

**DOCKET NO. 28821**

**ARBITRATION OF NON-COSTING § PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**  
**ISSUES FOR SUCCESSOR §**  
**INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENTS § OF TEXAS**  
**TO THE TEXAS 271 AGREEMENT §**

RECEIVED  
FEB 25 PM 3:17  
FILED CLERK

**ORDER NO. 38**  
**ESTABLISHING PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE AND**  
**SCOPE OF PROCEEDING**

Based upon discussions with the parties at a prehearing conference held on February 24, 2005, the following procedural schedule is adopted for this proceeding:

<b>DIRECT TESTIMONY FILED</b>	<b>MARCH 25, 2005</b>
<b>REBUTTAL TESTIMONY FILED</b>	<b>APRIL 8, 2005</b>
<b>HEARING ON THE MERITS</b>	<b>APRIL 21-22, 2005</b>
<b>INITIAL BRIEFS</b>	<b>MAY 9, 2005</b>
<b>REPLY BRIEFS (10-page limit)</b>	<b>MAY 16, 2005</b>
<b>ARBITRATION AWARD</b>	<b>MID-JUNE</b>
<b>FINAL CONTRACTS FILED</b>	<b>BY JULY 31, 2005</b>

**I. Procedural Matters**

Although this schedule does not require the filing of a Decision Point List (DPL), parties are requested to provide the Arbitrators with a joint DPL concurrent with, or, if possible, slightly before, the filing of direct testimony. In any event, parties are expected to organize their testimony by issue and to highlight which issues a particular witness will address to allow comparison of parties' positions on an issue-by-issue basis. To facilitate scheduling for the hearing on the merits, parties are asked to provide a list of panels, including all witnesses on each panel, no later than April 13, 2005.

To the extent parties wish to undertake further discovery, they shall do so consistent with agreements made in Phase I as to remaining numbers of requests for information (RFIs) etc. Upon agreement regarding discovery, parties shall inform the Arbitrators of their discovery arrangements, to include reference to any agreements regarding timing of or the need for, motions to compel and motions to strike.

## **II. Scoping of Track II**

Consistent with the Commission's discussion at the Open Meeting of February 24, 2005, arguments relating to unbundling obligations under state law shall not be included within the scope of Track II of this proceeding. Rulings upon preliminary motions, requests for discovery, including motions to compel, and issues regarding testimony or evidence, including motions to strike, shall be made consistent with the Commission's direction.

As referenced in the Interim Agreement Amendment approved by the Commission at its Open Meeting of February 24, 2005, parties are not precluded from questioning the PUC's interim determinations and requesting relief therefrom, including, but not limited to, requests for true-up at some later time.

## **III. CLLI Code Proceeding**

Consistent with the request of the Federal Communications Commission's (FCC's) letter of February 4, 2005, Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. d/b/a SBC Texas (SBC Texas) was requested to file, in this docket, a list identifying by Common Language Location Identifier (CLLI) code no later than February 22, 2005. In particular, SBC Texas was asked to identify:

- which wire centers in SBC Texas' operating areas in Texas satisfy the Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 3 criteria for dedicated transport, and
- which wire centers satisfy the non-impairment thresholds for DS1 and DS3 loops.

At this time, it is not clear whether the FCC will address these matters itself or whether state commissions will be expected to undertake these analyses. Parties are requested to discuss this issue among themselves and file a proposal for addressing these matters at some point after the hearing on the merits, including, but not limited to, suggesting timeframes and recommending whether to conduct such a proceeding on an ILEC-by-ILEC basis.

**IV. Parties' Reservations**

At the prehearing conference, although SBC Texas agreed to this procedural schedule, SBC Texas made clear that any agreement was not a waiver of its objection to the approval of the Interim Agreement Amendment. SBC Texas, and any other party wishing to do so, shall file any such objections, in writing, in this docket to ensure that the "running objection" is evident.

SIGNED AT AUSTIN, TEXAS THE 25<sup>th</sup> DAY OF FEBRUARY 2005.

**FTA § 252 ARBITRATION PANEL**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**DIANE PARKER**  
**ARBITRATOR**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**ANDREW KANG**  
**ARBITRATOR**

DOCKET NO. 28821

ARBITRATION OF NON-COSTING  
ISSUES FOR SUCCESSOR  
INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENTS TO  
THE TEXAS 271 AGREEMENT

§  
§  
§  
§

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
OF TEXAS

OFFICE OF THE  
CLERK  
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
1000 NORTH BRASS  
DALLAS, TEXAS 75202  
NFFD 25 PM 3:43

ORDER NO. 39  
ISSUING INTERIM AGREEMENT AMENDMENT

Upon consideration of the parties' filings and discussion at the February 24, 2005, Open Meeting, and the expiration of the Texas 271 Agreement (T2A) and T2A-based interconnection agreements between Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. d/b/a SBC Texas (SBC Texas) and competitive local exchange carriers (CLECs), the Public Utility Commission of Texas (Commission or PUC) issues the attached interim agreement amendment to govern parties' contractual relationships for the period of March 1 through July 31, 2005.<sup>1</sup> In issuing this interim agreement amendment, the Commission finds it necessary to act to prevent a lapse in the parties' contracts that could affect telecommunications services to end-user customers pending the completion of this docket.

The PUC seeks to ensure that the aforementioned expired agreements are made current to reflect recent changes in law under the Federal Communications Commission's (FCC) *Triennial Review Order* (TRO)<sup>2</sup> and *Triennial Review Remand Order* (TRRO).<sup>3</sup> The attached interim agreement amendment represents the Commission's preliminary determinations of the impacts of the TRO and TRRO. Parties are not precluded from arguing the merits of these issues in Track II of this proceeding and as appropriate, requesting relief, including, but not limited to, seeking true-up.

SBC Texas is directed to issue the attached interim agreement amendment through an Accessible Letter to all CLECs operating under the T2A, T2A-based interconnection agreements, or the contract developed in Docket No. 24542 no later than March 4, 2005. SBC Texas is further ordered to post this interim agreement amendment in a conspicuous location on its CLEC website, with appropriate links.


<sup>1</sup> The deadline of July 31, 2005 is the date under the current proposed procedural schedule by which parties expect to have completed this docket and have replacement contracts in place.

<sup>2</sup> *Review of the Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers, Implementation of the Local Competitive Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, and Deployment of Wireline Services Offering Advanced Telecommunications Capability*, CC Docket Nos. 01-388, 96-98, 98-147, Order, FCC 03-36 (Aug. 21, 2003) (*Triennial Review Order*).


<sup>3</sup> *Unbundled Access to Network Elements and Review of the Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers*, WC Docket No. 01-388 and CC Docket No. 01-388, Order on Remand, FCC 04-290 (Feb. 4, 2005) (*Triennial Review Remand Order*).

SIGNED AT AUSTIN, TEXAS the 25<sup>th</sup> day of February 2005.

**PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**JULIE PARSLEY, COMMISSIONER**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**PAUL HUDSON, CHAIRMAN**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**BARRY T. SMITHERMAN, COMMISSIONER**

P:\1\_FTA proceedings-Arbitrations\28XXX\28821\Orders\28821-39 amend\_extend T2A.doc

**INTERIM AGREEMENT AMENDMENT WITH UNE CONFORMING LANGUAGE  
TO  
INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT - TEXAS**

This Interim Agreement Amendment with UNE Conforming Language is to the approved Interconnection Agreement entered into by and between Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. d/b/a SBC Texas ("SBC Texas") and CLEC NAME ("CLEC").

WHEREAS, the original Agreement modified by way of this Amendment is the result of CLEC's decision to opt into the Texas 271 Agreement ("T2A") or parts thereof pursuant to Order 55 in Project 16251 dated October 13, 1999, or as a result of the Final Order issued in Docket No. 24542, as such Agreement may have been modified from time to time, and to the extent the original Agreement was only a partial election by CLEC to opt into the T2A, such Agreement may also include certain voluntarily negotiated or arbitrated appendices/provisions (hereinafter collectively "the T2A Agreement"); and

WHEREAS, the T2A Agreement expired October 13, 2003; and

WHEREAS, on April 11, 2003, SBC Texas delivered to CLEC a timely request to negotiate a successor agreement to CLEC's T2A Agreement ("Notice to Negotiate"); and

WHEREAS, Section 4.2 of CLEC's T2A Agreement provides that if either party has served a Notice to Negotiate then, notwithstanding the expiration of the T2A Agreement on October 13, 2003, the terms, conditions and prices of the T2A Agreement will remain in effect for a maximum period of 135 days after such expiration for completion of negotiations and any necessary arbitration; and

WHEREAS, a series of extensions of the T2A have occurred, and the termination of the T2A occurred as of February 17, 2005; and

WHEREAS, on January 23, 2004, SBC Texas filed its Omnibus Petition for Arbitration in Docket No. 28821 against all Texas CLECs with interconnection agreements originally expiring on October 13, 2003. Additionally, also on January 23, 2004, separate petitions of arbitration were filed against SBC Texas by the following CLECs: Stratos Telecom, Inc., Comcast Phone of Texas, LLC, Heritage Technologies, Ltd., FamilyTel of Texas, LLC and Navigator Telecommunications, LLC; Birch Telecom of Texas Ltd. L.L.P. and Ionex Communications South, Inc; CLEC Joint Petitioners; MCImetro Access Transmission Services, LLC, MCI Worldcom Communications and Brooks Fiber Communications of Texas, Inc.; Sage Telecom of Texas, L.P.; AT&T Communications of Texas, L.P., TCG Dallas and Teleport Communications Houston, Inc.; and CLEC Coalition.

WHEREAS, it appears that a successor interconnection agreement will not be approved in the Arbitration until after February 17, 2005, the termination date of CLEC's T2A Agreement; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Order No. 34 in Docket No. 28821 and the Texas Public Utility Commission's 2/10/05 ruling extending the effective date of the T2A from 2/17/05 to 2/28/05, the Texas PUC has ordered extension of the term of CLEC's T2A agreement beyond the termination date of February 17, 2005 to February 28, 2005, and has instructed the parties to create an amendment to incorporate its decision on TRO elements Order Addressing Threshold Issues dated April 19, 2004 and Order Addressing Motion for Reconsideration of Threshold Issues dated August 18, 2004 in Docket No. 28821, along with the transition periods/pricing from the FCC's TRO Remand Order, released February 4, 2005, and scheduled to become effective March 11, 2005. The Texas PUC has stated that the amendment will, along with the CLEC's T2A agreement, Attachments 6-10, and the Arbitration Award on Track One Issues in Docket No. 28821, and the Texas UNE Rate Amendment resulting from the September 9, 2004 Revised

Arbitration Award in Docket No. 28600, govern as an interim interconnection agreement approved by the Texas PUC during the period between the TPUC-established termination of the T2A Agreement (i.e., February 28, 2005) and the earlier of: (i) the date a successor agreement between SBC Texas and CLEC is approved or is deemed to have been approved by the Texas PUC; or (ii) July 31, 2005; and

WHEREAS, the interim agreement will automatically terminate the earlier of: (i) the date a successor agreement between SBC Texas and CLEC is approved or is deemed to have been approved by the TPUC; or (ii) July 31, 2005; and full intervening law rights are available to both parties under the interim agreement notwithstanding any language in CLEC's T2A Agreement, Attachments 6-10 to the contrary;

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing, and the promises and mutual agreements set forth herein, and to facilitate the orderly progress of the Arbitration to conclusion, the T2A Agreement is hereby amended, as follows, to be effective only on an interim basis, for the purposes herein expressed, and for a finite, interim term to expire the earlier of (i) the date a successor agreement between SBC and CLEC is approved or is deemed to have been approved by the TPUC; or (ii) July 31, 2005; and to make full intervening law rights available to both parties:

1. The Whereas clauses contained herein are incorporated into this Agreement.
2. The title of the T2A Agreement is hereby changed to "Interim Interconnection Agreement – Texas." All internal references to the "Agreement" are hereby changed to "Interim Agreement."
3. Sections 4.1, including Sections 4.1.1 and 4.1.2, Sections 4.2, 4.2.1 and 4.3 of the General Terms and Conditions of the Agreement are hereby deleted in their entirety and replaced with the following:

**4.1 Effective Date and Expiration/Termination.** The Interim Agreement shall be deemed effective following approval by the TPUC and commencing on the TPUC-established termination of the T2A Agreement February 28, 2005, and shall terminate, without any further action on the part of either Party, the earlier of:

- 4.1.1 The effective date of approval by the TPUC of a successor agreement to the T2A or partial-T2A Agreement(s) in the above referenced Arbitration; or
  - 4.1.2 The date a successor agreement between SBC and CLEC is approved or is deemed to have been approved by the TPUC; or
  - 4.1.3 The effective date of a written and signed agreement between the parties that the Interim Agreement is terminated; or
  - 4.1.4 A proper request by CLEC that the Interim Agreement be terminated (subject to CLEC's post-termination obligations, such as CLEC's payment obligation(s) and the other obligations set forth in Section 44.0 "Survival of Obligations" of the General Terms and Conditions); or
  - 4.1.5 Termination for any other reason, such as non-payment (as set forth in Section 10 of the General Terms and Conditions), subject to CLEC's post-termination obligations, such as CLEC's payment obligation(s) and the other obligations set forth in Section 44.0 "Survival of Obligations" of the General Terms and Conditions; or
  - 4.1.6 July 31, 2005.
4. Sections 2.0 and 2.1 ("Effective Date") of the General Terms and Conditions of the Agreement are deleted in their entirety.
  5. Nothing in this Agreement is to be interpreted as an agreement by SBC Texas to an extension of the T2A or any Section 271 obligations. The Interim Agreement, notwithstanding any provision to the contrary, is not based upon the same consideration or conditions as the T2A Agreement, and, regardless of when this Amendment is executed or effective, it shall not have the effect of extending the T2A Agreement, even if the

Agreement contained or contains, in whole or in part, provisions identical or substantially similar to provisions contained in the T2A Agreement. Any issues relating to Section 271 and any disputed issues with respect to language in the preamble to the underlying Agreement will be addressed in the proceedings related to the Parties' successor Interconnection Agreement, and the parties reserve their rights to all arguments related to the disposition of such issues.

6. Sections 1.3, 18.2, 18.3, and 30.2 of the General Terms and Conditions of the Agreement are hereby deleted in their entirety, and replaced with the following:

## 2.0 Intervening Law

- 2.1 In entering into this Amendment and Interim Agreement, neither Party is waiving, and each Party hereby expressly reserves, any of the rights, remedies or arguments it may have at law or under the intervening law or regulatory change provisions in the underlying Agreement (including intervening law rights asserted by either Party via written notice predating this Amendment) with respect to any orders, decisions, legislation or proceedings and any remands thereof, including, without limitation, the following actions, which the Parties have not yet fully incorporated into this Agreement or which may be the subject of further review: *Verizon v. FCC, et. al*, 535 U.S. 467 (2002); *USTA, et. al v. FCC*, 290 F.3d 415 (D.C. Cir. 2002) ("*USTA I*") and following remand and appeal, *USTA v. FCC*, 359 F.3d 554 (D.C. Cir. 2004) ("*USTA II*"); the FCC's 2003 Triennial Review Order and 2005 Triennial Review Remand Order; and the FCC's Order on Remand and Report and Order in CC Dockets No. 96-98 and 99-68, 16 FCC Rcd 9151 (2001), (rel. April 27, 2001), which was remanded in *WorldCom, Inc. v. FCC*, 288 F.3d 429 (D.C. Cir. 2002).

7. Sections 14.1, 14.5, and 14.8 of Attachment 6: Unbundled Network Elements are hereby deleted and Section 1.0 ("Introduction") of Attachment 6: Unbundled Network Elements of the Agreement is hereby deleted and replaced with the following:

### 1.0 Declassified Network Elements No Longer Required

- 1.1 TRO-Declassified Elements. Notwithstanding anything in this Interim Agreement, pursuant to the TRO and to the decision in *USTA II*, except as provided in Paragraph 3.0 below, nothing in this Interim Agreement requires SBC Texas to provide to CLEC any of the following items as an unbundled network element, either alone or in combination (whether new, existing, or pre-existing) with any other element, service or functionality: (i) entrance facilities; (ii) OCn dedicated transport; (iii) "enterprise market" local circuit switching for DS1 and higher capacity switching; (iv) OCn loops; (v) the feeder portion of the loop; (vi) any call-related database (other than the 911 and E911 databases), that is not provisioned in connection with CLEC's use of embedded base SBC Texas unbundled local circuit switching (as provided in Section 1.3, below); (vii) Operator Services and Directory Assistance that is not provisioned in connection with CLEC's use of embedded base SBC Texas unbundled local circuit switching (as provided in Section 1.3 below); (viii) Shared Transport and SS7 signaling that is not provisioned in connection with CLEC's use of embedded base SBC Texas unbundled local circuit switching (as provided in Section 1.3 below); (ix) packet switching, including routers and DSLAMs; (x) the packetized bandwidth, features, functions, capabilities, electronics and other equipment used to transmit packetized information over hybrid loops (as defined in 47 C.F.R. § 51.319(a)(2)), including without limitation, xDSL-capable line cards installed in digital loop carrier ("DLC") systems or equipment used to provide passive optical networking ("PON") capabilities; (xi) fiber-to-the-home Loops and fiber-to-the-curb Loops (as defined in 47 C.F.R. § 51.319(a)(3)) ("FTTH Loops" and "FTTC Loops"), except to the extent that SBC Texas has deployed such fiber in parallel to, or in replacement of, an existing copper loop facility and elects to retire the copper loop, in which case SBC Texas will provide nondiscriminatory access to a 64 kilobits per second transmission path capable of voice grade service over the FTTH Loop or



FTTC Loop on an unbundled basis to the extent required by terms and conditions in the Agreement.

1.1.1 SBC Texas will provide written notice to CLEC of its intention to discontinue the provision of one or more of the TRO-Declassified Elements identified in Section 1.1, above under the Agreement. During a transitional period of thirty (30) days from the date of such notice, SBC Texas agrees to continue providing such TRO-Declassified Elements under the terms of the Agreement, to the extent required by the Agreement.

1.1.1.1 Upon receipt of such written notice, CLEC will cease new orders for such network element(s) that are identified in the SBC Texas notice letter. SBC Texas reserves the right to monitor, review, and/or reject CLEC orders transmitted to SBC Texas and, to the extent that the CLEC has submitted orders and such orders are provisioned after this 30-day transitional period, such network elements are still subject to this Paragraph Section 1, including the CLEC options set forth in subparagraph 1.1.1.1.1 below, and SBC Texas's right of conversion in the event the CLEC options are not accomplished by the end of the 30-day transitional period.

1.1.1.1.1 During such 30-day transitional period, the following options are available to CLEC with regard to the network element(s) identified in the SBC Texas notice, including the combination or other arrangement in which the network element(s) were previously provided:

- (i) CLEC may issue an LSR or ASR, as applicable, to seek disconnection or other discontinuance of the network element(s) and/or the combination or other arrangement in which the element(s) were previously provided; or
- (ii) SBC Texas and CLEC may agree upon another service arrangement (e.g. via a separate agreement at market-based rates or resale), or may agree that an analogous resale service or access product or service may be substituted, if available.

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in the Agreement, including any amendments to the Agreement, at the end of the thirty (30) day transitional period, unless CLEC has submitted a disconnect/discontinuance LSR or ASR, as applicable, under subparagraph (i), above, and if CLEC and SBC Texas have failed to reach agreement, under subparagraph (ii), above, as to a substitute service arrangement or element, then SBC Texas will convert the subject element(s), whether alone or in combination with or as part of any other arrangement to an analogous resale or access service or arrangement, if available, at rates applicable to such analogous service or arrangement.

1.2 TRO Remand Order – Declassified High-Capacity Loop and Dedicated Transport Elements No Longer Required. Notwithstanding anything in the Agreement, effective March 11, 2005, pursuant to Rule 51.319(a) and Rule 51.319(e) as set forth in the TRO Remand Order, the following high-capacity loop and dedicated transport elements are no longer required to be provided by SBC Texas on an unbundled basis under the Agreement, whether alone, in combination, or otherwise:

- Dark Fiber Loops;
- DS1 Loops or DS3 Loops in excess of the caps or to any building served by a wire center described in Rule 51.319(a)(4) or 51.319(a)(5), as set forth in the TRO Remand Order, as applicable;

- DS1 Dedicated Transport or DS3 Dedicated Transport in excess of the caps or between any pair of wire centers as described in Rule 51.319(e)(2)(ii) or 51.319(e)(2)(iii), as set forth in the TRO Remand Order, as applicable; and/or
- Dark Fiber Dedicated Transport, between any pair of wire centers as described in Rule 51.319(e)(2)(iv), as set forth in the TRO Remand Order.

The above-listed element(s) are referred to herein as the "Affected Loop-Transport Element(s)."

1.2.1 After March 11, 2005, pursuant to Rules 51.319(a) and (e), as set forth in the TRO Remand Order, SBC Texas shall continue to provide unbundled access to the Affected Loop-Transport Element(s) to CLEC, if and as provided by Attachment 6: UNE, only for CLEC to serve its embedded base. "Embedded base" shall refer only to Affected Loop-Transport Element(s) ordered by CLEC prior to March 11, 2005. The price for the embedded base Affected Loop-Transport Element(s) shall be the higher of (A) the rate CLEC paid for the embedded base Affected Loop-Transport Element(s) as of June 15, 2004 plus 15% or (B) the rate the state commission has established or establishes, if any, between June 16, 2004 and March 11, 2005 for the Affected Loop-Transport Element(s), plus 15%. CLEC shall be fully liable to SBC to pay such pricing under the Agreement, including applicable terms and conditions setting forth damages, interest, and/or late payment charges for failure to comply with payment terms, notwithstanding anything to the contrary in the underlying Agreement.

1.3 TRO Remand Order – Mass Market ULS/UNE-P – Notwithstanding anything in the underlying Agreement, effective March 11, 2005, pursuant to Rule 51.319(d) as set forth in the TRO Remand Order, Mass Market Local Circuit Switching, whether alone, in combination (as with UNE-P), or otherwise, is no longer required to be provided by SBC on an unbundled basis under the Agreement. Pursuant to the TRO Remand Order, "Mass Market" Local Circuit Switching means unbundled local circuit switching arrangements used to serve a customer at less than the DS1 capacity level (e.g., 23 or fewer Local Circuit Switching DS0 ports or the equivalent switching capacity).

1.3.1 After March 11, 2005, pursuant to Rule 51.319(d)(2)(iii), as set forth in the TRO Remand Order, SBC shall continue to provide unbundled access to Mass Market Local Circuit Switching/UNE-P to CLEC, if and as provided by Attachment 6: UNE, only for CLEC to serve its embedded base. "Embedded base" shall refer only to Mass Market Local Circuit Switching/UNE-P ordered by CLEC prior to March 11, 2005. The price for the embedded base Mass Market Local Circuit Switching/UNE-P shall be the higher of (A) the rate CLEC paid for the embedded base Mass Market Local Circuit Switching/UNE-P as of June 15, 2004 *plus one dollar* or (B) the rate the state commission has established or establishes, if any, between June 16, 2004 and March 11, 2005 for the Mass Market Local Circuit Switching/UNE-P, *plus one dollar*. CLEC shall be fully liable to SBC to pay such pricing under the Agreement, including applicable terms and conditions setting forth damages, interest, and/or late payment charges for failure to comply with payment terms, notwithstanding anything to the contrary in the underlying Agreement.

1.3.2 Consistent with Paragraphs 199 and 216 of the TRO Remand Order, which recognize that CLECs must have time to transition their embedded customer-base that is served using Mass-Market Local Circuit Switching and UNE-P combinations to other facilities, including self-deployed switching and UNE loops, CLEC shall not be prohibited from ordering and SBC shall provision (i) additional UNE-P access lines to serve CLEC's embedded

customer-base and (ii) moves and changes in UNE-P access lines to serve CLEC's embedded customer-base during the time that this Amendment is in effect.

- 1.4 Consistent with Paragraph 100 of the TRO Remand Order, CLEC shall have the right to verify and challenge SBC's identification of fiber-based collocation arrangements in the listed Tier 1 and Tier 2 wire centers as part of Track 2 of the Arbitration.
  - 1.4.1 If the PUC determines that SBC's identification of fiber-based collocation arrangements is in error and if the correction of such error results in change to one or more wire center's classification as a Tier 1 or Tier 2 wire center, the rates paid by CLEC for High-Capacity Loops and Transport shall be subject to true-up.
- 1.5 Consistent with Paragraph 234 of the TRO Remand Order, and recognizing that the designation of wire centers as Tier 1 and Tier 2 is dependent on facts not within CLEC's knowledge or control, CLEC shall undertake a reasonably diligent inquiry and shall self-certify, based on that inquiry, that its request for a High-Capacity Loop and/or Transport is consistent with the requirements of the TRO Remand Order. SBC shall provision the requested High-Capacity Loop and/or Transport according to standard provisioning intervals and only after provisioning may it challenge CLEC's ability to obtain the High-Capacity Loop and/or Transport.
  - 1.5.1 If it is subsequently determined that the CLEC's request for a High-Capacity Loop and/or Transport is inconsistent with the requirements of the TRO Remand Order, the rates paid by CLEC for High-Capacity Loops and Transport shall be subject to true-up.
  - 1.5.2 Consistent with footnote 524 of the TRO Remand Order, High-Capacity Loops no longer subject to unbundling under Section 251, shall be subject to true-up to the applicable transition rate.
- 1.6 Consistent with Paragraph 133 of the TRO Remand Order, CLEC shall have the right to retain and obtain dark fiber transport as an unbundled network element under Section 251 only on routes for which the wire center on one end is neither Tier 1 nor Tier 2.
- 1.7 **CONVERSIONS:** CLEC shall have the right to order and SBC shall provision conversions of special access services to UNEs and UNE Combinations during the time this Amendment is in effect; provided however, that CLEC (1) satisfies the tests set out in Paragraphs 591 through 599 of the TRO and (2) the UNE or the UNE Combination requested is not subject to any of the transition plans identified in the TRO Remand Order. That is, CLEC may not seek to request the conversion of a special access circuit to a UNE or UNE combination unless the UNE itself or each of the UNEs sought to be combined is ordered to be provided on an unbundled basis in the TRO Remand Order.
- 1.8 **COMMINGLED ARRANGEMENTS:** CLEC shall have the right to order and SBC shall provision the following commingled arrangements consisting of the following High-Capacity Loops and Transport required to be unbundled under Section 251 or subject to the transition plan set out in the TRRO:
  - (a) UNE DS1 loop connected to:

- (1) a commingled wholesale/special access 3/1 mux and DS3 or higher capacity interoffice transport;<sup>1</sup>
  - (2) a UNE DS1 transport which is then connected to a commingled wholesale/special access 3/1 mux and DS3 or higher capacity interoffice transport;
  - (3) a commingled wholesale/special access DS1 transport.
- (b) UNE DS1 transport connected to:
- (1) a commingled wholesale/special access 3/1 mux and DS3 or higher capacity interoffice transport.
- (c) UNE DS3 transport connect to:
- (1) a commingled wholesale/special access higher capacity interoffice transport.

1.8.1 SBC and CLEC shall establish and agree to a manual ordering process for the commingled arrangements identified in 1.6 above no later than 10 business days following the effective date of this Amendment. Commingled arrangements ordered by CLEC using the agreed-upon manual ordering process shall be provisioned within the provisioning intervals already established by SBC for the wholesale service(s) with which CLEC requests a UNE be commingled.

1.8.2 SBC shall charge the rates for UNEs (or UNE combinations) that are commingled with facilities or service obtained at wholesale (including, for example, special access services) on an element-by-element basis, and such wholesale facilities and services on a facility-by-facility, service-by-service basis.

1.8.3 The Parties agree that the list of commingled arrangements identified in 1.6 above is not a complete list of all commingled arrangements that ultimately may be made available to CLEC following the conclusion of Track 2 of the Arbitration. The Parties' disputes regarding the availability of other commingled arrangements as well as the process and procedures for ordering commingled arrangements are part of Track 2 of the Arbitration.

8. TO THE EXTENT THE UNDERLYING AGREEMENT INCLUDES LINE SHARING PROVISIONS INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING: The following provisions are hereby added to the Agreement specific to the High Frequency Portion of the Loop" ("HFPL"):

**Grandfathered and New End-Users:** SBC Texas will continue to provide access to the HFPL, where: (i) prior to October 2, 2003, CLEC began providing DSL service to a particular end-user customer and has not ceased providing DSL service to that customer ("Grandfathered End-Users"); and/or (ii) CLEC begins/began providing xDSL service to a particular end-user customer on or after October 2, 2003, and on or before the close of business December 3, 2004 ("New End-Users"). Such access to the HFPL shall be provided at the same monthly recurring rate that SBC Texas charged prior to October 2, 2003 and shall continue for Grandfathered End-Users until the earlier of: (1) CLEC's xDSL-base service to the end-user customer is disconnected for whatever reason, or (2) the FCC issues its Order in its Biennial Review Proceeding or any other relevant government action which modifies the FCC's HFPL grandfather clause established in its Triennial Review Order and as to New End-Users, the earlier of: (1) and (2) immediately above; or (3) October 2, 2006.

<sup>1</sup> "Higher capacity interoffice transport" must include any technology that is offered or made available with that transport on a regular or routine basis, e.g., SONET. This requirement applies to all references to "higher capacity interoffice transport" in this Section 1.6.

Beginning October 2, 2006, SBC Texas shall have no obligation to continue to provide the HFPL for CLEC to provide xDSL-based service to any New End-Users that CLEC began providing xDSL-based service to over the HFPL on or after October 2, 2003 and before December 3, 2004. Rather, effective October 2, 2006, CLEC must provide xDSL-based service to any such new end-user customer(s) via a line splitting arrangement, over a stand-alone xDSL Loop purchased from SBC Texas, or through an alternate arrangement, if any, that the Parties may negotiate. Any references to the HFPL being made available as an unbundled network element or "UNE" are hereby deleted from the underlying Agreement.

9. Except as prohibited or otherwise affected by the *Interim Order*, nothing in this Amendment shall affect the general application and effectiveness of the Interim Agreement's "change of law," "intervening law", "successor rates" and/or any other similar provisions and/or rights under the Interim Agreement. The rights and obligations set forth in this Amendment apply in addition to any other rights and obligations that may be created by such intervening law, change in law or other substantively similar provision.
10. This Amendment shall be deemed to revise the rates, terms and provisions of the Agreement, including without limitation all associated prices in the Agreement to the extent necessary to give effect to the terms and conditions of this Amendment. In the event of a conflict between the terms and conditions of this Amendment and the rates, terms and conditions of the Agreement, this Amendment shall govern. By way of example only, if the Agreement provides that a combination of UNEs must be provided by SBC Texas, CLEC may not obtain a combination including one or more elements affected by Section 1.0 "Declassified Elements No Longer Required," above. By way of additional example only, if the Agreement provides (or assumes) that a UNE must be provided by SBC Texas, elements affected by Section 1.0 "Declassified Elements No Longer Required" are, nonetheless, not required to be provided, except to the limited extent set forth in Section 1.0 "Elements No Longer Required" and in such case, any rates for Elements No Longer Required under the Agreement shall be deemed removed from the Pricing Schedule to the Agreement.
11. This Amendment may require that certain sections of the Agreement shall be replaced and/or modified by the provisions set forth in this Amendment including without limitation certain sections not explicitly identified in this Amendment. The Parties agree that such replacement and/or modification shall be accomplished without the necessity of physically removing and replacing or modifying such language throughout the Agreement. Rather, the Agreement shall automatically be deemed to be modified by way of this Amendment to the extent necessary to implement the provisions of this Amendment.
12. Nothing in this Amendment shall be deemed to affect the right of a Party to exercise any rights it may have under the Interim Agreement including, without limitation, its intervening law rights, any rights of termination, and/or any other rights available to either Party under the Interim Agreement.
13. Although it is not necessary to give effect to the terms and conditions of this Amendment, including pricing provisions, upon written request of either Party, the Parties may amend any and all Interim Agreement rates and/or pricing schedules to formally conform the Interim Agreement to reflect the terms and conditions of this Amendment.
14. Notwithstanding any contrary provision in the Interim Agreement, this Amendment, or any applicable SBC tariff, nothing contained in the Interim Agreement, this Amendment, or any applicable SBC tariff shall limit SBC Texas's right to appeal, seek reconsideration of or otherwise seek to have stayed, modified, reversed or invalidated any order, rule, regulation, decision, ordinance or statute issued by the Texas PUC, the FCC, any court or any other governmental authority related to, concerning, or that may affect SBC Texas's obligations under the Interim Agreement, this Amendment, any applicable SBC tariff, or applicable law.

15. **PERFORMANCE MEASURES and REMEDY PLAN:** The performance measures and the existing remedy plan contained in the T2A for ordering, provisioning and maintenance shall apply to all High-Capacity Loops and Transport, and all Mass-Market Switching/UNE-P access lines during the period in which this Amendment is effective.
  
16. In entering into this Amendment, neither Party is waiving, and each Party hereby expressly reserves, any of the rights, remedies or arguments it may have at law or under the intervening law or regulatory change provisions in the underlying Agreement (including intervening law rights asserted by either Party via written notice predating this Amendment) with respect to any orders, decisions, legislation or proceedings and any remands thereof, including, without limitation, the following actions, to the extent the Parties have not yet fully incorporated them into this Agreement or which may be the subject of further government review: *Verizon v. FCC, et. al*, 535 U.S. 467 (2002); *USTA, et. al v. FCC*, 290 F.3d 415 (D.C. Cir. 2002) and following remand and appeal, *USTA v. FCC*, 359 F.3d 554 (D.C. Cir. 2004); the FCC's Triennial Review Order (rel. Aug. 21, 2003) including, without limitation, the FCC's MDU Reconsideration Order (FCC 04-191) (rel. Aug. 9, 2004) and the FCC's Order on Reconsideration (FCC 04-248) (rel. Oct. 18, 2004); the FCC's Triennial Review Remand Order (rel. Feb. 4, 2005), WC Docket No. 04-313; CC Docket No. 01-338; and the FCC's Order on Remand and Report and Order in CC Dockets No. 96-98 and 99-68, 16 FCC Rcd 9151 (2001), (rel. April 27, 2001), which was remanded in *WorldCom, Inc. v. FCC*, 288 F.3d 429 (D.C. Cir. 2002). The Parties further acknowledge and agree that this Amendment is to effectuate an Interim Agreement for a finite period of time to afford the Texas PUC and the Parties additional time to finalize a successor interconnection agreement based upon the provisions set forth herein. Therefore, the Parties acknowledge and agree that: (i) because this Amendment is to effectuate an Interim Agreement and not a final 251/252 Interconnection Agreement between the Parties; and (ii) effectively incorporates pricing changes into the Interim Agreement; and (iii) the Interim Agreement contains certain arbitrated provisions; and (iii) portions of the Interim Agreement are the result of CLEC's prior decision to opt into the T2A Agreement or parts thereof; that no aspect/provisions of this Interim Agreement qualify for portability into Illinois or any other state under 220 ILCS 5/13-801(b) ("Illinois Law"), Condition 27 of the Merger Order issued by the Illinois Commerce Commission in Docket No. 98-0555 ("Condition 27") or any other state or federal statute, regulation, order or legal obligation (collectively "Law"), if any.