

Johnson, Peggy
Lexington, KY
Page 1 of 1

From: Lloyd Lorenzi [Lloyd.Lorenzi@NETL.DOE.GOV]
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2002 10:39 AM
To: John.S.Preston@lrh01.usace.army.mil; Roy Spears
Subject: comment on KY Pioneer IGCC draft EIS

Commenter - Peggy Johnson
Address - 1628 Prairie Circle
Lexington, KY 40515
Tele - 859-744-3123
Date - 11 January 2002
Time - ~12:30 pm
Method - toll-free number

Comments:

1. "project would be detrimental to the area in Winchester and Clark County"
2. project would affect property (values and quality) in the vicinity, now and in the future
3. stacks would create adverse visible impact
4. "no telling about problems to be created by the waste"

11/16
12/02
13/04
14/12

Background:

Ms. Johnson works for the Winchester Sun newspaper. She enjoys boating on the river and the scenic beauty of the area. She did not attend either of the public meetings, and she has not read/examined the draft EIS. She made no requests for additional information.

Comment No. 1

Issue Code: 16

Comment noted. The impacts from the proposed project are detailed in Chapter 5, Environmental Impacts, of the EIS.

Comment No. 2

Issue Code: 02

The land at the 1,263-hectare (3,120-acre) tract is currently zoned agricultural, as discussed in Section 4.2, Land Use. Areas surrounding the proposed site are residential and agricultural. These agricultural areas could be developed for residential housing. Property values are based on several factors, including willingness to buy and psychological criteria. Depending on the potential buyer, the power plant could impact property values in the surrounding area. However, the closest residence to the site is approximately 1.6 kilometers (1 mile) away, which would mitigate many factors, including proximity to the site, thus mitigating the impact to property values. Section 5.3, Socioeconomics, has been modified to address impacts to property values.

Comment No. 3

Issue Code: 04

Comment noted. Visual impacts to the project area are presented in Section 5.5, Aesthetic and Scenic Resources, of the EIS.

Comment No. 4

Issue Code: 12

Waste generated at the proposed facility would be managed in accordance with applicable state and federal regulations. Solid wastes would be disposed of at one of several licensed facilities in the surrounding area, as discussed in Section 5.13, Waste Management. Because there are no hazardous waste treatment facilities in the State of Kentucky, any hazardous waste generated at the site would be managed in accordance with RCRA hazardous waste regulations (40 CFR Parts 260 to 270) and disposed of at an "out-of-state" licensed hazardous waste disposal facility. Frit is considered a commercial product, not a waste, and would be marketed for use in road construction.