## May 18, 2001

Mr. Thomas M. Dorman Executive Director Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard P. O. Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40602

Re: Investigation Concerning the Propriety of InterLATA Services by BellSouth

Telecommunications, Inc. Pursuant to the Telecommunications Act of 1996

PSC 2001-105

Dear Mr. Dorman:

Enclosed for filing in this case is the Testimony of BellSouth witnesses as follows:

Cynthia K. Cox W. Keith Milner David P. Scollard Ken L. Ainsworth Ronald M. Pate Alfred Heartley Wiley (Jerry) G. Latham Thomas G. Williams Alphonso J. Varner Edward J. Mulrow, Ph.D.

On April 26, 2001, the Commission issued an order closing Case No. 96-608, opening the instant case and incorporating the Advisory Opinion issued by the Commission in Case No. 96-608. In that opinion, the Commission found that, at that time, BellSouth had met the requirements for seven of the fourteen checklist points. The April 26 Order also established the initial procedural schedule in this case. The schedule called for BellSouth to file information regarding the current compliance with the seven checklist items from the Advisory Opinion 20 days from the date of the procedural order (May 18, 2001). Parties would then have 10 days (May 28, 2001) to respond to these items. BellSouth was to file information on the other seven items 30 days after the Order (May 28, 2001) with party responses filed 15 days thereafter (June 12, 2001). On May 4, 2001, AT&T filed a motion to revise the procedural schedule and the

Mr. Thomas M. Dorman May 18, 2001 Page 2

Commission did revise the schedule on May 11, 2001, such that the parties would be given 20 days from the first BellSouth filing (June 7) and 30 days from the second filing (June 27) to file replies.

As the Commission is aware, BellSouth is pursuing a regional strategy for 271 entry. The basic testimony outline is being used in all of the state filings and as a result is difficult to bifurcate into the seven items previously met and the seven yet to be met. BellSouth's testimony, therefore, presents a comprehensive analysis of its compliance with all 14 points, taking the points in numerical order from the checklist.

Attached is a matrix which reflects the portions of the witnesses testimony (and relevant affidavits) that address each of the checklist items. The seven previously approved items -3, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13 are shown in bold italics.

BellSouth suggests that the Commission afford the parties the full forty days to respond to all of the issues. This would make the parties responses due on June 27, 2001, which coincides with the response date for parties in the revised procedural schedule. To the extent that a Motion to Deviate from the current procedural schedule is required, BellSouth makes such a request and asks that the foregoing information be considered as support for such a motion for "good cause shown".

One paper copy of the filing and a CD-ROM are provided to the Commission. A CD-ROM containing the filing is provided to parties of record.

Sincerely,

Creighton E. Mershon, Sr.

Enclosures

cc: Parties of Record

344563

Checklist Item	Cox - Policy Testimony	Milner Network Testimony	Varner - Performance Measures	Other	SGAT
1—Interconnection	23-27	14-32	To be filed with performance data (TBF)	Wayne Gray affidavit regarding collocation attached to Milner testimony Attachment A	Section I
2 non discriminatory access to network elements (loop/port and loop/transport combinations)	27-37	32-45	TBF		Section II
3 Access to Poles, Ducts and Conduit	37-39	46	NA	Linda Kinsey affidavit regarding poles, ducts, conduits and rights-of-way attached to Milner testimony Attachment B	Section III
4 Local Loop	40-46	47-64	ТВ	- Testimony Wiley (Jerry) G. Latham regarding xDSL loops, loop conditioning, and loop qualification offerings Testimony of Tommy G. Williams regarding line sharing and line splitting Testimony of Ken Ainsworth regarding provisioning processes Dennis L Davis affidavit regarding local number portability attached to Milner testimony Attachment E	Section IV
5 Local Transport	46-49	64-67	TBF		Section V
6Local Switching	49-55	67-78	TBF		Section VI

Checklist Item 7 911/E911, DA, and OS	Cox - Policy Testimony	Milner Network Testimony	Varner - Performance Measures		SGAT Section VII
				<ul> <li>Val Sapp affidavit regarding nondiscriminatory access to the 911 database attached to Milner testimony Attachment F</li> <li>Doug Coutee affidavit regarding procedures for updating and maintaining the DA and OS databases attached to Milner testimony Attachment C</li> </ul>	
8 White Page Listings	61-64	92	NA	Rook Barretto affidavit regarding white page listings attached to Milner testimony Attachment D	Section VIII
9 Number Administration	64-67	92-96	NA		Section IX
10 Databases and Associated Signalling	67-70	96-110	TBF		Section X
Checklist Item	Cox - Policy Testimony	Milner Network Testimony	Varner - Performance Measures	Other	SGAT
11 Service Provider Number Portability	70-75	110-113	TBF	Dennis L Davis affidavit regarding local number portability attached to Milner testimony Attachment E	Section XI
12 Local Dialing Parity	76-78	113-114	NA		Section XII
13 Reciprocal Compensation	78-82	114	NA		Section XIII
14 Resale	83-86	114-115	TBF		Section XIV

## **CERTIFICATION**

I hereby certify that the electronic version of this filing made with the Commission this 18th day of May 2001 is a true and accurate copy of the document filed herewith in paper form, that the electronic version of the filing has been transmitted to the Commission, that the Commission and parties of record have been notified by electronic mail that the electronic version of this document has been transmitted to the Commission and that a CD Rom containing the filing is served on parties of record today.

Creighton E. Mershon, Sr.