

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY  
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

REVIEW OF FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS	)	
COMMISSION'S TRIENNIAL REVIEW ORDER	)	CASE NO.
REGARDING UNBUNDLING REQUIREMENTS	)	2003-00379
FOR INDIVIDUAL NETWORK ELEMENTS	)	

**CONFIDENTIALITY PETITION**  
**PURSUANT TO 807 KAR 5:001 SECTION 7**

Petitioner, AT&T Communications of the South Central States, LLC, ("AT&T") hereby moves the Public Service Commission of Kentucky (the "Commission"), pursuant to KRS 61.878 and KAR 5:001, Section 7, to classify as confidential certain Responses of AT&T to BellSouth's First Set of Interrogatories and BellSouth's Second Data Requests to Local Exchange Carriers dated October 10, 2003, and November 24, 2003 respectively, specifically Attachments 1a and 1b in Response to Interrogatory No. 1 to BellSouth's First Set of Interrogatories; and Attachments 2, 15, and 15a to Responses to BellSouth's Second Data Request. Additionally, AT&T's Response to BellSouth's First Set of Interrogatories, Nos. 14, 33, 43, and 44, contains information to be classified as confidential.

The Kentucky Open Records Act exempts certain commercial information from the public disclosure requirements of the Act. KRS 61.878(1)(c)1. To qualify for this commercial information exemption and, therefore, protect the information as confidential, a party must establish that disclosure of the commercial information would permit an unfair advantage to competitors and the parties seeking confidentiality if

openly discussed. KRS 61.878(1)(c)1; 807 KAR 5:001, Section 7. The Commission has taken the position that the statute and rules require the party to demonstrate actual competition and the likelihood of competitive injury if the information is disclosed.

The Commission should grant confidential treatment to the information so designated for the following reasons:

- (1) The information for which AT&T is requesting confidential treatment is not known outside of AT&T;
- (2) The information is not disseminated within AT&T and is known only by those AT&T employees who have a legitimate business need to know and act upon the information;
- (3) AT&T seeks to preserve the confidentiality of this information through all appropriate means, including the maintenance of appropriate security at its offices; and
- (4) By granting AT&T's petition, there would be no damage to any public interest.

For these reasons, the Commission should grant AT&T's request for confidential treatment of AT&T's Responses or portions of AT&T's Responses as set forth herein.

Respectfully submitted this the 15<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2003.

C. Kent Hatfield by MR-B

C. Kent Hatfield  
Douglas F. Brent  
Stoll, Keenon & Park, LLP  
2650 AEGON Center  
400 West Market Street  
Louisville, KY 40202

(502) 568-9100 PHONE  
(502) 568-5700 FAX

Martha Ross-Bain  
AT&T  
Senior Attorney  
1200 Peachtree Street, NE  
Suite 8100  
Atlanta, GA 30309  
(404) 810-6713

Attorneys for AT&T Communications of the  
South Central States, LLC.