American Water Company Consumer Price Index Data Company Witness：Patrick Baryenbruch 



0．5．ents average

| Yes＝ | Ees． | Fer | Mas. | 20\％ | Hay | june | 2＊2\％ | $2: 35$ | Sef | Cot： | ney | Dec | がセッロェ Evg | Fereeme Des－ Dee | czazge <br> ぶも＂祘 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $28: 3$ | 58 | 9． 8 | 78 | 9.8 | \＃． | 9.5 | 9.9 | 9.9 | $=0.0$ | 20.0 | $\geq 0.1$ | 20.0 | 99 |  |  |
| 2924 | 10.0 | 9.9 | 99 | 9. | 95 | 5.5 | 20.0 | 20.2 | ：0．2 | 20.2 | 20.2 | 26.2 | 10.0 | 20 | 2.0 |
| 2735 | 20.1 | 20.0 | 9.9 | 100 | $30:$ | ＊0． | 20.1 | 10.3 | 20． 1 | 20.2 | 20.3 | 10.3 | 10.5 | 2.0 | 1.0 |
| 2926 | 20.4 | 10.4 | 20.5 | $\pm 0.6$ | $30 . ?$ | 20.5 | 2 E 2 | 10.9 | ：2\％ | 12．3 | ：2． 5 | 12.6 | 209 | 126 | 7.9 |
| 1927 | －1．7 | $\pm 2.8$ | 120 | $\pm 2.6$ | 22．${ }^{\text {日 }}$ | $\pm 3.0$ | 22，\％ | 23.0 | 25.3 | $\pm 5.5$ | 33.5 | 1.3 .7 | 32 a | さe．3 | 274 |
| 1s1e | 2i． 0 | I4． | 250 | ¢4 2 | 24.5 | 24．7 | 25.2 | $\pm 5.4$ | 25.7 | 76． 5 | 16．3 | 26.5 | 35． | 2 D .9 | － $0^{0}$ |
| $\pm \pm 19$ | 26．5 | 56.2 | 164 | 46．7 | 16．9 | 26.9 | 575 | 17.7 | 7\％ | ： 2.1 | $\because \mathrm{Z} .5$ | 189 | 173 | $\pm 4.5$ | 24．6 |
| 2920 | $\pm 9.3$ | 20．． | 19.7 | 20.3 | 20 6 | 20.9 | 20.5 | 20.5 | 20.0 | 29.9 | 25．E | 15.4 | 20.0 | 2.6 | 25.6 |
| 292\％ | 59 | 2 cc | 12．3 | 15． 1 | 27.7 | $\pm 7.6$ | 17．7 | 177 | －7． 5 | 27 を | \％ 7.9 | さ7．3 | 27.9 | －10． | －20 5 |
| 39\％2 | $\pm 6.9$ | 26．，${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 26.7 | 367 | \＄6．7 | $\pm 6.7$ | 26.9 | 16.6 | －6．6 | บย． 7 | \＄6．5 | 16.9 | 16.8 | －2 3 | －6．1 |
| 5923 | $\pm 6$ | 16.5 | 26． | $\pm 69$ | $\pm 6.9$ | 27.0 | ：7．2 | 17． 2 | 二すこ | 275 | ：7．9 | 27．5 | 27. | 2.4 | $2 . E$ |
| 1924 | 27.5 | 77.2 | 27． | 370 | 278 | 二7． 0 | 27．： | 27．0 | $\because 2.1$ | 27． 2 | ：7．2 | 27．9 | 2\％\％ | 00 | 0.0 |
| 1925 | 47.3 | 17.2 | 17． 3 | $\pm 7.2$ | 4.3 | IT． 5 | 47 | 27.7 | $\because 7.7$ | 1\％．7 | 2 2 0 | $\div 7.9$ | 27.5 | 3.5 | $2 \cdot 3$ |
| 1996 | ：54． 7 | 154． 9 | 255.7 | 1563 | 256.6 | 1567 | 257.0 | 25\％．${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ：57．8 | 259． | 25E． 6 | 255．6 | 156.9 | 3.3 | 3.0 |
| 2997 | 259 | 159.6 | 260.0 | 165.2 | 160． | $\pm 603$ | ：50．5 | ：60．e | 568．2 | 164．6 | 261.5 | $16: 3$ | 2605 | $\pm 7$ | 2.3 |
| 7998 | $\pm 616$ | 26：$=$ | 262.2 | $\pm \in 2.5$ | 262． | － 63.0 | －63． 2 | $\pm 635$ | 263．6 | ：65．0 | $\pm 6 \pm 0$ | 263.8 | $\pm 63.0$ | 2.6 | $\div 6$ |
| 1990 | 2643 | 164.5 | 265.0 | 166.2 | ：CE 2 | 266.7 | ：66．7 | 167 2 | 267．9 | 168． 2 | 2693 | 169.3 | 266.6 | 2.7 | 2.2 |
| 2000 | 26s e | $\pm 65 . \mathrm{s}$ | 1＊2\％ | ：72．3 | ごさ 5 | 272.4 | こ72 | 272．9 | ：79．7 | 27i 0 | ：74 1 | 174．6 | ：72．2 | 3.4 | S． |
| 2005 | ：53．3 | 275． | 9TE．2 | 276．5 | 2777 | 275.0 | 277．6 | 27\％．5 | 278．3 | 277.7 | 277 \％ | 176．7 | ：77．2 | $\geq 6$ | 2.8 |
| 2002 | 577． | 277．9 | 17E．5 | 178． | 279．E | 279\％ | こも0．2 | $2 \pm 0.7$ | 1．920 | ：5s： | 152．3 | 150. | 179．8 | 2.4 | 3.6 |
| 2003 | －5： 7 | こe3． | 164．2 | 193．0 | $\pm 55.5$ | 195．？ | 253． |  | ：55．2 | 205.2 | 244.5 | 185．3 | $\pm 85.0$ | $\pm .9$ | 2.3 |
| 2004 | ＋55． 2 | －56．．z |  | zes．0 | 3e9．2 | มヵヵ 7 | 2e9．4 | ＂e9 5 | 259.9 | $\underline{190.9}$ | 293．0 | 290.3 | 209．9 | 3.3 | 2.7 |
| 2005 | 290.7 | こ¢： | 293.5 | 255．6 | $\pm 54$. | 2945 | $\pm 05.4$ | 296.1 | 109．8 | 2982 | 157．6 | 756.9 | $\pm 55 . .3$ | $5 \cdot 1$ | 3.4 |
| 2006 | ：98． 3 | 298．7 | 209．0 | 20\％．5 | 202.5 | 202．9 | 2035 | 203．9 | 202.2 | 202.2 | 2015 | 202． 2 | 20： 6 | 25 | 3.2 |
| 2007 | 202426 | 203．99 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Kentucky American Water Company <br> Kentucky CPA Billing Rates Company Witness: Patrick Baryenbruch

| A. Calculation of Average Hourly Billing Rate by Public Accounting Position Survey billing rates were those in effect in 2005 (Note A) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average Hourly Billing Rate (Note A) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Type of Firm | Staff Accountant | Senior Accountant | Manager | Partner |  |
| Average Hourly Rate | \$ 65 | \$ 77 | \$ 112 | \$ 150 |  |
| B. Calculation of Overall Average Accountant Billing Rate Based Upon Typical Distribution of Time on an Engagement |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Hourly Billing Rate (From Above) | Staff Accountant | Senior Accountant | Manager | Partner |  |
|  | \$ 65 | \$ 77 | \$ 112 | \$ 150 |  |
| Typical Percent of Time Spent on an Accounting Assignment | 30\% | 30\% | 20\% | 20\% | Weighted Average |
|  | \$ 20 | \$ 23 | \$ 22 | \$ 30 | \$ 95 |
| CPI at December 31, 2005CPI at June 30, 2006Inflation/EscalationEstimated Average Hourly Billing Rate For Kentucky CPAs At June 30, 2006 |  |  |  |  | 1968 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 202.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 3.1\% |
|  |  |  |  |  | \$ 98 |

Note A: Source is AICPA's 2006 National PCPS/TSCPA Management of an Accounting Practice Survey
Note B: source is US Bureau of Labor Statistics (ftp://ftp. bls gov/pub/special requests/cpi/cpiai txt)

Partner
Active Owner Director/Manager
Director

| Firm Size |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Small | Medium Large Average |

Manager
Average Manager
Senior Accountant
Staff Accountant
Associate

| $\$$ | 111.96 | $\$$ | 149.06 | $\$$ | 190.41 | $\$ 150$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

New Professional
Average Staff Accountant

|  |  | \$ | 103.86 | \$ | 133.51 |  | 11277 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \$ | 96.00 | \$ | 113.82 |  |  |
|  |  | \$ | 99.93 | \$ | 123.67 |  |  |
| \$ | 75.00 | \$ | 62.50 | \$ | 94.26 | \$ |  |


| $\$$ | 65.00 | $\$$ | 7542 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$$ | 50.00 | $\$$ | 70.30 |
| $\$$ | 57.50 | $\$$ | 72.86 | 65

2006 National PCPS / TSCPA Management of an Accounting Practice Survey Report prepared for: Kentucky Society of CPAs

## Definitions:

Wie have assigned you the following peet groups fer benchanthing. We have attempted to proup practices cf comparable size so that

Af FientuEky Alt the respandarts from tre Fast South Central census subregion
Smatl Ens: South Central Smail fims (< S3004 it reventes) in the East Seuth Central census subregion

Large East Scuth Central Large tirms ( $>1$ 1:fo in feveriues) in the Eas: South Central census sibregion



## Cavents

Gropits may be streiched or condensed. wan repert
 trat suagesied that the question had been mlsuncarstod. In suet cases, we endeavared to revise answers to reffect our best understanding ot the respondenis intentions. Thus you may absteve sime ehanges to your respense as entered.

- The:e is aise an enline repor availatie for the 2006 Map Survey that will enatie respondents to define thel: own wenchmatks - Hei nemsining Per Cwnet" as shown in the report may not always exactly mateht time revenues less expenses. due to ingut and interpretation issues.


Average Compensation

| Ezmers/Ownets | 780.477 | B $\times 574$ | 132.551 | 256.234 | 104, 892 | 48,352 | 114.43\% | 32, 2.23 | 1 ES .682 | 23n,4.41 | 205.273 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sirectiors (11+ Years Exa) | Ex. 450 |  | E8.42! | 7:062 | 77.84! |  | 45.712 | 65.15E | 60.725 | 74.537 | 174, $\frac{1}{76}$ |
| Manipgers íc-10 Yeas Expl | 20. 8.87 |  | 48.502 | 62.008 | 64, 757 |  | 45,2037 | 45.202 | 51.297 | 07.250 | 20.444 |
| Sr Assoczies (4.5 Years Exd) | $4 \mathrm{C}, 412$. | 48.653 | 32.613 | 48.201 | 54,0\% |  | 42.500 | 40,741 | 4.478 | 40.155 | E8, 760 |
|  | 3 Cb 100 |  | 35,357 | $3 \times 150$ | 50,487 |  | 25.003 | अ, ${ }^{\text {ces }}$ | 30.268 | 30.082 | दट. 518 |
| New Fratarsionals | 2.017 |  | 28.590 | 78.369 | 33,954 |  |  |  | 71, 30\% | 38.429 | 20.354 |

Compensation Per Compensated Hour

| Farders / Oivnes | 5E3.37 | S40.65 | \$7275 | S900. 21 | 507.02 | \$ 25.50 | \$53.67 | \$58.a3 | \$885\%7 | S107.e2 | \$124.3\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drectirs [1]-Years ExD | \$31.38 | Nim | 522.30 | 53. 55 | \$35.85 | N.'A | 5205 | \$35.\#1 | 538.43 | S34.52 | S44.77 |
| Siznapers findo Yexas Expl | 32E.38 | N/A | 522.03 | 5 52. 28 | 529.53 | N/'A | 521.65 | 524, \% ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 524.15 | \$30.75 | 538.55 |
| E. Assocases i 45 Years Exti | 5\%1.09 | 521.57 | \$15.40 | 532.80 | \$25.30 | W. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $530.00^{2}$ | 378.53 | 523.22 | \$21.54 | 537.08 |
| ¢5scrialus $11-3$ Years Exal | FiED | N\% | \$16.13 | 513.88 | 519.34 | N/A | 573.420 | STE.ET | \$1752 | S12.05 | \$ 21.15 |
| Dew Prolessionats | \$12.00 | NIE | \$12.50 | StiE.60 | 518.19 | N1. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | N:A | NiA | S10.25 | 510.93 | 510.77 |

# Kentucky American Water Company <br> Consumer Price Index Data <br> Company Witness：Patrick Baryenbruch 
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```
                        ##52-@gm100
```

| Yem： | 二at． | Feb． | Naz． | ※上＂ | Hay | rane | 203 | 20\％ | 5 Em | cer | Nov | Dee． | smanal nve | Fereent De： Dee | $\begin{gathered} c k a=g e \\ \text { jvg } \\ \text { nvg } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $19 \pm 3$ | 9. | 7.9 | 9.8 | 9.5 | F．7 | 5 P | \＃． 9 | 9.9 | －0． 0 | 20.0 | 10． 1 | 20.0 | 99 |  |  |
| 2924 | 20.0 | 9.9 |  | 9.8 | 0.5 | \％．$\%$ | 20.0 | 10.2 | 20.2 | $2 \mathrm{LC}$. | 20.2 | 10.1 | 200 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 1815 | ：0．1 | 20.0 | 9.9 | －0．0 | 30. | 20.2 | 10.1 | 10： | ¢0．2 | $\pm 0.2$ | $=0.3$ | 20.3 | 10.2 | 2.0 | 10 |
| 1916 | 20.5 | 10.4 | 10．5 | 20.6 | $70 . ?$ | 20.8 | －0．． | 30.9 | $4 \pm .2$ | ご． 3 | \＃ 1.5 | 12.6 | 10.3 | $\pm 2.6$ | 7.5 |
| 1987 | ：1． 7 | 12． 2 | $\pm 2.0$ | 126 | －2．${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | $\pm 3.0$ | 12.5 | ：3．0 | ：3． 3 | 23.5 | 53.5 | 13.7 | $22 . \mathrm{e}$ | 2e： | 27.4 |
| 59\％ | $\pm 10$ | 2． 2 | 14.0 | 24．2 | 24．5 | $\because 4.7$ | 15 ${ }^{5}$ | 15.4 | 25．＊ | $\pm 6.0$ | －6． 3 | 26.5 | 5．5．3 | 20.4 | ER．O |
| 1あざ | 1.65 | 16.2 | 164 | 16． 7 | $\pm 69$ | ：6．5 | 57．4 | 27.7 | ¢7．．． | 19．2 | 12.5 | 28． 9 | 27.3 | お\％ 5 | 14.6 |
| 2920 | 19.3 | 78.5 | 29.7 | 203 | 20.6 | 20.7 | 20.5 | 20.3 | 20.0 | 15．3 | 29. | $\pm 99$ | 20.0 | 2.6 | 55.6 |
| 192.2 | $\therefore 9.0$ | 19．4 | 15．3 | 1Е． | 17 7 | 17． 6 | 17．7 | 2．7 | ：7． 5 | 17.5 | 17．4 | 27.5 | 17.3 | －70． 2 | －20．5 |
| 7922 | $\pm 6.9$ | 18.9 | 26.7 | 76.7 | 26.7 | 26.7 | 76.8 | 26.6 | －6． 6 | $\pm 67$ | 26.5 | 26.9 | 25.8 | － 23 | －6： |
| 1923 | 26． 2 | 16．． | 16．E | 16.9 | 16．9 | 27．0 | 57．2 | ¢7： | $\div 7.2$ | 37.5 | 27．5 | 17.3 | 17.1 | 2.4 | 1．E |
| 2924 | 27.3 | 27．2 | 27． | 27.0 | 17.6 | 27.0 | 27： | 27.0 | さ\％．3 | 27.2 | 27．2 | 17．3 | 17．I | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2\％25 | 27.3 | 37．2 | 173 | $\pm 7.2$ | 17.3 | 17.5 | $\pm 7.7$ | 27.7 | 57，7 | 17.7 | \％ O | 27．9 | 27 § | 3.5 | 2.3 |
| 1996 | 259．； | 25\％．2 | 15s．7 | 256.3 | 256.6 | 156． 7 | 2570 | 257.3 | 257．8 | 152． | 235． 5 | 25E．6 | 156．9 | 3.3 | 3.0 |
| 1997 | 1592 | 259.6 | 260.0 | 160 \％ | 160.1 | 160.5 | 160.5 | ：60． 2 | 262．2 | 163．80 | E6I 5 | 361.3 | $\pm 60.5$ | $\pm .7$ | 2.3 |
| 5998 | $\pm 625$ | －61．9 | 162.2 | $\pm 62.5$ |  | － 6 S 0 | 263.2 | 263．4 | 163．6 | 264.0 | 16i．0 | 163．9 | 463.0 | 4.6 | 2.6 |
| －990 | 264.3 | 265．5 | 1650 | 2．E6． 2 | 266.2 | 166.2 | 265．7 | ：6\％． 1 | 167.9 | 26E．2 | 1e¢ 3 | 168．9 | 2EE． 6 | 2.7 | 2.2 |
| 2000 | ： 6 ¢ | 269．8 | 17\％ 2 | 17さ．3 | 271.5 | 272.4 | 172．9 | ご2． | 173．7 | 174．0 | 173： | 274．0 | 172．2 | 3.4 | 3.4 |
| 2002 | 275．1 | 275． | 176．2 | 176．9 | 377 | 27E．0 | 177．5 | 27\％ | 178 3 | 277．7 | 277．4 | 176． 7 | 277． 1 | 1． 6 | 2.8 |
| 2002 | 177．1 | 577， | 278．． | 279．0 | 178． | 372 | \＃EO 1 | 120.7 | ご10 | 291． | 1E1．3 | $\pm \pm 0.9$ | ：79．9 | 2.4 | 2.6 |
| 2903 | 25\％． 7 | さe3． | 194．2 | こe3．e | 293.5 | 183．7 | 25s 9 | LE4 E | ：$⿻ \mathrm{Ec} 2$ | 285.0 | 284.5 | 2es 3 | 185．0 | 2.9 | 2.3 |
| 2004 | ies． 2 | 856．2 | 1E？．9 | 20e．0 | 2e9．2 | 2e9． 7 | さe9．\＆ | －29．5 | 129.9 | 190.9 | 191．0 | 190.3 | 129．9 | 33 | 2.7 |
| 2205 | $\pm 297$ | ¢91 | 1.93 .3 | 25¢ 6 | 297．4 | 595 | 295．4 | IPG． 4 | －96． 9 | 295．2 | 2976 | 3¢6． | 155． 3 | 3.9 | 3.4 |
| 2006 | － 98.3 | 228．7 | 2F\％ F | 20： 5 | 252.5 | 202.9 | 203．5 | 203.9 | 202．6 | 202．2 | 201.5 | 20：．．』 | 202．6 | $2 \cdot 3$ | 3.2 |
| 200\％ | 202.426 | 203．499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Kentucky American Water Company <br> Kentucky Professional Engineers Company Witness: Patrick Baryenbruch

| Note: Billing rates were those in effect in 2006 <br> A Calculation of Average Hourly Rate by Engineer Position |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Average Hourly Billing Rates |  |  |  |  |
| Name of Firm | CAD Drafter Engineer Tech | Engineer Design Engineer Project Engineer Elect Proj Engineer | Project Manager Project Assaciate Sr. Mgr. Engineer | Officer <br> Principal Engineer |  |
| Firm \#1 | \$65 | \$93 | \$121 | \$237 |  |
| Firm \#2 | \$63 | \$71 | \$114 | па |  |
| B. Calculation of Overall Average Engineering Hourly Billing Rate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Hourly Billing Rate (From Above) <br> Typical Percent of Time on an Engineering Assignment | CAD Dratter Engineer Tech | Engineer <br> Design Engineer <br> Project Engineer <br> Elect Proj Engineer | Project Manager Project Associate Sr. Mgr. Engineer | Officer <br> Principal Engineer |  |
|  | \$64 | \$82 | \$117 | \$237 |  |
|  | 30\% | 35\% | 25\% | 10\% | Weighted Average |
|  | \$19 | \$29 | 529 | 524 | \$101 |

Source: Information provided by American Water Works Service Company


Firm 1 - Strand Associates, Inc. (CONFIDENTIAL)

The following table shows Strand's typical classifications and related billing rate ranges. Strand does not utilize NSPE's Professional Grade Descriptions. The rates shown on Schedule B above were interpolated from the information below. Some adjustments may be necessary.

STRAND ASSOCLATES, INC
BIL.LING RATE RANGES
JULY 1, 2004-JUNE 30, 2005*

| Staft Category | Billing Rate Range (Shu) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal Enginect | \$207.00 | to | \$267.00 |
| Senior Projeet Manager | \$120.00 | to | \$156.00 |
| Project Manager | \$ 81.00 | 10 | \$125,00 |
| Project Engincer/Scientist | \$ 5200 | to | \$133.00 |
| Offier and Field Technicion | S 43.00 | to | \$ 87.00 |
| Secretary | S 55.77 | Average |  |

- Updnted annually on July 1

Firm 2 - Quest Engineers (CONFIDENTIAL)

Quest Engineers, Inc.
National Account Reprosentative - Charles R Scroggin, P.E. July 30, 2004

## American Water

SCHEDULEB
Billing Rate Schodulo for
Master Agreement and all associatod Task Orders

| Porsonnol Classification | Enliling Rato <br> - Homa <br> Otfice | Overtime <br> Billing <br> Rate-HO | Billing <br> Rate- Flold | Ovartime Bliling Rato - Field |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PrIncipat,'Executive/Engineer IX (if applicable per 1.4 .1 Schedule A) | \$0 | Not <br> Applicable | Nol Applucable | Not Applicabse |
| Praject Manager | \$110 | Nat Applicable | No! Applisabie | Nol Applicable |
| Engineer VIII * | \$141 | Not Applicabie | Nok Apolitatalo | No1 Applicable |
| Enginaer VII* | \$92 | Not Applicable | Nat Abplicable | Not Applicable |
| Engineer VI* | 583 | Not Applucabie | Not Applicgble | Not fopilestile |
| Engineer $V^{*}$ | 578 | Not Applisabio | Not Applicabio | Not Asplicable |
| Engineer IV* | \$73 | Not Appilicable | Not Appicabie | Nal Applicable |
| Engineer $\mathrm{If}^{*}$ | \$64 | Not Aoplicable | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Not } \\ & \text { Applitable } \end{aligned}$ | Not Applicable |
| Engineer MII* | \$57 | Not Applicables | 545' | Nol Appleablie |
| Seniar Technician | \$75 | Nos Applicable | Not Asplicable | Not <br> Applicabls |
| Technician | \$51 | \$58 | $540{ }^{3}$ | \$47 |
| Land Survayor | \$75 ${ }^{\text {²}}$ | Not Applicabla | \$504 | S59 |
| Resident Project Representative Engineer III | Nol <br> Applicabie | Nat <br> Applicable | \$50 | No: <br> Applicable |
| Resident Project Representative Engineer IV | Not <br> Agplicabtr | No! <br> Applicabie | \$57 | Not <br> Applicable |
| Resident Project Representative Tech III* | Not <br> Applicable | NOI <br> Appliceble | \$42 | \$54 |

Kentucky American Water Company
Kentucky Public Service Commission Staff Interrogatory 1.0.
Company Witness - Patrick Baryenbruch

Kentucky American Water Company
Kentucky Public Service Commission Staff Interrogatory 1.0.


## Confidential



Note: The 25 Electric industry average represents the median of the above survey data

# Kentucky American Water Company American Water Call Centers Average Calls Per Customer Company Witness - Patrick Baryenbruch 

|  | Total Calls |  | Total Cust |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2006 | $4,182,186$ | Avg Call/Cust |  |

Source: John Watkins, Senior Financial Analyst Senior Financial Analyst<br>Northeast Region<br>(he obtained it from Karen Cooper, of the Alton Call Center)

## Kentucky

2006 Monthly volume and cost

| AVG |
| :---: |
| 988,931 |
| 107,327 |
| $\$ 0.1085$ |


| Description | December Actual | December plan | December Variance | December <br> prior year | Yr to Date December Actual | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yy to date } \\ & \text { December } \\ & \text { Plan } \end{aligned}$ | Yr to date December variance | Yr to date December prior Year | Annual <br> Forecast |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00012 Kentucky-American Water Co. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $p 18$ Customer Accounting 520100 M \& S Oper CA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 M a S oper CA | 563 | 110 | 453 | 566 | 1.993 | 1.320 | 673 | 2.052 | 1.320 |
| 570100 Uncollectible Accounts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 Uncollectible Accounts | 23.309 3.658 | 27,923 | ${ }_{\text {4. }}^{4.614 \mathrm{CR}}$ | 64.809 | 405.811 3,658 | 335.010 | 70.801 3.658 | 477.358 | 335.010 |
| ${ }^{16}$ Uncollectible Accts-MI's | 3.658 |  | 3.658 |  | 3,658 |  | 3.658 |  |  |
| 575000 Misc Oper CA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 Misc oper CA <br> 1510 M2SC Oper CA mer read | 6,112 | 300 | 5.812 | 933 | $\begin{array}{r}10.015 \\ \hline 197\end{array}$ | 3,600 | 6,415 197 | 28.920 | 3,600 |
| 575100 Bank Service Charges CA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 Bank Service Charges CA | 19.078 | 15,473 | 3,605 | 13.956 | 200.345 | 185.676 | 14,669 | 181.355 | 185.676 |
| 575200 Collection Agencies CA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 Collection Agencies CA | 1.332 | 1.615 | 283CP | 2,878 | 24.217 | 19.380 | 4,837 | 18.948 | 19,380 |
|  | 4.179 | 4.700 | 521c: |  | 145.337 * |  |  |  |  |
| 575620 office $\&$ Admin Supplies CA | 4.179 | 4.700 | S21.9. | 1,734 | 125.337 \% | 56,400 | 88.937 | 58.746 | 56.700 |
| 15 office \& Adman Supplies CA | 1.506 | 175 | 1.331 | 999 | 28.223 | 4.800 | 23.423 | 18.523 | 4,800 |
| 575660 150 Postage $C A$ Postage CA | 40,130 | 38.341 | 1,789 | 43.494 | 506.205 * | 460.092 | 46.313 | 501، 207 | 460,092 |
| 575740 Telephone CA | 40,230 | 3.311 | 1,709 | 43.484 |  | 46.092 | 46.113 | 501.207 | 460,092 |
| 15 Telephone $C A$ | 1.204 | 7,880 | 6.676CR | 10.603 | 73.389 | 94.560 | 21.171CR | 100.504 | 94,560 |
| 575741 Cell phone CA <br> 15 Cell phone CA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30CR |  |
| 575820 Uniforms CA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 Uniforms CA |  |  |  |  | 216 |  | 216 | 281 |  |
| P18 Customer Accounting | 101.071 | 96,517 | 4,554 | 139.972 | 1,399,606 | 1,160,838 | 238,768 | 1,377,864 | 1.160,838 |
| 00012 Kentucky-American water Co. | 101.071 | 96.517 | 4,554 | 139.972 | 1.399.606 | 1.160,838 | 238.768 | 1.377,864 | 1,160,838 |

# KENTUCKY-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY <br> CASE NO. 2007-00143 <br> COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND SET <br> OF INFORMATION REQUESTS 

Item 22 of 80

## Witness: Michael A. Miller

22. In Case No. 2004-00103, Kentucky-American's forecasted management fees were stated at $\$ 3,800,677$, detailed as follows:

| Belleville Lab | $\$ 190,529$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Call Center/National Customer Care Center | 831,065 |
| Corporate | 707,381 |
| ITS Shared Service | 819,399 |
| Shared Service | 448,017 |
| Southeast Region | $\$ \underline{\underline{804,286}}$ |
| Total | $\underline{800,677}$ |

Of this total, the Commission allocated $\$ 367$ to other operations, removed business development costs of $\$ 117,525$, and permitted the recovery of $\$ 3,682,785$. The forecasted management fees in this case are $\$ 6,246,717$, a 70 percent increase.
a. List the amounts shown in Kentucky-American's Response to Commission Staff's First Set of Information Requests, Item 1(a), W/P3, page 70 of 118 using the Service Company names used in Case No. 2004-00103 as shown above.
b. State the reason(s) for the change in the level of each forecasted Service Company charge in this case as compared to that of Case No. 2004-00103.
c. List each business development costs included in the forecasted Management Fees of this case, state whether it is directly assignable or allocated, and describe the services associated with the cost.

## Response:

a. Please see the attached schedule.
b. See the response to part a. above.
c. KAWC receives business development support from the SE Regional Office. The Business Development ("BD") field personnel charge time directly to state projects on which they work and administrative personnel charge formulas unless they are working on specific projects. The forecasted test-year expense for

KAWC BD development is $\$ 79,365$. This amount was determined by applying the 2006 actual percentage of SE Region BD costs to the 2007 BD budget. See the attached schedule for the various expenses included in the Southeast Region 2007 budget which are primarily made up of labor and labor overhead. The BD function is responsible for developing a comprehensive plan for all potential growth opportunities of KAWC. Those activities include contacts with all potential opportunities in Kentucky and developing relationships with key stake holders, assessing the potential for acquisition or other arrangements that would benefit KAWC and its customers. Once a BD project moves to the proposal stage, the BD personnel develop the financial and operations model in conjunction with local KAWC employees that define the level of investment and operations considerations that are required to complete the proposal. Once a proposal is accepted by the client, the BD personnel, in conjunction with local KAWC personnel, develop the agreements for execution, as well as any documents that are required for regulatory approval. Once the BD project is approved, the BD personnel work with local KAWC personnel to develop the integration plan of the project. This is the process that led to the Owenton acquisition and the renewal of the Bluegrass Station contract renewal.

For electronic version, refer to KAW_R_PSCDR2\#22_061807.pdf
Belleville Lab
National Customer Care Center
Information Technology
Shared Service
Total

## Note 1 Please see the festimony of Michael Miller, Exhibit MAM-7 which demonstrates that the increase in Service Company <br> Charges has been more than offset by expense savings in labor and other expenses at KAWC.

Note 2 The Service Company charges are primarily made up of labor and labor overheads. The average pay increase has been $4 \%$ per year. Medical insurance costs have increase on at an average of $8-10 \%$ per year as indicated in the Actuanal Reports, in the federal funding requirements. These increases are above the CPI and would apply to increase for each Service Company office.

[^0]
## Note 4 The level of Customer Call Center (CCC) costs included in the previous rate case was based on a partial year estimate and was underrecovered in the 2004 rate case.

 an increase over the onginal estimated employee level for the CCC to meet targeted service and customer satisfaction levels. The call volumes have significantly exceeded the estimates provided from the operaing companies, including KAWC. It has been arcuits. The technology at the call center was able to detect this problem, but additional employees have been required to meet the.increased volume. In addition, the use of the Interactive Vorce Recognition (IVR) has not nisen to the level expected, again requiring additional employees to handle the call volumes.
Note 5 The level of Corporate Center costs included in the previous rate case was based on estimates and were underrecovered in the 2004 rate case. The actual cost from the Corporate Center for 2005 was $\$ 1,031,427$ which distorts the actual increase in the Corporate Center costs shown above.
A number of factors have impacted this increase including: (i) Shared Services Center (SSC) employees have been transferred to the Corporate Finance Area,
(ii) aditional legal staff has been required to meet the legal workload, (iii) SSC employees responsible for Service Company accounting and taxes (ii) additional legal staff has been requred to meet the legal workload, (iii) SSC employees responsible for Service Company accounting and taxes (v) positions have been filled to address the Best Practices across the system(including full staffing for Procurement) which have and will contiue to drive effictency gams at KAWC (See Snergy Reports required as contition m Change of Control Order, and (vi) vacancies related to reorganzation. were not incorporated into the previous rate case.
Note 6 IT costs have been driven by the mflationary factors from Note 2 above.
Note 7 SSC cost are below the inflationary factors due to the movement of functions to the Coporate Center.
Note 8 In 2005 AWW undertook a reorganzation of its Regional alignment. Prior to the reorganization AWW was made up of 7

| 2004-00103 Filing | 2007-00143 Filing | Variance | $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 190,529 | 191,177 | 648 | $0.34 \%$ Notes $1,2,3$ |
| 831,065 | $1,557,125$ | 726,060 | $87.37 \%$ Notes $1,2,4$ |
| 707,381 | $1,635,375$ | 927,994 | $131.19 \%$ Notes $1,2,5$ |
| 819,399 | 942,482 | 123,083 | $15.02 \%$ Notes $1,2,6$ |
| 448,017 | 493,404 | 45,387 | $10.13 \%$ Notes $1,2,5,7$ |
| 804,286 | $1,427,154$ | 622,868 | $77.44 \%$ Notes $1,2,8$ |
| $3,800,677$ | $6,246,717$ | $2,446,040$ | $64.36 \%$ | (ii) aditional legal staff has been requifed to meet the legal workload, (ii) SSC employees responsible for Service Company accounting and taxes

were tranfered to the Corporate Center, (iv) finance vacancies and temp. employees existing in 2005 have been replaced with permanent postions,
CA; and Cherry Hill, NJ. The reorganization also included moving some functions to the Regional level from the operating
company level) in order to share those resources across operating companies thereby lowenng the cost to each operating company
俍
Five positions included in KAWC's labor \& labor overhead expenses in the previous rate case are now obtaned through
the Regional Service Company functions (Human Resources Manager, Manager of Loss Control, Operations Manager,
Director of Engineering, Director of Water Quality)


# KENTUCKY-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY <br> CASE NO. 2007-00143 <br> COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND SET <br> OF INFORMATION REQUESTS 

Item $\mathbf{2 3}$ of $\mathbf{8 0}$

## Witness: Michael A. Miller

23. Refer to Kentucky-American's Response to Commission Staff's First Set of Information Requests, Item 1(a), W/P3, page 69 of 118. State whether the amounts related to David Whitehouse and Susan Lancho totaling \$265,725 are included in the \$6,247,146.

## Response:

The amounts related to David Whitehouse and Susan Lancho have been removed from the management fee forecasted total of $\$ 6,247,146$.

For electronic version, refer to KAW_R_PSCDR2\#23_061807.pdf

# KENTUCKY-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY <br> CASE NO. 2007-00143 <br> COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND SET OF INFORMATION REQUESTS 

## Item 24 of 80

## Witness: Sheila Miller/Michael Miller

24. At page 7 of her direct testimony, Shelia Miller states that the forecasted group insurance reflects the current insurance premium rates adjusted to reflect an 8 percent increase effective January 1, 2008.
a. Provide the current group insurance statements to show the "current group insurance premium rates" that are referenced.
b. State whether Kentucky-American applied the 8 percent increase to each component of group insurance (e.g., life, short-term disability, medical, dental, vision).
c. Provide the documents upon which Kentucky-American relies to support an 8 percent increase in group insurance premiums.

## Response:

a. See the attached group insurance statements for 2007.
b. The 8 percent increase was applied to each component of group insurance that was used in the calculation.
c. Please refer to staff's first set of information requests, item 1. For electronic version, refer to KAW_R_PSCDR1\#la_052107,pdf which is the latest information on medical trends as supplied by the Company's actuary Towers Perrin.

For electronic version of this document, refer to KAW_R_PSCDR2\#24_061807.pdf

HORIZON BLUECROSS/BLUESHIELD group insurance premium statement
(Rates effective January 1, 2007)

| COMPANY: | Kentucky Ameican Water Co |
| :--- | :--- |
| MONTH OF: | January 07 |

Insurance/Employees: $\quad$ Rale Premium

ACTIVE EMPLOYEES

| 54 | 4,293,000 | 1 | Life-Basic (Non Bargaining) | \$0.180 | per | \$1,000 | \$772.74 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 73 | 3,844,000 | 2 | Life - Basic (Bargaining) | \$0.180 | per | \$1,000 | 691.92 |
| 73 | 730,000 | 3 | A. D. \& D. ( $\$ 10,000 \mathrm{cov}$. per employee) | 0.020 | per | \$1,000 | 14.60 |
| 54 | 4,293,000 | 4 | A. D. \& D. (Non Bargaining) | 0.020 | per | \$1,000 | 85.86 |
| 4 | 364,998 | 5 | Life - Voluntary Under 30 | 50.06 | per | \$1,000 | 21.90 |
| 9 | 922,485 | 6 | Life - Voluntary 30-34 | \$0.08 | per | \$1,000 | 73.80 |
| 6 | 661,348 | 7 | Life - Voluntary 35-39 | \$0.10 | per | \$1,000 | 66.13 |
| 9 | 975,034 | 8 | Life - Voluntary 40-44 | \$0.12 | per | \$1,000 | 117.00 |
| 11 | 926,547 | 9 | Life - Voluntary 45-49 | 50.19 | per | \$1,000 | 176.04 |
| 5 | 555,748 | 10 | Life - Voluntary $50-54$ | \$0.32 | per | \$1,000 | 177.84 |
| 9 | 741,148 | 11 | Life - Voluntary 55-59 | \$0.59 | per | \$1,000 | 437.28 |
| 1 | 95,450 | 12 | Life - Voluntary 60-64 | 50.75 | per | \$1,000 | 71.59 |
| 0 | 0 | 13 | Life - Voluntary 65-69 | \$1.37 | per | \$1,000 | 0.00 |
| 0 | 0 | 14 | Life - Voluntary 70 and over | \$2.21 | per | \$1,000 | 0.00 |
| 49 | 980,000 | 15 | Life - Dependent Spouse | \$0.25 | per | \$1,000 | 245.00 |
| 45 | 820,000 | 16 | Life - Dependent Children | \$1.20 | per | \$1,000 | 54.00 |
| 0 | 0 | 17 | Life-Supplemental | \$0.35 | per | \$1,000 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 60,000 | 18 | Life - Optional | \$0.35 | per | \$1,000 | 21.00 |
| DISABIIITY: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 225,931 | 19 | Long Term Disability (non union only) | \$0.400 | per | \$100 | 903.73 |
|  | 127 | 20 | Short-term Managed Disability | \$1.58 | per | LOYEE | 200.66 |
|  | 0 | 21 | A.\& S.-Coverage for New Jersey only | \$0.20 | per | 510 | 0.00 |

MEDICAL, DENTAL \& PRESCRIPTION COVERAGE:

| 23 | 22 Employees without dependent coverage | $\$ 482.00$ | $11,086.00$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 100 | 23 Employees with dependent coverage | $\$ 1,88.00$ | $118,800.00$ |
| 0 | 24 Employees with single dental coverage only | $\$ 36.00$ | 0.00 |
| 2 | 25 Employees with dependent dental coverage only | $\$ 85.00$ | 170.00 |

Actives' subtotal:
\$134,187.09
CONTINUATION OF COVERAGE
MEDICAL, DENTAL \& PRESCRIPTION COVERAGE:

| 0 | 30 Individual (former emp., spouse, or dependent) | $\$ 482.00$ | 0.00 |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 31 Family (2 or more individuals) | $\$ 1,188.00$ | 0.00 |
| 0 | 32 Employee wilh single dental coverage only | $\$ 36.00$ | 0.00 |
| 0 | 33 Employees with dependent dental coverage only | $\$ 85.00$ | 0.00 |

HORIZON BLUECROSS/BLUESHIELD GROUP INSURANCE PREMIUM STATEMENT (Rates effective January 1, 2007)
COMPANY: Kentucky Ameican Water Co MONTH OF:
an Water Co
February 2007
Insurance/Employees:

ACTIVE EMPLOYEES

| 55 | 4,293,000 | 1 | Life - Basic (Non Bargaining) | \$0.180 | per | \$1,000 | \$772.74 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 73 | 3,802,000 | 2 | Life - Basic (Bargaining) | $\$ 0.180$ | per | \$1,000 | 684.36 |
| 73 | 730,000 | 3 | A. D. \& D. (\$10,000 cov. per employee) | 0.020 | per | \$1,000 | 14.60 |
| 55 | 4,293,000 | 4 | A. D. \& D. (Non Bargaining) | 0.020 | per | \$1,000 | 85.86 |
| 3 | 277,514 | 5 | Life - Voluntary Under 30 | \$0.06 | per | \$1,000 | 16.65 |
| 8 | 699,071 | 6 | Life - Voluntary 30-34 | \$0.08 | per | \$1,000 | 55.93 |
| 7 | 773,946 | 7 | Life - Voluntary 35-39 | $\$ 0.10$ | per | \$1,000 | 77.39 |
| 6 | 710,582 | 8 | Life - Voluntary 40-44 | \$0.12 | per | \$1,000 | 85.27 |
| 12 | 1,266,648 | 9 | Life - Voluntary 45-49 | \$0.19 | per | \$1,000 | 240.66 |
| 7 | 645,556 | 10 | Life - Voluntary 50-54 | \$0.32 | per | \$1,000 | 206.58 |
| 9 | 741,148 | 11 | Life - Voluntary 55-59 | $\$ 0.59$ | per | \$1,000 | 437.28 |
| 1 | 95,450 | 12 | Life - Voluntary 60-64 | \$0.75 | per | \$1,000 | 71.59 |
| 0 | 0 | 13 | Life - Voluntary 65-69 | \$1.37 | per | \$1,000 | 0.00 |
| 0 | 0 | 14 | Life - Voluntary 70 and over | \$2.21 | per | \$1,000 | 0.00 |
| 49 | 980,000 | 15 | Life - Dependent Spouse | \$0.25 | per | \$1,000 | 245.00 |
| 44 | 800,000 | 16 | Life - Dependent Children | \$1.20 | per | \$1,000 | 52.80 |
| 0 | 0 | 17 | Life - Supplemental | \$0.35 | per | \$1,000 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 60,000 | 18 | Life-Optional | \$0.35 | per | \$1,000 | 21.00 |
| DISABILITY: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 244,431 | 19 | Long Term Disability (non union only) | \$0.400 | per | $\$ 100$ | 977.73 |
|  | 128 | 20 | Short-term Managed Disabilily | \$1.58 | per | OYEE | 202.24 |
|  | 0 | 21 | A.\& S.-Coverage for New Jersey only | \$0.20 | per | \$10 | 0.00 |

MEDICAL, DENTAL \& PRESCRIPTION COVERAGE:

| 24 | 22 Employees without dependent coverage | $\$ 482.00$ | $11,568.00$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 99 | 23 Employees wilh dependent coverage | $\$ 1,188.00$ | $117,612.00$ |
| 0 | 24 Employees with single dental coverage only | $\$ 36.00$ | 0.00 |
| 2 | 25 Employees with dependent dental coverage only | $\$ 85.00$ | 170.00 |

Actives' subtotal:
$\$ 133,597.68$

CONTINUATION OF COVERAGE

MEDICAL, DENTAL \& PRESCRIPTION COVERAGE:

|  | 30 | Individual (former emp., spouse, or dependent) | $\$ 482.00$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 31 | Family (2 or more individuals) | $\$ 1,188.00$ |
| 0 | 32 | Employee with single denlal coverage only | 0.00 |
| 0 | 33 Employees with dependent dental coverage only | $\$ 36.00$ | 0.00 |
|  | Continuation of Coverage Subtotal: | 0.00 |  |

TOTAL PREMIUM TO BE PAID TO TRUST:

HORIZON BLUECROSS/BLUESHIELD group insurance premium statement (Rates effective January 1, 2007)

| COMPANY: | Kentucky Ameican Water Co |
| :--- | :--- |
| MONTH OF; | March 2007 |

Insurance/Employees: $\quad$ Rate Premium

ACTIVE EMPLOYEES
LIVES LIFE INSURANCE:

| 57 | 4,549,000 |  | Life - Basic (Non Bargaining) | \$0.180 | per | \$1,000 | \$818.82 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75 | 3,802,000 |  | Life-Basic (Bargaining) | \$0.180 | per | \$1,000 | 684.36 |
| 75 | 750,000 |  | A. D. \& D. (\$10,000 cov. per employee) | 0.020 | per | \$1,000 | 15.00 |
| 57 | 4,549,000 |  | A. D. \& D. (Non Bargaining) | 0.020 | per | \$1,000 | 90.98 |
| 3 | 277,514 |  | Life - Voluntary Under 30 | \$0.06 | per | \$1,000 | 16.65 |
| 8 | 699,071 |  | Life - Voluntary 30-34 | \$0.08 | per | \$1,000 | 55.93 |
| 8 | 857,946 |  | Life - Voluntary 35-39 | \$0.10 | per | \$1,000 | 85.79 |
| 6 | 710,582 |  | Life - Voluntary 40-44 | \$0.12 | per | \$1,000 | 85.27 |
| 12 | 1,266,648 |  | Life-Voluntary 45-49 | 50.19 | per | \$1,000 | 240.66 |
| 7 | 645,556 |  | Life - Voluntary 50-54 | \$0.32 | per | \$1,000 | 206.58 |
| 9 | 741,148 |  | Life - Voluntary 55-59 | \$0.59 | per | \$1,000 | 437.28 |
| 1 | 95,450 |  | Life - Voluntary 60-64 | \$0.75 | per | \$1,000 | 71.59 |
| 0 | 0 |  | Life - Voluntary 65-69 | \$1.37 | per | \$1,000 | 0.00 |
| 0 | 0 |  | Life - Voluntary 70 and over | \$2.21 | per | \$1,000 | 0.00 |
| 49 | 980,000 |  | Life - Dependent Spouse | \$0.25 | per | \$1,000 | 245.00 |
| 47 | 840,000 |  | Life-Dependent Children | \$1.20 | per | \$1,000 | 56.40 |
| 0 | 0 |  | Life - Supplemental | $\$ 0.35$ | per | \$1,000 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 60,000 |  | Life - Optional | \$0.35 | per | \$1,000 | 21.00 |
| DISABILITY: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 258,598 |  | Long Term Disability (non union only) | \$0.400 | per | \$100 | 1,034.39 |
|  | 132 |  | Shor-term Managed Disability | \$1.58 | per | LOYEE | 208.56 |
|  | 0 |  | A.\& S.-Coverage for New Jersey only | \$0.20 | per | \$10 | 0.00 |

MEDICAL., DENTAL \& PRESCRIPTION COVERAGE:

| 24 | 22 Employees without dependent coverage | $\$ 482.00$ | $11,568.00$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 145 | 23 Employees with dependent coverage | $\$ 1,188.00$ | $172,260.00$ |
| 0 | 24 Employees with single dental coverage only | $\$ 36.00$ | 0.00 |
| 2 | 25 Employees with dependent dental coverage only | $\$ 85.00$ | 170.00 |

Actives' subtotal:
\$188,372.26

## CONTINUATION OF COVERAGE

MEDICAL, DENTAL \& PRESCRIPTION COVERAGE:

| 0 | 30 Individual (former emp., spouse, or dependent) | $\$ 482.00$ | 0.00 |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 31 Family (2 or more individuals) | $\$ 1.188 .00$ | 0.00 |
| 0 | 32 Employee with single dental coverage only | $\$ 36.00$ | 0.00 |
| 0 | 33 Employees with dependent dental coverage only | $\$ 85.00$ | 0.00 |

Continuation of Coverage Subtotal:

FORM 392-TOTAL
Revision date: 01/2007

## HORIZON BLUECROSS/BLUESHIELD group insurance premium statement (Rates effective January 1, 2007)

| COMPANY: | Kentucky Ameican Water Co |
| :--- | :--- |
| MONTH OF: | April 2007 |


| Insurance/Employees: | Rate | Premium |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

ACTIVE EMPLOYEES
LIVES LIFE INSURANCE

| 58 | 4,684,000 |  | Life - Basic (Non Bargaining) | \$0.180 | per | \$1,000 | \$843.12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 74 | 3,897,000 |  | Life - Basic (Bargaining) | \$0.180 | per | \$1,000 | 701.46 |
| 74 | 740,000 |  | A. D. \& D. (\$10,000 cov. per employee) | 0.020 | per | \$1,000 | 14.80 |
| 58 | 4,684,000 |  | A. D. \& D. (Non Bargaining) | 0.020 | per | \$1,000 | 93.68 |
| 4 | 317,158 | 5 | Life - Voluntary Under 30 | \$0.06 | per | \$1,000 | 19.03 |
| 8 | 704,604 |  | Life - Voluntary 30-34 | \$0.08 | per | \$1,000 | 56.37 |
| 8 | 857,946 | 7 | Life - Voluntary 35-39 | \$0.10 | per | \$1,000 | 85.79 |
| 6 | 710,582 | 8 | Life - Voluntary 40-44 | \$0.12 | per | \$1,000 | 85.27 |
| 12 | 1,266,648 | 9 | Life - Voluntary 45-49 | \$0.19 | per | \$1,000 | 240.66 |
| 8 | 774,661 |  | Life - Voluntary 50-54 | \$0.32 | per | \$1,000 | 247.89 |
| 9 | 741,148 | 11 | Life - Voluntary 55-59 | \$0.59 | per | \$1,000 | 437.28 |
| 1 | 95,450 |  | Life - Voluntary 60-64 | \$0.75 | per | \$1,000 | 71.59 |
| 0 | 0 |  | Life - Voluntary 65-69 | \$1.37 | per | \$1,000 | 0.00 |
| 0 | 0 | 14 | Life - Voluntary 70 and over | \$2.21 | per | \$1,000 | 0.00 |
| 49 | 980,000 | 15 | Life - Dependent Spouse | \$0.25 | per | \$1,000 | 245.00 |
| 47 | 830,000 |  | Life - Dependent Children | \$1.20 | per | \$1,000 | 56.40 |
| 0 | 0 |  | Life - Supplemental | \$0.35 | per | \$1,000 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 60,000 |  | Life - Optional | \$0.35 | per | \$1,000 | 21.00 |
| DISABILITY: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 262,581 |  | Long Term Disability (non union only) | \$0,400 | per | \$100 | 1,050.33 |
|  | 132 |  | Short-term Managed Disability | \$1.58 | per | MPLOYEE | 208.56 |
|  | 0 |  | A.\& S.-Coverage for New Jersey only | \$0.20 | per | \$10 | 0.00 |
| MEDICAL, DENTAL \& PRESCRIPTION COVERAGE: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 25 | 22 Employees without dependent coverage |  |  |  | \$482.00 | 12,050.00 |
|  | 124 | 23 Employees with dependent coverage |  |  |  | \$1,188.00 | 147,312.00 |
|  | 0 | 24 Employees with single dental coverage only |  |  |  | \$36.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 2 | 25 Employees with dependent dental coverage only |  |  |  | \$85.00 | 170.00 |

Actives' subtotal:
CONTINUATION OF COVERAGE
MEDICAL, DENTAL \& PRESCRIPTION COVERAGE:

| 1 | 30 Individual (former emp., spouse, or dependent) | $\$ 482,00$ | 482.00 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 31 | Family (2 or more individuals) | $\$ 1,188.00$ |
| 0 | 32 | Employee with single dental coverage only | $\$ 36.00$ |
| 0 | 33 Employees with dependent dental coverage only | $\$ 85.00$ | 0.00 |
|  |  | 0.00 |  |

HORIZON BLUECROSS/BLUESHIELD gROUP INSURANCE PREMIUM STATEMENT (Rates effective January 1, 2007)

| COMPANY: <br> MONTH OF: | Kentucky Ameican Water Co <br> May 2007 |
| :--- | :--- |

## ACTIVE EMPLOYEES

LIVES LIFE INSURANCE:

| 59 | 4,947,000 |  | Life - Basic (Non Bargaining) | \$0.180 | per | \$1,000 | \$890.46 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 74 | 3,897,000 |  | Life - Basic (Bargaining) | \$0.180 | per | \$1,000 | 701.46 |
| 74 | 740,000 |  | A. D. \& D. (\$10,000 cov. per employee) | 0.020 | per | \$1,000 | 14.80 |
| 59 | 4,947,000 |  | A. D. \& D. (Non Bargaining) | 0.020 | per | \$1,000 | 98.94 |
| 4 | 317,158 |  | Life - Voluntary Under 30 | \$0.06 | per | \$1,000 | 19.03 |
| 8 | 706,059 |  | Life - Voluntary 30-34 | \$0.08 | per | \$1,000 | 56.48 |
| 8 | 868,996 |  | Life - Voluntary 35-39 | \$0.10 | per | \$1,000 | 86.90 |
| 6 | 724.532 |  | Life - Voluntary 40-44 | \$0.12 | per | \$1,000 | 86.94 |
| 12 | 1,285,848 |  | Life - Voluntary 45-49 | \$0.19 | per | \$1,000 | 244.31 |
| 8 | 784,911 | 1 | Life - Voluntary 50-54 | \$0.32 | per | \$1,000 | 251.17 |
| 9 | 755,757 | 1 | Life - Voluntary 55-59 | \$0.59 | per | \$1,000 | 445.90 |
| 1 | 98,750 | 1 | Life-Voluntary 60-64 | \$0.75 | per | \$1,000 | 74.06 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | Life - Voluntary 65-69 | \$1.37 | per | \$1,000 | 0.00 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | Life - Voluntary 70 and over | \$2.21 | per | \$1,000 | 0.00 |
| 49 | 980,000 | 1 | Life - Dependent Spouse | \$0.25 | per | \$1,000 | 245.00 |
| 47 | 840,000 |  | Life - Dependent Children | \$1.20 | per | \$1,000 | 56.40 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | Life-Supplemental | $\$ 0.35$ | per | \$1,000 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 60,000 | 1 | Life-Optional | \$0.35 | per | \$1,000 | 21.00 |
| DISABILITY: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 277,137 | 1 | Long Term Disability (non union only) | \$0.400 | per | \$100 | 1,108.55 |
|  | 133 | 2 | Short-term Managed Disability | \$1.58 | per | LOYEE | 210.14 |
|  | 0 | 2 | A.\& S.-Coverage for New Jersey only | \$0.20 | per | \$10 | 0.00 |

MEDICAL, DENTAL \& PRESCRIPTION COVERAGE:

| 26 | 22 Employees without dependent coverage | $\$ 482.00$ | $12,532.00$ |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 124 | 23 Employees with dependent coverage | $\$ 1.188 .00$ | $147,312.00$ |
| 0 | 24 Employees with single dental coverage only | $\$ 36.00$ | 0.00 |
| 2 | 25 Employees with dependent dental coverage only | $\$ 85.00$ | 170.00 |

Actives' subtotal:
CONTINUATION OF COVERAGE
MEDICAL, DENTAL \& PRESCRIPTION COVERAGE:

| 1 | 30 individual (former emp, spouse, or dependent) | $\$ 482.00$ | 482.00 |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 31 Family (2 or more individuals) | $\$ 1,188.00$ | 0.00 |
| 0 | 32 Employee with single dental coverage only | $\$ 36.00$ | 0.00 |
| 0 | 33 Employees with dependent dental coverage only | $\$ 85.00$ | 0.00 |

FORM 392 - TOTAL
Revision date: 01/2007
HORIZON BLUECROSS/BLUESHIELD group insurance premium statement (Rates effective January 1, 2007)

| COMPANY: | Kentucky Ameican Water Co |
| :--- | :--- |
| MONTH OF: | June 2007 |

MONTH OF: June 2007
Insurance/Employees: $\quad$ Rate Premium

ACTIVE EMPLOYEES
LIVES LIFE INSURANCE:

| 60 | 5,001,000 |  | Life - Basic (Non Bargaining) | \$0.180 | per | \$1,000 | \$900.18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 74 | 4,036,000 |  | Life - Basic (Bargaining) | \$0.180 | per | \$1,000 | 726.48 |
| 74 | 740,000 |  | A. D. \& D. (\$10,000 cov. per employee) | 0.020 | per | \$1,000 | 14.80 |
| 60 | 5,001,000 |  | A. D. \& D. (Non Bargaining) | 0.020 | per | \$1,000 | 100.02 |
| 5 | 350,002 | 5 | Life - Voluntary Under 30 | \$0.06 | per | \$1,000 | 21.00 |
| 8 | 706,059 |  | Life - Voluntary 30-34 | \$0.08 | per | \$1,000 | 56.48 |
| 8 | 868,996 |  | Life - Voluntary 35-39 | \$0.10 | per | \$1,000 | 86.90 |
| 6 | 724,532 |  | Life - Voluntary 40-44 | \$0.12 | per | \$1,000 | 86.94 |
| 12 | 1,285,848 |  | Life - Voluntary 45-49 | \$0.19 | per | \$1,000 | 244.31 |
| 8 | 784,911 | 10 | Life - Voluntary 50-54 | \$0.32 | per | \$1,000 | 251.17 |
| 9 | 755,757 | 1 | Life - Voluntary 55-59 | $\$ 0.59$ | per | \$1,000 | 445.90 |
| 1 | 98,750 | 12 | Life - Voluntary 60-64 | \$0.75 | per | \$1,000 | 74.06 |
| 0 | 0 | 13 | Life - Voluntary 65-69 | \$1.37 | per | \$1,000 | 0.00 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | Life - Voluniary 70 and over | \$2.21 | per | \$1,000 | 0.00 |
| 51 | 1.020,000 | 15 | Life-Dependent Spouse | \$0.25 | per | \$1,000 | 255.00 |
| 49 | 890,000 | 16 | Life - Dependent Children | \$1.20 | per | \$1,000 | 58.80 |
| 0 | 0 | 17 | Life - Supplemental | \$0.35 | per | \$1,000 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 60,000 | 1 | Lile - Optional | \$0.35 | per | \$1,000 | 21.00 |
| DISABILITY: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 280,084 | 1 | Long Term Disability (non union only) | \$0.400 | per | \$100 | 1,120.34 |
|  | 134 | 2 | Short-term Managed Disability | \$1.58 | per | LOYEE | 211.72 |
|  | 0 | 2 | A.\& S.-Coverage for New Jersey only | \$0.20 | per | \$10 | 0.00 |

MEDICAL, DENTAL \& PRESCRIPTION COVERAGE:

| 26 | 22 Employees without dependent coverage | $\$ 482.00$ | 12.532 .00 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 103 | 23 Employees with dependent coverage | $\$ 1,188.00$ | $122,364.00$ |
| 0 | 24 Employees with single dental coverage only | $\$ 36.00$ | 0.00 |
| 2 | 25 Employees with dependent dental coverage only | $\$ 85.00$ | 170.00 |

Actives' subtotal:
$\$ 139,741.10$
CONTINUATION OF COVERAGE
MEDICAL, DENTAL \& PRESCRIPTION COVERAGE:

| 1 | 30 Individual (former emp., spouse, or dependent) | $\$ 482.00$ | 482.00 |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 31 Family ( or more individuals) | $\$ 1.188 .00$ | 0.00 |
| 0 | 32 Employee with single dental coverage only | $\$ 36.00$ | 0.00 |
| 0 | 33 Employees with dependent dental coverage only | $\$ 85.00$ | 0.00 |

# KENTUCKY-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY 

CASE NO. 2007-00143

## COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND SET OF INFORMATION REQUESTS

Item 25 of 80

## Witness: Sheila Miller

25. Refer to Kentucky-American's Response to Commission Staff's First Set of Information Requests, Item 1(a), W/P3, page 71 of 118, Group Insurance Attrition Year.
a. Provide the monthly employee contributions that were used to calculate the reimbursements of $\$ 1,296$ for "Employees without Dependent Coverage" and $\$ 11,110$ for "Employees with Dependent Coverage."
b. Provide a schedule listing monthly employee group insurance contributions for the 5 -year period from January 1, 2001 through December 31, 2006

## Response:

a. The $\$ 1,296$ was calculated based on a monthly amount of $\$ 48$ per employee for "Employees without Dependent Coverage". The $\$ 11,110$ was calculated based on a monthly amount of $\$ 101$ per employee for "Employees with Dependent Coverage",
b. See attached schedule

For electronic version, refer to KAW_R_PSCDR2\#25_061807.pdf
PSCDR2\#25b
Employee group insurance contributions by month for 2001-2006

| 2001 | January | February | March | April | May | June | July | August | September | October | November | December | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1115 D | 1,005.00 | 1,005.00 | 1,005.00 | 770.00 | 770.00 | 770.00 | 267.62 | 267.62 | 267.62 | 267.62 | 401.43 | 267.62 | 7,064.53 |  |
| 1135 D | 1,910.00 | 1,960.00 | 1,960.00 | 1,970.00 | 1,980.00 | 1,990.00 | 3,575.87 | 3,550.50 | 3,522.81 | 3,538.96 | 5,670.69 | 3,603.56 | 35,232.39 |  |
| 1155 D | 100.00 | 120.00 | 120.00 | 120.00 | 120.00 | 120.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 700.00 |  |
| Total 2001 | 3,015.00 | 3,085.00 | 3,085.00 | 2,860.00 | 2,870.00 | 2,880.00 | 3,843.49 | 3,818.12 | 3,790.43 | 3,806.58 | 6,072.12 | 3,871.18 | 42,996.92 | 42,996.92 |
| 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1115 D | 288.41 | 267.62 | 267.62 | 83.03 | 408.39 | 272.26 | 272.26 | 272.26 | 272.26 | 272.26 | 413.01 | 258.42 | 3,347.80 |  |
| 1135 D | 4,158.00 | 4,144.16 | 4,155.68 | 4,259.52 | 6,403.12 | 4,222.60 | 4,204.14 | 4,215.68 | 4,227.22 | 4,134.92 | 6,278.54 | 4,132.62 | 54,536.20 |  |
| Total 2002 | 4,446.41 | 4,411.78 | 4,423.30 | 4,342.55 | 6,811.51 | 4,494.86 | 4,476.40 | 4,487.94 | 4,499.48 | 4,407.18 | 6,691.55 | 4,391.04 | 57,884.00 | 57,884.00 |
| 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1135 D | 4,523.79 | 4,503.02 | 4,581.95 | 4,825.10 | 6,974.70 | 4,573.65 | 4,552.88 | 4,511.34 | 4,586.11 | 6,821.00 | 4,507.17 | 4,345.16 | 59,305.87 |  |
| Total 2003 | 4,523.79 | 4,503.02 | 4,581.95 | 4,825.10 | 6,974.70 | 4,573.65 | 4,552.88 | 4,511.34 | 4,586.11 | 6,821.00 | 4,507.17 | 4,345.16 | 59,305.87 | 59,305.87 |
| 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1135 D | 4,823.65 | 4,879.05 | 4,842.12 | 7,136.24 | 4,657.48 | 4,588.24 | 4,491.30 | 4,375.90 | 4,163.56 | 5,903.76 | 3,854.30 | 3,849.68 | 57,565.28 |  |
| Total 2004 | 4,823.65 | 4,879.05 | 4,842.12 | 7,136.24 | 4,657.48 | 4,588.24 | 4,491.30 | 4,375.90 | 4,163.56 | 5,903.76 | 3,854.30 | 3,849.68 | 57,565.28 | 57,565.28 |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1135 D | 4,355.14 | 4,476.10 | 4,405.90 | 6,613.92 | 4,395.74 | 4,370.36 | 4,446.51 | 4,324.68 | 6,446.42 | 4,284.08 | 4,284.08 | 4,284.08 | 56,687.01 |  |
| Total 2005 | 4,355.14 | 4,476.10 | 4,405.90 | 6,613.92 | 4,395.74 | 4,370.36 | 4,446.51 | 4,324.68 | 6,446.42 | 4,284.08 | 4,284.08 | 4,284.08 | 56,687.01 | 56,687.01 |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1270 D | 5,604.60 | 5,493.84 | 8,527.84 | 5,672.92 | 5,891.68 | 6,268.28 | 6,305.20 | 6,366.12 | 9,567.64 | 6,329.20 | 6,282.12 | 6,279.36 | 78,588.80 |  |
| 1273 D | 1,426.18 | 1,464.95 | 2,255.58 | 1,539.72 | 1,521.72 | 1,503.72 | 1,503.72 | 1,503.72 | 2,255.58 | 1,503.72 | 1,503.72 | 1,581.26 | 19,563.59 |  |
| Total 2006 | 7,030.78 | 6,958.79 | 10,783.42 | 7,212.64 | 7,413.40 | 7,772.00 | 7,808.92 | 7,869.84 | 11,823.22 | 7,832.92 | 7,785.84 | 7,860.62 | 98,152.39 | 98,152.39 |

# KENTUCKY-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY 

CASE NO. 2007-00143

## COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND SET

 OF INFORMATION REQUESTS
## Item 26 of 80

## Witness: Michael A. Miller

26. Refer to Kentucky-American's Response to Commission Staff's First Set of Information Requests, Item 1(a), W/P3, page 75 of 118.
a. State the basis for the allocation.
b. Explain why a capitalization rate of 17 percent is used instead of the 18.25 percent used for other payroll-related costs.
c. State the number of active participants for each company listed.
d. Provide the Towers Perrin report supporting the total included in the schedule on page 75.

## Response:

a. The number of active participants.
b. The $17 \%$ capitalization rate used in the original filing was in error. This is being corrected in the update to the filing to correct mathematical errors.
c. Please see the attached schedules that provide the most current allocations based on active participants and most recent actuarially determined FAS 106 cost and the allocation participants included in the original filing. Please note that the most current data indicates an increase over the amount of FAS 106 costs included in the Company's filing.
d. Please see the response to PSCDR2, questions 28 a. that provides the August 18, 2006 letter from Towers Perrin which is the latest document supporting the FAS 106 costs shown in part c. above.

For electronic version, refer to KAW_R_PSCDR2\#26_061807.pdf
Fiscal Years 2007－2011
Relires Wellare Plan：Annual Valuation
Sing dala collected as of July 1,2005
Does not include $\$ 500$ VEBA for AWW Union employees
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American Water
Allocation Percentages of Estimated FAS 106 Costs Before Purchase Accounting and Retiree Contributions
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# KENTUCKY-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY <br> CASE NO. 2007-00143 <br> COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND SET <br> OF INFORMATION REQUESTS 

Item 27 of 80

## Witness: Michael Miller

27. Refer to Kentucky-American's Response to Commission Staff's First Set of Information Requests, Item 1(a), W/P3, pages 75 and 77 of 118.
a. State the basis of allocation on page 75.
b. Provide the schedules for the allocations for the previous 5 calendar years.
c. On each schedule provided in response to Item 27(b), provide the number of active participants in each plan for each company.

## Response:

a. See the response to PSCDR2, question 26 a.
b. See the attached documents for the allocation participants used for 2004, 2005 and 2006 which provide the active participants and allocation factors for FAS 106 expense. The document with the requested data for 2007-2011 is attached to the response to PSCDR2, question 26 c . The Company has not been able to locate the data for 2003 at this time.
c. Please see the response to part b. above.

For electronic version, refer to KAW_R_PSCDR2\#27_061807.pdf


American Water
Preliminary Allocation Percentages of 2004 FAS 106 Cost and Retiree Contributions Retiree Weifare Plan: Annual Valuation
Using data collected as of July 1,2003

[^1]
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American Water
Preliminary Allocation Percentag
Retiree Wellare Plan: Annual Valualion Using data collected as of July $i, 2004$

Company
AMERICAN WATER WORKS COMPANY AMERICAN WATER SERVICES (AAET, L.P.)
AMERICAN WATER SERVICES (COTP)

AMERICAN WATER RESOURCES
AMERICAN WATER WORKS COMPANY (Parent Division)
AWW SERVICE COMPANY
Voorhees
Bellevilla, LL Lab (A)
Beilevilito, LL Lab ( R$)$
Hershey, PA Data
New England ( $K$ )
Richnnond, IN Data
Western (L)
Haydon Heighs IS
Northeast Region
Wester (L)
Haddon Heights is
Northeast Region
Southeast tegegion
Indiana Region
illinois Region
Allon IL Call Center
Shared Services
Total AWWS
NOIS3U LSVGHIHON
LONG ISLAND
NEW JEASEY-AM
Total Northeast Aegion SOUTHEAST REGION

KENTUCKY-AM
MARYLAD-AM
PENNSYLVANA-AM
MARYLAND-AM
PENNYLVAIIA-AM
TENNESSEE-AM
VIRGINA-AM-EASTERN DISTRICT
VIGINA-AM
WESTVIRGINIA - AM
WIRGINIA-AM
Tostial Southeast Region


20
GISAS 7 $\forall 101$ Based on assumption that $90 \%$ of active mates
and $60 \%$ of active females will be frarried at -. $\begin{aligned} & \text { reiremement. } \\ & \text { Tequallocation percentage for each company is } \\ & \text { equal to the ratio of total participants for that }\end{aligned}$

The allocation percentage for each company is
equal ot the ratio of total participants for that
company to total paricicipants for the entire
Arnerican system.
A. Arnerican system.
... The aliocation percentage for reliree
contribulions is equal to the ratio of total
contributions is equal to the ratio of total
inactive particicarants for that company to lotal
inactive participanis tor the entire American
system.



# KENTUCKY-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY 

CASE NO. 2007-00143

## COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND SET

 OF INFORMATION REQUESTS
## Item $\mathbf{2 8}$ of $\mathbf{8 0}$

## Witness: Michael Miller

28. Refer to Kentucky-American's Response to Commission Staff's First Set of Information Requests, Item 1(a), W/P3, page 74 of 118, Five-Year Projection of Postretirement Welfare Cost.
a. Provide the letter of August 18, 2006 referenced in the footnote on this page.
b. Provide the most recent Towers Perrin actuarial report on OPEBS.

## Response:

a. Please see the attached document.
b. Please see the attached document.

For the electronic version, refer to KAW_R_PSCDR2\#28_061807.pdf

## —

## TOWERS PERRIN

## PRIVATE AND CONFIDENTIAL

August 18, 2006
Mr. Ed Keiffer
Director Accounting
American Water
1025 Laurel Oak Road
Voorhees, NJ 08043-7770
Dear Ed:

## American Water - Pension and Postretirement Welfare Projections

As requested, attached are five-year projections for the current American Water and Elizabethtown Water sponsored qualified and postretirement welfare (PRW) plans. The projections are as follows:

- For the qualified pension plans
- the $\operatorname{IRS}$ minimum required contributions for the plan years beginning in 2006 through 2010, (e g., for the AW pension plan this is the plan year beginning July 1, 2006 through the plan year beginning July 1, 2010)
- the accounting costs under FAS 87 before purchase accounting, FAS 87 after purchase accounting, and IAS 19 for fiscal years 2006 through 2011
( - For the PRW plans, the accounting costs under FAS 106 before purchase accounting, FAS 106 after purchase accounting and IAS 19 and the cash costs for fiscal years 2006 through 2011. All projections reflect the subsidy provided under Medicare Part D.


## Qualified Pension Plan Projections

The projected FAS 87 accounting costs (before and after purchase accounting) and IAS 19 accounting costs (split by OR cost and IC cost) are summarized in Exhibit 1, and the projected minimum required contributions are summarized in Exhibit 2. The results are shown on a plan by plan basis for each qualified plan.

Mr. Ed Keiffer
August 18, 2006
Page 2.
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The projections are based on the data and results of the:

- July 1, 2005 funding and January 1, 2006 accounting actuarial valuations for the AW pension plan
- Assets as of June 30, 2006
- Census data as of June 30, 2005
- January 1, 2006 actuarial valuation for the Elizabethtown pension plan
- Assets as of June 30, 2006
- Obligations as of December 31, 2005 reflecting assumption changes and plan changes but based on the census data as of January 1, 2006
(we reflected the plan merger of the E'town plan into AW plan as of December 31, 2006. This will cause a mid-year remeasurement for funding purposes
(1) We reflected the E'town curtailment for 2006
- For funding purposes, we captured the plan changes for both the AW pension plan and E'town pension plan effective January 1, 2006 (see Exhibit A for details).
- This includes changes for AW union and nonunion, E'town union and nonunion and Long Island union
- These changes were already reflected for 2006 accounting costs and are now reflected for 2006 plan year contributions.
m We did not anticipate any plan changes (e.g., changes that may be attributable to future union negotiations for any union employees) after January 1, 2006 (except known LI union changes as of January 1, 2008 and January 1, 2010) for the projection period
- Since the AW plan is closed to new hires (for most of the population), we reflected $5 \%$ turnover per year (terminations and retirements) for current employees.
- Based on a historical demographic analysis that we recently conducted, the valuation assumptions indicate that a $5 \%$ turnover rate is reasonable for the projection period
- As was assumed in the projections produced in February, we assumed that AW does not expect significant reductions or increases in headcount over the projection period.

■ We assumed that AW contributes the minimum required contribution on a quarterly basis - consistent with current practice

Mr Ed Keiffer
August 18, 2006
Page 3.
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(1) Recently, the Senate has passed the Pension Protection Act (PPA) of 2006.

- The new funding rules will be effective for plan years beginning in 2008
- The interest rate relief of 2004 and 2005 is extended to the 2006 and 2007 plan years. Below are the assumed Current Liability (CL) rates. For the July 1, 2006 and July 1, 2007 plan years, the CL rates assume that the composite corporate bond yield for July 2006 of $6.15 \%$ remains constant over the projection period. We also reflected an update to the CL mortality table as of July 1, 2007 from the 1983 GAM table to the expected mortality table, the RP 2000 table with adjustments.
- Under the new rules, the funding target will be $100 \%$ of the accrued liability with a potential three-year transition
- The interest rate used to determined the funding target will be determined using three "segment" interest rates based on a high-quality corporate bond yield curve, averaged over 24 months. There is a three-year phase-in from current corporate bond rates to new segment rates from 2008-2010. However, the employer can opt out of the transition. American Water may elect to use the full yield curve of interest without any averaging. Based on our discussions, AW has chosen to allow the interest rate be transitioned to the full yield curve over 3 -years for purposes of these projections.

|  | As of July ft $^{\text {st }}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Plan year | 3-year transition |
| $2006^{*}$ | $5.77 \%$ |
| $2007^{* *}$ | $5.90 \%$ |
| $2008^{* * *}$ | $6.10 \%$ |
| $2009^{\star * *}$ | $6.20 \%$ |
| $2010^{* * *}$ | $6.23 \%$ |

* The CL interest rate for the E'town plan as of January 1, 2006 is $5.77 \%$.
** The CL interest rates for 2006 and 2007 are based on the corporate bond yields over 4 years.
*** For the years 2008, 2009 and 2010, the interest rates are estimated based on the new funding rules
- The mortality table to determine the liabilities was be updated to meet the new expected requirements in 2007, ie., the RP2000 table with adjustments
- Assets are smoothed over three years instead of the current five-year period, using the new $90 \%-110 \%$ corridor.
- The new rules provide an increased funding target if the plan is deemed to be "at-risk". We have projected AW pension plan's "at-risk" status The "at-risk" test requires that the plan is below $80 \%$ without reflecting at-risk provisions and less than $70 \%$ after reflecting the "at-risk" assumptions. We have only completed the $80 \%$ test and have determined that the plan is not "at-risk" during the projection period, based on the assumptions used
－The projections reflect all of the assumptions discussed at the December assumption setting meeting－retirement rates，termination rates，mortality table （where not prescribed），EROA，salary increase rate，etc．
（⿴囗⿰丨丨⿱一土丷 We did not reflect：
－Any potential changes to the accounting rules for either FAS or IAS
－Future plan changes that have not yet been negotiated or determined，other than Long Island
－The impact of the IPO，if any，that will be issued by AW
－Potential partial plan termination for E＇town


## Postretirement Welfare Plan Projections

The projected FAS 106 accounting costs（before and after purchase accounting），the IAS 19 accounting costs and the Estimated Cash Contributions are reflected in Exhibit 3．The accounting costs are shown after reflecting Medicare Part D．The results are shown separately for each plan．

## Basis of Projections

The projections are based on the data and results of the：
The January 1， 2006 accounting valuation for the AW plan，St．Louis plan and Northern Illinois plan
－The January 1， 2005 actuarial valuations for the E＇town plan rolled forward to December 31， 2005 and adjusted for assumption changes and curtailment as of January 1， 2006
（．We reflected the E＇town curtailment for 2006
We reflected the plan mergers of St Louis and E＇town into AW plan as of December 31， 2006
－Since the AW plan and E＇town plan are closed to new hires（for most of the population），we assumed a $5 \%$ per year turnover assumption for current employees．
（ We reflected the impact of the federal subsidy due to Medicare Part D in the current AW，E＇town and St．Louis postretirement welfare costs．

We assumed the RWE promise remains in effect for the entire projection period

Mr Ed Keiffer
August 18, 2006
Page 5
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- We assumed pre-65 retiree contributions for both union and non-union legacy AW (not covered by RWE promise) are based on the active union contributions set forth in the union contract. After 2010, we assume the 2010 contributions are increased with assumed health care trend.
a For E'town VEBA assets, we adjusted the assets by $\$ 1.7 \mathrm{M}$ to reflect the reimbursement of benefit payments from the VEBA to the company, as discussed

We did not reflect.

- Any potential changes to the accounting rules for either FAS or IAS
- Future plan changes not yet determined, including any plan changes that may be attributable to future union negotiations for any union employees
- Divestiture of AW and the potential impact on the accounting costs
- The cost of the $\$ 500 /$ year VEBA benefit


## Assumptions and Methods

The key assumptions and methods that have been used in the projections are summarized below.

## Baseline Accounting Assumptions

5.65\% discount rate for all plans for fiscal year 2006 and $6.15 \%$ discount rate for all plans for fiscal years 2007 and thereafter, as discussed. The Moody's Aa bond yield as of August 10, 2006 was $6.00 \%$.
(1) $4.25 \%$ compensation increase rate per year

- $8.25 \%$ expected return on asset rate (pre-tax); $7.95 \%$ expected return on asset rate for the AW PRW plan (this represents a blended rate for the combination of taxable and nontaxable VEBAs). For the Elizabethtown Water PRW plan, $8.25 \%$ for Bargaining VEBA and $5.15 \%$ for the nonbargaining VEBA (this is about $6.7 \%$ for the entire plan)
- The RP 2000 table projected to 2015 with phase-out reflecting white/blue collar mortality for FAS 87/106, IAS 19 and going-concern funding for AW pension plan (for 2006 and 2007 plan years).

Mr. Ed Keiffer
August 18, 2006
Page 6.
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- Medical Trend Rate.

| Year | Rate |
| :---: | ---: |
| 2006 | $10 \%$ |
| 2007 | $9 \%$ |
| 2008 | $8 \%$ |
| 2009 | $7 \%$ |
| 2010 | $6 \%$ |
| $2011+$ | $5 \%$ |

- For the AW pension plan, the accounting cost estimates assume AW will make the minimum required contribution for the respective plan year on a quarterly basis for the AW pension plan (consistent with current practice)
m For the AW PRW plan, St Louis PRW plan and Elizabethtown PRW plan, contributions equal to the FAS 106 cost (before reflecting purchase accounting) were assumed to be made on a quarterly basis and were assumed to be fully deductible. This scope does not include an analysis of the tax-deductible limits for the projection period


## Baseline Pension Funding Assumptions

v Interest rate for determining the funding target for the AW pension plan were described earlier and based on a yield curve and the estimated benefit payments of the plan

- $4.25 \%$ compensation increase rate per year for funding purposes
m Current liability interest rates as described earlier which represent estimates of the highest allowable rates that American can use. The interest rate relief of 2004 and 2005 continues for the 2006 and 2007 plan years. The projected current liability interest rate assumes that the Composite Corporate Bond Yield of $6.15 \%$, in effect as of July 2006, remains constant until June 2007.

The target liability interest rates for years on and after July 1, 2008 were projected assuming the yield curve as of July 31, 2006 stays constant. The interest rates are calculated based on AW plan's expected benefit payments.

- The mortality assumption was updated from 1983 GAM to RP2000 table that we expect to be prescribed by the IRS on and after July 1, 2007 (for Current Liability purposes). The RP2000 table is adjusted to provide mortality improvements to the current valuation year plus an additional fixed period of improvements

Mr. Ed Keiffer
August 18, 2006
Page 7.
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## General Assumptions Applicable to Accounting and Funding

* We used actual assets as of June 30, 2006. For the remainder of 2006 and all periods after 2006, we assumed an $825 \%$ investment return (annualized).

凹 We assumed that actual experience (asset returns, medical trend, mortality rates, etc.) matched the assumptions throughout the projection period. To the extent that actual experience differs from assumed experience these projections will change.

- Turnover rate was assumed to be $5 \%$ per year for calculating the service cost for plans that are closed to new hires.


## Next Steps

In this projection, we have calculated minimum required contributions for plan years 2006 and later for the qualified pension plan. We understand that AW has made a decision on strategic contributions to be made through 2006.

The Pension Protection Act is the largest pension bill enacted in 30 years. It fundamentally changes contribution amounts and funding strategies. We recommend a meeting with AW to discuss the provisions of the Pension Protection Act and its implications for AW

Please let us know when would be a convenient time to meet to discuss the new law.


Roy Costa, FSA
Senior Consultant
Direct Dial: 215-246-6675


Consultant
cc: Bob Sievers - American Water
Cynthia C. King, FSA - Towers Perrin/Philadelphia
Attachments
S:ID0270IO6RETIWPITEAMIAW 5YEAR PRO.IECTION_.JAN DOC

## Exhibit 1

## American Water

Five-Year Projection of Qualified Pension Plan Accounting Costs*
(\$ in Millions)
Estimated FAS 87 Cost Before Purchase Accounting

| Plan Year | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Water | $\$ 40.3$ | $\$ 31.4$ | $\$ 26.6$ | $\$ 213$ | $\$ 159$ | $\$ 9.7$ |
| Elizabethtown ${ }^{(1)}$ | $\$ 2.4$ | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Estimated FAS 87 Cost After Purchase Accounting

| Plan Year | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Water | $\$ 28.6$ | $\$ 25.2$ | $\$ 21.3$ | $\$ 16.9$ | $\$ 12.4$ | $\$ 7.5$ |
| Elizabethtown ${ }^{(1)}$ | $\$ 2.4$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

Estimated IAS 19 Cost

|  |  | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Water | IC | $\$ 55.7$ | $\$ 3.6$ | $\$ 0.0$ | $\$(4.1)$ | $\$(8.3)$ | $\$(12.9)$ |
|  | OR | $\$ 21.6$ | $\$ 20.7$ | $\$ 21.9$ | $\$ 21.0$ | $\$ 212$ | $\$ 20.2$ |
|  | Total | $\$ 27.3$ | $\$ 24.3$ | $\$ 21.9$ | $\$ 16.9$ | $\$ 129$ | $\$ 7.3$ |
| Elizabethtown $^{(1)}$ | IC | $\$(0.1)$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | OR | $\$ 3.1$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Total | $\$ 3.0$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

${ }^{(1)} 2006$ accounting costs for the Elizabethtown plan reflected the curtailment as of January 1, 2006

- Please see the letter dated August 18, 2006 for additional details

American Water Five-Year Projection of Qualified Pension Plan Accounting Costs*

## Assumptions

## Discount Rate:

- Expected Return on Assets:
- Actual Return on Assets
( Mortality:
- Salary Increase Rate:
$5.65 \%$ for $2006,6.15 \%$ for subsequent years
8.25\%

Actual asset values as of June 30,2006 , then assumed to earn $8.25 \%$ per annum in the projection period
RP2000 projected to 2015 with phase-out reflecting a $50 \%$ white collar and $50 \%$ blue collar blend
$4.25 \%$ per year
*Please see the letter dated August 18,2006 for additional details.
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American Water
Five-Year Projection of Qualified Pension Funding Requirements* (\$ in Millions)

Estimated ERISA Minimum Required Contribution

| Plan Year | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Water | $\$ 6.4$ | $\$ 59.0$ | $\$ 562$ | $\$ 52.0$ | $\$ 50.4$ | $\$ 47.4$ |
| Elizabethtown | $\$ 1.7$ | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

## Assumptions

Interest Rate: $\quad 8.25 \%$ for AW plan and Elizabethtown plan
蛔 Current Liability Interest Rate (Target Liability Interest Rate after 2007)
AW Plan

| Plan Year | as of July 1 |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2006 | $5.77 \%$ |
| 2007 | $5.90 \%$ |
| 2008 | $6.10 \%$ |
| 2009 | $6.20 \%$ |
| 2010 | $6.23 \%$ |

(x Mortality:
AAL: RP2000 projected to 2015 with phase-out reflecting a $50 \%$ white collar and $50 \%$ blue collar blend

CL/Target Liability: 1983 GAM for 2006, RP2000 with adjustments thereafter
m Salary Increase Rate: $4.25 \%$ per year

Note: The 2006 contribution for the AW plan reflected the strategic contributions that AW plans to make for the 2006 plan year.

Please see the letter dated August 18, 2006 for additional details


Exhibit 3
American Water
Five-Year Projection of Postretirement Welfare Cost ${ }^{*}$ (\$ in Millions)

FAS 106 Cost Before Purchase Accounting

| Plan Year | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Water | $\$ 25.6$ | $\$ 22.5$ | $\$ 21.1$ | $\$ 19.7$ | $\$ 18.3$ | $\$ 17.0$ |
| St. Louis | $\$ 0.8$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Northern Illinois | $\$ 0.1$ | $\$ 0.1$ | $\$ 0.1$ | $\$ 0.1$ | $\$ 0.1$ | $\$ 0.1$ |
| Elizabethtown ${ }^{(1)}$ | $\$ 12$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

FAS 106 Cost After Purchase Accounting

| Plan Year | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Water | $\$ 16.3$ | $\$ 13.5$ | $\$ 12.5$ | $\$ 11.5$ | $\$ 10.5$ | $\$ 9.5$ |
| St. Louis | $\$(0.2)$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |
| Northern Illinois | $\$ 0.03$ | $\$ 0.03$ | $\$ 003$ | $\$ 0.03$ | $\$ 0.03$ | $\$ 0.03$ |
| Elizabethtown $^{(1)}$ | $\$ 1.2$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |

${ }^{(1)} 2006$ accounting costs for the Elizabethtown plan reflected the curtailment as of January 1, 2006

[^2]American Water
Five-Year Projection of Postretirement Welfare Cost: (\$ in Millions)

## Estimated Cash Contributions

| Plan Year | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Water | $\$ 25.6$ | $\$ 22.5$ | $\$ 21.1$ | $\$ 19.7$ | $\$ 18.3$ | $\$ 17.0$ |
| St. Louis | $\$ 0.4$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |
| Northern llinois | $\$ 0.05$ | $\$ 0.06$ | $\$ 0.06$ | $\$ 0.06$ | $\$ 0.06$ | $\$ 0.07$ |
| Elizabethtown | $\$ 1.2$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

## Assumptions

- Discount Rate:
- Expected Return on Assets:
- Actual Return on Assets:
- Mortality:
- Medical Trend Rate:
$5.65 \%$ for $2006,6.15 \%$ for subsequent years
$825 \%$ (pre-tax) or $7.95 \%$ (blended pre-tax and post tax) for AW plan; $8.25 \%$ (pre-tax) or $6.7 \%$ (blended pre-tax and post tax) for the Elizabethtown plan.

Actual asset values as of June 30, 2006, then assumed to equal expected
RP2000 projected to 2015 with phase-out reflecting a $50 \%$ white collar and $50 \%$ blue collar blend

| Year | Rate |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2006 | $10 \%$ |
| 2007 | $9 \%$ |
| 2008 | $8 \%$ |
| 2009 | $7 \%$ |
| 2010 | $6 \%$ |
| $2011+$ | $5 \%$ |

[^3]
# American Water Works Company, Inc. Retiree Welfare Plan 

## Actuarial Valuation Report

## Postretirement Welfare Cost for Fiscal Year Ending December 31, 2007

## Employer Contributions for Plan Year Beginning January 1, 2007

May 2007

This report is confidential and intended solely for the information and benefit of the immediate recipient thereof It may not be distributed to a third party unless expressly allowed under the "Actuarial Certification, Reliances and Distribution" section herein
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## Financial Results

This report summarizes the financial results for American Water's Retiree Welfare Plan based on actuarial valuations as of January 1, 2007 and January 1, 2006.

January 1, 2007 ${ }^{(1)}$ January 1, 2006

## FAS 106 Postretirement <br> Welfare Cost ${ }^{(2)}$

Amount ${ }^{(3)}$
Per active participant
\$ 25,013,949
6,079
\$ 425,315,545
281,389,882
$66.2 \%$
\$ 29,776,429
\$ 25,013,949
29,200,000
$17,713,439$
\$ 394,053,693
227,117,760
57.6\%
\$ 14,253,047
\$ 25,580,244
$27,537,000^{(5)}$
$15,901,999^{(6)}$
(1) Results for fiscal year 2007 reflect the merger of the St Louis Retiree Welfare Plan and the Elizabethtown Retiree Welfare Plan into the American Water Retiree Welfare Plan.
(2) All results are shown based on FAS 106 before application of purchase accounting due to the sale of American Water to RWE and reflect the Medicare Part D subsidy, except where noted otherwise
(3) The annual postretirement welfare cost, ignoring the Medicare Part D subsidy, is $\$ 32,969,872$ for 2006 and $\$ 30,924,631$ for 2007 These amounts are used to adjust the company's deferred tax asset on the balance sheet
(4) Estimated based on January 1 assets - should be redetermined at December 31 Actual maximum taxdeductible limit cannot be determined until end of year
(5) Actual tax-deductible limit for 2006 tax year was $\$ 42,900,000$ under permissive aggregation, which includes the St Louis, Elizabethtown and Long Island VEBA trusts
(6) Actual benefit payments and expenses, net of participant contributions, for the American Water Plan, before the merger with Elizabethtown and St. Louis.
The results above do not reflect FAS 158.

## Highlights

## Economic Assumptions

The discount rate for postretirement welfare cost purposes is the rate at which the postretirement obligation could be effectively settled. This rate is based on high-grade bond yields, after allowing for call and default risk. The following bond yields were considered in the selection of economic assumptions:

|  | December 31, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 30-year Treasury | $4.81 \%$ | $4.54 \%$ |
| Moody's Aaa | $5.85 \%$ | $5.26 \%$ |
| Moody's Aa | $5.72 \%$ | $5.41 \%$ |

The assumed rate of return on assets for postretirement welfare cost purposes is the weighted average of expected long-term asset return assumptions, net of taxes. The compensation increase rate is a long-term rate based on current expectations of future compensation increases. The assumptions for postretirement welfare cost purposes are:

|  | January 1, 2007 | January 1, 2006 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Discount rate for obligations | $590 \%$ | $5.65 \%$ |
| Rate of return on assets (pre-tax) | $800 \%$ | $8.25 \%$ |
| Rate of return on assets (after-tax) ${ }^{(1)}$ | $7.38 \%$ | $7.95 \%$ |

(1) Rate is blended average of the expected return on Bargaining VEBAs (which are tax exempt) and the after-tax return on Non-Bargained VEBAs.

Assumptions used to determine the statutory contribution limits must be reasonable, taking into account the experience of the plan and reasonable expectations. The discount rate used to determine normal cost and present value of projected benefits is based on the long-term expected return on assets, net of taxes. The assumptions for contribution purposes are:

## January 1, 2007(1) January 1, 2006(²)

Discount rate for normal cost and present value of projected benefits:

- Bargaining medical and life insurance $8.00 \%$ 8.25\%
- Non-bargaining medical
3.87\% 5.15\%
(1) Deductible limits are finalized at year-end based on assumptions determined as of December 31, 2007. Assumptions shown here are preliminary.
(2) 2006 assumptions shown are the assumptions used to estimate the 2006 deductible limit. Actual tax deductible limit for 2006 tax year was determined using a discount rate of $8.00 \%$ for the Bargaining medical and life insurance VEBA.


## Health Care Cost Assumptions

Health care cost trend rates are the assumed rates of increase in per capita health care charges. They are disclosed in American Water's financial statements.

As reported by the Towers Perrin Health Care Cost Survey, trend rates have historically shown a cyclical pattern, rising in some years and falling in others, and have been sensitive to a plan's demographics, mix of benefits offered and utilization of procedures.


The survey results indicate that health care cost trends vary considerably among survey participants.
The range of plan results reported in the 2007 Health Care Cost Survey was:

| Pre-Medicare |  | Medicare |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| eligible | Pre-Medicare | Medicare | | eligible |
| ---: |
| Non-HMOs |
| eligible HMOs | eligible HMOs $\quad$ Non-HMOs


| $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $(2) \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile | $7 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile | $17 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $17 \%$ |

The health care cost trend assumptions used in the valuation are:

| January 1, 2007 | January 1, 2006 |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pre-Medicare | Medicare | Pre-Medicare | Medicare |
| Eligible | Eligible | Eligible | Eligible |

Health Care Cost Trend

| 2006 trend | N/A | N/A | $10.0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2007 trend | $9.0 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ |
| Ulimate trend | $5.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| Year ultimate reached | 2011 | 2011 | 2011 | 2011 |

The assumed per capita costs are the expected annual per person cash costs of the medical plan, before reflecting participant contributions.

The average per capita costs used in the 2006 and 2007 valuations are:

|  | Pre-Medicare Eligible |  | Medicare Eligible |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RWE Promise Participants | Retiree | Spouse | Retiree | Spouse |
| - 2006 assumed per capita cost | $\$ 9,212$ | $\$ 9,212$ | $\$ 3,819$ | $\$ 3,819$ |
| - Assumed trend to 2007 | $9.0 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ |
| - 2007 expected per capita cost | $\$ 10,041$ | $\$ 10,041$ | $\$ 4,163$ | $\$ 4,163$ |
| - 2007 assumed per capita cost | 9,430 | 9,430 | 3,911 | 3,911 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-RWE Promise Participants | Retiree | Spouse | Retiree | Spouse |
| - 2006 assumed per capita cost | $\$ 9,127$ | $\$ 9,127$ | $\$ 3,723$ | $\$ 3,723$ |
| - Assumed trend to 2007 | $9.0 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ |
| - 2007 expected per capita cost | $\$ 9,948$ | $\$ 9,948$ | $\$ 4,058$ | $\$ 4,058$ |
| - 2007 assumed per capita cost | 9,324 | 9,324 | 3,815 | 3,815 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| St Louis Participants | Retiree | Spouse | Retiree | Spouse |
| - 2006 assumed per capita cost | $\$ 8,775$ | $\$ 8,775$ | $\$ 3,723$ | $\$ 3,723$ |
| - Assumed trend to 2007 | $9.0 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ |
| - 2007 expected per capita cost | $\$ 9,565$ | $\$ 9,565$ | $\$ 4,058$ | $\$ 4,058$ |
| - 2007 assumed per capita cost | 8,972 | 8,972 | 3,815 | 3,815 |


|  | Pre-Medicare Eligible |  | Medicare Eligible |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elizabethtown Bargaining Participants | Retiree | Spouse | Retiree | Spouse |
| - 2006 assumed per capita cost | \$8,863 | \$8,863 | \$ 3,723 | \$ 3,723 |
| - Assumed trend to 2007 | 9.0\% | 9.0\% | 9.0\% | 9.0\% |
| - 2007 expected per capita cost | \$ 9,661 | \$ 9,661 | \$4,058 | \$4,058 |
| - 2007 assumed per capita cost | 9,051 | 9,051 | 3,815 | 3,815 |
| Elizabethtown Non-Bargaining |  |  |  |  |
| - 2006 assumed per capita cost | \$8,775 | \$8,775 | \$ 3,723 | \$ 3,723 |
| - Assumed trend to 2007 | 9.0\% | 9.0\% | 9.0\% | 9.0\% |
| - 2007 expected per capita cost | \$ 9,565 | \$9,565 | \$4,058 | \$4,058 |
| - 2007 assumed per capita cost | 8,972 | 8,972 | 3,815 | 3,815 |
| Elizabethtown Dental | Retiree | Spouse | Retiree | Spouse |
| - 2006 assumed per capita cost | \$ 353 | \$ 353 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| - Assumed trend to 2007 | 9.0\% | 9.0\% | 90\% | 9.0\% |
| - 2007 expected per capita cost | \$ 385 | \$ 385 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| - 2007 assumed per capita cost | 353 | 353 | 0 | 0 |

## Demographic Assumptions

The cost of providing plan benefits depends on demographic factors such as retirement, mortality, turnover and plan participation. Demographic assumptions used in the valuation were selected to reflect the experience of the covered population and reasonable expectations. If actual experience is more favorable than assumed, plan costs will be lower. Alternatively, if actual experience is less favorable than assumed, future plan costs will be higher.

## Assets


#### Abstract

In the year ended December 31, 2006, the plan's portfolio realized a $11.7 \%$ investment return (net of expenses and taxes), while the capital markets performed as follows:


December 31, 2006

| Large equities [S\&P 500] | 1579\% |
| :---: | :---: |
| Intermediate/small equities [Russell 2500] | 16.17\% |
| Non-U.S equities [EAFE] | 2686\% |
| Bonds <br> [Lehman Brothers Aggregate] | 4.33\% |

## Changes in Benefits Valued

Effective December 31, 2006, the assets and liabilities associated with the St. Louis Retiree Welfare Plan and the Elizabethtown Retiree Welfare Plan were transferred into the American Water Retiree Welfare Plan.

## Legislative Changes

There have been no legislative changes since the prior year.

## FAS 106 Postretirement Welfare Cost and Funded Position

Postretirement welfare cost is the amount recognized in American Water's financial statement as the cost of postretirement welfare plans and is determined in accordance with Financial Accounting Standard No. 106. The fiscal 2007 postretirement welfare cost for the plan is $\$ 25,013,949$, or $\$ 6,079$ per active participant.

Funded position, on a FAS 106 basis, is measured by comparing the fair value of assets (FV) with the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation (APBO). The APBO is the portion of the total present value of projected benefits allocated to prior years as of the measurement date.
The plan's funded percentage is $66.2 \%$ as of January 1, 2007, based on the fair value of assets of $\$ 281,389,882$ and an APBO of $\$ 425,315,545$.

## Change in Postretirement Welfare Cost and Funded Position

The postretirement welfare cost decreased from $\$ 25,580,244$ in fiscal 2006 to $\$ 25,013,949$ in fiscal 2007 because:

- Expected changes based on prior year's assumptions, methods, plan provisions and contributions decreased the postretirement welfare cost $\$ 1,522,900$.
- Noninvestment experience including demographic and medical claims experience, increased the postretirement welfare cost $\$ 2,107,217$.
- The return on the fair value of plan assets in fiscal 2006 was $11.7 \%$, which decreased the postretirement welfare cost $\$ 1,357,118$.
- Assumption changes increased the postretirement welfare cost $\$ 120,678$.
- The merger of the St. Louis Retiree Welfare Plan and the Elizabethtown Retiree Welfare Plan increased the postretirement welfare cost $\$ 85,828$.

The funded percentage increased from $57.6 \%$ to $66.2 \%$.

## Employer Contributions

Employer contributions are the amounts paid by American Water to provide for postretirement benefits, net of participant contributions. For 2006, the actual employer contributions of $\$ 25,580,244$ were equal to the estimated employer contributions of $\$ 25,580,244$.
American Water's funding policy is to contribute an amount equal to the postretirement welfare accounting cost not to exceed the maximum tax-deductible limit. American Water may change its contribution if appropriate to its tax and cash position and the plan's funded position. For 2007, the contribution under the funding policy is $\$ 25,013,949$. The maximum tax-deductible contribution limit under the Internal Revenue Code is estimated to be $\$ 29,200,000$. Estimated benefit payments for 2007 are $\$ 17,713,439$.

## Actuarial Certification, Reliances and Distribution

American Water retained Towers Perrin to perform a valuation of its postretirement welfare benefit plans for the purpose of determining (1) its postretirement welfare cost in accordance with FAS 106 and (2) the maximum tax-deductible contribution allowed by the Internal Revenue Code. This valuation has been conducted in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices.

The consulting actuaries are members of the Society of Actuaries and other professional actuarial organizations and meet their "General Qualification Standard for Public Statements of Actuarial Opinion" relating to the postretirement welfare plans.
In preparing the results presented in this report, we have relied upon information provided to us regarding plan provisions, plan participants, and plan assets. We have reviewed this information for overall reasonableness and consistency, but have neither audited nor independently verified this information. The accuracy of the results presented in this report is dependent upon the accuracy and completeness of the underlying information.
The actuarial assumptions and the accounting policies and methods employed in the development of the postretirement welfare cost have been selected by the plan sponsor, with the concurrence of Towers Perrin. FAS 106 requires that each significant assumption "individually represent the best estimate of a particular future event."
The actuarial assumptions and methods employed in the development of the contribution limits have been selected by Towers Perrin, with the concurrence of the plan sponsor. The Intemal Revenue Code requires the use of assumptions each of which is reasonable (taking into account the experience of the plan and reasonable expectations) and which, in combination, offer the actuary's best estimate of anticipated experience under the plan.
The results shown in this report have been developed based on actuarial assumptions that are considered to be reasonable and within the "best-estimate range" as described by the Actuarial Standards of Practice. Other actuarial assumptions could also be considered to be reasonable and within the bestestimate range. Thus, reasonable results differing from those presented in this report could have been developed by selecting different points within the best-estimate ranges for various assumptions.
The information contained in this report was prepared for the internal use of American Water and its auditors in connection with our actuarial valuation of the postretirement welfare plan. It is neither intended nor necessarily suitable for other purposes. American Water may also distribute this actuarial valuation report to the appropriate authorities who have the legal right to require American Water to provide them with this report, in which case American Water will use best efforts to notify Towers Perrin in advance of this distribution. Further distribution to, or use by, other parties of all or part of this report is expressly prohibited without Towers Perrin's prior written consent.


Roy Costa, FSA

Ala


Alan Abrams, FSA

Towers Perrin
May 2007
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## Asset Values

## Asset Values for Calculating Postretirement Welfare Cost

Fair value, excluding contributions receivable:

- As of January 1, 2006 \$ 227, 117,760
- Employer contributions 25,580,244
- Participant contributions

1,037,836

- Disbursements including expenses $(19,916,664)$
- Investment return, net of taxes

26,920,008

- Merger of St Louis and Elizabethtown

20,650,698

- As of January 1, 2007
\$ 281,389,882
- Rate of return ${ }^{(1)}$

Market-related value:

- As of January 1, 2006
\$ 227, 117,760
- As of January 1, 2007 281,389,882
- Rate of return ${ }^{(1)}$


## Asset Values for Calculating

## Employer Contributions

Actuarial value:

- As of January 1, 2006 \$ 227,117,760
- As of January 1, 2007 281,389,882
- Rate of return ${ }^{(1)}$
(1) Investment return calculation does not reflect merger of St. Louis and Elizabethtown.


## Asset Values for Determining Employer Contributions - By Trust

Market value:

- As of January 1, 2006
\$ 196,745,654
- Total contributions

6,654,521

- Disbursements
- Investment return, net of taxes
- As of January 1, 2007
- Rate of return

Actuarial value:

- As of January 1, 2006

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 196,745,754 \\
217,958,415
\end{array}
$$

12 5\%

## VEBA

Elizabethtown
Bargaining

- As of January 1, 2007
- Rate of return

VEBA
Nonbargaining
Medical

VEBA
Life
Insurance

VEBA
Long Island Bargaining
\$ 13,550,409
19,413,559
( $8,515,150$ )

\$ 24,898,955
2.4\%


24,898,955
$24 \%$
\$ 12,006,101
12,395,181
10.6\%
\$4,815,596
5,486,634
$13.9 \%$

Market value:

- As of January 1, 2006
\$ 3,773,615
\$4,139,944
\$ 9,951,881
- Total contributions

VEBA
Elizabethtown
VEBA
St. Louis
Bargaining

- Disbursements
- Investment return, net of taxes

522,836
573.593
$1,267,412$

- As of January 1, 2007
\$ 4,296,451
- Rate of return
13.9\%
\$ 4,713,537
13.9\%
\$ 11,640,709
$125 \%$
Actuarial value:
- As of January 1, 2006
- As of January 1, 2007
\$ 3,773,615
\$ 4, 139,944
\$ 9,951,881
4,296,451
13 9\%
4,713,537
11,640,709
139\%
12.5\%


## Basic Results for Postretirement Welfare Cost

January 1, 2007(1)
January 1, 2006

## Service Cost

Medical
Life insurance
Total
Accumulated Postretirement
Benefit Obligation [APBO]

Medical:

- Current retirees
\$ 168, 105,288
\$ 157,577,921
- Other participants fully eligible for benefits

7,759,622
8,271,750

- Other active participants
- Total

Life insurance:

- Current retirees
\$ 20,237,563
\$ 20,283,122
- Other participants fully eligible for benefits

351,209
254,202

- Other active participants
- Total

All benefits:

- Current retirees
- Other participants fully eligible for benefits
- Other active participants
- Total


## Assets

Fair value [FV]
Unrecognized investment losses (gains)
Market-related value
\$ 12,483,299
192,105
\$ 12,675,404

225,277,506
204,664,763
$\$ 401,142,416$
\$ 370,514,434
(1) All values reflect the merger of the St. Louis Retiree Welfare Plan and the Elizabethtown Retiree Welfare Plan with the American Water Works Company Retiree Welfare Plan as of December 31, 2006.

|  | January 1, 2007 | January 1, 2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Economic Assumptions |  |  |
| Discount rate | 5.90\% | 565\% |
| Average compensation increase rate | 4.25\% | 4.25\% |
| Rate of return on assets, pre-tax | 8.00\% | 8.25\% |
| Weighted average rate, after-tax | 7.38\% | 7.95\% |
| Health care cost trend rate, under age 65 : |  |  |
| - First year | 900\% | 10.00\% |
| - Ultimate | 5.00\% | 500\% |
| - Year ultimate reached | 2011 | 2011 |
| Health care cost trend rate, age 65 and over: |  |  |
| - First year | 9.00\% | $1000 \%$ |
| - Ultimate | 5.00\% | 5.00\% |
| - Year ultimate reached | 2011 | 2011 |

## Development of Prepaid (Accrued) Postretirement Benefit Cost as of December 31, 2006

Prepaid (accrued) postretirement benefit cost as of December 31, 2005

$$
\$(14,253,047)
$$

Changes during fiscal 2006:

- Income (cost) recognized $(25,580,244)$
- Employer contributions 25,580,244
- Adjustments 19,284
- Expected receipt of federal subsidy (1,400,000)
- Plan mergers
$(14,142,666)$
Prepaid (accrued) postretirement benefit cost as of December 31, 2006
\$ $(29,776,429)$
January 1, 2007(1)
January 1, 2006


## Reconciliation of Funded Status

Funded status [FV - APBO]
Unrecognized transition obligation
Unrecognized net actuarial loss (gain)
Unrecognized prior service cost (credit)
Prepaid (accrued) postretirement benefit cost (before FAS 158)
\$ $(143,925,663)$
\$ $(166,935,933)$
24,844,509
$22,570,042$
89,300,442
130,112,844
4,283
0

## Effect of Change in Health Care

## Cost Trend Rate

One-percentage-point increase:

- Sum of service cost and interest cost
- APBO
\$ 6,123,950
\$ 5,502,890
56,262,511
52,956,949

One-percentage-point decrease:

- Sum of service cost and interest cost
$(4,985,608)$
$(4,473,077)$
- APBO
$(46,710,243)$
$(43,900,141)$
(1) All values reflect the merger of the St. Louis Retiree Welfare Plan and the Elizabethtown Retiree Welfare Plan with the American Water Retiree Welfare Plan as of December 31, 2006

The results above do not reflect FAS 158

## Postretirement Welfare Cost

Fiscal 2007(2)
Fiscal 2006

## Postretirement Welfare Cost ${ }^{(1)}$

| Service cost | \$ | 12,675,404 | \$ | 11,022,662 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest cost |  | 25,326,408 |  | 22,443,755 |
| Expected return on assets |  | (21,065,260) |  | $(18,473,681)$ |
| Amortization: |  |  |  |  |
| - Unrecognized transition obligation |  | 4,140,752 |  | 3,224,292 |
| - Unrecognized net loss (gain) |  | 3,936,111 |  | 7,363,216 |
| - Unrecognized prior service cost (credit) |  | 534 |  | 0 |
| Postretirement welfare cost |  | 25,013,949 |  | 25,580,244 |
| Per active participant | \$ | 6,079 | \$ | 7,586 |

## Change in Postretirement Welfare

Cost
Postretirement welfare cost for fiscal 2006
\$ 25,580,244
Change from fiscal 2006 to fiscal 2007:

- Expected based on prior valuation
- Loss (gain) from noninvestment and claims experience

2,107,217

- Loss (gain) from asset experience $(1,357,118)$
- Assumption changes 120,678
- Plan mergers

Postretirement welfare cost for fiscal 2007
(1) The cost before recognition of the federal subsidy as a result of the MMA is $\$ 32,969,872$ for fiscal 2006 and $\$ 30,924,631$ for fiscal 2007. See additional details on the following page
(2) All values reflect the merger of the St Louis Retiree Welfare Plan and the Elizabethtown Retiree Welfare Plan with the American Water Works Company Retiree Welfare Plan as of December 31, 2006

## Postretirement Welfare Basic Results and Cost Prior to Medicare Modernization Act

January 1, 2007 ${ }^{(1)} \quad$ January 1, 2006

## Basic Results

Service cost
APBO
Postretirement Welfare

Service cost
\$ 13,942,556
\$ 12,303,381
Interest cost
Expected return on assets
$28,125,255$
$(21,065,260)$
Amortization:

- Transition obligation
- Net loss (gain)
- Prior service cost (credit)

Postretirement welfare cost
Reconciliation of Funded Status
Funded status [FV - APBO]
Unrecognized transition obligation
Unrecognized net actuarial loss (gain)
Unrecognized prior service cost (credit)
Prepaid (accrued) postretirement benefit cost (before FAS 158)
$\left.\begin{array}{rrrr}\$ & 13,942,556 & \$ & 12,303,381 \\ 471,486,486\end{array}\right)$
(1) All values reflect the merger of the St. Louis Retiree Welfare Plan and the Elizabethtown Retiree Welfare Plan with the American Water Works Company Retiree Welfare Plan as of December 31, 2006.

The results above do not reflect FAS 158

## Information for the Deferred Tax Calculation

The following information is provided for purposes of determining the company's balance sheet adjustment to reflect the deferred tax asset associated with the postretirement welfare cost.

| Including MMA Subsidy |  | Excluding MMA Subsidy |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 25,580,244 | \$ | 32,969,872 |
|  | 25,013,949 |  | 30,924,631 |
| \$ | $(14,253,047)$ | \$ | $(25,106,971)$ |
|  | $(25,580,244)$ |  | $(32,969,872)$ |
|  | 25,580,244 |  | 25,580,244 |
|  | 19,284 |  | 1,057,120 |
|  | $(1,400,000)$ |  | 0 |
|  | $(14,142,666)$ |  | $(18,082,638)$ |
|  | $(29,776,429)$ | \$ | $(49,522,117)$ |

## Actuarial Present Value of Benefit Obligation for SOP 92-6 (as amended by SOP 01-2)

December 31, 2006(1)
December 31, 2005

## Actuarial Present Value of Benefit Obligation(1)

| Participants currently receiving benefits | $\$ 208,788,769$ | $\$ 205,814,316$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Other fully eligible participants | $8,991,317$ | $9,843,610$ |
| Other participants | $253,706,400$ | $\mathbf{2 3 1 , 7 2 7 , 2 8 9}$ |
| Total | $\$ 471,486,486$ | $\$ 447,385,215$ |
| Fair value of assets | $281,389,882$ | $227,117,760$ |
| Effect on obligation of one-percentage-point |  |  |
| increase in health care cost trend rate | $64,324,276$ | $62,959,136$ |

## Change in Incurred but not reported (IBNR) <br> Claims Reserve

Balance as of December 31, $2005^{(2)}$

- Claims reported and approved for payment
- Claims paid
- Reserve adjustment
- Total change in reserve

Balance as of December 31, $2006^{(2)}$

## Change in Postretirement Benefit Obligations

Balance as of December 31, $2005^{(2)}$
\$ 444,385,215

- Benefits earned
- Benefits reclassified to amounts currently payable
- Interest
- Change in actuarial assumptions
- Actuarial (gains) losses
- Plan merger
- Total change in reserve

Balance as of December 31, 2006
\$ 3,000,000
19,925,818
$(19,225,818)$
0
$\$ \quad 700,000$
\$ 3,700,000
(1) All values reflect the merger of the St Louis Retiree Welfare Plan and the Elizabethtown Retiree Welfare Plan with the American Water Works Company Retiree Welfare Plan as of December 31, 2006
(2) These results do not reflect the recognition for the federal subsidy as a result of the MMA. Balances are estimated to reflect the reserves associated with benefit payments for retirees

## Total Obligation

Balance as of December 31, 2005 \$ 447,385,215
Increase (decrease) in reserve $24,101,271$
Balance as of December 31, $2006^{(1)}$
\$ 471,486,486

## Change in Plan Assets

Fair value of plan assets as of December 31, 2005 \$ 227,117,760

- Actual return on plan assets 26,920,008
- Employer contributions 25,580,244
- Participant contributions 1,037,836
- Plan merger

20,650,698

- Disbursements
(19,916,664)
Fair value of plan assets as of
December 31, 2006
The key actuarial assumptions used for SOP 01-2 calculations are the same as those used to determine the postretirement welfare cost and are shown in the Actuarial Assumptions and Methods section. All obligations shown are net of retiree contributions. In addition to deductibles and copayments, retirees currently pay approximately $12 \%$ of the estimated cost of providing benefits.
(1) This result does not reflect the recognition of the federal subsidy as a result of the MMA


## Basic Results for Employer Contributions ${ }^{(1)}$

January 1, 2007
January 1, 2006
Present Value of Projected Benefits ${ }^{(2)}$

Medical-Bargaining

| $\$ 227,580,915$ | $\$ 193,075,917$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $154,399,693$ | $119,539,840$ |
| $18,468,487$ | $18,064,822$ |
| $8,022,220$ | $8,071,610$ |
| $9,856,021$ | $9,860,371$ |
| $10,436,644$ | $5,969,409$ |
| $9,244,354$ | $12,885,239$ |
| $\$ 438,008,334$ | $\$ 367,467,208$ |

## Actuarial Value of Assets

| Medical — Bargaining | $\$ 217,958,415$ | $\$ 196,745,654$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Medical — Non-bargaining | $24,898,955$ | $13,550,409$ |
| Life Insurance | $12,395,181$ | $12,006,101$ |
| Long Island — Bargaining | $5,486,634$ | $4,815,596$ |
| Elizabethtown - Bargaining | $4,296,451$ | $3,773,615$ |
| Elizabethtown - Non-Bargaining | $4,713,537$ | $4,139,944$ |
| St Louis - Bargaining | $11,640,709$ | $9,951,881$ |
| Total | $\$ 281,389,882$ | $\$ 244,983,200$ |

(1) These results do not reflect the recognition of the federal subsidy as a result of the MMA.
(2) 2006 values shown represent amounts used to estimate the 2006 maximum tax-deductible limit Actual maximum tax-deductible limit was determined as of the end of the year.

January 1, 2007

## Key Economic Assumptions

Discount rate for present value of projected benefits ${ }^{(1)}$
8.00\%/3 87\%

Health care cost trend rate ${ }^{(3)}$

- First year $\quad 9.00 \%$ 10.00\%
- Ultimate
- Year ultimate reached
$8.25 \% / 515 \%^{(2)}$
January 1, 2006
$5.00 \% \quad 5.00 \%$

2011
2011
(1) Interest rate for life insurance VEBA and for bargaining VEBA is $8.00 \%$. The $3.87 \%$ interest rate for the non-bargaining Medical VEBA is net of applicable taxes.
(2) Interest rates shown were the rates for the prior year 2006 maximum tax deductible limit estimates. Actual limit was calculated using 8.00\% for life insurance VEBA and Bargaining VEBA.
(3) Health care cost trend is only applied to the bargaining medical VEBAs, in accordance with provisions of the Internal Revenue Code.

## Estimated Maximum Tax-Deductible Employer Contribution - VEBA

|  |  | Medical -bargaining VEBA | Life Insurance VEBA | Elizabethtown Non-bargaining VEBA |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated Maximum Tax-Deductible Employer Contribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Qualified direct costs ${ }^{(1)}$ | \$ | 9,003,000 | \$ 1,286,000 | \$ 690,000 |  |  |
| Permitted addition to qualified asset account ${ }^{(2)}$ |  | 5,872,000 | 778,000 | 258,000 |  |  |
| Estimated investment income ${ }^{(3)}$ |  | (810,000) | $(523,000)$ | $(185,000)$ |  |  |
| Estimated participant contributions |  | $(792,000)$ | (74,000) | $(149,000)$ |  |  |
| Estimated maximum tax-deductible employer contribution limit | \$ | 13,273,000 | \$ 1,467,000 | \$ 614,000 |  |  |
|  |  | Medical Bargaining VEBA | Long Island Bargaining VEBA | Elizabethtown Bargaining VEBA |  |  |
| Estimated Maximum Tax-Deductible Employer Contribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated present value of projected benefits at December 31, 2007 | \$ | 236,536,000 | \$ 8,345,000 | \$ 10,131,000 | \$ |  |
| Estimated actuarial value of assets at December 31, $2007^{(3)}$ |  | (226, 143,000) | (5,926,000) | (4,640,000) |  |  |
| Unfunded present value (minımum of \$0) | \$ | 10,393,000 | \$ 2,419,000 | \$ 5,491,000 | \$ | 0 |
| Estimated maximum tax-deductible contribution |  | 10,393,000 | 2,419,000 | 5,491,000 |  | 0 |

(1) The estimated amount of benefits and expenses paid from the trust in the current year
(2) Normal cost plus change in incurred-but-unpaid claims reserve
(3) Assumed results through the end of the year. If return is estimated to be less than $\$ 0$, it is set to $\$ 0$ for estimation purposes.

Notes:

- There is no minimum employer contribution to the trusts required by the IRS.
- Key employees and retirees who were key employees are not funded through the trusts and, therefore, are excluded from the calculations.
- To be tax-deductible in the indicated fiscal year, employer contributions must be made prior to the end of the fiscal year.
- The maximum tax-deductible contributions cannot be finalized until the end of the year.


## Expected Benefits and Administrative Expenses

January 1, 2007(1) January 1, 2006

## Medical

Gross disbursements \$ 19,045,314 \$ 15,633,762
Participant contributions
Net disbursements
$2,668,027$
957.925
\$ 14,675,837

## Life Insurance

Gross disbursements
\$ 1,409,779
\$ 1,307,589

Participant contributions
Net disbursements

## Total

Gross disbursements
Participant contributions
Net disbursements
\$ 20,455,092
\$ 16,941,355
2,741,654
\$ 17,713,439

81,432
\$ 1,226,157
(1) All values reflect the merger of the St. L.ouis Retiree Welfare Plan and the Elizabethtown Retiree Welfare Plan with the American Water Works Retiree Welfare Plan as of December 31, 2006

## Actuarial Assumptions and Methods

## FAS 106 Cost

## Employer Contributions

## Economic Assumptions

Discount rate:

| Pre-tax | $5.90 \%$ | N/A |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| After-tax | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $8.00 \% / 3.87 \%$ |
| Return on plan assets, pre-tax | $8.00 \%$ |  |
| Effective tax rate on VEBA assets | $38.00 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Weighted average rate of return, after-tax $^{(1)}$ | $7.38 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

(1) Rate is blended average of the expected return on Bargaining VEBAs (which are tax exempt) and the after-tax return on Non-Bargained VEBAs

## Medical Benefit Assumptions

Average per capita claims cost:(2)

| Age | RWE Promise Participants | Non-RWE <br> Promise <br> Participants | Elizabethtown Non-Bargaining Participants | Elizabethtown Bargaining Participants | Elizabethtown Dental Participants | St Louis Participants |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<29$ | \$ 4,538 | \$ 4,540 | \$ 4,517 | \$ 3,944 | \$ 178 | \$4,085 |
| 30-39 | 4,525 | 4,527 | 4,504 | 3,933 | 177 | 4,073 |
| 40-44 | 5,452 | 5,455 | 5,426 | 4,738 | 213 | 4,908 |
| 45-49 | 5,954 | 5,957 | 5,926 | 5,175 | 233 | 5,359 |
| 50-54 | 6,860 | 6,863 | 6,827 | 5,962 | 268 | 6,175 |
| 55-59 | 8,175 | 8,179 | 8,136 | 7,105 | 320 | 7,359 |
| 60-64 | 10,413 | 10,418 | 10,364 | 9,051 | 407 | 9,374 |
| 65-69 | 3,498 | 3,398 | 3,412 | 3,339 | 0 | 3,374 |
| 70-74 | 3,906 | 3,794 | 3,810 | 3,729 | 0 | 3,767 |
| 75-79 | 4,151 | 4,032 | 4,049 | 3,962 | 0 | 4,003 |
| 80-84 | 4,238 | 4,117 | 4,134 | 4,045 | 0 | 4,087 |
| 85-89 | 4,407 | 4,281 | 4,299 | 4,207 | 0 | 4,251 |
| $>90$ | 3,702 | 3,597 | 3,611 | 3,534 | 0 | 3,571 |

(2) Includes cost for pre-65 dental coverage. Per capita costs for retirees 65 or older represent costs of Supplemental Plan net of Medicare benefits. Surviving dependent costs are assumed to equal retiree costs

Administrative expenses included in per capita cost

## Postretirement <br> Welfare Cost

| Health care cost trend rate $9.00 \%$ in 2007 reducing to <br> (covered charges): $5.00 \%$ in 2011 and after | Same $^{(1)}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Participant contribution trend rate | Same as applicable medical <br> plan trend rate | Same $^{(1)}$ |
|  |  |  |

MMA Assumptions
Eligible medical plans
Test for actuarial equivalence

Distribution of prescription drug claims to estimate Medicare Part D subsidy

Federal subsidy amounts

Federal subsidy trend

| Eligible medical plans | All plans | N/A |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Test for actuarial equivalence | Plan passes test for actuarial <br> equivalence indefinitely on a net <br> basis (after taking into account <br> retiree contributions) for retirees <br> and dependents. Surviving <br> spouses, who pay for their full <br> cost of coverage, are only <br> eligible for the federal subsidy <br> until 2008 | N/A |

## Employer Contributions

$9.00 \%$ in 2007 reducing to 2011 and after

Same as applicable medical plan trend rate

Same ${ }^{(1)}$
(1) For setting contributions to the non-bargaining medical VEBA, no trend is assumed for the per capita costs and the retiree cost-sharing amounts

## Demographic and Other Assumptions for American Water Participants

Mortality:

| - Healthy | Sex-distinct RP-2000 table projected to 2015 using scale AA with phase-out without any collar adjustment |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Disabled | Select and ultimate mortality rates from the PBGC disabled mortality for Social Security recipients |  |  |  |  |
| Termination | Rates varying by age and service |  |  |  |  |
|  | Representative rates: |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age | Service |  |  |  |
|  |  | $0-4$ | 5-9 | 10-14 | Ultimate |
|  | 25 | 0.100 | 0.090 | 0.080 | 0.060 |
|  | 35 | 0.070 | 0.065 | 0.060 | 0.040 |
|  | 40 | 0.065 | 0.055 | 0.050 | 0.040 |
|  | 45 | 0.060 | 0.050 | 0.040 | 0.030 |
|  | 55 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Disability | $50 \%$ of 1987 CGDT. Rates varying by age and gender |  |  |  |  |
|  | Representative rates: |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age | Male | Female |  |  |
|  | 25 | . 0004 | 0006 |  |  |
|  | 35 | . 0006 | 0012 |  |  |
|  | 40 | 0010 | . 0015 |  |  |
|  | 45 | 0018 | . 0023 |  |  |
|  | 55 | . 0059 | . 0053 |  |  |
| Retirement | Rates vary by age, average age 62. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age | Rate Age | Rate |  |  |
|  | 55 | $0.10 \quad 61$ | 0.10 |  |  |
|  | 56 | 0.0762 | 0.60 |  |  |
|  | 57 | $0.07 \quad 63$ | 0.40 |  |  |
|  | 58 | $0.07 \quad 64$ | 0.40 |  |  |
|  | 59 | $0.10 \quad 65$ | 0.45 |  |  |
|  | 60 | $0.10 \quad 66$ | 100 |  |  |
|  | Current Retirees F |  |  | Future Retirees |  |
| Percent married | Based on valuation census data |  |  | 80\% of males; $50 \%$ of females |  |
| Spouses age | Based on valuation census data |  |  | Wife three years younger than husband |  |
| Participation | Based on valuation census data |  |  | 100\% |  |

## Demographic and Other Assumptions for St. Louis Participants

Mortality:

- Healthy
- Disabled

Termination

Disability

Retirement

Percent married

Spouses age

Participation

Sex-distinct RP-2000 table projected to 2015 using scale AA with phase-out without any collar adjustment.
Select and ultimate mortality rates from the PBGC disabled mortality for Social Security recipients
Rates varying by age and service
Representative rates:

| Age | Service |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $0-4$ | $5-9$ | $10-14$ | Ultimate |
|  | 0.100 | 0.090 | 0.080 | 0.060 |
| 35 | 0.070 | 0.065 | 0.060 | 0.040 |
| 40 | 0.065 | 0.055 | 0.050 | 0.040 |
| 45 | 0.060 | 0.050 | 0.040 | 0.030 |
| 55 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

$50 \%$ of 1955 UAW Disability Table. Rates varying by age and gender
Representative rates:

| Age | Male | Female |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 25 | .0003 | .0005 |
| 35 | .0005 | .0008 |
| 40 | .0007 | .0010 |
| 45 | .0010 | .0015 |
| 55 | .0036 | .0049 |

Rates vary by age,

| Age | Rate | Age | Rate |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 55 | .10 | 61 | 10 |
| 56 | .07 | 62 | 60 |
| 57 | .07 | 63 | .40 |
| 58 | .07 | 64 | .40 |
| 59 | .10 | 65 | .45 |
| 60 | .10 | 66 | 1.00 |

## Current Retirees

Based on valuation census data

Based on valuation census data

Based on valuation census data

## Future Retirees

$80 \%$ of males; $50 \%$ of females

Wife three years younger than husband

90\%pre-65; 0\% post-65


## Methods

Postretirement welfare cost:

- Service cost and APBO
- Market-related value of assets
- Development of claims cost

Employer contributions:

- Normal cost and present value of projected benefits
- Actuarial value of assets
- Development of claims cost

Projected unit credit actuarial cost method, allocated from the valuation date on or after date of hire to full eligibility date (age 62 or earlier retirement age)
Fair value as reported by company

Average medical plan claims per person were developed based upon a review of actual claims experience for 2003, 2004 and nine months of 2005. The average claims costs were adjusted to reflect (i) medical trend (through the valuation date) and expenses, (ii) change from paid claims to incurred claims, (iii) differences in expected claims between the medical plans, (iv) plan design changes and ( $v$ ) age-specific patterns expected based upon plan census information and standard claims distribution tables

Aggregate cost method for non-bargaining medical and life insurance VEBAs. For bargaining medical VEBA, the account limit is set equal to the present value of all future benefits
Fair value as reported by the trustee
Same as for postretirement welfare cost

## Benefits Not Valued

Postretirement welfare cost
Contributions

## None

Benefits for key employees and life insurance benefits in excess of DEFRA limits (generally $\$ 50,000$ ) were not valued.

## Change in Methods and Assumptions Since Prior Valuation

Postretirement welfare cost

Employer contributions

The discount rate for benefit obligations changed from $5.65 \%$ to $5.90 \%$.

Expected return on assets for the bargained VEBA changed from $8.25 \%$ to $8.00 \%$. The expected return on assets for the NonBargained VEBA changed from $5.15 \%$ to $387 \%$ The resulting weighted average rate of return after-tax changed from $7.95 \%$ to 7.38\%.

The pre-tax discount rates were changed from $8.25 \%$ to $8.00 \%$ The corresponding after-tax discount rate changed from $5.15 \%$ to 3.87\%.

## Data Sources

Towers Perrin used asset data supplied by the trustee. The company furnished the claims cost data, as well as the accrued postretirement benefits cost as of December 31, 2006. Data were reviewed for reasonableness and consistency, but no audit was performed. We are not aware of any errors or omissions in the data that would have a significant effect on the results of our calculations.

## Participant Data

## January 1, 2007(1)

January 1, 2006

## Active

## Number:

- Fully eligible for benefits ..... 105 ..... 68
- Other 4,010 ..... 3,304
- Total ..... 4,115 ..... 3,372
Average age ..... 46.5 ..... 46.8
Average past service ..... 16.8 ..... 17.3
Average future service:
- To full eligibility age11.24311.967
- To expected retirement 11.805 ..... 12.319
Currently Receiving Benefits
Retired participants and surviving spouses:
- Number:
- Under age 65 ..... 554 ..... 533
- Age 65 and over ..... 1,948 ..... 1,639
- Total ..... 2,502 ..... 2,172
- Average age ..... 72.7 ..... 72.2
Dependents:
- Number:
- Under age 65 ..... 528 ..... 497
- Age 65 and over 824 ..... 727
- Total 1,352 ..... 1,224
- Average age 68.1 ..... 68.0
(1) All values reflect the merger of the St. Louis Retiree Welfare Plan and the Elizabethtown Retiree Welfare Plan with the American Water Works Company Pension Plan as of December 31, 2006.


## Plan Provisions for Employees of the American Water Works Company, Inc.

## Medical and Dental Benefits

Pension retirement or long-term disability.
Nonunion: Pension requirement: the first day of any month on
which the employee elects to retire provided that:
(a) the employee has attained age 55 , and
(b) the sum of the individual's age and years of service totals at
Non-bargaining employees hired on or after January 1,2002 are
not eligible for these benefits.
Union (as of January 1, 2006): The first day of any month in
which the employee elects to retire provided that:
(a) the employee has attained age 55 and 20 years of service, or
Dependent eligibility
Survivor eligibility
(b) the employee has attained age 65 .
Spouse and unmarried children under age 19 or a full-time student
under age 23 .
Eligibility can continue for provided that the survivor pays the full
premium for coverage.
LTD requirement

Retiree contributions<br>(medical):<br>- Retirees (except at Northwest<br>Indiana, St. Louis and Long Island)

- Basic Under Age 65: None for retirements prior to $1 / 1 / 96$. The following schedule applies to those who retire on or after $1 / 1 / 96$ :

| Retirement |  | Monthly Contribution |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Date |  | Retiree |  | Dependent |  |
|  |  |  | Family |  |  |
| $1 / 1 / 1996$ |  | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 10.00$ | $\$ 10.00$ |  |
| $1 / 1 / 2001$ |  | 5.00 | 5.00 | 10.00 |  |
| $1 / 1 / 2002$ |  | 7.50 |  | 12.50 | 20.00 |
| $1 / 1 / 2003$ |  | 9.00 |  | 13.50 | 22.50 |
| $1 / 1 / 2004$ |  | 10.00 |  | 15.00 | 25.00 |
| $1 / 1 / 2005$ |  | 11.00 |  | 16.50 | 27.50 |

Effective January 1,2006: Retiree pays $50 \%$ of active contribution (Annual active contributions for 2006: $\$ 581$ for standard plan, $\$ 444$ for Premium Plan and $\$ 780$ for EPO/HMO plan).

Age 65 and Over: None for retirements prior to $1 / 1 / 2000$. The following schedule of monthly contributions applies to those who retire on or after January 1, 2000.

| Retirement <br> Date | Monthly Contribution |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1 / 1 / 2000$ | Retiree | Dependent | Family |
| $1 / 1 / 2001$ | $\$ 5.00$ | $\$ 5.00$ | $\$ 10.00$ |
| $1 / 1 / 2002$ | 10.00 | 10.00 | 20.00 |
| $1 / 1 / 2003$ | 10.00 | 10.00 | 20.00 |
| $1 / 1 / 2004$ | 10.00 | 10.00 | 20.00 |
| $1 / 1 / 2005$ | 10.00 | 10.00 | 20.00 |
| $1 / 1 / 2006$ and beyond | 10.00 | 10.00 | 20.00 |
|  | 50.00 | 50.00 | 125.00 |

- Additional
- Surviving dependents
- Disableds
- Northwest Indiana Retirees
- St. Louis Retirees who retire after January 1, 1993
- Long Island Nonunion Retirees who retire before July 1, 2001 and Long Island Union Retirees who retiree before May 1, 2004

Nonunion: Under Age 65: For retirements on or after $8 / 1 / 93$, an additional contribution is required based on age at retirement. This contribution applies from retirement to age 65 .

| Age at |  | Monthly Contribution |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| retirement |  | Retiree |  | Dependent | Family |
|  |  | $\$ 100$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 100$ |  |
| 56 |  | 90 | 0 | 90 |  |
| 57 |  | 80 | 0 | 80 |  |
| 58 |  | 70 | 0 | 70 |  |
| 59 |  | 60 | 0 | 60 |  |
| 60 | 50 | 0 | 50 |  |  |
| 61 | 50 | 0 | 50 |  |  |
| 62 and | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| later |  |  |  |  |  |

Union: For retirement on or after January 1, 2006, retirees are required to pay the above monthly surcharge plus $50 \%$ of the active monthly contribution each year.

Age 65 and Over: None.
Pays $100 \%$ of a budgeted premium. Premiums for 2006 are as follows:

Under Age 65: $\$ 435$ per month.
Age 65 and Over: $\$ 331$ per month (Premium PPO).
Disabled employees pay the dependent coverage charge in effect at the time of disability. This charge is not expected to increase from that point.

Pay $100 \%$ of the cost of the plan for pre- 65 benefits. Various contribution levels for post- 65 benefits

Participant contributions are based on age plus service as of January 1, 1993.

No participant contributions required.

- AW Protected Retirees

Any AW retirees as of January 10, 2003 and any AW active participant who is eligible for retiree welfare benefits as of January 10,2003 (age 55 with 70 points), will pay the contributions in effect at that time (i.e., 2003 contribution schedule)

Pre-65 benefits:

|  | Standard PPO | Premium PPO | HMO/EPO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medical (Innetwork) |  |  |  |
| - Annual Deductible (single/family) | - \$1,000/\$3,000 | - None | - None |
| - Coinsurance | - $80 \%$ | - 90\% | - 100\% |
| - Out-of-Pocket Maximum (excluding deductible) (single/family) | - \$3,500/ 10,500 | - \$1,000/\$3,000 | - None |
| - Lifetime maximum benefit | - Unlimited | - Unlimited | - Unlimited |
| - Office Visits (primary care physician and specialist) | - $80 \%$ after deductible | - \$15 copay | - \$15 copay |
| - Hospital inpatient services | - $80 \%$ after deductible | - 90\% | - $100 \%$ after $\$ 100$ copay per admit |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { - Hospital } \\ \text { outpatient } \\ \text { surgery } \end{array}$ | - $80 \%$ after deductible | - 100\% | - $100 \%$ |
| - Prescription Drugs (participant coinsurance) | - Preferred drug list <br> - Retail: Generic: $10 \%$ <br> Preferred brand: 20\% <br> Non-preferred brand: $20 \%$ <br> - Mail: Generic: $\$ 14$ <br> Preferred brand: $\$ 30$ <br> Non-preferred brand: $\$ 70$ | - Preferred drug list <br> - Retail: Generic: 10\% <br> Preferred brand: $20 \%$ <br> Non-preferred brand: 20\% <br> - Mail: Generic: $\$ 14$ <br> Preferred brand: $\$ 30$ <br> Non-preferred brand: $\$ 70$ | - Preferred drug list <br> - Retail: Generic: $10 \%$ <br> Preferred brand: 20\% <br> Non-preferred brand: 20\% <br> - Mail: Generic: $\$ 14$ <br> Preferred brand: $\$ 30$ <br> Non-preferred brand: $\$ 70$ |


|  | Standard PPO | Premium PPO | HMO/EPO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medical (Out-of network) |  |  |  |
| - Annual Deductible (single/family) | - \$1,500/\$4,500 | - \$200/\$600 | - N/A |
| - Coinsurance | - $60 \%$ | - $70 \%$ | - N/A |
| - Out-of-Pocket Maximum (excluding deductible) (single/family) | - \$4,000/\$12,000 | a $\$ 3,000$ per person | - N/A |
| - Lifetime maximum benefit | - Unlimited | - Unlimited | - N/A |
| - Office Visits (primary care physician and specialist) | - $60 \%$ after deductible | - 70\% after deductible | - N/A |
| " Hospital inpatient services | - $60 \%$ after deductible | - $\$ 250$ copay per admit and $70 \%$ after deductible | - N/A |
| - Hospital outpatient surgery | - $60 \%$ after deductible | - 70\% after deductible | - N/A |
| - Prescription Drugs (participant coinsurance) | a Preferred drug list <br> - Retail: Generic: $10 \%$ <br> Preferred brand: 20\% <br> Non-preferred brand: 20\% <br> - Mail: Generic: $\$ 14$ <br> Preferred brand: $\$ 30$ <br> Non-preferred brand: <br> $\$ 70$ | = Preferred drug list <br> - Retail: Generic: $10 \%$ <br> Preferred brand: 20\% <br> Non-preferred brand: 20\% <br> - Mail: Generic: $\$ 14$ <br> Preferred brand: $\$ 30$ <br> Non-preferred brand: \$70 | - N/A |


| Dental |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Deductible <br> (single/family) | $\$ 100 / \$ 200$ | $\$ 50 / \$ 100$ | $\$ 50 / \$ 100$ |
| Preventive Care | $80 \%$ covered expenses <br> after deductible | $100 \%$ covered expenses <br> with no deductible | $100 \%$ covered expenses <br> with no deductible |
| Basic <br> services/Major <br> Services | Covered at $50 \%$ after <br> deductible $/ 50 \%$ after <br> deductible | Covered at $80 \%$ after <br> deductible/ $50 \%$ after <br> deductible | Covered at $80 \%$ after <br> deductible $/ 50 \%$ after <br> deductible |
| Calendar year <br> maximum | $\$ 1,000$ | $\$ 1,000$ | $\$ 1,000$ |
| Orthodontia | Not covered | $\$ 1,500$ lifetime max; pays <br> $50 \%$ of covered expenses <br> after deductible (covers <br> dependents only) | $\$ 1,500$ lifetime max; pays <br> $50 \%$ of covered expenses <br> after deductible (covers <br> dependents only) |

Post-65 benefits

Medicare Supplement Comprehensive Plan coordinated with Medicare on a modified exclusion basis. Specifically, it will cover the following:

- Medicare Part A deductible.
- $80 \%$ of reasonable and customary medical/surgical charges not covered by Medicare Part $B$ including, but not limited to, the following:
- Part B deductibles and coinsurance
- Outpatient hospital expenses
- Inpatient hospital expenses not covered by Medicare.
- Covered surgical expenses

Prescription drugs are covered through a card plan, which pays drug expenses at $90 \%$ for generic drugs and $80 \%$ for brand name drugs when purchased at a retail location. For mail-order prescriptions, the participants pay a $\$ 5$ copay for generic drugs and $\$ 15$ for brand name drugs.
A separate annual $\$ 150$ single/ $\$ 300$ family deductible applies before the plan will coordinate with Medicare.
Effective December 31, 2001, Medicare HMO options are no longer offered at designated locations to retirees residing in Pennsylvania and New Jersey.

## Life Insurance Benefits (Basic, Optional and Supplemental)

Eligibility:

- Basic
- Optional
- Supplemental

Dependent eligibility
Retiree contributions:

- Basic
- Optional
- Supplemental

Benefits:

- Basic
- Basic

Same as medical plan.
Available only to participants who chose coverage prior to January 1, 1996; otherwise same as medical plan.

Available only to certain executives, otherwise same as medical plan. American Water retirees prior to January 1, 1997 retain their prior plan provisions.
None.

None
$\$ 8.40$ per month per $\$ 1,000$.
$\$ 6.00$ per month per $\$ 1,000$.

For retirements prior to 2003:
1x salary (excluding overtime) at retirement (rounded up to the next higher $\$ 1,000$ ) to maximum of $\$ 50,000$. Except for disabled employees, reduced $10 \%$ per year, beginning at earlier of first anniversary of retirement or age 66 , to a minimum of $50 \%$ after 5 years.

- For retirements after 2002: $\$ 10,000$.
- Optional
- Supplemental
.5 x or 1 x salary scheduled amount at retirement to maximum of $\$ 40,000$. Except for disabled employees, reduced $10 \%$ per year, beginning at earliest of first anniversary of retirement or age 66 , to a minimum of $50 \%$ after 5 years.

Up to $2 x$ salary at retirement to maximum of $\$ 80,000$. Except for disabled employees, reduced $10 \%$ per year, beginning at earliest of first anniversary of retirement or age 66 , to a minimum of $50 \%$ after 5 years.

## Plan Provisions for Employees of the Elizabeth Water Company

## Union

Eligibility
Medical Plan
Coverage duration:

- Retiree
- Spouse

Medicare Part B Reimbursement

Age 55 and 10 years of service.

## Lifetime

The earlier of lifetime or two years after the death of the retiree
For union participants retiring prior to April 1, 2006, E-Town Water Co. provides a Medicare Part B reimbursement for all retirees and their participating spouses upon attaining age 65. Retirees receive reimbursements for their lifetime; Spouse reimbursements cease two years after the death of the retiree

For union participants retiring on or after April 1, 2006, E-Town Water Co provides a Medicare Part B reimbursement up to a maximum for $\$ 1,320$ per year, for all retirees and their participating spouses upon attaining age 65. Retirees receive reimbursements for their lifetime; spouse reimbursements cease two years after the death of the retiree.

For union participants retiring on or after April 1, 2006, E-Town Water Co, will provide dental coverage for all retirees and their covered spouses until they attain age 65 .

## Medical

The retiree welfare plan is closed for union employees hired on or after April 1, 2006. Employees hired prior to April 1, 2006 have the following plan structure.

Union Pre-65

| Group | Plan | Employee <br> Contributions | Plan | Employee <br> Contributions |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Retirees after <br> $4 / 1 / 2006$ | Choice of three <br> AW medical plans | 0\% of medical and <br> dental cost <br> $100 \%$ of Rx cost | AW Medicare Plan <br> (non RWE <br> promise) | $50 \%$ of total cost <br> (changes every <br> year) |
| 2004 through <br> 2006 | Etown PPO <br> No dental | $30 \%$ of total cost <br> (changes every <br> year) | AW Medicare Plan <br> (non RWE <br> promise) | $65 \%$ of total cost <br> (changes every <br> year) |
| 1994 through <br> 2003 | Etown PPO <br> No dental | $45 \%$ of total cost <br> (changes every <br> year) | AW Medicare Plan <br> (non RWE <br> promise) | $75 \%$ of total cost <br> (changes every <br> year) |
| Pre-1994 | No one in this group | AW Medicare Plan <br> (non RWE <br> promise) | $\$ 74.56$ single <br> $\$ 168$ 96 married <br> (if spouse over 65) <br> This does not <br> change |  |

## Life Insurance Plan

Amount
$\$ 10,000$; current retirees have varying amounts.
Duration of coverage
Lifetime

## Salaried

Eligibility

## Medical Plan

Coverage duration:

- Retiree


## Lifetime

- Spouse

Lifetime

Medicare Part B Reimbursement

Dental

Medical

For salaried participants retiring prior to January 1, 2006, Etown Water Co. provides a Medicare Part B reimbursement for all retirees and their participating spouses upon attaining age 65 . Retirees and spouses receive reimbursements for their lifetime.
For nonunion participants retiring on or after January 1, 2006, Etown Water Co. will not provide a Medicare Part B reimbursement,

For salaried participants retiring on or after January 1, 2006, Etown Water Co. will cover $70 \%$ of dental coverage for all retirees and their spouses until they attain age 65 .

The retiree welfare plan is closed for salaried participants hired on or after January 1, 2006. Employees hired prior to January 1, 2006 have the following plan structure.

Nonunion Pre-65

| Group | Plan | Employee <br> Contributions | Plan | Employee <br> Contributions |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Retirees after <br> $12 / 31 / 2005$ | Choice of three <br> AW medical plans <br>  <br> vision | $30 \%$ of total cost | AW Medicare Plan <br> (non RWE <br> promise) | $50 \%$ of total cost |
| 2004 through <br> 2005 | AW Premium PPO <br> (no dental, no <br> vision) | $30 \%$ of total cost | AW Medicare Plan <br> (non RWE <br> promise) | $65 \%$ of total cost |
| 1994 through <br> 2003 | AW Premium PPO <br> (no dental, no <br> vision) | $25 \%$ of total cost | AW Medicare Plan <br> (non RWE <br> promise) | $25 \%$ of total cost |
| Pre-1994 | No one in this group | AW Medicare Plan <br> (non RWE <br> promise) | $\$ 74.56$ single <br> $\$ 168.96$ married <br> (if spouse over 65) |  |

## Life Insurance Plan

Amount
$\$ 10,000$
Duration of coverage
Lifetime

## Plan Provisions for Employees of the St. Louis Water Company

The actuarial valuation reflects the benefit and contribution provisions summarized below with respect to future retirees, except as noted. As a result, this summary only includes the benefits for bargaining employees. Current retirees and their spouses were assumed to continue under the provisions currently in effect, which may differ from those described below.

## Postretirement Medical Plan

## Eligibility for Benefits

Normal Retirement (Age/Service) 65/0; benefits prorated if less than 15 years of service at retirement

Early Retirement (Age/Service)
Disability (Age/Service)

Preretirement Death (Age/Service)
$60 / 20$ for clerical union and $60 / 25$ for physical union
$50 / 0$; benefits prorated if less than 15 years of service at disability
Disabled individuals are covered by the active plan until retirement
$0 / 20$ (no service requirement for clerical union); spouse must be age 55 ( 62 for clerical union) at participant's death

Medical Benefits

For dates of retirement prior to January 1, 1993, St. Louis offers Plan 109T on a noncontributory basis.

Plan 109T: Medicare Supplement with $\$ 100$ deductible, $80 \%$ coinsurance and $\$ 1,000$ out of pocket maximum. Prescription drugs are covered the same as other medical expenses.

For dates of retirement on or after January 1, 1993, the following plan design is offered:
Pre-65 benefits:

|  | Standard PPO | Premium PPO | HMO/EPO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medical (Innetwork) |  |  |  |
| - Annual Deductible (single/family) | : \$1,000/\$3,000 | - None | - None |
| - Coinsurance | - $80 \%$ | - 90\% | - 100\% |
| - Out-of-Pocket Maximum (excluding deductible) (single/family) | - \$3,500/\$10,500 | - \$1,000/\$3,000 | - None |
| " Lifetime maximum benefit | - Unlimited | a Unlimited | - Unlimited |
| - Office Visits (primary care physician and specialist) | - $80 \%$ after deductible | - \$15 copay | - \$15 copay |
| - Hospital inpatient services | - 80\% after deductible | - 90\% | - $100 \%$ after $\$ 100$ copay per admit |
| - Hospital outpatient surgery | - $80 \%$ after deductible | - $100 \%$ | - $100 \%$ |
| a Prescription Drugs (participant coinsurance) | - Preferred drug list <br> - Retail: Generic: $10 \%$ <br> Preferred brand: 20\% <br> Non-preferred brand: 20\% <br> - Mail: Generic: $\$ 14$ <br> Preferred brand: $\$ 30$ <br> Non-preferred brand: $\$ 70$ | - Preferred drug list <br> - Retail: Generic: $10 \%$ <br> Preferred brand: 20\% <br> Non-preferred brand: 20\% <br> - Mail: Generic: $\$ 14$ <br> Preferred brand: \$30 <br> Non-preferred brand: $\$ 70$ | - Preferred drug list <br> - Retail: Generic: $10 \%$ <br> Preferred brand: 20\% <br> Non-preferred brand: 20\% <br> - Mail: Generic: $\$ 14$ <br> Preferred brand: \$30 <br> Non-preferred brand: $\$ 70$ |
| Medical (Out-ofnetwork) |  |  |  |
| - Annual Deductible (single/family) | - \$1,500/\$4,500 | - \$200/\$600 | - N/A |
| - Coinsurance | - $60 \%$ | : 70\% | - N/A |


|  | Standard PPO | Premium PPO | $\mathrm{HMO} / \mathrm{EPO}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a Out-of-Pocket Maximum (excluding deductible) (single/family) | - \$4,000/\$12,000 | : $\$ 3,000$ per person | - N/A |
| - Lifetime maximum benefit | - Unlimited | - Unlimited | : N/A |
| - Office Visits (primary care physician and specialist) | - $60 \%$ after deductible | - 70\% after deductible | - N/A |
| - Hospital inpatient services | - $60 \%$ after deductible | - $\$ 250$ copay per admit and $70 \%$ after deductible | - N/A |
| * Hospital outpatient surgery | : $60 \%$ after deductible | - 70\% after deductible | - N/A |
| - Prescription Drugs (participant coinsurance) | - Preferred drug list <br> - Retail: Generic: 10\% <br> Preferred brand: $20 \%$ <br> Non-preferred brand: 20\% <br> - Mail: Generic: \$14 <br> Preferred brand: \$30 <br> Non-preferred brand: <br> $\$ 70$ | a Preferred drug list <br> - Retail: Generic: 10\% <br> Preferred brand: 20\% <br> Non-preferred brand: 20\% <br> - Mail: Generic: $\$ 14$ <br> Preferred brand: $\$ 30$ <br> Non-preferred brand: $\$ 70$ | : N/A |

Post-65 benefits

Medicare Supplement Comprehensive Plan coordinated with Medicare on a modified exclusion basis. Specifically, it will cover the following:

- Medicare Part A deductible.
- $80 \%$ of reasonable and customary medical/surgical charges not covered by Medicare Part B including, but not limited to, the following:
- Part B deductibles and coinsurance
- Outpatient hospital expenses
- Inpatient hospital expenses not covered by Medicare.
- Covered surgical expenses

Prescription drugs are covered through a card plan, which pays drug expenses at $90 \%$ for generic drugs and $80 \%$ for brand name drugs when purchased at a retail location. For mail-order prescriptions, the participants pay a $\$ 5$ copay for generic drugs and $\$ 15$ for brand name drugs.

A separate annual $\$ 150$ single/ $\$ 300$ family deductible applies before the plan will coordinate with Medicare.

Monthly retiree contributions

Spouse coverage after retiree's death

Retirement prior to 1993: \$0
Retirement in 1993 or later: Retirees and spouses contribute the difference between the total cost and the portion paid by the company as defined in the coverage phase-out chart
Only if retiree completed 20 years of service at retirement and spouse age 55 ( 62 for clerical union) at retiree's death

Coverage phase-out
Company pays $100 \%$ for those retiring prior to 1993 and $80 \%$ for those retiring during 1993. For retirements after 1993, company contribution based on following schedule:

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Age }+ \text { Service } \\ 1 / 1 / 93 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Company <br> Percentage |
| :---: | :---: |
| 90 | 70\% |
| 89 | 68 |
| 88 | 66 |
| 87 | 64 |
| 86 | 62 |
| 85 | 60 |
| 84 | 58 |
| 83 | 56 |
| 82 | 54 |
| 81 | 52 |
| 80 | 50 |
| 79 | 49 |
| 78 | 48 |
| 77 | 47 |
| 76 | 46 |
| 75 | 45 |
| 74 | 43 |
| 73 | 41 |
| 72 | 39 |
| 71 | 37 |
| 70 | 35 |
| 69 | 34 |
| 68 | 33 |
| 67 | 32 |
| 66 | 31 |
| 65 | 30 |
| 64 | 26 |
| 63 | 22 |
| 62 | 19 |
| 61 | 17 |
| 60 | 15 |
| Under 60 or hired after 1992 | 0 |

Age + Service 1/1/93

90
89
88
87
86
85
84
82
81
$80 \quad 50$
$79 \quad 49$
$78 \quad 48$
$77 \quad 47$
$76 \quad 46$
$75 \quad 45$
$74 \quad 43$
73 41
$72 \quad 39$
$71 \quad 37$
$70 \quad 35$
$69 \quad 34$
68 33
$67 \quad 32$
$66 \quad 31$
$65 \quad 30$
$64 \quad 26$
$63 \quad 22$
$62 \quad 19$
$61 \quad 17$
$60 \quad 15$
hired after 19920

Medicare Part B supplements

Retirement prior to 1993: $\$ 20$ per month per retiree or spouse if company insurance coordinates with Medicare Part B

Retirement in 1993 or later: none

## Postretirement Life Insurance Plan

## Eligibility for Benefits

Normal Retirement (Age/Service)

Early Retirement (Age/Service)

Disability (Age/Service)

## Death Benefits

Clerical union

Physical union

Changes in Death Benefits

65/0; benefits prorated if less than 15 years at retirement

60/30 for non-union, 60/20 for clerical union and 60/25 for physical union
$50 / 0$; benefits prorated if less than 15 years at retirement

Disabled individuals are covered by the active plan until retirement
1.15 times pay at retirement, maximum of $\$ 50,000$, decreasing by $10 \%$ per year to $50 \%$
$50 \%$ of active life insurance benefit $(\$ 16,000)$ at retirement. This amount decreases $10 \%$ per year to $25 \%$ of active life insurance benefit

None

## Changes in Benefits Valued

On December 31, 2006, the St. Louis County Water Company Retiree Welfare Plan and the Elizabethtown Retiree Welfare Plan were merged into the American Water Retiree Welfare Plan. This has been reflected in the 2007 valuation.
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## Witness: Michael Miller

29. Refer to Kentucky-American's Response to Commission Staff's First Set of Information Requests, Item 1(a), W/P3, page 77 of 118.
a. Provide the Towers Perrin report supporting the pension projections for the years 2007 through 2011.
b. State the number of active participants for each company listed.
c. Explain why the evaluation earnings ratio is the appropriate allocation method for pension costs.

## Response:

a. Please see the letter of August 18, 2006 attached to the response to KAW_R_PSCDR2\#28_061807.
b. Please see the attached schedule.
c. Pension cost is determined for the American Water Pension Plan in total, with such total cost determined under FAS 87. The total pension cost is then allocated to KAWC based on the ratio of "valuation earning" of KAWC employees to the total of "valuation earnings" of all employees that participate in the American Water Pension Plan. "Valuation earnings" are those earnings used in developing valuation results and, for that purpose are defined as rate of pay, plus an assumed AIP amount equal to $100 \%$ of target AIP for all eligible participants, and assumed load of $3 \%$ overtime for eligible participants.

KAWC believes this allocation methodology is reasonable and in keeping with the Statement of Policy requirement to use FAS 87 to account for these benefits for the following reasons:

1. Since the allocation for KAWC's portion of the plan is not explicitly addressed by FAS 87, KAWC believes the allocation method should be reasonable and not generate unnecessary cost or effort which would be passed on to customers. A so-called "stand-alone" valuation for each subsidiary portion of these plans would be impractical because it would
require the separate tracking of contributions, asset gains and losses, liability, etc. which is not addressed in FAS 87.
2. The administrative cost savings realized due to American Water's maintenance of one pension plan for all subsidiaries is passed along to customers in the form of lower administrative fees. Introducing a more complex method to allocate pension costs would eliminate these administrative fee savings.
3. In allocating these costs, American Water's actuaries have used a method that is generally accepted as a reasonable method for allocating costs to a subgroup participating in a pension plan.
4. Many employees of AWW work for multiple subsidiaries over the course of their careers. The evaluation earnings for each subsidiary assures that the pension costs are properly allocated to each subsidiary based on the years and salary that apply to each employees work for a particular subsidiary.

For the electronic version, refer to KAW_R_PSCDR2\#29_061807.pdf

## American Water

Allocation of 01/01/2007 FAS 87 Cost

Pension Plan: Annual Valuation
using data collected as of July 1. 2006

| COMPANY | July 1, 2006 <br> Company Code | July 1, 2006 Locallity Code | Number of Active Paticipants | Valuation Earnings | Allocation Percentage * | Allocated Pension Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AMERICAN WATER WORKS COMPANY | 2 | N/A | 2 | 139.114 | 005\% | 19.484 |
| AMERICAN WATER SERVICES (AAET, L.P.) | 99001 | N/A | 2 | 108.140 | 004\% | 15.587 |
| AMERICAN WATER SERVICES (Corp) | 99002 | N/A | 1 | 152.440 | 005\% | 19.484 |
| AWW SERVICE COMPANY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voorhees | 3 | 1 | 108 | 12.050,641 | $418 \%$ | 1.628 .892 |
| Belleville. IL Lab (R) | 3 | 2 | 31 | 1.639 .092 | 057\% | 222.122 |
| Richmond. © Data Center (H) | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 000\% | 0 |
| Western (L) | 3 | 6 | 56 | 5.581 .486 | 194\% | 755.993 |
| Hershey, PA Data Center (W) | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 000\% | 0 |
| Haddon Heights is | 3 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 000\% | 0 |
| Northeast Region | 3 | 10 | 38 | 4.497.679 | $156 \%$ | 607.912 |
| Southeast Region | 3 | 11 | 118 | 10.442 .961 | $363 \%$ | 1.414.564 |
| Indiana Region | 3 | 12 | 0 | 0 | $000 \%$ | 0 |
| fllinois Region | 3 | 13 | 156 | 12.604.485 | $438 \%$ | 1.706 .829 |
| Alton. IL Call Center | 3 | 14 | 347 | 11.457 .533 | $398 \%$ | 1.550,954 |
| Shared Services |  | 15 | 115 | 7.549.286 | 262\% | 1.020 .980 |
| Pensacola Call Center | 3 | 16 | 126 | 3.819.955 | $133 \%$ | 518.284 |
| ITS | 3 | 17 | 112 | 9.205.962 | $320 \%$ | 1.246 .998 |
| ProcurementSupply Chain | 3 | 19 | 27 | 2.097 .655 | 0.73\% | 284,471 |
| Total AWW Service Company |  |  | 1234 | 80.946 .736 | 2812\% | 10.957.999 |

NORTHEAST REGION
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { NEW JERSEY - AM } & 18 \\ \text { LONG ISLAND } & 38\end{array}$
ELIZABETHTOWN
Total Northeast Region
SOUTHEAST REGION
KENTUCKY - AM
N/A
VIRGINIA - AM - EASTERN DISTRICT
PENNSYLVANIA-AM
MARYLAND - AM
TENNESSEE - AM
VIRGINIA - AM
WEST VIRGINIA - AM
Total Southeast Region
CENTRAL REGION
LLLINOIS - AM
INDIANA - AM
IOWA - AM
MISSOURI - AM
OHO - AM
MICHIGAN - AM
Total Central Region
WEST REGION
CALIFORNIA - AM 5
NEW MEXICO - AM
ARIZONA - AM
HAWAII
TEXAS
Total West Region
TOTAL SYSTEM

| N/A | 393 | $23.114,127$ | $802 \%$ | 3.125 .289 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| N/A | 79 | 5.123 .437 | $178 \%$ | 693.643 |
| N/A | $\underline{316}$ | $\underline{22,483,287}$ | $\underline{7.81 \%}$ | $\underline{3.043 .455}$ |
|  | $78 B$ | 50.720 .851 | $1761 \%$ | 6.862 .387 |

720.921
46.762
5.669,943

38,969
642.984
$\begin{array}{r}455.934 \\ \hline 964.022\end{array}$
$1.964,022$
9.539 .535
2.458.925
1.714.623
385.790
3.565 .636
549.459
$\xlongequal{42.866}$
8.717 .299
1.621 .098
144.184
880.693
124.700

66,247
2.836 .922
$\$ 38.968 .697$

[^4]
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## Witness: Michael Miller

30. In Case No. 2004-00103, Kentucky-American's total forecasted rate case cost was $\$ 622,409$. In that case the Commission found that, "[i]n the next rate application, Kentucky-American should demonstrate fully its efforts to contain these expenses." In the current case, Kentucky-American's forecasted rate case costs are $\$ 700,000,{ }^{1} \mathrm{a}$ $\$ 77,501$ or a 12.46 percent increase. Describe Kentucky-American's efforts to contain rate case expenses.

## Response:

While the Company forecasted $\$ 622,409$ of rate case expense for the previous rate case, the actual cost was $\$ 1,081,591$. The Company has absorbed that increase as the increased rate case expense has not been recovered in rates. The Company has included $\$ 700,000$ in its filing for rate case expense, a significant reduction from the actual cost to process the previous rate case.

The Company's filing in this case includes a new operation (Owenton) that added to the work of filing the case. The case includes a cost of service study to support the requested approval of STP and a depreciation study to address depreciation rates which is necessary given the time from the last depreciation study, both of which have added to the cost to process this case over the previous case. There are outside expert witnesses for cost of capital, management fees, cost of service, depreciation rates and weather normalization, all of which are necessary given the Commission's past regulation of the Company.

Given the number of issues likely in this case and the additional items mentioned above, the Company believes it has in fact demonstrated that it has attempted to contain the cost of processing this case.

It is the complexity of the issues, the number of interveners, the level of discovery and the presence (or absence) of a settlement that ultimately drives the cost to process a rate case. The discovery to this point in the case has been significant. The issues that develop as the case progresses could impact the cost of the case. The Company is always willing to hold discussions in an attempt to reach reasonable settlement of a rate case which if successful could impact the cost to process the case.

[^5]For electronic version, refer to KAW_R_PSCDR2\#30_061807.pdf
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 <br> <br> Item 31 of $\mathbf{8 0}$}

## Witness: Sheila Miller/Michael Miller

31. Refer to Kentucky-American's Response to Commission Staff's First Set of Information Requests, Item 1(a), W/P3, page 82 of 118.
a. Provide all documents that Kentucky-American relies for its projected 5 percent premium increases in 2007 and 2008 for each insurance other than group coverage listed on the schedule.
b. Provide the actual 2007 premium amounts for each insurance other than group coverage listed on the schedule.
c. State the workers' compensation capitalization rate and explain why it differs from the capitalization rate used for other payroll related costs.

## Response:

a. The attached indicates the increase in insurance other than group ranges from $3 \%$ to $10 \%$. Based on this forecast the Company believed the use of an overall increase of $5 \%$ was justified and conservative.
b. The premium amounts are on W/P3 page 84 of 118 .
c. The worker's compensation capitalization is determined by applying the effective rate for workers compensation to the capital labor costs to determine the deduction in arriving at workers compensation expense applicable to O\&M expense. The O \& M labor amounts shown on working paper KAW_R_PSCDR1\#1a_052107 were incorrect. The O\&M labor reflected total labor. This error resulted in the capitalization rate for workers compensation being understated ( $13.70 \%$ ). The Company is providing the correction to the working paper as an attachment to this response and will reflect this change in the update to its filing to correct for several mathematical errors. The revised workers compensation effective rate is be $2.50 \%$ rather than $2.07 \%$. This results in a reduction of the worker's compensation expense of $\$ 7,150$ and results in a capitalization rate of $18.24 \%$.

For the electronic version, refer to KAW_R_PSCDR2\#31_061807.pdf

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Insurance* | \$8,285,000 | 1.150 | 1.100 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Workers Compensation | 1.030 | 1.030 | 1.030 | 1.050 | 1.050 |
| Assessments | 1.030 | 1.030 | 1.030 | 1.050 | 1.050 |
| Loss | 1.030 | 1.050 | 1.050 | 1.075 | 1.075 |
| General Liability | 1.050 | 1050 | 1.050 | 1.100 | 1.100 |
| Auto Liability | 1.050 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 |
| Umbrella/Excess Liability * | 1.500 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.125 | 1.125 |
| Excecutive Risk Coverages | 1.150 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 |
| Directors \& Officers Liability * | \$1,375,000 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 |
| Errors \& Omissions Liability * | \$325,000 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 |
| Marsh Consultation Fee | 1.030 | 1030 | 1.030 | 1.030 | 1.030 |

KENTUCKY-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY
FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED: NOVEMBER 30, 2001 INSURANCE OTHER THAN GROUP
LINE 17, 168A
LINE 17, 168A
CASE NO: 2007-00143
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## Witness: Michael Miller

32. Refer to Application, Exhibit 37, Schedule H. Kentucky-American states uncollectibles as .880760 percent. In Cases No. 2000-00120 ${ }^{1}$ and No. 2004-00103, the Commission employed a percentage of .45620 and .50683 , respectively. The uncollectible rate in the current case is nearly double that employed in Case No. 2000-00120 to establish general service rates.
a. State the reasons for the increase in uncollectibles.
b. Explain why it is appropriate and reasonable for the Commission to use an uncollectibles rate in this case that is significantly higher than those used to review prior Kentucky-American rate applications.

## Response:

The Company made an error in arriving at the $88.076 \%$ ratio referenced above, as well in the revenue at present rates utilized in arriving at the going-level uncollectible expense used in this rate case filing as provided electronically as KAW_R_PSCDR1\#1a_WP3_052107_page 86 or 118. Attached is the revised working paper that correctly calculates uncollectible expense at going-level. This change is being made to the updated filing which the Company will make to reflect mathematical errors.
a. There is any number of reasons for the increase in uncollectible expense. With the move to the Call Center there has been a more active and uniform collections process. As indicated in the response to CACDR1\#5 the number of shut-off for non-pay for 2005 doubled from the levels in 2003 and 2004 the periods prior to full integration to the Call Center. The increase in shut-offs results in an increase in final bills and an increase in charged-off accounts. The Company believes the current collections procedures more accurately reflect the accounts receivable and reserve for uncollectible accounts than was occurring prior to movement to the Call Center and the initiation of a more active and uniform collections process. There have been changes in the demographics of the customer base as the Central Division continues to grow at a strong pace and the Northern Division service areas were added that have contributed to change. The Company's revenue has continued to be more heavily influence by residential customers due to normal

[^6]growth and new service area and the uncollectible accounts relate primarily to the residential class. As residential revenue increases as a percentage of the total revenue is logical that the percentage of charge-off will increase in relation to the total revenue. The general economic climate at any given period for the area also impacts the collections efforts.
b. The Commission should set just and reasonable rates on the likely cost of service elements for the period when those rates are to be in effect. The Company has continued to place significant emphasis on collecting amounts to which it is due for the service provided. The actual uncollectible expenses for 2004-2006 accurately reflects the status of those significant collections efforts and provides a reasonable basis for the expense level on which to set the rates in this case, particularly when a three-year average is used which would smooth the impact of weather or changes in the economic climate. The Company believes it has placed significant emphasis on collections and the uncollectible expense requested in this case is reasonable and reflects the status of the payment history of the customer base.

For the electronic version, refer to KAW_R_PSCDR2\#32_061807.pdf

KAW_R_PSCDR2\#32_061807 Page 3 of 3


# KENTUCKY-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY <br> CASE NO. 2007-00143 <br> COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND SET <br> OF INFORMATION REQUESTS 

Item 33 of 80

## Witness: Michael A. Miller

33. Refer to Kentucky-American’s Response to Commission Staff's First Set of Information Requests, Item 1(a), W/P3, page 86 of 118.
a. Reconcile the uncollectibles of $\$ 503,725$ to the $\$ 495,095$ shown in KentuckyAmerican's Application at Exhibit 37, Schedule B-5.2, page 5 of 6.
b. Reconcile the forecasted revenue of $\$ 57,192,280$ to the revenues stated in Kentucky-American's Application at Exhibit 37, Schedule C-2, page 1 of 5.

## Response:

a. Uncollectibles W/P3 pg 86 of 118
\$503,725
Tri Village posted to acct 905100
Exhibit 37, Schedule B-5.2, pg 5 of 6
8,620
\$495,105
Tri Village was posted to account 905100 (miscellaneous expense) rather than 904000 (uncollectibles) on Schedule D and did not post to Schedule B-5.2 on the uncollectibles line.
b. The Company mistakenly utilized the $\$ 57,192,280$ revenue referenced above in calculating the uncollectible expense. It should have utilized the billed tariff revenues at present rates of $\$ 48,789,765$ per Exhibit 37, Schedule C-2, page 1 of 5. Please see the schedule attached to the response to KAW_PSCDR2\#32_061807.pdf for the correction to the uncollectible account expense.

For electronic version, refer to KAW_R_PSCDR2\#33_061807.pdf

# KENTUCKY-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY <br> CASE NO. 2007-00143 

## COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND SET <br> OF INFORMATION REQUESTS

## Item $\mathbf{3 4}$ of $\mathbf{8 0}$

## Witness: Michael A. Miller

34. Provide for each AWWC subsidiary that provides water service the amount of its uncollectibles and its total water sales, and its uncollectibles stated as a percentage of total water sales for the calendar year ended 2006.

## Response:

| Company | Water Sale |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Uncollectible Expense | Revenues | \% Expense to Revenues |  |
| Bluefield Valley | $\$ 286.32$ | $\$ 268,392.12$ | $0.11 \%$ |
| California American | $\$ 459,233.85$ | $\$ 121,080,982.16$ | $0.38 \%$ |
| Illinois American | $\$ 2,074,393.15$ | $\$ 162,803,058.53$ | $1.27 \%$ |
| Indiana American | $\$ 2,855,697.22$ | $\$ 143,159,133.72$ | $1.99 \%$ |
| lowa American | $\$ 283,755.47$ | $\$ 24,095,050.78$ | $1.18 \%$ |
| Kentucky American | $\$ 405,811.26$ | $\$ 48,777,821.24$ | $0.83 \%$ |
| Maryland American | $\$ 8,691.81$ | $\$ 3,389,347.01$ | $0.26 \%$ |
| Michigan American | $\$ 3,176.26$ | $\$ 1,368,224.37$ | $0.23 \%$ |
| Missouri American | $\$ 2,219,815.68$ | $\$ 172,294,744.27$ | $1.29 \%$ |
| New Jersey American | $\$ 1,449,427.49$ | $\$ 273,624,272.33$ | $0.53 \%$ |
| New Mexico American | $\$ 220,036.89$ | $\$ 9,006,411.81$ | $2.44 \%$ |
| Ohio American | $\$ 688,425.48$ | $\$ 26,398,603.90$ | $2.61 \%$ |
| Arizona American | $\$ 252,893.49$ | $\$ 44,006,284.82$ | $0.57 \%$ |
| Pennsylvania American | $\$ 7,540,088.71$ | $\$ 411,167,419.83$ | $1.83 \%$ |
| Tennessee American | $\$ 567,720.94$ | $\$ 33,978,866.08$ | $1.67 \%$ |
| Virginia American | $\$ 269,780.45$ | $\$ 38,997,991.11$ | $0.69 \%$ |
| West Virginia American | $\$ 1,541,173.62$ | $\$ 103,892,132.63$ | $1.48 \%$ |
| Hawaii American | $\$ 187,511.42$ | $\$ 8,489,235.41$ | $2.21 \%$ |
| Long Island Water | $\$ 235,349.40$ | $\$ 41,688,944.58$ | $0.56 \%$ |
| United Virginia | $\$ 5,883.97$ | $\$ 1,108,736.46$ | $0.53 \%$ |
| Texas American | $\$ 149,307.87$ | $\$ 2,057,553.52$ | $7.26 \%$ |

For electronic version, refer to KAW_R_PSCDR2\#34_061807.pdf

# KENTUCKY-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY <br> CASE NO. 2007-00143 <br> <br> COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND SET <br> <br> COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND SET OF INFORMATION REQUESTS 

## Witness: Nick Rowe

35. Refer to Kentucky-American's Response to Commission Staff's First Set of Information Requests, Item 1(a), W/P3, page 95 of 118. Kentucky-American includes in its general office forecast, dues and membership costs of $\$ 25,323$. For each dues or membership included in the forecast, identify the organization or group to which Kentucky-American will pay the dues or membership fee and the employee(s) for whom the fee is being paid

## Response:

The actual amount included in general office forecast for dues and membership costs is $\$ 25,964$. The cost referenced above is that cost only allocated to Lexington District. See the attached for the total dues and memberships cost of $\$ 25,964$.

For electronic version, refer to KAW_R_PSCDR2\#35_061807.pdf

Kentucky American Water Dues/Membership Deduct PSC2 Q35.

| BU | Acct | Sub | Organization | Name | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 120105 | 575280 | 16 | Lafayette Club | Nick Rowe | 2,124.00 |
| 120114 | 575280 |  | 5 PE registrations, 6 AWWA, 3 ASCE membersships | Engineering | 3,800.00 |
| 120118 | 575280 |  | Society for HR | Donna Braxton | 240.00 |
| 120119 | 575280 |  | Diversity Inc. | Donna Braxton | 100.00 |
| 120119 | 575280 |  | NSC (National Safety Conference) | Frank Ross | 600.00 |
| 120122 | 575280 |  | Rotary Club | Dillard Griffin | 30000 |
| 120201 | 575280 |  | BGCCPA AUDOBON AWWA | Dillard Griffin | 665.00 |
| 120217 | 575280 |  | WW RECERT | David Shehee | 50.00 |
| 120121 | 575320 |  | Commerce Lexington | KAWC | 3,090 00 |
| 120121 | 575340 |  | Jessamine Co. Chamber | KAWC | 309.00 |
| 120121 | 575340 |  | Woodford Co Chamber | KAWC | 1,133.00 |
| 120121 | 575340 |  | Bourbon Co Chamber | KAWC | 51500 |
| 120121 | 575340 |  | Harrison Co Chamber | KAWC | 360.50 |
| 120121 | 575340 |  | Scott Co Chamber | KAWC | 51500 |
| 120121 | 575340 |  | Clark Co. Chamber | KAWC | 484.10 |
| 120121 | 575340 |  | Owen Co Chamber | KAWC | 51500 |
| 120121 | 575340 |  | Bluegrass Tomorrow | KAWC | 1,030 00 |
| 120121 | 575340 |  | Better Business Bureau | KAWC | 64375 |
| 120121 | 575340 |  | Home Builders Association | KAWC | 46350 |
| 120121 | 575340 |  | Kentucky Chamber of Commerce | KAWC | 1,75100 |
| 120121 | 575340 |  | Kentucky World Trade Center | KAWC | 69525 |
| 120121 | 575342 |  | Lexington Apartment Assn | KAWC | 33475 |
| 120121 | 575342 |  | Lexington-Bluegrass Assn of Realtors | KAWC | 30900 |
| 120121 | 575342 |  | Scott Co. Home Builders Assn. | KAWC | 26780 |
| 120121 | 575342 |  | AWWA Partnership for Safe Water | KAWC | 1,23600 |
| 120121 | 575342 |  | Blue Grass Trust | KAWC | 1,030 00 |
| 120121 | 575342 | 16 | Downtown Lexington Corporation | KAWC | 82400 |
| 120121 | 575342 |  | Kentucky Historical Society | KAWC | 25750 |
| 120121 | 575342 |  | Fraternal Order of Police | KAWC | 1,030 00 |
| 120121 | 575350 |  | PRSA Dues - Local (Lancho\& Swope) | Susan LanchoNaleria Swope | 10300 |
| 120121 | 575350 |  | PRSA Dues - National (Lancho \& Swope) | Susan Lancho/Valeria Swope | 46350 |
| 120121 | 575350 |  | ARMA Dues - (Ballard) | Pat Ballard | 18540 |
| 120121 | 575350 | 16 | AWWA - Section - SL \& VS | Susan Lancho/Valeria Swope | 30900 |
| 120121 | 575350 | 16 | Lex Forum (Lancho) | Susan Lancho | 231.75 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 25,965.80 |

# KENTUCKY-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY <br> CASE NO. 2007-00143 <br> COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND SET <br> OF INFORMATION REQUESTS 

Item $\mathbf{3 6}$ of $\mathbf{8 0}$

## Witness: Nick Rowe/Sheila Miller

36. Refer to Kentucky-American’s Response to Commission Staff's First Set of Information Requests, Item 1(a), W/P3, pages 97 through 100 of 118.
a. Explain "Other Welfare Operations."
b. Describe the expenses that are included in the account entitled "Employee Awards."
c. Describe the expenses that are included in the account entitled "Safety Incentive."
d. Describe each of the services forecasted for "Contract Services - Accounting" in the amount of $\$ 72,000$ and "Contract Services - Legal" in the amount of $\$ 75,000$.
e. Account No. 575130.16 - Brochures \& Handouts totals \$52,955. At Exhibit 37, Schedule F, page 10 of its Application, however, Kentucky-American lists the amount for brochures \& handouts as $\$ 49,865$. Reconcile this difference.
f. Explain the significance of the schedule entitled "Transportation Expense Adjustment for Rate Case" and identify the account number and title in which the $\$ 253,471$ is reported.

## Response:

a. Other welfare operations include expenses for employee service awards, employee recognition events, and other expense related to employee health and attendance.
b. Included in employee awards are expenses that specifically recognize years of service and retirement.
c. Safety incentive expenses include recognition to employees for achieving or maintaining benchmarked safety criteria.
d. Contract Services -Accounting: Annual audit fees from external auditors.

Contract Services -Legal: Professional legal services as needed to address various litigation.
e. The difference is the result of inadvertently including on Schedule F some expenses that are not included in "brochures and handouts" and inadvertently excluding some budgeted brochures and handouts.

The total difference is $\$ 3,090$ and is comprised of the following:
Billing made easy brochure (February) not included - \$3,605
Earth day expense included on Schedule F in error - $(\$ 1,030)$
Conservation tips included on Schedule F in error - $(\$ 3,090)$
H2O - Help to Others brochure (September) not included - \$3,605
f. The transportation expense - adjustment for rate case - calculates an average increase in gasoline prices based on future crude oil costs. The $\$ 253,471$ is the estimated expense based on actual 2006 prices to the ratio of customers in the forecast period. The estimated expense of $\$ 253,471$ is then multiplied by the average change in crude oil prices to arrive at an estimated increase in gasoline purchases for the forecasted period of $\$ 9,226$. The estimate of $\$ 9,226$ is included in account 550002.16 transportation expense. The $\$ 253,471$ was only used in the calculation.

For electronic version, refer to KAW_R_PSCDR2\#36_061807.pdf

# KENTUCKY-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY <br> CASE NO. 2007-00143 <br> COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND SET <br> OF INFORMATION REQUESTS 

Item $\mathbf{3 7}$ of $\mathbf{8 0}$

## Witness: Michael A. Miller/Sheila Miller

37. Refer to Kentucky-American’s Application, Exhibit 37, Schedule F. For each forecasted amount listed in this Schedule, identify the account on page 7 of Exhibit 37, Schedule C, in which Kentucky-American has recorded the amount.

## Response:

The forecasted social and service club dues listed on Schedule F-1 are applied to account 575340 on the miscellaneous line of Schedule C.

All charitable contributions listed on Schedule F-2.1 pages 1 thru 4 are charged below the line and are not included in any account on Exhibit 37, Schedule C.

The forecasted employee recognition events and service awards on Schedule F2.3 are applied to account 504610 on the miscellaneous line of Schedule C. All other items listed on Schedule F-2.3 page 1 of 1 are below the line items.

The forecasted advertising expenses listed on Schedule F-4 under the column Conservation Advertising are charged to account 568010 on the miscellaneous line on Schedule C. Under the Institutional Column the newspaper advertising is charged to account 575030 on the miscellaneous line of Schedule C. The direct mail and customer handouts are charged to account 575130 on the miscellaneous line of Schedule C. Regarding Institutional Advertising also see the response to PSC DR2 question 38.

The rate case expense on Schedule F-5 is charged to the deferred account and does not appear on Schedule C. The annual audit expense is charged to account 532000 on the miscellaneous line of Schedule C. The Other professional service fees is for legal expense and is charged to account 533000 on the miscellaneous line of Schedule C.

The forecasted amortization of the rate case expense shown on Schedule F-6 is charged to account 566100 on the regulatory expense line of Schedule C. The difference in the amount on Schedule C and Schedule F-6 is the amortization of the depreciation study which does not appear on Schedule F-6.

Schedule F-7 summarizes the donations which are charged below the line, the civic activities charged to account 575340, and the political activities which are also charged below the line.

For electronic version, refer to KAW_R_PSCDR2\#37_061807.pdf


[^0]:    Note 3 The Research section of the Water Quality (WQ) operations is now charged through Corporate

[^1]:    Based on assumption that $90 \%$ of active males
    and $66 \%$ of active females will be married at
    retirement retirement.
    The allocation percentage for each company is
    Thal participants for that
    equal to the ratio of total participants for that
    company to total participants for the entire
    *** The allocation percentage for retiree
    Then
    contributions is equal to the ratio of total
    inactive participants for that company to total
    inactive participants for the entire American

[^2]:    ${ }^{-}$Please see the letter dated August 18,2006 for additional details

[^3]:    'Please see the letter dated August 18, 2006 for additional details.

[^4]:    - The allocation percentage for each company is equal to the ratio of valuation earnings for that company to total valuation eamings for the entire American system

[^5]:    1 This amount does not include the $\$ 35,000$ estimated cost of the depreciation study.

[^6]:    1 Case No. 2000-00120, Application of Kentucky-American Water Company to Increase Its Rates (Ky. PSC Nov. 27, 2000).

