### **COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY**

### **BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

In the Matter of:

# REVIEW OF FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION'S TRIENNIAL REVIEW ORDER REGARDING UNBUNDLING REQUIREMENTS FOR INDIVIDUAL NETWORK ELEMENTS

CASE NO. 2003-00379

)

## PETITION FOR CONFIDENTIALITY

MCImetro Access Transmission Services, LLC and MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc. (hereinafter "Movant"), hereby requests that portions of the pre-filed rebuttal testimony of James D. Webber, including Exhibit 4, and the prefiled rebuttal testimony of Dr. Mark T. Bryant, including Exhibits 6, 7, and 9, be provided confidential treatment by the Commission pursuant to KRS 61.878 and 807 KAR 5:001, Section 7.

In support of its petition Movant states as follows:

- The Commission issued an Order in this docket on November 4, 2003 providing for pre-filed testimony.
- 2. Movant transmits herewith its rebuttal testimony, including certain confidential information used to support the positions asserted in the testimony. Much of this information is derived from information disclosed confidentially by BellSouth and furnished to Movant under the terms of a protective agreement that requires Movant to assist in the protection of information confidentially disclosed. The information disclosed by BellSouth includes commercially sensitive information

about interconnecting carriers (the "disclosing parties") who compete not only with BellSouth, but in some cases with Movant.

- 3. Movant hereby seeks ensure that it does not inadvertently disclose trade secrets or other proprietary information of disclosing parties or other carriers who furnished information in response to data requests.
- 4. The confidential portions are not being filed electronically with the public filings in this case. The confidential exhibits are being provided to BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. pursuant to a protective agreement, and to the Commission.
- 5. KRS. 61.870, et seq., requires that public agencies within the Commonwealth make available for inspection all public records. Certain exceptions to that general requirement are contained in KRS 61.878, which includes an exception for confidential or proprietary information. In order to qualify for this exception under KRS 61.878(1)(c), a party must demonstrate that disclosure of its commercial information would permit an unfair commercial advantage to its competitors. The procedure for requesting confidential treatment from the Commission is outlined at 807 KAR 5:001, Section 7.
- 6. As discussed above, he information contained in Movant 's testimony and supporting exhibits includes data relating to other carriers that Movant believes may contain proprietary and commercial information that would permit an unfair advantage to competitors, including competitors who may have chosen not to participate in this docket. Movant is bound by a regionwide protective agreement and was able to obtain this information for use only in accordance with its terms.

Accordingly, Movant requests the Commission to afford confidentiality to this information pursuant to the exception provided in KRS 61.878(1)(c). This information, if disclosed, could provide an unfair commercial advantage to competitors of the disclosing parties, thereby causing irreparable harm

- Upon information and belief MCI asserts that BellSouth has independently sought confidential treatment for the information MCI seeks to protect from public disclosure.
- 8. Disclosure of confidential information of this nature will be detrimental to disclosing parties and potentially to Movant because it contains data that is not otherwise available to the Company's competitors. The information sought to be protected is not known outside Movant or the disclosing parties, nor is it provided to the public; its internal use is restricted to only those employees who have a legitimate business reason for reviewing such in connection with this proceeding, and the Company attempts to control the dissemination of this material through all reasonable means. Indeed, by granting the Company's petition, the public interest will be served because competition will be protected.

WHEREFORE, MCI respectfully requests that the Commission enter an order granting confidential treatment of the information contained in the accompanying testimony and exhibits.

Respectfully submitted,

\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_

C. Kent Hatfield Douglas F. Brent STOLL, KEENON & PARK, LLP 2650 AEGON Center 400 West Market Street Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 568-9100

Attorneys for MCImetro Access Transmission Services, LLC and MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc.

Dulaney L. O'Roark, III Kennard B. Woods WORLDCOM, INC. Six Concourse Parkway, Suite 600 Atlanta, Georgia 30328 (770) 284-5498 email: de.oroark@mci.com email: ken.wods@mci.com

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that the electronic version of this filing made with the Commission this 31st day of March 2004 is a true and accurate copy of the documents attached hereto in paper form. This version was transmitted to the Commission for forwarding to those persons receiving electronic notices from the Commission in this case. A copy of the filing was also served by U.S. mail to those persons whose postal addresses appear on the service list below.

> /s/ Douglas F. Brent

Kentucky Cable Telecommunications Association P.O. Box 415 Burkesville, KY 42717