

-----Original Message-----

From: Ed Hancock [mailto:EHancock@fewpb.com]  
Sent: Monday, February 28, 2005 8:00 AM  
To: Goodman, Shemega  
Subject: RE: Operator Services and Directory Assistance -  
FrankfortPlantBoard

Thank you for your prompt response. We will get the signed contract to you as soon as possible after the March 15 Board meeting.

Ed Hancock  
Frankfort Plant Board

>>> "Goodman, Shemega" <Shemega.Goodman@bellsouth.com> 02/25/05 04:49PM  
>>> >>>

I understand the timing issues for getting anything approved. We should be ok with the timeframe you provided on getting the Market Based Rate agreement approved. My address is:

Shemega Goodman  
BellSouth Interconnection Services  
675 West Peachtree Street, N.E.  
Room 34S91  
Atlanta, GA 30375  
404.927.7571

To answer your question #2 - Your observation was right on target! The rate in your current Interconnection Agreement will not remain the same until the expiration which is in 2006. Because of Change of Law - the Triennial Review Remand Order (TRRO), relieve BellSouth of it's obligation to provide Database services at TELRIC. Therefore, upon the effective date of the order, BellSouth will be moving those services to it's Market Based Rate Agreement.

Hope that helps!

shemega

-----Original Message-----

From: Ed Hancock [mailto:EHancock@fewpb.com]  
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2005 2:19 PM  
To: Goodman, Shemega  
Subject: RE: Operator Services and Directory Assistance -FrankfortPlant Board

Ms. Goodman.

Thank you for your response. Our plan at this time is to sign the Market Agreement and return it to you. Our Board of Directors must approve the proposed contract, and the Board is not scheduled to meet again until March 15, 2005. As a result, it may be close to the thirty day period until we are able to return the contract to you. We will send it overnight to you. Let me know the correct address to have it sent.

One follow-up question that is central to our concern. The interconnection agreement that we signed in April 2003 (three year agreement) that is currently on file with the Kentucky PSC does contain rates for the CNAM queries and certain other rate elements.

These same rate elements are increased in the Market Agreement.

Would the rates in the current interconnection agreement remain in effect until the expiration of the current interconnection agreement in May 2006? If not, why not.

I need this question answered so that I can prepare to answer questions to the Board of Directors.

Thank you.

Ed Hancock

>>> "Goodman, Shemega" <Shemega.Goodman@bellsouth.com> 02/17/05 06:01PM  
>>> >>>

Mr. Hancock,

My apologies for your questions going unanswered.

To answer your questions 1 and 2....Based on different Orders from the Courts and FCC, BellSouth was not required to provide CNAM, LIDB, LNP queries at TELRIC rates.

To answer your question 3.... No rate is there because CCS7 charges will be at tariff rates.

Because it is so late in the evening, the Product Manager for CCS7 is gone for the day. I will get a little more information to you regarding this product and pass it on.

I will be working a half day tomorrow and off Monday returning Tuesday. If by chance I miss your responses, I will do my best to respond on Tuesday.

-----Original Message-----

From: Ed Hancock [<mailto:EHancock@fewpb.com>]

Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2005 9:10 AM

To: Goodman, Shemega

Subject: Re: Operator Services and Directory Assistance -  
FrankfortPlant Board

Ms. Goodman:

The Frankfort Plant Board has repeatedly requested a clarification of certain of the rates, terms and conditions contained in the proposed "Market Agreement". BellSouth has simply ignored these requests for clarification. Your letter indicates that BellSouth will "discontinue" FPB's utilization of its operator services and directory assistance if

we do not sign an agreement that includes these services "among other things". That is the full extent of clarification provided by your company in a complex agreement that is over 100 pages long. I don't know how you can say that BellSouth "values" our business if your company demands that we sign an agreement and then refuses to provide any information about what the affect of that agreement is on our operations. The author of a contract has a legal obligation to make sure that the customer understands what is contained in that contract. BellSouth has made no such effort.

Once again, I have included the original questions that were asked several months ago and request a clarification. We will require an immediate response from BellSouth if we are to satisfy your 30 day ultimatum. With that said, the Frankfort Plant Board will do whatever it takes to avoid a situation in which BellSouth purposely disadvantages our customers.

-----  
-----  
uly 14, 2004

Tricia Wanner - BellSouth

Tricia:

Based on the Frankfort Plant Board's initial review, I believe we can move forward with the revised interconnection agreement. However, I do have some questions/concerns that need to be addressed, primarily related to our need for database access.

1) The rate for a CNAM query is not included in the proposed interconnection agreement for Unbundled Network Elements. I did find the CNAM query listed under what BellSouth termed its proposed "Market Based Agreement", which we have not yet signed. However, the rate in the "Market Based Agreement" is \$.008 per query, or approximately an 800% markup over the current rate (\$.0010348 per query) found in our interconnection agreement which is file with the Kentucky PSC. In your cover letter you maintain this proposal is consistent with BellSouth's commitment not to increase prices.

Is BellSouth willing to continue providing the CNAM query at the previously negotiated and approved contract rate of \$.0010348 per query? If not, please explain why that previously agreed-to rate is no longer valid and the rationale for the proposed increase.

2) A similar situation exists with regard to the LIDB query (1300% price increase) and the LNP query (12% increase). I would request the same information as above.

3) Clarification Please: under the proposed UNE Signaling (CCS7), page 5 of 6, there is no rate shown per TCAP message and per ISUP message as is currently being billed under the interconnection agreement. This is also not indicated in the proposed "BellSouth Market Based" agreement. Is this simply a rate structure modification or is BellSouth indicating that there is some function that is currently provided which will no longer be made available?

There may be additional issues to be addressed, but at this time I would appreciate your response in some detail to the above questions.

Ed Hancock  
Frankfort Plant Board

>>> "Goodman, Shemega" <Shemega.Goodman@bellsouth.com> 02/16/05 02:46PM

>>> >>>

Mr. Hancock,

Please see the attached.

<<Cover Letter.pdf>> <<4Q04 MBR.pdf>>

Shemega Goodman  
BellSouth Interconnection Services  
675 West Peachtree Street, N.E.  
Room 34S91  
Atlanta, Georgia 30375  
404-927-7571