

## INVESTOR GROWTH EXPECTATIONS

Summer 2004

A study done by Vander Weide and Carleton in 1988<sup>1</sup> suggests that consensus analysts' forecast of future growth is superior to historically oriented growth measures in stock valuation process for domestic companies. We worked with one of the original authors of the study, Dr. James H. Vander Weide, and closely followed his suggestions and methodology to investigate whether the results still hold in more recent times (2001- 2003).

We used the following equation to determine which estimate of future growth (g) best predicts the firm's P/E ratio when combined with the dividend payout ratio, D/E, and risk variables, B, Cov, Stb, and Sa.

$$P/E = a_0(D/E) + a_1g(\text{Growth}) + a_2B(\text{Beta}) + a_3\text{Cov}(\text{Interest Coverage Ratio}) + a_4\text{Stb}(\text{Stability}) + a_5\text{Sa}(\text{Std Dev}) + e$$

### Data Description

**Earnings Per Share:** IBES consensus analyst estimate of the firm's earnings for the unreported year.

**Price/Earnings Ratio:** Closing stock price for the year divided by the consensus analyst earnings per share for the forthcoming year.

**Dividends:** Ratio of common dividends per share to the consensus analyst earnings forecast for the forthcoming fiscal year (D/E).

#### Historical Growth measures

**EPS Growth Rate:** Determined by a log-linear least squares regression for the latest year, two years, three years, ..., and ten years.

**Dividend per Share Growth Rate:** Determined by a log-linear least squares regression for the latest year, two years, three years, ..., and ten years.

**Book Value per Share Growth Rate:** Common equity divided by the common shares outstanding. Determined by a log-linear least squares regression for the latest year, two years, three years, ..., and ten years.

**Cash Flow per Share Growth Rate:** Ratio of gross cash flow to common shares outstanding. Determined by a log-linear least squares regression for the latest year, two years, three years, ..., and ten years.

**Plowback Growth:** Firm's retention ratio for the current year times the firm's latest annual return on equity.

**3yr Plowback Growth:** Firm's three-year average retention ratio times the firm's three-year average return on equity.

#### Consensus Analysts' Forecasts

**Five-Year Earnings Per Share Growth:** Mean analysts' forecast compiled by IBES.

---

<sup>1</sup> Vander Weide, J. H., and W. T. Carleton. "Investor Growth Expectations: Analysts vs. History." *The Journal of Portfolio Management*, Spring 1988, pp. 78-82.

## Risk Variables

- B: Beta, the firm's beta versus NYSE from Value Line.
- Cov: The firm's pretax interest coverage ratio from Compustat.
- Stb: Five-year historical earnings per share stability. Average absolute percentage difference between actual reported EPS and a 5yr historical EPS growth trend line from IBES.
- Sa: The standard deviation of earnings per share estimate for the fiscal year from IBES.

We set five restrictions on the companies included in the study in order to be consistent with the original study and to obtain more meaningful results.

- Excluded all firms that IBES did not follow.
- Eliminated companies with:
  - Negative EPS during any of the years 1991-2003.
  - No dividend during any one of the years 1991-2003.
  - P/E ratio greater than 60 in years 2001-2003.
  - Less than five years of operating history.

The final universe consisted of 411 US firms, fifty-nine of which are utility companies.

## Results

The study was performed in two stages.

### Stage 1

In order to determine which historically oriented growth measure is most highly correlated with each firm's end-of-year P/E ratio, we computed spearman (rank) correlations between all forty-two historically oriented future growth measures and P/E.

The result of the stage 1 study is displayed in Table 1. Three-year plowback ratio has the highest correlation with P/E in 2001 and 2002, and five-year EPS growth rate has the highest correlation with P/E in 2003.

**Table 1**

<b>Stage1 Results for Utility and Non-Utility Companies Combined</b>											
Correlations between Historically Based Growth Estimates by Year with P/E											
Current Year	y1	y2	y3	y4	y5	y6	y7	y8	y9	y10	
2001	EPS	0.232	0.210	0.145	0.122	0.059	0.034	-0.007	-0.076	-0.117	-0.154
	DPS	-0.243	-0.297	-0.296	-0.293	-0.313	-0.316	-0.336	-0.334	-0.329	-0.333
	BVPS	0.059	-0.017	-0.098	-0.138	-0.150	-0.182	-0.219	-0.259	-0.271	-0.273
	CFPS	0.092	0.092	0.087	0.042	-0.063	-0.102	-0.141	-0.193	-0.237	-0.262
	plowback	0.203									
	plowback3	0.308									
2002	EPS	-0.007	0.147	0.076	0.080	0.083	0.050	0.030	-0.018	-0.060	-0.089
	DPS	-0.126	-0.202	-0.251	-0.224	-0.215	-0.239	-0.232	-0.233	-0.211	-0.198
	BVPS	-0.036	-0.036	-0.078	-0.115	-0.114	-0.127	-0.152	-0.162	-0.175	-0.171
	CFPS	0.056	0.045	0.017	0.021	0.030	-0.024	-0.050	-0.080	-0.125	-0.162
	plowback	0.093									
	plowback3	0.180									
2003	EPS	0.073	0.084	0.214	0.231	0.244	0.228	0.182	0.158	0.104	0.049
	DPS	0.120	0.054	-0.001	-0.078	-0.090	-0.126	-0.152	-0.165	-0.183	-0.185
	BVPS	0.097	0.076	0.067	0.036	-0.045	-0.062	-0.063	-0.083	-0.105	-0.131
	CFPS	0.146	0.196	0.243	0.239	0.206	0.178	0.107	0.089	0.039	-0.022
	plowback	-0.017									
	plowback3	0.038									

We also independently examined utility and non-utility firms. Table 2 shows the result for the fifty-nine utility firms. Two-year growth in EPS has the highest correlation with P/E in 2001, four-year EPS has the highest correlation in 2002, and six-year EPS has the highest correlation in 2003.

Table 3 exhibits the result for the remaining non-utility firms. EPS one-year growth, two-year growth, and five-year growth has the highest correlation with P/E in 2001, 2002, and 2003, respectively.

**Table 2****Stage1 Results for Utility Companies**

Correlations between Historically Based Growth Estimates by Year with P/E

Current Year	y1	y2	y3	y4	y5	y6	y7	y8	y9	y10	
2001	EPS	0.305	0.330	0.305	0.319	0.238	0.157	0.129	0.107	0.079	0.048
	DPS	-0.215	-0.321	-0.302	-0.294	-0.316	-0.281	-0.332	-0.414	-0.435	-0.429
	BVPS	0.164	0.137	0.147	-0.027	-0.072	-0.135	-0.117	-0.104	-0.106	-0.140
	CFPS	0.194	0.135	0.020	-0.018	-0.122	-0.157	-0.135	-0.134	-0.103	-0.219
	plowback	-0.143									
	plowback3	-0.027									
2002	EPS	-0.065	0.044	0.069	0.119	0.071	0.004	-0.038	-0.069	-0.061	-0.070
	DPS	-0.333	-0.327	-0.278	-0.313	-0.280	-0.321	-0.277	-0.226	-0.203	-0.210
	BVPS	-0.325	-0.239	-0.182	-0.177	-0.230	-0.237	-0.250	-0.247	-0.235	-0.235
	CFPS	-0.205	-0.132	-0.172	-0.166	-0.216	-0.289	-0.285	-0.265	-0.227	-0.218
	plowback	-0.151									
	plowback3	-0.133									
2003	EPS	0.010	0.136	0.186	0.263	0.365	0.367	0.344	0.343	0.309	0.302
	DPS	0.151	-0.029	-0.014	-0.022	-0.054	-0.117	-0.142	-0.137	-0.105	-0.092
	BVPS	0.212	0.060	0.047	0.019	0.003	0.040	0.022	0.005	0.003	-0.002
	CFPS	0.222	-0.046	0.173	0.115	0.165	0.100	0.017	0.077	0.057	0.077
	plowback	-0.365									
	plowback3	-0.403									

**Table 3****Stage1 Results for Non-Utility Companies**

Correlations between Historically Based Growth Estimates by Year with P/E

Current Year	y1	y2	y3	y4	y5	y6	y7	y8	y9	y10	
2001	EPS	0.1843	0.1660	0.1293	0.1218	0.0873	0.0829	0.0618	0.0106	-0.0194	-0.0412
	DPS	-0.2036	-0.2211	-0.2042	-0.1935	-0.2098	-0.2066	-0.2186	-0.2155	-0.2046	-0.1975
	BVPS	0.0757	0.0084	-0.0791	-0.0997	-0.0916	-0.1146	-0.1388	-0.1783	-0.1866	-0.1823
	CFPS	0.0864	0.0710	0.0956	0.0704	-0.0033	-0.0162	-0.0366	-0.0747	-0.1186	-0.1325
	plowback	0.0781									
	plowback3	0.1781									
2002	EPS	0.0762	0.1767	0.0755	0.0817	0.0936	0.0757	0.0708	0.0316	-0.0011	-0.0254
	DPS	-0.0804	-0.1693	-0.2103	-0.1672	-0.1519	-0.1720	-0.1645	-0.1636	-0.1394	-0.1226
	BVPS	0.0527	0.0236	-0.0363	-0.0777	-0.0710	-0.0753	-0.0953	-0.1019	-0.1118	-0.1061
	CFPS	0.0905	0.0488	0.0143	0.0237	0.0563	0.0246	0.0097	-0.0079	-0.0458	-0.0821
	plowback	0.0634									
	plowback3	0.1306									
2003	EPS	0.1254	0.1783	0.2788	0.2689	0.2791	0.2622	0.2219	0.2039	0.1559	0.1090
	DPS	0.1810	0.1290	0.0655	-0.0128	-0.0101	-0.0400	-0.0630	-0.0772	-0.0930	-0.0952
	BVPS	0.1555	0.1740	0.1534	0.1056	0.0127	-0.0069	-0.0054	-0.0218	-0.0416	-0.0636
	CFPS	0.1479	0.2200	0.2512	0.2429	0.2004	0.1839	0.1349	0.1286	0.0892	0.0388
	plowback	-0.1109									
	plowback3	-0.0402									

**Stage 2**

We compared the multiple regression model of historical growth rate with the highest correlation to the P/E ratio from stage 1 to the five-year earnings per share growth forecast.

$$P/E = a_0(D/E) + a_1g + a_2B + a_3Cov + a_4Stb + a_5Sa + e$$

The regression results are displayed in table 4. The results show that the consensus analysts' forecast of future growth better approximates the firm's P/E ratio, which is consistent with the results found by Vander Weide and Carleton. In both regressions, R<sup>2</sup> in the regression with the consensus analysts' forecast is higher than the R<sup>2</sup> in the regression with the historical growth.

**Table 4**  
**Stage2 Results for Utility and Non-Utility Companies Combined**

Multiple Regression Results  
 $P/E = a_0 + a_1 D/E + a_2 g + a_3 B + a_4 Cov + a_5 Stb + a_6 Sa$

Historical									
	a0	a1	a2	a3	a4	a5	a6	Rsq	F Ratio
2001	10.43	8.46	10.79	6.79	0.02	-0.03	-18.83	0.20	13.90
	4.73	5.53	2.93	3.54	3.05	-3.06	-3.32		
2002	12.36	7.60	6.66	1.01	0.00	0.01	-32.48	0.15	9.46
	7.21	6.18	2.61	0.66	1.57	1.48	-4.04		
2003	13.34	5.96	9.87	5.27	0.01	-0.01	-20.46	0.24	17.61
	7.29	4.04	2.95	3.39	3.62	-1.31	-4.25		
Analysts' Forecasts									
	a0	a1	a2	a3	a4	a5	a6	Rsq	F Ratio
2001	-1.26	16.14	144.75	-0.64	0.01	-0.03	-10.76	0.47	48.00
	-0.62	11.63	13.22	-0.38	3.07	-4.04	-2.29		
2002	3.37	13.37	106.07	-3.60	0.00	0.01	-21.85	0.35	29.73
	1.93	10.97	10.59	-2.57	1.25	1.50	-3.06		
2003	4.77	12.76	61.93	4.38	0.01	0.00	-19.41	0.33	26.38
	2.65	9.48	7.25	3.01	2.45	-0.81	-4.33		

\*T-stats below the coefficients in smaller font

For utility companies shown in table 5, consensus analysts' forecast of future growth is superior to historically oriented growth in 2002 and 2003. R<sup>2</sup> is lower in the regression with the consensus analysts' forecast in 2001. For non-utility companies, we found that consensus analysts' forecast of future growth is superior to the alternative in all three years (table 6).

**Table 5**  
**Stage2 Results for Utility Companies**

Multiple Regression Results

$$P/E = a_0 + a_1 D/E + a_2 g + a_3 B + a_4 Cov + a_5 Stb + a_6 Sa$$

Historical

	a0	a1	a2	a3	a4	a5	a6	Rsq	F Ratio
2001	7.90	11.07	-11.19	-3.00	0.29	0.00	-9.37	0.44	6.38
	2.16	4.80	-5.71	-0.86	0.88	0.64	-1.51		
2002	13.87	7.00	-3.80	-6.89	0.56	0.00	-29.89	0.38	5.11
	4.02	3.54	-0.66	-2.01	1.48	0.42	-2.70		
2003	11.29	7.74	-1.65	-1.40	0.32	0.00	-5.69	0.25	2.68
	3.22	3.30	-0.23	-0.43	1.05	-0.73	-0.75		

Analysts' Forecasts

	a0	a1	a2	a3	a4	a5	a6	Rsq	F Ratio
2001	9.61	9.20	66.61	-7.92	0.50	-0.01	-12.83	0.27	2.95
	2.31	3.45	3.66	-1.86	1.31	-1.33	-1.76		
2002	12.43	7.86	50.74	-9.61	0.50	0.00	-24.94	0.48	7.56
	3.89	5.29	3.10	-2.94	1.50	0.17	-2.41		
2003	5.81	11.06	101.12	-1.69	-0.19	0.00	-4.75	0.50	7.81
	1.89	6.32	4.80	-0.58	-0.74	-0.22	-0.74		

\*T-stats below the coefficients in smaller font

**Table 6**  
**Stage2 Results for Non-Utility Companies**

Multiple Regression Results

$$P/E = a_0 + a_1 D/E + a_2 g + a_3 B + a_4 Cov + a_5 Stb + a_6 Sa$$

Historical

	a0	a1	a2	a3	a4	a5	a6	Rsq	F Ratio
2001	15.90	8.39	2.82	3.53	0.02	-0.03	-21.05	0.21	12.45
	6.57	4.13	1.96	1.68	2.97	-2.14	-3.40		
2002	17.76	8.46	6.02	-3.06	0.00	0.02	-36.97	0.27	16.78
	9.39	5.19	3.28	-1.88	1.37	2.52	-4.31		
2003	14.24	9.86	8.85	3.46	0.01	0.00	-19.00	0.30	19.89
	7.49	5.89	2.49	2.11	3.23	-0.15	-3.73		

Analysts' Forecasts

	a0	a1	a2	a3	a4	a5	a6	Rsq	F Ratio
2001	-0.51	17.28	140.84	-1.06	0.01	-0.03	-8.63	0.44	36.00
	-0.22	11.21	10.73	-0.59	2.88	-2.62	-1.63		
2002	5.05	15.67	91.22	-4.06	0.00	0.02	-22.93	0.38	27.65
	2.48	11.23	7.66	-2.74	1.18	2.33	-2.87		
2003	7.25	14.47	45.60	3.47	0.01	0.00	-19.09	0.33	22.30
	3.56	9.42	4.68	2.20	2.36	-0.12	-3.89		

\*T-stats below the coefficients in smaller font

This material is for your private information. The views expressed are the views of Anita Xu and Ami Teruya only through the period ended July 26, 2004 and are subject to change based on market and other conditions. The opinions expressed may differ from those with different investment philosophies. The information we provide does not constitute investment advice and it should not be relied on as such. It should not be considered a solicitation to buy or an offer to sell a security. It does not take into account any investor's particular investment objectives, strategies, tax status or investment horizon. We encourage you to consult your tax or financial advisor. All material has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable, but its accuracy is not guaranteed. There is no representation nor warranty as to the current accuracy of, nor liability for, decisions based on such information. Past performance is no guarantee of future results.