

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE FOR A MERCHANT POWER PLANT CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATE – 807KAR 5:110E, Section 2 (company contact Dianna Tickner)
2. EVIDENCE OF PUBLIC NOTICE and PROOF OF SERVICE - SB257
Section 4(2) (company contact Dianna Tickner)
 - 2.1 Adjacent Landowners – SB257, Section 4(2)(c) 1
 - 2.2 Newspapers – SB257, Section 4(2)(c)2
 - 2.3 Proof of Service of Application – SB257, Section 4(2)(h)
3. LOCAL PLANNING AND ZONING – SB 257, Section 3 (company contact Dianna Tickner)
 - 3.1 Muhlenberg County Judge Executive Statement – SB 257, Section 3(3), Section 4(2)(d)
 - 3.2 Local Noise Ordinance Compliance – SB 257, Section 4(2)(d)
4. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT – SB 257, Section 4(2)(f) (company contact Dianna Tickner)
5. ELECTRIC TRANSMISSION GRID ANALYSIS – SB 257, Section 4(2)(i) (company contact Scott Yaeger)
 - 5.1 Project Description and Transmission Facilities Required for Interconnection
 - 5.2 Request for Interconnection Service and Status
 - 5.3 Summary of Interconnection Studies
 - 5.3.1 Summary of Black & Veatch Initial Interconnection Study
 - 5.3.2 Summary of BREC Interconnection Feasibility Study
 - 5.3.3 Summary of BREC Regional Interconnection System Impact Study
 - 5.3.4 Summary of Navigant Interconnection Study for the proposed tie to TVA
 - 5.3.5 Summary of the TVA Interconnection Study
 - 5.3.6 Summary of MISO Transmission Expansion Plan 2003
 - 5.4 Interconnection Studies
 - 5.4.1 Black & Veatch Initial Interconnection Study (March 2001)
 - 5.4.2 BREC Interconnection Feasibility Study (June 8, 2001)
 - 5.4.3 BREC Regional Interconnection System Impact Study
 - 5.4.4 Navigant Interconnection Study for the proposed tie to TVA (March 4, 2002)
 - 5.4.5 TVA Interconnection Study (July 9, 2002)

5.4.6 MISO Transmission Expansion Plan 2003 Executive
Summary

5.4.7 BREC Facilities Study (July 31, 2003)

6. ECONOMIC IMPACT ON REGION – SB 257, Section 4(2)(j) company contact Dianna Tickner)
 - 6.1 KPMG Kentucky Economic Impact Analysis
 - 6.2 Hill & Associates Economic Benefits of Coal Fueled Power Plants Compared to Natural Gas
7. DISCLOSURE OF PAST ENVIRONMENTAL VIOLATIONS – SB 257, Section 4(2)(k) (company contact Dianna Tickner)
8. SITE ASSESSMENT REPORT – SB 257, Section 4(2)(l), Section 5(2) (company contact Dianna Tickner)
 - 8.1 Description of the Facility – SB 257, Section 4(2)(b), Section 5(3)(a)
 - 8.2 Property Description – SB 257, Section 5(3)(a)
 - 8.2.1 Surrounding Land Use – SB 257, Section (3)(a)1
 - 8.2.2 Legal Boundaries – SB 257, Section 5(3)(a)2
 - 8.2.3 Access Control – SB 257, Section 5(3)(a)3
 - 8.2.4 Location of Buildings – SB 257, Section 5(3)(a)4
 - 8.2.5 Location of Access Ways and Internal Roads – SB 257, Section 5(3)(a)5
 - 8.2.6 Utilities to Service the Facility – SB 257, Section 5(3)(a)6
 - 8.2.7 Compliance with Setback Requirements – SB 257, Section 5(3)(a)7
 - 8.3 Scenic Evaluation – SB 257, Section 5(3)(b)
 - 8.4 Potential for Change in Property Valuation – SB 257, Section 5(3)(c)
 - 8.5 Noise Evaluation – SB 257, Section 5(3)(a)8(d)
 - 8.6 Traffic Evaluation – SB 257, Section 5(3)(e)
 - 8.7 Mitigation Measures – SB 257, Section 5(4)
9. Efforts to Utilize Existing Electric Generating Facility Sites– SB 257, Section 4(2)(g) (company contact Dianna Tickner)
10. PERMIT STATUS – SB 257, Section 3(1) (company contact Dianna Tickner)
 - 10.1 Federal Permits - SB 257, Section 3(1)
 - 10.2 State Permits - SB 257, Section 3(1)
 - 10.3 Local Permits - SB 257, Section 3(1)
(Copies of Permit Notifications Received to Date)
 - 10.4 Cumulative Environmental Assessment Notification – SB 257, Section 10(1)(a)