փ.

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

INVESTIGATION CONCERNING THE)PROPRIETARY OF INTERLATA SERVICES)BY BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS,)INC. PURSUANT TO THE)TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996)

AUG 2 0 2001

FITTENGER

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

RECEIVED

CASE NO. 2001-105

RECEINF AUG 2 1 2001

GENERAL COUNSEL

PREFILED SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF SHERRY LICHTENBERG

ON BEHALF OF WORLDCOM

C. Kent Hatfield MIDDLETON REUTLINGER 2500 Brown & Williamson Tower Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 584-1135

1	Q .	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS AND POSITION.
2	А.	My name is Sherry Lichtenberg. My business address is 701 S. 12 th St.,
3		Arlington, Virginia 22202. I am employed by WorldCom, Inc. in the Mass
4	۰ ۱۹۰۱ - ۱۹۰۱ ۱۹۰۱ - ۱۹۰۱	Markets local services team as a Senior Manager. I will refer to the division of
5		the company that offers local residential service as "MCI."
6	Q.	ARE YOU THE SAME SHERRY LICHTENBERG WHO FILED
7		TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING ON JULY 9, 2001?
8	А.	Yes.
9	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
10	А.	The purpose of my testimony is to respond to the July 30, 2001 rebuttal testimony
11		of Ken Ainsworth and Ron Pate and to address BellSouth's June 2001 SQM data.
12	Q.	WHAT DOES THE JUNE SQM REPORT REFLECT CONCERNING
13		MCI'S ORDER VOLUMES?
14	А.	During June, MCI submitted more than 18,000 local service requests. That
15		number represents more than two-thirds of the UNE LSRs submitted via EDI and
16		22% of all the electronic UNE LSRs in June for the entire BellSouth region. See
17		attached June SQM Percent Flow Through Service Requests (UNE Detail). The
18		fact that MCI's volume of LSRs submitted during its first full month in a single
19		state represents such a high percentage of the regional total undermines
20		BellSouth's contention that full-fledged competition has broken out in its
21		territory.
22	Q.	HOW ARE GEORGIA CONSUMERS RESPONDING TO MCI'S LOCAL
23		LAUNCH?

 A. Consumers are responding favorably. Consumers desire competitive choice and the pricing, and the service and innovation that comes with it. MCI has now submitted more than 50,000 LSRs for its UNE-P service in Georgia and is continuing to submit about 1,000 transactions a day.

5 Q.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

•7

IS BELLSOUTH'S OSS ADEQUATELY PROCESSING MCI'S LSRs?

A. No. BellSouth's flawed OSS is preventing MCI from selling at a faster pace. In other states with more robust OSS, MCI's sales pace is twice as high (or more) than in Georgia. In Georgia, WorldCom cannot ramp up to full commercial volumes.

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN WHAT THE JUNE FLOW THROUGH REPORT
 REVEALS ABOUT THE LEVEL OF MANUAL PROCESSING
 REQUIRED BY BELLSOUTH'S OSS.

13 Α. BellSouth's UNE flow-through report shows one CLEC – CLEC No. 130 -- with more than 18,000 EDI orders for UNEs. That CLEC obviously is MCI. 14 15 BellSouth's flow-through report shows that more than 5,000 of MCI's LSRs were not processed electronically, but instead fell out for manual handling. Of those 16 LSRs, 3,331 fell out for manual processing by design; that is, BellSouth's systems 17 were not configured to process such orders electronically, so the LSRs were sent 18 automatically to BellSouth representatives for manual processing. It appears that 19 20 one of the key reasons for this fallout is BellSouth's special pricing plans. If a 21 BellSouth retail customer has a pricing plan such as Complete Choice, that 22 customer's LSR will be handled manually. BellSouth has not stated when or if it

plans to make these orders flow through, although MCI has raised this issue in the Flow Through Task Force Workshops currently in process.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

To make matters worse, another 1,517 LSRs MCI submitted in June fell out for manual handling as a result of BellSouth system errors. That is, BellSouth's OSS was supposed to be able to process these LSRs electronically, but for some reason failed to do so. Another 442 LSRs fell out for manual processing for reasons BellSouth attributes to MCI error. All told, 5,290 LSRs fell out for manual processing in June. Even excluding the LSRs that BellSouth says fell out because of MCI errors, BellSouth's manual fallout rate for June was an abysmal 30.36%. Such a high level of manual processing of electronic UNE-P migration orders at relatively low volumes is unsatisfactory (to say the least) and should be addressed *before* BellSouth is given in-region long distance authority.

13 Q. WHAT EFFECT DOES MANUAL PROCESSING OF LSRs HAVE ON 14 CONSUMERS?

15 A. BellSouth's manual processing of LSRs harms consumers. In the worst case, BellSouth's manual handling has lead to customers losing dial tone. To date, at 16 least 620 MCI customers have experienced a loss of dial tone after migration. 17 18 BellSouth has confirmed eleven cases thus far in which the loss of dial tone 19 stemmed from BellSouth's two-order process, which involves a "D" order to disconnect the customer's old service and an "N" order to establish new service 20 with the CLEC. If those orders are not related and properly sequenced through 21 22 the entry of specific codes by the BellSouth service representative - as can

happen when manual intervention occurs during the ordering process – the customer may lose dial tone.

4

2

Q. ARE BELLSOUTH'S EXPLANATIONS OF THE LOSS OF DIAL TONE PROBLEM SATISFACTORY?

A. No. Although Mr. Ainsworth confirms that 11 out of a sample of 141 loss of dial 5 tone cases it reviewed resulted from the two order process, he attempts to minimize 6 the problem by stating that in 70 of the cases, BellSouth tested the line and found no 7 trouble, found an end user problem or attributed the problem to the customer's inside 8 wiring. In 60 other cases, BellSouth claims the problem was unrelated to the 9 customer's migration to MCI and would have happened in any event. (Ainsworth 10 Rebuttal, p. 20.) The truth is we have seen a steady stream of customers reporting 11 lost dial tone since we launched our residential service in Georgia. The fact that the 12 customer's dial tone has returned by the time BellSouth tests it does not mean that 13 the customer never lost dial tone and for some reason took the time to report a 14 nonexistent problem to us. And it strains credulity to believe that so many 15 customers would suddenly experience problems with their cable pairs and inside 16 wiring within a short time after migrating to MCI. Indeed in one case (404-767-17 2774), the BellSouth closure report stated "tested OK, came clear" even though the 18 Account Team later told us that this customer lost dial tone as a result of the 19 BellSouth two order process. It is deeply troubling to us that BellSouth is so 20 dismissive of such a serious problem. 21

22 Q. WHAT OTHER PROBLEMS HAS MANUAL PROCESSING OF MCI LSRs
23 CREATED?

LSRs that fall out for manual processing are more likely to be rejected and will 1 Α. take longer to process. In June the reject rate for MCI LSRs that flowed through 2 BellSouth's systems without manual intervention was 15.66%, according to 3 BellSouth, while the reject rate for LSRs that did not flow through was 43.66%. Often these manual rejects are difficult for MCI to decipher. And because 5 BellSouth's policy is to cancel rejected LSRs within 10 days if they are not 6 corrected and resubmitted, by the time MCI discerns that the reject was in fact 7 invalid or determines what caused a valid reject, the 10 day period may well have 8 expired, forcing MCI to start the process all over again. 9 HAS MCI SEEN ANY NEW PROBLEMS SINCE YOU FILED YOUR 10 Q. **JULY 9 TESTIMONY?** 11

A. Yes. It now appears that some customers may have left MCI to return to BellSouth or to go to another CLEC, but MCI has not received line loss notifications. These notifications are critical, since they tell a CLEC that a customer has changed carriers and inform us that we must stop billing. This problem is under review.

16 Q. WHAT SHOULD BELLSOUTH BE REQUIRED TO DO TO FIX THE

PROBLEMS WITH ITS OSS?

17

18 A. BellSouth must take a number of steps to rectify major flaws in its OSS:

BellSouth must revamp its two-order process for UNE-P, as it did years
 ago in the resale context. BellSouth should move to a single "C" order process to
 decrease the possibility that a customer would lose dial tone during migration.
 Currently, BellSouth does not plan to make this change until the first quarter of
 202.

BellSouth must change its ordering process to allow ordering based on the 2. 1 customer's name and telephone number. Currently BellSouth requires CLECs to 2 provide the customer's address, which leads to rejects and delay. This 3 improvement was requested on August 9, 2000, but BellSouth has yet to 4 implement it. The Bell companies in all other states where MCI has launched 5 local residential service (New York, Texas, Pennsylvania, Illinois and Michigan) 6 and in all states where Bell Companies have received 271 approval (New York, 7 Texas, Kansas, Oklahoma, Massachusetts, and the Verizon segment of 8 Connecticut) have implemented this ordering technique. 9 BellSouth must provide a fully fielded and parsed CSR. This 10 3. improvement would enable CLECs to populate LSR customer information fields 11 automatically, which would eliminate another source of rejects. This change was 12 requested on August 12, 1999, but implementation currently is not planned until 13 the first quarter of 2002. Verizon already provides parsed CSRs in New York, 14 Pennsylvania, and Massachusetts. 15 BellSouth must implement real-time ordering using what is known as the 4. 16 interactive agent. Because BellSouth has not implemented the interactive agent, 17 18 MCI currently uses a third party value added network, which increases the chances of orders being lost or misrouted, raising processing time and the risk that 19 rejected LSRs will be canceled before they can be corrected. This improvement 20 was requested on September 26, 2000, but has not been implemented or 21 22 scheduled. The Bell companies in all other states where MCI has launched local residential service not only permit but encourage ordering via an interactive agent. 23

5. BellSouth must increase the time to correct rejected LSRs from ten days to at least thirty days. BellSouth's ten-day cancellation policy creates additional delays and confusion. In other states where MCI has entered the local residential business, the Bell companies allow at least thirty days before cancellation.

5

6

7

8

9

10

Q.

1

2

3

4

IS THIS AN EXHAUSTIVE LIST?

A. No. Because MCI's launch is still in its early stages, additional issues probably will emerge that need to be resolved. For example, MCI has just received its first UNE bill from BellSouth and is in the process of auditing it. The Commission should ensure that BellSouth's billing is accurate and timely before recommending 271 approval.

11 Q. WHAT DOES MCI'S EXPERIENCE THUS FAR SAY ABOUT THE NEED

12 FOR REVISIONS TO THE CHANGE MANAGEMENT PROCESS?

A. 13 CLECs' experience in attempting to improve BellSouth's OSS to bring it to the point that BellSouth can effectively provision commercial volumes of orders 14 15 demonstrates that the change management process does not function properly. As 16 I discussed in my July 9, 2001 testimony, although on paper the change management process appears to give CLECs some influence over what changes 17 18 are made to BellSouth's OSS and in what order of priority, in fact BellSouth has 19 slow rolled many CLEC requests for much-needed improvements, in some cases 20 for years. Before the Commission can have any confidence that BellSouth will 21 continue to update and improve its OSS, it should require that the process itself be 22 fixed before BellSouth is granted in-region long distance authority.

Q. HAVE YOU LEARNED ANYTHING ABOUT BELLSOUTH'S CHANGE MANAGEMENT PROCESS SINCE YOU FILED YOUR JULY 9 TESTIMONY?

Yes. We recently have learned that after CLECs initially prioritize requests, A. 4 BellSouth takes those requests to an internal change management meeting where 5 they are re-prioritized with other requests from three internal BellSouth groups -6 regulatory, information technology and infrastructure. These BellSouth requests are 7 considered along with CLEC requests -- with no CLEC in attendance -- to create a 8 9 total priority list of 30 items. BellSouth has stated that that all regulatory requests are prioritized first. Once the final priorized list is completed, BellSouth vendors 10 decide how or whether the requests can be implemented. Importantly, BellSouth 11 12 refuses to provide the final prioritized list of 30 items with CLECs so we can see how matters are being handled. Worse, BellSouth even refuses to share the list on a 13 14 redacted basis showing only CLEC changes.

9

a a si a 21

17

15 Q. DOES THAT CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

16 A. Yes.

Certificate of Service

A copy of the foregoing was served this 20th day of August, 2001, first class, United States mail, postage prepaid, upon all parties of record.

C. Kent Harfeeld

TS (UNE DETAIL) ċ

ORDERING				a a a N			REPORT:	PERCENT	FILOW TI PORT PEF	HROUGH 5 310D: 06/01	REPORT: PERCENT FLOW THROUGH SERVICE REQUESTS (UNE DETAI REPORT PERIOD: 06/01/2001 - 06/30/2001	EQUESTS (30/2001	une dêtai	
								ŧ,		:				
AGGREGATE ORDER TYPES														
Company Info						LSR PR	LSR PROCESSING							
							LESOG							
		Ŵ	Mechanized Interface Used	Interface L	Ised	Manual	Rejects	Validated	lated		Errors			
					Total Mech	Manual	Auto	Pending Supps		Total Svstem	BST Caused	CLEC Caused		
Name	RESH / OCN LENS	LENS	Ē	TAG	LSR's	Fallout	Clarification	(Z Status)	LSR's	Fallout	Fallout	Fallout	Issued SO's	
#1		0	107	0	107	31	28	0	48	22	9	16	26	
#2		52	0	0	52	6	8	0	-41	- 11	8	റ	30	
#3		0	3420	0	3420	269	662	0	2189	1955	151	1804	234	
#4		967	0	0	967	69	82	16	800	218	106	112	582	
#5		12	0	0	12	0	2	0	10	0	0	0	10	
9#		0	19	0	19	ۍ ۱	8	0	12	6	6	0	9	
2#		0.	17.	.o	17	4	2	0	11	4	2	2	7	
#8		. 30	0	0	30	2	ę	7	18	11	10	1	7	
6#		1339	0	0	1339	172	116	-	1050	137	116	21	913	
													-	

					Í											
							LESOG		and a second second second							
		Ξ	Mechanized Interface Used	Intertace U	sed	Manual	Rejects	Validated	ated		Errors	<u> </u>				101.0
		1			Total Mech	Manual	Auto	Pending Supps		System	BST Caused	CLEC	•	Achieved	Base	Excluded
Name	RESH / OCN	LENS	EDI	TAG	LSR's	Fallout	Clarification	(Z Status)	LSR's	Fallout	Fallout	Fallout	Issued SO's	Flowthrough	Calculation	Calculation
1#1		0	107	0	107	31	28	0	48	22	9	16	26	41.27%	54.17%	81.25%
#2		25	0	0	52	6	8	0	41	11	ø	ო	30	73.17%	73.17%	78.95%
#3		0	3420	0	3420	569	662	0	2189	1955	151	1804	234	24.53%	10.69%	60.78%
#4		967	0	0	967	69	82	16	800	218	106	112	582	76.88%	72.75%	84.59%
#5		5	0	0	12	0	5	0	10	0	0	0	10	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
9#		0	19	0	19	2	2	0	12	9	9	0	9	35.29%	50.00%	50.00%
4		0	17	.o	17	4	7	0	11	4	~	2	7	53.85%	63.64%	77,78%
8#		30	0	ò	30	7	ŋ	7	18	11	10	-	7	36.84%	38.89%	41.18%
64		1339	0	0	1339	172	116	-	1050	137	116	21	913	76.02%	86.95%	88.73%
#10		0	0	13	13	. 2	-	0	10	4	e	۲.	9	54.55%	60.00%	66.67%
#11		2	0	0	~	0	0	0	2	o	0	0	2	100:00%	100.00%	100.00%
#12		0	0	17	17	13	9	0	-	v .	-	0	0	0.00%	%00:0	0.00%
#13		2230	0	0	2230	288	278	36	1628	360	244	116	1268	70.44%	77.89%	83.86%
#14		8	0	0	33	15	9	-	11	2	9	÷	4	16.00%	36.36%	40.00%
#15		0	0	2	2	0	0	0	2	2	-	÷	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
#16		0	0	1467	1467	207	253	8	666	424	348	76	575	50.88%	57.56%	62.30%
#17		0	0	896	896	130	130	-	635	256	219	37	379	52.06%	59.69%	63.38%
#18		0	0	18	18	-	ł	0	16	16	7	6	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
#19		0	0	23	. 23	4	4	2	13	9	2	4		53.85%	53.85%	77.78%
#20		100	0	0	100	· 10.	10	2	78	31	24	7	47	58.02%	60.26%	66.20%
#21		0	0	1277	1277	281	245	11	740	339	238	101	401	43.59%	54.19%	62.75%
#22		0	193	0	193	163	13	0	17	17	16	-	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
#23		914	0	0	914	499	114	2	299	110	80	30	189	24.61%	63.21%	70.26%
#24		0	0	778	778	120	155	6	494	221	178	43	273	47.81%	55.26%	60.53%
#25		0	0	551	551	112	104	11	324	135	114	21	189	45.54%	58.33%	62.38%
#26		474	0	0	474	49	48	. 9	368	106	77	29	262	67.53%	71.20%	77.29%
#27		0	198	0	198	25	16	8	149	69	53	16	80	50.63%	53.69%	60.15%
#28		4	0	•	4	3	0	0	1	-	. –	0	•	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
#29		0	0	32	32	10	2	0	20	4	4	0	16	53.33%	80.00%	80.00%
#30		83	0	0	63	8	12	0	43	5	3	2	38	77.55%	88.37%	92.68%
#31		688	0	0	688	173	48	9	461	143	120	23	318	52.05%	68.98%	72.60%
#32		2016	0	0	2016	432	139	9	1439	386	314	72	1053	58.53%	73.18%	77.03%
#33		48	0	0	48	0	0	~	47	47	46	-	0	%00.0	0.00%	0.00%
#34		4	•	0	4	0	-	-	8	2	2	0	0	0:00%	0.00%	0.00%
#35		0	0	11	tt	0	2	2	7	7	,e	4	0	%00.0	0.00%	0:00%
#36		63	0	0	8	5	ŝ	ග	74	69	48	21	5	8.62%	6.76%	9.43%
#37		0	0	579	579	92	87	-	399	175	159	16	224	47.16%	56.14%	58.49%
#38		486	0	0	486	44	34	4	404	73	59	14	331	76.27%	81.93%	84.87%
#39		0	0	2	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
#40		98	0	0	98	26	15	0	57	16	10	9	41	53.25%	71.93%	80.39%
#41	:	0	5	0	5	3	0	0	2	÷	-	0	-	20.00%	50.00%	50.00%

REPORT: PERCENT FLOW THROUGH SERVICE REQUESTS (UNE DETAIL) REPORT PERIOD: 06/01/2001 - 06/30/2001

ORDERING

Chemericie Image: procession of the processi	AGGREGATE ORDER TYPES						-										
	Company Info				_		LSR PR(DCESSING				- 1				FLOWTI	-IROUGH
							۳ ۲	SOG									
Image: bolic			Ŵ	echanized	Interface U	sed	Manual	Rejects	Valid	ated		Errors					
0 0	Name	RESH / OCN		ā		Total Mech LSR's		Auto Clarification		LSR's	- Total System Fallout	BST Caused Fallout	CLEC Caused Fallout	Issued SO's	Achieved Flowthrough	Base Calculation	CLEC Err Exclude Calculatio
0 0	#42		0	4	•	4	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
94 0	#43		0	0	33	33	7	12	2	12	4	4	0	8	42.11%	66.67%	66.67%
0 0	#44		42	0	0	42	4	9	8	26	8	7		18	62.07%	69.23%	72.00%
1 0	#45		99	0	0	99	12	8	2	44	16	14	2	28	51.85%	63.64%	66.67%
1 0 0 1 1 2 4 0 4 1 1 2 2 257 100 10	#46		02	0	0.	20	14	5	0	5	14	13	-	37	57.81%	72.55%	74.00%
11 0 0 11 0 0 1 1 00	#47		0	0	13	13	2	9	0	5	33	ø	0	2	28.57%	40.00%	40.00%
10 10 105 105 10 1	#48		1	0	0	1	3	4	0	4	-	-	0	e	42.86%	75.00%	75.00%
107 0 0 107 0 107 0 107 0 107 0 <th< td=""><td>#49</td><td></td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>105</td><td>105</td><td>11</td><td>9</td><td>0</td><td>88</td><td>34</td><td>28</td><td>G</td><td>54</td><td>58.06%</td><td>61.36%</td><td>65.85%</td></th<>	#49		0	0	105	105	11	9	0	88	34	28	G	54	58.06%	61.36%	65.85%
0 0 0 2 1 0 0 1 1 1 0	#50		127	0	0	127	18	9	-	102	19	16	e	83	70.94%	81.37%	83.84%
01 0 01 0 1	#51		0	0	2	2	-	0	0	-	ţ	0	-	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0	#52	. !	31	0	0	31	4	-	-	25	12	-	1	13	72.22%	52.00%	92.86%
27 0 0 27 1 5 1 20 0 17 00666 60066 36 0 0 2 0 0 2 0	#53		0	0	-	-	0	.0	0		-	-	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
0 0	#54		27	0	0	27	-	ß	-	20	9	8	0	17	80.95%	85.00%	85.00%
	#55		0	0	2	2	0	0	0	2	2	2	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
1 1	#56		35	0	0	36	4	2	0	29	2	4	e 1	22	73.33%	75.86%	84.62%
	#57		186	0	0	186	41	8	ß	132	48	32	16	84	53.50%	63.64%	72.41%
	#58		0	0	240	240	35	26	F	178	93	66	27	85	45.70%	47.75%	56.29%
	#69		226	0	0	226	17	24	2	178	32	ន	10.	146	78.92%	82.02%	86.90%
	09#		6	0	0	σ	0	0	0	6	e	8	-	9	75.00%	66.67%	75.00%
	#61		0	.9	0	9	~	0	0	4	4	-	е	0	%00.0	0.00%	%00'0
	#62		e	0	0	ہ ع	7	0	0	-	-	0	-	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#63		14	0	0	14	0	0	0	14	2	2	0	12	85.71%	85.71%	85.71%
	#64		0	24	0	24	11	0	N	7	÷	10	-	0	0.00%	0.00%	0,00%
	#65		4	0	0	4	0	4	0	9	e	9	0	•	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	99#		0	•	28	28	7	7	0	19	6	2	÷	16	64.00%	84.21%	88.89%
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	29#		35	•	•	35	-	2	0	32	e	2	-	29	90.63%	90.63%	93.55%
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	#68		0	114	•	114	31	6	0	- 74	27	20	4	47	47.96%	63.51%	70.15%
	69#		•	•	39	39	12	0	2	25	6	6	0	16	43.24%	64.00%	64.00%
$ \left(\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0/#		46	0	•	46	2	e	0	36	12	4	ω	24	68.57%	66.67%	85.71%
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	#71		9	0	0	9	0	7	0	4	-	-	0	ĉ	75.00%	75.00%	75.00%
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	#72		36	0	0	36	13	6	0	14	14	14	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	%00.0
$ \left(\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	#73		5	0	0	2	0	Q	0	2	*	۳	0	-	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%
$ \left(\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	#74		0	51	•	51	12	7	0	32	9	e	33	26	63.41%	81.25%	89.66%
$ \left(\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	#75	ı	26	.0	0	26	7	4	0	15	9	0	9	6	56.25%	60.00%	100.00
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	#76		0	0	882	882	143	13	78	648	489	433	56	159	21.63%	24.54%	26.86%
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	22#		0	25	0	25	0	9	e	16	16	0	16	0	0.00%	0.00%	%00.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	#78		4	0	0	4	0	2	0	5	2	-	-	.0	0.00%	0.00%	00'0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	6/#		34	0	0	34	2	4	2	33	÷.	5	9	12	54.55%	52.17%	70.59%
96 0 96 18 10 0 68 17 10 7 51 64.6% 75.0% 1 0 0 396 306 70 30 4 292 70 61 9 76.0% 76.0% 1 928 0 0 928 138 139 19 98 212 62.89% 76.0% 1 928 0 0 92 713 119 98 21 594 71.57% 83.31% 1 0 0 13 13 0 0 13 8 3 5 5 62.6% 76.0% 1 1 0 0 13 8 18 3 5 5 62.50% 51.03% 1 1 0 0 1 18 8 3 5 5 62.0% 51.03% 1 0 0 0 1	08#		1	0	0	-	•	-	Ö	0	0	0	0 0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
0 0 0 396 70 30 4 292 70 61 9 222 62.38% 76.03% 1 928 0 0 92 13 119 98 21 59.4 71.57% 83.31% 1 0 0 13 13 0 0 13 88 3 5 5 62.50% 38.46% 1 4 13 13 0 0 0 13 8 3 5 5 62.50% 38.46% 51.33% 1 4 10 13 8 3 5 5 62.50% 38.46% 51.33% 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 3 75.00% 51.33% 1 0 0 0 4 1 1 0 3 75.00% 75.00% 75.00% 75.00% 75.00% 75.00% 75.00% 75.00%<	#81		96	0	0	96	. 18	10	0	68	17	10	2	51	64.56%	75.00%	83.61%
928 0 028 138 68 9 713 119 98 21 634 71.57% 83.31% 0 0 13 13 0 0 13 13 8 3 5 5 6 25.0% 38.46% 1 418 0 0 13 8 3 5 5 6 25.0% 38.46% 1 418 0 0 14 201 28 8 1811 87 66 21 94 26.04% 51.03% 1 0 0 0 1 0 4 1 1 0 3 75.00%	#82		0	0	396	396	20	30	4	292	70	61	თ	222	62.89%	76.03%	78.45%
0 0 13 13 0 0 0 13 8 3 5 5 6 50% 38.46% 4 18 0 0 13 13 8 3 5 5 62.50% 38.46% 1 1 0 0 1 18 8 161 87 66 21 94 26.04% 51.33% 1 0 0 0 4 1 1 0 3 75.00% 75.00% 1 0 0 4 1 1 0 3 75.00% 75.00%	#83		928	0	0	928	138	68	6	713	119	. 86	21	594	71.57%	83.31%	85.84%
418 0 0 418 201 28 8 181 87 66 21 94 26.04% 51.33% 0 0 0 5 5 0 1 0 4 1 1 0 3 75.00% 51.33% 0 0 0 5 5 0 1 0 4 1 1 0 3 75.00% 57.00% 0 0 0 5 5 0 1 0 3 75.00% 75.00%	#84		0	Ģ	13	13	0	0	0	13	8	6	5	ں	62.50%	38.46%	62.50%
0 0 5 5 0 1 0 4 1 1 1 0 3 75.00%	\$8#		418	0	0	418	201	28	80	181	87	66	21	94	26.04%	51.93%	58.75%
	#86	-	0	0	5	2	0	-	0	4	-	-	0	m	75.00%	75.00%	75.00%

Page 2

07/23/2001

ORDERING

REPORT: PERCENT FLOW THROUGH SERVICE REQUESTS (UNE DETAIL) REPORT PERIOD: 06/01/2001 - 06/30/2001

Anticipation Anticipatin Anticipation Anticipation </th <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>J</th> <th>ľ</th> <th></th> <th>0</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>					J	ľ		0									
	Company Info						LSR PR(DCESSING			. : .					FLOW	
							Ë	SOG									`
Ferrity Ferrity <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>Ŵ</th><th>echanized</th><th>Interface U</th><th>sed</th><th>Manual</th><th>Rejects</th><th>Valk</th><th>lated</th><th></th><th>Errors</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>			Ŵ	echanized	Interface U	sed	Manual	Rejects	Valk	lated		Errors					
0 0	Name	RESH / OCN	LENS	Ē	TAG	Total Mech LSR's	Total Manual Fallout	Auto Clarification	Pending Supps (Z Status)	r.SR's	Total System Fallout	BST Caused Fallout	CLEC Caused Fallout	Issued SO's	Achieved Flowthrough	Base Calculation	
0 0	#88		0	•	15	15	0	0	•	15	с С	4	2	2	15.38%	13.33%	15.38%
(6) (6) <td>#89</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>9971</td> <td>9971</td> <td>5039</td> <td>1314</td> <td>94</td> <td>3524</td> <td>1541</td> <td>1102</td> <td>439</td> <td>1983</td> <td>24.41%</td> <td>56.27%</td> <td>64.28%</td>	#89		0	0	9971	9971	5039	1314	94	3524	1541	1102	439	1983	24.41%	56.27%	64.28%
4 0 4 0 4 0 4 0 4 0 0005 00055	06#		8294	0	0	8294	437	744	38	7075	722	553	169	6353	86.52%	89.80%	91.99%
14 0 14 0 14 0	#91		45.	0	0	45	0	16	-	28	28	11	17	0	%00.0	0.00%	0.00%
1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0	#92		4	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	4	0	4	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0	£6#		15	0	0	15	0	7	0	8	.8	2	9	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#94		-	0	0	-	0	0	0	-	Ģ	0	0		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
1 0	<u>9</u> 6#		115	0	0	115	51	7	0	- 57	17	15	2	40	37.74%	70.18%	72.73%
1 0 0 1 0	96#		0	0	6	e	0	n	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
2 0 0 2 2 0	26#		-	0	0	-	0	0	0	-		,	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
4 0 0 4 0 0 4 0 0 4 0 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0	#98		0	0	0	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	Ō	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
0 0 0 0 23 37 8 0 1 1 3 1 4 30778 50008 1 2 0 0 163 0 163 0 1 1 10008 10008 10008 1 2 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 10008 100	66#		4	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	2	2	0	2	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%
	#100		0	0	23	23	7	8	•	80	4	2	2	4	30.77%	50.00%	66.67%
	#101		195	0	0	195	8	34	-	130	26	23	n	104	66.24%	80.00%	81.89%
	#102		7	0	0	2	0	0.	0	2	0	0	0	8	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	#103		0	0	2	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	#104		30	0	0	30	0	. 2	0	28	23	12	11	5	29,41%	17.86%	29.41%
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	#105		9	0	-	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	#106		4	•	0	4	0	0	•	4	-	0	÷	e	100.00%	75.00%	100.00%
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	#107		0	0	2	2	0	-	0	-	-	0	-	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	#108		2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	e	8	-	7	50.00%	40.00%	50.00%
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	#109		135	0	0	135	35	16	2	82	4	35	6	38	35.19%	46.34%	52.05%
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	#110		4	•	•	4	2	0	•	2	5	-	-	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#111		7	0	0	2	0	-	•	9	0	0	0	9	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
401 0 401 15 14 0 572 7 6 1 350 45.0% 66.0% 66.7% 66.0% 66.7% 66.0% 66.7% 66.0% 66.7% 66.0% 66.7% 66.0% 66.7% 66.0% 66.7% 66.0% 66.7% 66.0% 66.7% 66.0% 60.0% <td>#112</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>•</td> <td>677</td> <td>677</td> <td>123</td> <td>57</td> <td>5</td> <td>782</td> <td>20</td> <td>42</td> <td>68</td> <td>701</td> <td>80.95%</td> <td>89.64%</td> <td>94.35%</td>	#112		0	•	677	677	123	57	5	782	20	42	68	701	80.95%	89.64%	94.35%
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	#113		401	0	0	401	15	14		372	~	:0	-	995 1	94.00%	98.12%	20:30%
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	#114	•	65	0	0	65	~ .	6		22	16	12	4 0	£ 4	11.43%	00.03%	75.000/
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	¢11#	-+	2	-	5	2 2	- 6	7		2 7	*	v -	4 0	5	0/ 10.00	7055 50	00 2201
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	#116 #447		- ÷	6		5 5	07	=		+7	t	4 0	- - - -	₽ C	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	#114		2 2	- -		4 +	- c	10	, c		- «	2	- 5		33.33%	11.11%	33.33%
$ \left(\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	#119		45	0		45	0	. 0	5 0	43	43	27	16	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#120	-	64	0	0	64	34	8	0	22	15	1	4	2	13.46%	31.82%	38.89%
	#121		40	0	0	40	18	8	0	14	14	4	e	0	0.00%	0,00%	%00'0
$ \left(\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	#122		45	0	0	45	15	19	0	11	11	7	4	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	#123		0	0	23	23	2	2	0	19	3	2	-	16	80.00%	84.21%	88.89%
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	#124		9	0	0	10	1	4	0	5	2	2J	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	#125		0	520	0	520	384	26	0	80	48	30	18	32	7.17%	40.00%	51.61%
0 0 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 0	#126		12	0	0	12	Q	. 9	0	6	5	3	2	4	25.00%	16.67%	25.00%
2 0 0 2 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 100.00% 0.00% <th< td=""><td>#127</td><td></td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>7</td><td>7</td><td>7</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0.00%</td><td>0.00%</td><td>0.00%</td></th<>	#127		0	0	7	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
2 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 0.00% <td>#128</td> <td></td> <td>2</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>2</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>ō</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>•</td> <td>100.00%</td> <td>100.00%</td> <td>100,00%</td>	#128		2	0	0	2	0	0	-	-	ō	0	0	•	100.00%	100.00%	100,00%
0 18665 0 18665 3331 2245 7 13082 1959 1517 442 11123 68.64% 85.03% 10 0 0 10 2 4 0 4 3 1 33.33% 25.00% 10 0 399 249 121 2 27 7 20 0 00% 60.64% 85.03% 0 3399 249 121 2 27 7 20 0 0.00% 60.64% 85.03% 0 3399 249 121 2 27 7 20 0 0.00% 60.64% 84.00%	#129		2	0	0	2	0	0	0	5	2	+	-	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
10 0 0 10 2 4 0 4 3 0 3 1 33.33% 25.00% 0 39 249 121 2 27 27 7 20 0 0.00% 20.00%	#130.		0	18665	0	18665	3331	2245	2	13082	1959	1517	442	11123	69.64%	85.03%	88.00%
0 339 0 339 249 121 2 27 27 7 20 0 100% 0 339 249 121 2 27 27 2 0 0 100% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	#131	,	9	0	0	10	2	4	0	4	ი	0	e 5	-	33.33%	25.00%	100.00%
	#132		0	399	0	399	249	121	2	27		\	2, 9		%000	0.00%	%00'0

07/23/2001

Page 3

REPORT: PERCENT FLOW THROUGH SERVICE REQUESTS (UNE DETAIL) REPORT PERIOD: 06/01/2001 - 06/30/2001

ORDERING

AGGREGATE ORDER TYPES																10 Dec
Company Info						LSR PRC	LSR PROCESSING			n a gundan a c					FLOWTI	FLOWTHROUGH
						LE	LESOG								-	
		Me	Mechanized Interface Used	nterface U	sed	Manual	Rejects	Valida	ated		Errors					
					Total Mech		Auto	Pending Supps		Total System	BST Caused	CLEC Caused		Achieved	Base	CLEC Error Excluded
Name	RESH / OCN	LENS	EDI	TAG	LSR's	5	Clarification	(Z Status)	LSR's	Fallout	Fallout	Fallout	Issued SO's	Flowthrough	Calculation	Calculation
#134		65	0	0	65	0	5	3	57	21	12	6	36	75.00%	63.16%	75.00%
#135		0	0	4	4	0	0	-	3	0	0	0	3	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
#136		67	0	0	67	23	4	e	37	16	10	9	21	38.89%	56.76%	67.74%
#137		0	0	13	13	0	4	0	6	2	0	6	7	100.00%	77.78%	100.00%
#138		0	0	4	4	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
#139		104	0	0	104	55	41	0	8	80	8	0	0	%00.0	0.00%	0.00%
#140		80	0	0	8	e	0	-	4	4	4	0	0	0,00%	0.00%	0.00%
#141		21	0	0	21	0	4	4	13	13	11	2	0	0.00%	%00:0	0.00%
#142		1	0	0	Ŧ	0	8	0	3	ę	2	1	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
#143		0	0	14	14	0	0	0	14	4	-	3	10	90.91%	71.43%	90.91%
#144	-	0	•	36	36	0	Ω	-	30	11	8	e.	19	70.37%	63.33%	70.37%
#145		5	0	0	S	-	0	2	2	-	1	0	-	33.33%	50.00%	50.00%
#146		2	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
#147		0	0	8	00	0	-	0	7	4	4	0	e	42.86%	42.86%	42.86%
#148		186	0	0	186	48	107	-	30	30	26	4	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
#149		2	0	0	2	0	1	0	• •	-		0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
#150		0	0	54.	5	15	4	1	34	26	11	15	8	23.53%	23.53%	42.11%
#151		ß	0	0	5	2	2	0	-	0	. 0	0	-	33.33%	100.00%	100.00%
. #152		0.	0	2	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
#153		0	1536	0	1536	816	139	4	577	140	- 23	67	437	32.96%	75.74%	85.69%
#154		141	0	0	141	. 56	12	-	22	37	31	9	35	.28.69%	48.61%	53.03%
#155		2	0	0	2	-	•	0	0	0	ọ	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
#156		4	0	0	4	0	0	. 2	. 2	0	0	0	7	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
#157		0	417	0	417	210	74	9	127	80	28	22	47	14.92%	37.01%	44.76%
#158		592	0	0	592	94	28	4	466	114	95	19	352	65.06%	75.54%	78.75%
#159		75	0	0	75	4	49	2	20	50	18	2	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
. #160		40	•	0	40	. 4	17	-	18	18	11	2	0	0:00%	0.00%	· 0.00%
#161		0	169	0	169	115	11	3	40	21-	18	en	19	12,50%	47.50%	51.35%
#162		5	0	ò	4	0	3	2	8	4	2	2	4	66.67%	50.00%	66.67%
#163		2417	0	0	2417	. 487	266	6	1655	688	566	122	202	47.87%	58.43%	63.08%
#164		133	0	0	133	-	23	4	105	39	12	27	99	83.54%	62.86%	84.62%
#165		0	0	94	94	47	19		27	6	9	en :	18	25.35%	66.67%	75.00%
#166		8189	0	0	8189	870	490	83	6736	1588	1402	186	5148	69.38%	76.43%	78.60%
#167		•	272	0	272	165	54	•	23	19	15	4	34	15.89%	64.15%	69.39%
#168		786	•	0	786	146	83	6	548	194	164	30	354	53.31%	64.60%	68.34%
#169		8	0	•	18	0	6	**	80	9	5	-	2	28.57%	25.00%	28.57%
#170		•	94	•	94	56	en	-	34	13	5	-	21	23.60%	61.76%	63.64%
121#		-	•	0	-	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
#172		e	0	0	en	-	-	0	-	÷		•	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
#173	-	-	0	0	-	0	0	0	-	-	-	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
#174		19	•	0	19	5	e	9	2	2	3	7	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
#175		0	559	0	559	109	61	5	387	127	101	26	260	55.32%	67.18%	72.02%
#176		909	0	0	606	85	8	2	415	128	111	11	287	59.42%	69.16%	72.11%
#177		0	137	0	137	ŝ	41	2	61	42	5	0	44	50.00%	72.13%	80.00%
#178	-	-	0	15	15	6	2	•	4	- I	0	-	4	30.77%	100.00%	0/.00'.00I
#179		41	0	- 0	41	4	8	0	29	5	5	- 0	24	72.73%	82.76%	82.76%

Page 4

07/23/2001

ORDERING

REPORT: PERCENT FLOW THROUGH SERVICE REQUESTS (UNE DETAIL) REPORT PERIOD: 06/01/2001 - 06/30/2001

AGGREGATE ORDER TYPES									and the second line of the second sec			2				
Company Info						LSR PR	LSR PROCESSING					na dina ang			FLOWTI	FLOWTHROUGH
						LL L	LESOG					المراجع مع مع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع				
		Me	Mechanized I	Interface Used	Ised	Manual	Rejects	Valio	Validated	-	Errors					
					Total Mech	Total Manual	Aŭto	Pending		Svstem	BST Caused	CLEC Caused		Achieved	Base	CLEC Error Excluded
Name	RESH / OCN	LENS	EDI	TAG	LSR's	Fallout	Clarification	(Z Status)	LSR's	Fallout	Fallout	Fallout	Issued SO's	E,	Calculation	Calculation
#180		101	0	0	101	9	32	14	49	.48	42	9	4	2.04%	2.04%	2.33%
#181		0	80	0	80	0	+	0	7	2	7	0	ų	71.43%	71.43%	71.43%
#182		2026	0	0	2026	217	197	23	1589	827	676	151	762	46.04%	47.95%	52.99%
#183		-	0	0	-	0	0	0		•	-	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
#184		201	0	0	201	0	-	4	196	38	35	3	158	81.87%	80.61%	81.87%
#185		597	o	0	597	126	114	15	342	194	147	47	148	35.15%	43.27%	50.17%
LENS Subtotal		38418	0	0	38418	5242	3665	403	29108	7289	5780	1509	21819	66.44%	74.96%	79.06%
EDI Subtotal		0	27627	0	27627	6748	3655	44	17180	4639	2148	2491	12541	58.50%	73.00%	85.38%
TAG Subtotal		0	.0	18693	18693	6512	2508	245	9428	4007	3079	928	5421	36.11%	57.50%	63.78%
TOTAL INTERFACES		38418	27627	18693	84738	18502	9828	692	55716	15935	11007	4928	39781	57.41%	71.40%	78.33%

07/23/2001

Page 5