STATE OF GEORGIA COUNTY OF FULTON

)

)

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, duly commissioned and cualified in and for the State and County aforesaid, personally came and appeared Alphonso J. Varner, who, being by me first duly sworn deposed and said that:

He is appearing as a witness on behalf of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., before the Kentucky Public Service Commission in connection with Case No. 2001-105, Investigation Concerning The Propriety of InterLATA Services By BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., Pursuant To The Telecommunications Act of 1996, and if present before the Commission and duly sworn, his testimony would be set forth in the annexed testimony consisting of <u>3</u> pages and <u>1</u> exhibits.

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME this Sto day of April _____, 2002

Notary Public, Cobb County, Georgia My Commission Expires June 19, 2005

BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ALPHONSO J. VARNER BEFORE THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION CASE NO. 2001-105 APRIL 10, 2002

- Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, YOUR POSITION WITH BELLSOUTH
 TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. ("BELLSOUTH") AND YOUR BUSINESS
 ADDRESS.
- A. My name is Alphonso J. Varner. I am employed by BellSouth as Senior
 Director in Interconnection Services. My business address is 675 West
 Peachtree Street, Atlanta, Georgia 30375.
- Q. ARE YOU THE SAME ALPHONSO J. VARNER WHO FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?
- A. Yes, I am.

Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY?

 A. The purpose of my supplemental testimony is to provide data specific to BellSouth's operations in Kentucky in the FCC format in this proceeding. As stated in my direct testimony filed with this Commission on May 18, 2001, this filing reflects performance for the month of February 2002. Supplemental Exhibit AJV-6 and Attachments 1I though 3I that accompany this filing describe the data and explain the conclusions that can be drawn from it.

Q. CAN YOU SUMMARIZE THE KENTUCKY DATA?

A. Certainly. As discussed in my Exhibit, in December 2001, BellSouth met or exceeded the criteria for 545 of the 616 sub-metrics (88%) for which there was CLEC activity. BellSouth met or exceeded the benchmarks/retail analogues for 562 of 627 (90%) sub-metrics in January 2002. In February 2002, BellSouth met or exceeded the criteria for 550 of the 604 sub-metrics (91%) for which there was CLEC activity. For those measures that BellSouth did not meet benchmarks or retail analogue comparisons, my Exhibit demonstrates that there are no systemic performance problems.

During the three-month period, December 2001 through February 2002, there were a total of 541 sub-metrics that had CLEC activity for all three months and that were compared with either a benchmark or retail analogue. Of these 541 sub-metrics, 497 sub-metrics (92%) satisfied the comparison criteria during at least two of the three months.

- Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- A. Yes.