## Exhibit JMB-28

July 18, 2000, Deposition Transcript of Melaine Hardwick

## CONDENSED TRANSCRIPT

#### STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

UTILITIES COMMISSION RALEIGH

#### BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT NEGOTIATIONS BETWEEN AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF THE SOUTHERN STATES, INC., TCG OF THE CAROLINAS, INC., and BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC., Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. Section 252

Docket No. P-140, Sub 73 Docket No. P-646, Sub 7

#### DEPOSITION OF

MELAINE HARDWICK

July 18, 2000

2:11 p.m.

1200 Peachtree Street, N.E.

Atlanta, Georgia

Sharon A. Gabrielli, CCR-B-2002



Foll Free (877) 495-0777

www.galloreporting.com

.

WASHINGTON, DC

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

Complimentary Conference Rooms Throughout Georgia And Alajor Citics Nationwide

Alexander Gall Associates, Inc.

NEW YORK, NEW YORK Suite 200 400 Colony Square

1201 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30364

	Page 1		Page 3
1	STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA	1 1	Deposition of Melaine Hardwick
2	UTILITIES COMMISSION	2	July 18, 2000
3	RALEIGH	3	MS. RULE: This is the deposition
	BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION	4	of Melaine Hardwick taken in docket number
4 5	IN THE MATTER OF THE	5	P-140, Sub 73 and P-646, Sub 17 in an
	INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT	6	arbitration before the North Carolina Public
6	NEGOTIATIONS BETWEEN ATET	7	Service Commission.
7	COMMUNICATIONS OF THE Docket No. P-140, Sub 73 SOUTHERN STATES, INC., TCG Docket No. P-646, Sub 7	B B	We may also have answers from
1	OF THE CAROLINAS, INC., and	9	Martha Lewis who will be deposed later on in
8	BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC., Pursuant to 47 U.S.C.	10	the afternoon.
9	Section 252	11	Swear the witness, please.
10		12	MARTHA LEWIS, having been first
11	DEPOSITION OF	13	duly sworn, was deposed and testified as
12	MELAINE HARDWICK	14	follows:
13	July 18, 2000	15	EXAMINATION
14	July 18, 2000	16	BY-MS.RULE:
1	2:11 p.m.	17	Q. My name is Marsha Rule, I'm an
15	1200 Peachtree Street, N.E.	18	attorney for AT&T. And I would first like
17	Atlanta, Georgia	19	-
18	Sharon A. Gabrielli, CCR-8-2002	20	you to state your name and spell it for the
19	Show A dasheni, ceno-roce	20	court reporter and also state your business address.
20 21		22	
22		23	A. Okay. My name is Melaine, M E L A I N E, Hardwick, H A R D W I C K. My
23		24	business address is 1277 Lenox Park
24 25		25	Boulevard, Suite 300, Atlanta, Georgia.
			bolievara, saite 500, Atlanta, Georgia.
	Page 2		Page 4
1	Page 2 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL	1	-
1		1 2	MS. RULE: And, Doug, could you
			-
2	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL	2	MS. RULE: And, Doug, could you state for the record the purposes for which
2 3	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL On behalf of ATET:	2 3	MS. RULE: And, Doug, could you state for the record the purposes for which Ms. Hardwick and Ms. Lewis have been
2 3 4 5 6	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL On behalf of AT&T: MARSHA RULE, ESQ.	2 3 4	MS. RULE: And, Doug, could you state for the record the purposes for which Ms. Hardwick and Ms. Lewis have been produced?
2 3 4 5 6 7	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL On behalf of AT&T: MARSHA RULE, ESQ. AT&T	2 3 4 5	MS. RULE: And, Doug, could you state for the record the purposes for which Ms. Hardwick and Ms. Lewis have been produced? MR. LACKEY: Ms. Hardwick is here
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL On behalf of AT&T: MARSHA RULE, ESQ. AT&T Suite 8100	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. RULE: And, Doug, could you state for the record the purposes for which Ms. Hardwick and Ms. Lewis have been produced? MR. LACKEY: Ms. Hardwick is here because yall noticed her for a deposition.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL On behalf of AT&T: MARSHA RULE, ESQ. AT&T Suite 8100 1200 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. RULE: And, Doug, could you state for the record the purposes for which Ms. Hardwick and Ms. Lewis have been produced? MR. LACKEY: Ms. Hardwick is here because yall noticed her for a deposition. We offered her name in response to a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL On behalf of AT&T: MARSHA RULE, ESQ. AT&T Suite 8100 1200 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309 On behalf BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. RULE: And, Doug, could you state for the record the purposes for which Ms. Hardwick and Ms. Lewis have been produced? MR. LACKEY: Ms. Hardwick is here because yall noticed her for a deposition. We offered her name in response to a question that asked for someone knowledgeable
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL On behalf of AT&T: MARSHA RULE, ESQ. AT&T Suite 8100 1200 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309 On behalf BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.: R. DOUGLAS LACKEY, ESQ.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. RULE: And, Doug, could you state for the record the purposes for which Ms. Hardwick and Ms. Lewis have been produced? MR. LACKEY: Ms. Hardwick is here because yall noticed her for a deposition. We offered her name in response to a question that asked for someone knowledgeable about BellSouth's internal change control processes. I explained to Mr. Lamoureux that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL On behalf of AT&T: MARSHA RULE, ESQ. AT&T Suite 8100 1200 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309 On behalf BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.: R. DOUGLAS LACKEY, ESQ. BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. RULE: And, Doug, could you state for the record the purposes for which MS. Hardwick and MS. Lewis have been produced? MR. LACKEY: MS. Hardwick is here because y'all noticed her for a deposition. We offered her name in response to a question that asked for someone knowledgeable about BellSouth's internal change control processes. I explained to Mr. Lamoureux that Ms. Hardwick was familiar with the internal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL On behalf of AT&T: MARSHA RULE, ESQ. AT&T Suite 8100 1200 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309 On behalf BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.: R. DOUGLAS LACKEY, ESQ. BellSouth Telecommunications; Inc. Suite 4300	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. RULE: And, Doug, could you state for the record the purposes for which MS. Hardwick and MS. Lewis have been produced? MR. LACKEY: MS. Hardwick is here because y'all noticed her for a deposition. We offered her name in response to a question that asked for someone knowledgeable about BellSouth's internal change control processes. I explained to Mr. Lamoureux that MS. Hardwick was familiar with the internal change control processes associated with ROS,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL On behalf of AT&T: MARSHA RULE, ESQ. AT&T Suite 8100 1200 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309 On behalf BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.: R. DOUGLAS LACKEY, ESQ. BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Suite 4300 675 West Peachtree Street	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. RULE: And, Doug, could you state for the record the purposes for which MS. Hardwick and MS. Lewis have been produced? MR. LACKEY: MS. Hardwick is here because y'all noticed her for a deposition. We offered her name in response to a question that asked for someone knowledgeable about BellSouth's internal change control processes. I explained to Mr. Lamoureux that Ms. Hardwick was familiar with the internal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL On behalf of AT&T: MARSHA RULE, ESQ. AT&T Suite 8100 1200 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309 On behalf BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.: R. DOUGLAS LACKEY, ESQ. BellSouth Telecommunications; Inc. Suite 4300	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. RULE: And, Doug, could you state for the record the purposes for which MS. Hardwick and MS. Lewis have been produced? MR. LACKEY: MS. Hardwick is here because y'all noticed her for a deposition. We offered her name in response to a question that asked for someone knowledgeable about BellSouth's internal change control processes. I explained to Mr. Lamoureux that MS. Hardwick was familiar with the internal change control processes associated with ROS,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL On behalf of AT&T: MARSHA RULE, ESQ. AT&T Suite 8100 1200 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309 On behalf BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.: R. DOUGLAS LACKEY, ESQ. BellSouth Telecommunications; Inc. Suite 4300 675 West Peachtree Street Atlanta, Georgia 30375-0747	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. RULE: And, Doug, could you state for the record the purposes for which Ms. Hardwick and Ms. Lewis have been produced? MR. LACKEY: Ms. Hardwick is here because y'all noticed her for a deposition. We offered her name in response to a question that asked for someone knowledgeable about BellSouth's internal change control processes. I explained to Mr. Lamoureux that Ms. Hardwick was familiar with the internal change control processes associated with ROS, which is our interface that BellSouth uses
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL On behalf of AT&T: MARSHA RULE, ESQ. AT&T Suite 8100 1200 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309 On behalf BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.: R. DOUGLAS LACKEY, ESQ. BellSouth Telecommunications; Inc. Suite 4300 675 West Peachtree Street Atlanta, Georgia 30375-0747 Also Present:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. RULE: And, Doug, could you state for the record the purposes for which MS. Hardwick and MS. Lewis have been produced? MR. LACKEY: MS. Hardwick is here because y'all noticed her for a deposition. We offered her name in response to a question that asked for someone knowledgeable about BellSouth's internal change control processes. I explained to Mr. Lamoureux that MS. Hardwick was familiar with the internal change control processes associated with ROS, which is our interface that BellSouth uses for its small business and large business services. In addition, we had a request for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL On behalf of AT&T: MARSHA RULE, ESQ. AT&T Suite 8100 1200 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309 On behalf BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.: R. DOUGLAS LACKEY, ESQ. BellSouth Telecommunications; Inc. Suite 4300 675 West Peachtree Street Atlanta, Georgia 30375-0747	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. RULE: And, Doug, could you state for the record the purposes for which Ms. Hardwick and Ms. Lewis have been produced? MR. LACKEY: Ms. Hardwick is here because y'all noticed her for a deposition. We offered her name in response to a question that asked for someone knowledgeable about BellSouth's internal change control processes. I explained to Mr. Lamoureux that Ms. Hardwick was familiar with the internal change control processes associated with ROS, which is our interface that BellSouth uses for its small business and large business services. In addition, we had a request for someone who could speak about the things that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL On behalf of AT&T: MARSHA RULE, ESQ. AT&T Suite 8100 1200 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309 On behalf BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.: R. DOUGLAS LACKEY, ESQ. BellSouth Telecommunications; Inc. Suite 4300 675 West Peachtree Street Atlanta, Georgia 30375-0747 Also Present:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. RULE: And, Doug, could you state for the record the purposes for which MS. Hardwick and MS. Lewis have been produced? MR. LACKEY: MS. Hardwick is here because yall noticed her for a deposition. We offered her name in response to a question that asked for someone knowledgeable about BellSouth's internal change control processes. I explained to Mr. Lamoureux that Ms. Hardwick was familiar with the internal change control processes associated with ROS, which is our interface that BellSouth uses for its small business and large business services. In addition, we had a request for someone who could speak about the things that were contained in the deposition of the CIO
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL On behalf of AT&T: MARSHA RULE, ESQ. AT&T Suite 8100 1200 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309 On behalf BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.: R. DOUGLAS LACKEY, ESQ. BellSouth Telecommunications; Inc. Suite 4300 675 West Peachtree Street Atlanta, Georgia 30375-0747 Also Present:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. RULE: And, Doug, could you state for the record the purposes for which MS. Hardwick and MS. Lewis have been produced? MR. LACKEY: MS. Hardwick is here because yall noticed her for a deposition. We offered her name in response to a question that asked for someone knowledgeable about BellSouth's internal change control processes. I explained to Mr. Lamoureux that MS. Hardwick was familiar with the internal change control processes associated with ROS, which is our interface that BellSouth uses for its small business and large business services. In addition, we had a request for someone who could speak about the things that were contained in the deposition of the CIO of the small business group filed in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL On behalf of AT&T: MARSHA RULE, ESQ. AT&T Suite 8100 1200 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309 On behalf BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.: R. DOUGLAS LACKEY, ESQ. BellSouth Telecommunications; Inc. Suite 4300 675 West Peachtree Street Atlanta, Georgia 30375-0747 Also Present:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. RULE: And, Doug, could you state for the record the purposes for which MS. Hardwick and MS. Lewis have been produced? MR. LACKEY: MS. Hardwick is here because y'all noticed her for a deposition. We offered her name in response to a question that asked for someone knowledgeable about BellSouth's internal change control processes. I explained to Mr. Lamoureux that MS. Hardwick was familiar with the internal change control processes associated with ROS, which is our interface that BellSouth uses for its small business and large business services. In addition, we had a request for someone who could speak about the things that were contained in the deposition of the CIO of the small business group filed in the Louisiana 2-271 proceeding. We didn't have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL On behalf of AT&T: MARSHA RULE, ESQ. AT&T Suite 8100 1200 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309 On behalf BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.: R. DOUGLAS LACKEY, ESQ. BellSouth Telecommunications; Inc. Suite 4300 675 West Peachtree Street Atlanta, Georgia 30375-0747 Also Present:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. RULE: And, Doug, could you state for the record the purposes for which Ms. Hardwick and Ms. Lewis have been produced? MR. LACKEY: Ms. Hardwick is here because y'all noticed her for a deposition. We offered her name in response to a question that asked for someone knowledgeable about BellSouth's internal change control processes. I explained to Mr. Lamoureux that Ms. Hardwick was familiar with the internal change control processes associated with ROS, which is some interface that BellSouth uses for its small business and large business services. In addition, we had a request for someone who could speak about the things that were contained in the deposition of the CIO of the small business group filed in the Louisiana 2-271 proceeding. We didn't have anyone who had that precise knowledge,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL On behalf of AT&T: MARSHA RULE, ESQ. AT&T Suite 8100 1200 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309 On behalf BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.: R. DOUGLAS LACKEY, ESQ. BellSouth Telecommunications; Inc. Suite 4300 675 West Peachtree Street Atlanta, Georgia 30375-0747 Also Present:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. RULE: And, Doug, could you state for the record the purposes for which MS. Hardwick and MS. Lewis have been produced? MR. LACKEY: MS. Hardwick is here because y'all noticed her for a deposition. We offered her name in response to a question that asked for someone knowledgeable about BellSouth's internal change control processes. I explained to Mr. Lamoureux that Ms. Hardwick was familiar with the internal change control processes associated with ROS, which is our interface that BellSouth uses for its small business and large business services. In addition, we had a request for someone who could speak about the things that were contained in the deposition of the CIO of the small business group filed in the Louisiana 2-271 proceeding. We didn't have anyone who had that precise knowledge, particularly since those systems that were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL On behalf of AT&T: MARSHA RULE, ESQ. AT&T Suite 8100 1200 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309 On behalf BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.: R. DOUGLAS LACKEY, ESQ. BellSouth Telecommunications; Inc. Suite 4300 675 West Peachtree Street Atlanta, Georgia 30375-0747 Also Present:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. RULE: And, Doug, could you state for the record the purposes for which MS. Hardwick and MS. Lewis have been produced? MR. LACKEY: MS. Hardwick is here because yall noticed her for a deposition. We offered her name in response to a question that asked for someone knowledgeable about BellSouth's internal change control processes. I explained to Mr. Lamoureux that MS. Hardwick was familiar with the internal change control processes associated with ROS, which is our interface that BellSouth uses for its small business and large business services. In addition, we had a request for someone who could speak about the things that were contained in the deposition of the CIO of the small business group filed in the Louisiana 2-271 proceeding. We didn't have anyone who had that precise knowledge, particularly since those systems that were referenced in that affidavit are no longer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL On behalf of AT&T: MARSHA RULE, ESQ. AT&T Suite 8100 1200 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309 On behalf BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.: R. DOUGLAS LACKEY, ESQ. BellSouth Telecommunications; Inc. Suite 4300 675 West Peachtree Street Atlanta, Georgia 30375-0747 Also Present:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. RULE: And, Doug, could you state for the record the purposes for which MS. Hardwick and MS. Lewis have been produced? MR. LACKEY: MS. Hardwick is here because y'all noticed her for a deposition. We offered her name in response to a question that asked for someone knowledgeable about BellSouth's internal change control processes. I explained to Mr. Lamoureux that Ms. Hardwick was familiar with the internal change control processes associated with ROS, which is our interface that BellSouth uses for its small business and large business services. In addition, we had a request for someone who could speak about the things that were contained in the deposition of the CIO of the small business group filed in the Louisiana 2-271 proceeding. We didn't have anyone who had that precise knowledge, particularly since those systems that were

V-pages -

Г

Alexander Gallo & Associates, Inc. 404-495-0777 1 (Pages 1 to 4)

	Page 5		Page 7
1	However, Ms. Lewis is	1	A. 24.
2	knowledgeable about ROS, which is the system	2	Q. And for whom do you work?
3	that we are using for small business today.	3	A. I work for Sherry Duncan.
4	And we believe that she, in conjunction with	4	Q. And what is her position?
5	Ms. Hardwick, can probably respond to any	5	A. Senior director.
6	questions that would arise dealing with the	6	Q. And what are the functions of the
7	subject matters in that affidavit.	7	people who work for you?
8	MS. RULE: Thank you.	8	A. The functions of the – of my
9	Q. (By Ms. Rule) Ms. Hardwick, in	9	team, again, are to develop the requirements
10	what part of BellSouth do you work?	10	for ROS changes or enhancements to the ROS
11	A. I work for BellSouth business	11	application, as well as user acceptance
12	systems.	12	testing for that application.
13	Q. And what is BellSouth business	13	Q. Are you familiar with any of the
14	systems?	14	CLEC interfaces?
15	A. It is a part of large business	15	A. No, i'm not.
16	services.	16	Q. Are you familiar with LENS?
17	Q. And what does BellSouth business	17	A. No, i'm not.
18	systems do? What functions does it take	18	Q. CG!?
19	care of?	19	A. No, I'm not.
20	A. Well, I could only speak to my	20	Q. Do you have responsibilities for
21	particular functions. There is a lot of	21	any other systems or interfaces that
22	functions in BellSouth business systems.	22	BellSouth uses?
23	Q. What are your functions?	23	A. Yes, I do.
24	A. I am the director for the ROS	24	Q. What are they?
25	application, manage it for both large	25	A. I have responsibility for field

#### Page 6

1 business services as well as small business 1 2 services. 2 3 ο. What's the delineation between 3 4 large business services and small business 4 5 services? 5 A. Two different operating units of 6 6 7 7 the company. One that supports large 8 business versus small business. 8 it, actually. 9 9 Q. What counts as a large business Q. 10 10 and what counts as a small business? support? 11 Α. I don't know the answer to that 11 Α. 12 question. 12 What are your specific job 13 Q. 13 14 responsibilities? 14 15 A. Management of the ROS application 15 for both, again, large business and small 16 16 17 business services. 17 Q. 18 Q. And in terms of management, what 18 19 tasks do you perform in order to manage the 19 ATLAS? 20 interface? 20 Α. 21 21 A. I manage the group that is Q. 22 22 responsible for developing the user 23 requirements, user acceptance testing for the 23 24 ROS application. 24 25 25 Q. How many people work for you?

#### Page 8

support, for SOCS, RSAG, ATLAS. Q. What is field support? MR. LACKEY: I'm not sure she was through with her answer. She may have been. She just didn't look like it. THE WITNESS: No. There are more. SOCS, RSAG, ATLAS -- I think that's (By Ms. Rule) What is field That particular group supports only large business, not small business, as opposed to my ROS team supports both. And if a user in the field has a question or an issue regarding those applications, they would contact this group. Do large users access any of these systems directly, the SOCS, RSAG, or Yes, they do. Would a large business user come to you or your group if, for example, they identified improvements in RSAG that they wished to have made? A. Yes, they would.

1	Page 9		Page 11
1	Q. in addition to the	1	A. That's how we refer to them.
2	responsibilities, your job responsibilities,	2	Q. How does the big business unit
3	are you familiar with any other BellSouth	3	determine what it needs in the way of
4	systems or interfaces that you don't directly	4	information technology generally?
5	work with?	5	A. Could you I don't understand
6	A. Yes.	6	exactly the question. Could you rephrase
7	Q. Can you tell me what ones you're	7	that?
8	generally	8	Q. Certainly. How does big business
9	A. There is another one that we do	9	identify a need for new types of information
10	work with, too. It's BOCRIS.	10	technology or improvements to the existing
11	Q. What other systems are you	11	technology?
12	familiar with?	12	A. Are you asking improvements to an
13	A. I'm a little familiar with DOE.	13	existing application?
14	I'm familiar with that would be about it,	14	Q. We can start there, certainly.
15	unless you can give me one by name.	15	A. Okay. Well, it's really the same
16	Q. RNS?	16	for large business as it is for small
10	A, No, I'm not familiar with RNS.	17	business, in that a user generally of the
18	Q. SONGS?	18	application would submit a request to have
19	A. No.	19	something added or changed to specifically
20	Q. Have you read the testimony of	20	I'm speaking the ROS application.
21	any BellSouth witness in this docket?	21	Q. Would that typically be something
22	A. Yes, I have.	22	that the user had noticed that could be
23	Q. Whose testimony would that be?	23	improved?
24	A, Ron Pate.	24	A. Yes, it could be.
25	Q. Have you read anybody else's	25	Q. Who would that request be
			<u></u>
	Page 10		Page 12
1	testimony?	1	submitted to?
2	A. Jay Bradbury.	2	<ol><li>A. It would be submitted to a</li></ol>
3	Q. Are you familiar with a BellSouth	3	representative either in small business or a
4	press release that discusses the selection of	4	representative in large business who actually
5	Telcordia as an OSS solutions provider?	5	is part of my staff for the large business
6	A. No, I'm not.	6	side.
7	Q. Are you familiar with the subject	7	Q. Would it be submitted to any
8	matter of the press release?	8	representative or is there
9	A. No, I'm not.	9	A. There are two individuals that
10	Q. Are you aware of any work that	10	have responsibility for receiving the request.
11	Telcordia is doing or would be doing that	11	Q. What is their title?
12	would affect your business unit?	12	A. I can only speak to the person in
13	A. No.	13	my group, and her title is project manager.
		14	Q. After a request has been submitted
14	Q. Are you aware whether Telcordia		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
15	has any work that will be done with or in	15	to the project manager, what is the project
15 16	has any work that will be done with or in connection with ROS?	16	to the project manager, what is the project manager's responsibility with regard to that
15 16 17	has any work that will be done with or in connection with ROS? A. No. (Whereupon, a discussion	16 17	to the project manager, what is the project manager's responsibility with regard to that request?
15 16 17 18	has any work that will be done with or in connection with ROS? A. No. (Whereupon, a discussion ensued off the record.)	16 17 18	to the project manager, what is the project manager's responsibility with regard to that request? A. She reviews the request to
15 16 17 18 19	has any work that will be done with or in connection with ROS? A. No. (Whereupon, a discussion ensued off the record.) Q. (By Ms. Rule) I'm going to ask	16 17 18 19	to the project manager, what is the project manager's responsibility with regard to that request? A. She reviews the request to determine the value that it would add to the
15 16 17 18 19 20	has any work that will be done with or in connection with ROS? A. No. (Whereupon, a discussion ensued off the record.) Q. (By Ms. Rule) I'm going to ask you a series of questions, and I would like	16 17 18 19 20	to the project manager, what is the project manager's responsibility with regard to that request? A. She reviews the request to determine the value that it would add to the application. She also views it to see if
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	has any work that will be done with or in connection with ROS? A. No. (Whereupon, a discussion ensued off the record.) Q. (By Ms. Rule) I'm going to ask you a series of questions, and I would like to ask you first to respond with regard to	16 17 18 19 20 21	to the project manager, what is the project manager's responsibility with regard to that request? A. She reviews the request to determine the value that it would add to the application. She also views it to see if it's a something that would be of benefit
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	has any work that will be done with or in connection with ROS? A. No. (Whereupon, a discussion ensued off the record.) Q. (By Ms. Rule) I'm going to ask you a series of questions, and I would like to ask you first to respond with regard to big business then with regard to small	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to the project manager, what is the project manager's responsibility with regard to that request? A. She reviews the request to determine the value that it would add to the application. She also views it to see if it's a something that would be of benefit throughout the region.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	has any work that will be done with or in connection with ROS? A. No. (Whereupon, a discussion ensued off the record.) Q. (By Ms. Rule) I'm going to ask you a series of questions, and I would like to ask you first to respond with regard to big business then with regard to small business. Is there any way you refer to	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to the project manager, what is the project manager's responsibility with regard to that request? A. She reviews the request to determine the value that it would add to the application. She also views it to see if it's a something that would be of benefit throughout the region. Q. How do people know where to or
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	has any work that will be done with or in connection with ROS? A. No. (Whereupon, a discussion ensued off the record.) Q. (By Ms. Rule) I'm going to ask you a series of questions, and I would like to ask you first to respond with regard to big business then with regard to small	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to the project manager, what is the project manager's responsibility with regard to that request? A. She reviews the request to determine the value that it would add to the application. She also views it to see if it's a something that would be of benefit throughout the region.

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

NGS97

	Page 13		Page 15
1	A. It's electronic it's a form	1	Q. In order to effectuate that goal,
2	that is in a system that they can send, and	2	how were user requirements developed?
3	it's electronically sent to her via E-mail	3	<ol> <li>Well, we had user teams.</li> </ol>
4	address.	4	Q. How were the user teams made up?
5	Q. How do they know to do that? Is	5	A. They were made up with users that
6	it part of their training?	6	we brought in from the field.
7	A. Yes. it was covered in their ROS	7	Q. And would those be service reps
8	training.	8	who were using DOE and SONGS?
9	Q. Is there a document somewhere that	9	A. Correct,
10	discusses what they should do when they	10	Q. And what did the teams do?
11	identify a possible improvement to the	11	A. The teams the teams were made
12	system?	12	up with users as well as some managers as
13	A. I don't believe there is a	13	well as IT folks to brainstorm, to develop
14	document that was covered during the training	14	the requirements based upon the user needs,
15	of the ROS deployment, but I don't believe	15	and also to make sure we defined the goal of
16	there is a document that addresses it.	16	being able to issue a service order with
17	Q. is there a title or name for the	17	this application.
18	form that they fill out?	18	Q. Were you involved in the process
19	A. I believe it's called a ROS	19	of meeting with those teams in 1997?
20	enhancement form.	20	A. Yes, at times.
21	Q. Stepping back a bit, were you	21	Q. Who was in charge of that phase
22	involved in the development of ROS?	22	of the project?
23	A. Yes, I was.	23	A. Kathy Dube was in charge at that
24	Q. What was your role in the	24	time.
25	development of ROS?	25	Q. After you identified user

	Page 14		Page 16
1	1 A. Well, for part of the development	1	requirements, what was the next step?
	2 of ROS, I was a manager, managing the group	2	A. After the user requirements were
1 :	3 that developed the requirements, and then I	3	developed, then we progressed through the
	4 was promoted to my current position as	4	normal software delivery cycle of design,
1	5 director during the development of ROS.	5	construction, testing, implementation.
1 0	6 Q. How were user requirements	6	Q. Who actually did that part of the
1 7	7 developed for ROS?	7	work?
1 8	8 A. How were user requirements	8	A. Andersen Consulting.
9	9 developed? What methodology? I'm not	9	Q. When Andersen Consulting had
1(	0 following the question.	10	developed, I imagine, a prototype, what
1'	<ol> <li>Q. How long ago did development on</li> </ol>	11	happened next?
17	2 ROS begin?	12	<ol> <li>We did not have a prototype of</li> </ol>
13	<ol> <li>A. We began development in 1997.</li> </ol>	13	ROS.
14	4 Q. What was the impetus for	14	Q. What did Andersen Consulting
15	5 developing ROS in 1997?	15	produce with ROS? What was the first work
16	6 A. We were looking for an application	16	product?
17	7 that would give both small business and large	17	A. We produced the ROS application, I
18	8 business a single platform and would provide	18	mean, over the period of time from
19	9 them with regional service order capability.	19	development of the requirements going through
) zo	Q. Was that, then, the starting point	20	your normal software cycle until we were
21	for development, the goal of ROS, single	21	ready to deploy the application.
22	2 platform with regional service ordering	22	Q. Could you describe the normal
23	3 capability?	23	software cycle for me?
24	A. Yes, that was that was the	24	A. Yes. Planning and analysis which
25	goal of ROS.	25	is the phase that my folks were primarily

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

	Page 17		Page 19
1 1	involved in with the requirements,	1	requirements.
2	construction, design I'm sorry,	2	Q. And that's a function of your
3	construction, implementation.	3	group?
4	Q. At any stage of this process, was	4	A. Yes.
5	a BETA version of ROS produced for testing?	5	Q. When a user submits a change
6	A. When we got into the testing	6	request, who decides whether that change gets
7	phase, yes, there was a version of ROS where	7	implemented?
8	my group was responsible for user acceptance	8	A. Well, the user would submit a
9	testing.	9	we'll call the enhancement request. And
10	Q. So after the planning, analysis,	10	again, it gets evaluated by if it's large
11	design, construction, what does implementation	11	business, it gets evaluated by my project
12	consist of?	12	manager to determine if it will add value to
13	A. Actually deploying it to the users	13	the business. If she feels that it will,
14	of the application and training.	14	then she completes a work request, which goes
15	Q. Would that be the final version	15	into the pipeline of just working pending
16	or would that be a BETA version?	16	features for ROS.
17	A. That was the final version.	17	Q. And what criteria does she use to
18	Q. Where does I'm not clear where	18	determine whether it will enhance ROS?
19	in the process the testing comes in then.	19	A. Again, based upon whether it's
20	A. The testing would be in	20	going to have be a change that will be
21	construction, I believe.	21	supportive region-wide and just using her
22	Q. Who was the system tested on	22	good judgment based upon her knowledge of the
23	during the construction process?	23	application.
24	A. It wasn't we had a my team	24	Q. Can you give me examples of such
25	actually was performing the testing. It	25	change requests that have been reviewed and

#### Page 18

Page 20 wasn't tested on a real user. 1 approved? Q. What sorts of functions would your 2 A. Yes. They may want a -- I mean. team perform in order to test the system? 3 it could be a new folder, or a window, GUI, We developed scenarios that would 4 in ROS, down to a pop-up button. It could A. depict service orders, and that is what is 5 be a new edit. There are large changes and used to test the application, to ensure that 6 then small changes, so they could vary. it would meet also the requirements that we 7 And you said if your manager then 0. had developed. 8 decides it's a change that should be made, Q. How were the scenarios developed? 9 to whom does she submit the change? A. By the user acceptance team. 10 Δ. She completes a work request. If a user identifies a change, Q. 11 which further defines what the change is. let's say, to ROS, and that change order 12 It goes on the working list of ROS features 13 would require a change to methods and and is discussed and prioritized by the ROS procedures, how do you coordinate the methods 14 governance board. and procedures changes? 15 Who is the ROS governance board? Q. How do we coordinate the methods 16 The ROS governance board has A. Δ. and procedures changes? 17 membership from both small business, large How do you make sure the methods 18 Q. business, and Andersen Consulting. 19 and procedures are changed? How are members chosen for the Q. A. Well, once a -- if a ROS 20 **ROS governance board?** enhancement was -- had gone through all the 21 A. There is just a representative process work, was now actually scheduled for 22 that it's their primary -- it's the same two a release, then we would develop the 23 people that enhancements come to that sit on requirements to support that change and it 24 this board. It's their job responsibility. would become part of the baseline ROS 25 0. How many members are there on the

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

#### Alexander Gallo & Associates, Inc. 404-495-0777

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

	Page 21		Page 23
1	board?	1	release that they are selecting features for
z	A. I would say between eight and	2	would have what I call even higher priority
3	ten.	3	because they are they are then submitted
4	Q. How do they prioritize changes to	4	to Andersen for an estimate at that point.
5	ROS?	5	Q. Okay. And you said that they are
6	A. Again, based upon the value that	6	voted on to be considered? Who then
7	it's going to add to the business, depending	7	considers it after the board votes?
8	upon what the request is.	8	A. We submit them to Andersen for
9	Q. Okay. Can you explain to me what	9	estimates. And then when the estimates come
10	you mean by value? is it convenience, ease	10	back, it goes back to the board for the
11	of use? Must there be a cost savings?	11	final decision. Based upon the estimate, we
12	A. It doesn't necessarily have to be	12	have only a certain amount of funding
13	a cost savings, but is it going to be	13	capacity.
14	something that perhaps would generate revenue	14	So depending upon if something
15	for BellSouth or is it going to be something	15	comes back that is larger than can be fit
16	for a more efficient way to do it in ROS.	16	into what I'll call a minor release and
17	Sometimes there are mandates.	17	actually, I probably should have said that
18	Q. Could you give me an example of a	18	earlier. The governance board prioritize
19	mandate?	19	only work that we consider as minor
20	A. A disclosure statement that a user	20	enhancement changes, not major.
21	may have to provide to a customer.	21	Q. Why is that?
22	Q. If something is mandated, what	22	A. Because we have a funding capacity
23	does that mean for the prioritization	23	to support minor. And if it's a major
24	process?	24	change, then the business unit has to bring
25	A. It would get prioritized along	25	incremental dollars to the table.

#### Page 22

1	with everything else. It may get a higher	1	Q. Let's assume that a user has
2	prioritization if it's a mandate, obviously.	2	identified something that the board believes
3	Q. I'm assuming from what you've	3	would be helpful, a helpful enhancement but
4	described to me that at any given time there	4	would require major programming expense. Ho
5	will be a queue of changes for ROS, some of	5	is that handled by the board?
6	which have been put at a high level of	6	A. That then would be instead of
7	priority and some of which have been put at	7	a work request being submitted, a document
8	a low level; is that correct?	8	which would be considered a high-level
9	A. There is yes, that would be	9	requirement would have to be done on a major
10	correct. There is a whole list of working	10	request. And those high-level requirements
11	features. Some that are assigned either a	11	then are submitted to, again, Andersen to get
12	high, medium, low priority, and then others	12	the estimate.
13	that have been categorized and selected for a	13	Q. And what happens to the estimate
14	future release.	14	when it's produced by Andersen?
15	Q. I'm not sure I understood what	15	A. It is then presented back to the
16	you just told me. If something has a high	16	user for either acceptance or rejection.
17	priority, does it still wait in the queue?	17	Q. So basically they have to decide
18	A. Yes. It still waits in the	18	if they want to fund that or not?
19	queue, but the items the governance board,	19	A. Yes.
20	when they meet, they review the list of	20	Q. Now, you mentioned that you have
21	pending features, high, medium, and low, and	21	money or your business unit has money that
22	vote on which ones they would like to be	22	supports what you called minor changes to
23	considered as candidates for a pending ROS	23	ROS, correct?
24	release. And so the ones that are selected	24	A. Yes.
25	as potential candidates for perhaps the next	25	Q. Is your business unit in
			·

Page 24

٦

	Page 25		Page 27
1	competition for information technology	1	recess.)
2	resources in dollars?	2	Q. (By Ms. Rule) What's the cycle
3	A. The Andersen folks that work for	3	time for correcting what is the cycle
4	us again we have for type what we	4	time for making a minor change that has been
5	call type 2, which is minor, we have a	5	approved by the board?
6	funding capacity that was set at the	6	A. Once the change is approved, final
7	beginning of this year. So we have Andersen	7	approval. This is after it has gone to
8	resources that are dedicated to ROS based on	8	Andersen, come back for an estimate, it's a
9	that capacity. So I would say no, I'm not	9	three-and-a-half month window before it's
10	competing with anyone else.	10	delivered to the field.
11	Q. So with the aim of taking care of	11	Q. When that change is going to be,
12	the type 2 changes, you have a budget	12	as you said, delivered to the field, what
13	A. Right.	13	notice do your users have of the impending
14	Q and you generally work within	14	change?
15	the budget by prioritizing requests?	15	A. We actually send it the day or a
16	A. That's correct.	16	couple days before the release. We send out
17	Q. Can you describe the other types	17	what we call as release notes. It's about a
18	of changes besides type 2?	18	30-minute, usually, communication package
19	A. We have the major changes, major	19	telling them about the changes.
20	requests that is a type 3. And then we	20	Q. So if I am a user of ROS, part
21	have type 1 work, which is considered	21	of my regular duties would be to check for
22	defects. We would call broke/fix changes.	22	release notes. When I receive them, I would
23	Q. Pardon me?	23	review them. And was there any other
24	A. Broke/fix.	24	training provided in whatever the change is?
25	Q. If I understand you correctly,	25	A. Not for our minor enhancements.

	Page 26		Page 28
1	your business unit has funds and prioritizes	1	There's materials on line for them if they
2	changes of the type 2. Type 3, you will	2	wanted to review it later, but typically they
3	work up basically an estimate from Andersen,	3	review the release notes and that is their
4	present to the business unit.	4	form of training.
5	A. Correct.	5	Q. The users who would be using ROS
6	Q. What happens with type 17 How	6	don't have to make changes to any other
7	are those types of changes handled?	7	systems in order to utilize the change, do
8	A. From a budget perspective?	8	they?
9	Q. Let's say a user identifies or	9	A. Could you repeat that question?
10	requests a change that fails into the type 1	10	Q. Maybe I could do it more clearly.
11	category. What happens to that change	11	If I'm a ROS user and I receive notice that
12	request?	12	there is an enhancement coming out, I studied
13	A. Okay. Type 1 is a defect where	13	the release notes, I am then fully prepared
14	the system is not performing based upon the	14	to use the enhanced system, am I not?
15	way the requirements have it documented.	15	A. You should be.
16	Something is broken. So a user would report	16	Q. Are there any other systems that
17	it, and that would go to Andersen. My user	17	I would be working with that I would be
18	team would actually test it to attempt to	18	required to change or modify in order to
19	recreate it.	19	take advantage of the enhancement?
20	They would have to recreate the	20	A. No.
21	problem before we could give it to Andersen.	21	Q. You also spoke briefly about
22	If we are able to recreate it, then it goes	22	correction of a defect. And you talk about
23	into the working defects that Andersen again	23	the process for changing or for correcting
24	will do analysis on and resolution.	24	the defect. Does the ROS enhancement form
25	(Whereupon, there was a brief	25	have a place on it to identify defects that

7 (Pages 25 to 28)

Sec. 4

		·	
	Page 29		Page 31
1	need to be changed?	1	correctly according to the baseline document
2	A. No, The ROS enhancement form is	2	but works in a manner that was unexpected or
3	to enhance the application. It is not used	3	produces a response that is not in accordance
4	for defect.	4	with the user's documentation. How is that
5	Q. How are defect requests	5	classified?
6	communicated?	6	A. The user doesn't have any ROS
7	A. A defect, a software defect is	7	documentation, so I don't understand that
8	typically called in to either someone on my	8	question.
9	team or it could be called in to the TSC.	9	Q. I believe you said the user has
10	Q. To the what?	10	on-line help available.
11	A. It's a group that again is	11	A. They have they do i don't
12	outsourced, EDS supports it, but it's where	12	remember saying that, but they do have
13	all troubles on applications go.	13	on-line help available.
14	Q. And what was the name of it	14	Q. Let's assume that the on-line help
15	again?	15	says you should be able to perform a
16	A. TSC.	16	particular function by following a series of
17	Q. Do you know what that stands for?	17	steps. You follow the steps exactly as the
18	A. No. I think it's technical	18	on-line help says and it will not perform
19	support center, but I could be wrong.	19	that function, is that a defect?
20	Q. is that a BellSouth unit?	20	<ol> <li>No, that would not be a defect.</li> </ol>
21	A. No, it is not. EDS.	21	Q. What would it be classified as?
22	Q. Can you give me an example of a	22	A. It could be that the on-line help
23	defect that might be called in about ROS?	23	is documented incorrectly, but because we
24	A. Something, again, is not working	24	work with Andersen, it is strictly either
25	the way it typically was performing or it	25	documented in our requirements and that's
	Page 30		Page 32
1	could be that they have actually what we	1	the way the system performs. If it's not
2	call CORDOM'ed, where they actually lost	2	documented there, then it's a feature and not
3	their order when they went to issue it.	3	a defect.
4	Q. So if a system was working one	4	Q. When you say it's a feature and
5	day and then ceased working in that same	5	not a defect, do you mean that it would be
6	fashion, that would be a defect?	6	classified as a type 2 change and not a type
7	A. That's correct.	7	1 change?
8	Q. Would it also be a defect if the	8	A. It would be classified as a
9	system did not work the way it was supposed	9	feature and not a type 1, but until we get
10	to work, if it did not work the way the	10	the estimate back, we don't know if it's
11	documentation described that it should work?	11	type 2 or type 3.
12	A. Right. If it is not performing	12	Q. I see. What is the cycle time
13	the way it is documented, then it is a	13	for correction of a programming defect?
14	defect. If it is performing the way it is	14	A. Once the defect is identified, it
15	documented, then that would be a enhancement		is assigned to an Andersen programmer for
16 17	to change it.	16	analysis and there is no set time because it
18	Q. The document that the user uses	17	would depend upon if it's a small defect or
18 19	or the document that it was programmed by, which document are we talking about?	18	something major, so it really would depend
20	A. The document that the requirements	19 20	upon what piece of the application is broken.
20	that my team develops, the baseline	20	Q. Is there a classification system
22	documents, the baseline requirements document		for describing defects?
23	that ROS was coded to is the document that	23	A. Yes, there is. Q. What is that?
24	I'm referring to.	23	A. We classify defects as priorities
25	Q. Let's suppose it was coded	25	1, 2, 3, or 4.
		_,	., _, o, o

Alexander Gallo & Associates, Inc. 404-495-0777 8 (Pages 29 to 32)

<u> </u>		1	
	Page 33		Page 35
1	Q. And what do the priority levels	1	that correct?
2	relate to?	2	<ol> <li>Let me try to restate the</li> </ol>
3	A. Priority 1 is the most severe.	3	question the way I understood it. For our
4	And it is a loss of data where they, as I	4	August release, you would have to if our
5	explained earlier, they may actually lose	5	August release was, hypothetical date, August
6	their order. Priority 2 is a loss of	6	10th, you would have to have a defect 21
7	functionality where you have no work-around.	7	days prior to August the 10th, totally
8	And a priority 3 is a loss of functionality	8	received from the field, analyzed and solved
9	with a work-around. And a priority 4 is	9	by Andersen. And again, defects can take
10	typically more cosmetic, and that is the	10	hours to resolve or days to resolve or
11	lowest priority.	11	months to resolve depending upon the
12	Q. Are there any goals for resolution	12	complexity. So that would be, again, the
13	of each particular kind for timely	13	best case scenario.
14	resolution of each particular kind of	14	Q. Taking you back to the priority 2
15	priority?	15	defect, that was the loss of function with a
16	A. We don't have any timelines	16	work-around, correct?
17	associated with the defects. Again, our	17	<ol> <li>No, that would be the loss of</li> </ol>
18	expectation would be that Andersen do the	18	functionality with no work-around.
19	analysis and determine a solution based upon	19	Q. No work-around. And which one
20	the priority of it, but there are no time	20	was the number was it
21	lines associated with it. Again, it depends	21	A. 3.
22	upon is it a small defect or a large defect.	22	Q. 37
23	Q. I believe you said the cycle time	23	A. Was a loss of functionality with
24	for enhancements would be approximately	24	work-around.
25	three-and-a-half months. Can you give me an	25	Q. How is the work-around developed?
	Page 34		Page 36
1	approximate time for resolution of a priority	1	A. A work-around is not really
2	1 defect?	2	developed at all. A work-around simply
3	A. Yes. This would be the cycle	3	implies to us that the user has another way
4	time for any defect that is resolved. We	4	of doing it, perhaps manually typing it as
5	have a production code freeze date,	5	opposed to point and click.
6	approximately 21 days prior to our monthly	6	Q. When the user identifies a defect,
7	releases. So the best case scenario would	7	is it the user's job to also identify
8	be if a defect had come in, had been	8	whether there is a work-around?
9	analyzed and resolved by Andersen and	9	<ol> <li>They would generally do that, yes.</li> </ol>
10	actually was integrated into the software,	10	We don't provide them with the work-around.
11	the solution. The best case scenario would	11	The only time we would communicate anything
12	be if that was done 21 days prior to our	12	to them is if we wanted them to not if
13	next release, that would be the soonest that	13	we had a GUI that perhaps was causing a
14	the field would get the solution. And	14	problem, we might send a message out and
15	again, that is best case scenario.	15	tell them not to click on this button. But
16	Q. And you have monthly releases?	16	typically their work-around is always and
17	A. Yes, we do. Let me just explain.	17	the users know this revert to manually
18	The monthly release has a three-and-a-half	18	typing it in ROS. Still using ROS but they
19	month window of software development.	19	manually type it instead of point and click.
20	Q. So if you start on day 1 of the	20	MS. RULE: Off the record.
21	three-and-a-half-month development cycle, you	21	(Whereupon, a discussion ensued
22	can get all the way through or up to the	22	off the record.)
23	last month. And as long as you get in a	23	Q. (By Ms. Rule) So if I understand
24	defect request within 21 days you can	24	you correctly, then, the defect is identified
25	generally incorporate it in that release; is	25	by the users as having or not having a

9 (Pages 33 to 36)

1000 C

Г

	Page 37		Page 39
1	work-around; is that correct?	1	Q. Will it be initiated again next
2	<ol> <li>The user would report a defect.</li> </ol>	2	year?
3	It would not be typical for a user to ask	3	A. I don't know at this time.
4	us for a work-around. It's common knowledge	4	Q. Was this done in connection with
5	that if something is not working, then they	5	the initial deployment of ROS?
6	revert to manually manual input.	6	A. No. It was something that we did
7	Q. When the user notifies you, is it	7	after the initial deployment of ROS to
8	the user's responsibility to say we don't	8	reinforce the training.
9	have a work-around for this?	9	Q. And my understanding is that ROS
10	A. No. It's not their	10	was developed to replace DOE and SONGS,
11	responsibility. Again, it's just something	11	correct?
12	that would be a no-brainer, if you will, for	12	A. That's correct.
13	them. They just revert to manually typing	13	Q. But it was not supposed to
14	it in ROS.	14	replace RNS?
15	Q. At what point, then, is a defect	15	A. No.
16	categorized as either 2 or 3?	16	Q. Why is that?
17	A. It is not categorized by the	17	<ol><li>I don't know the answer to that.</li></ol>
18	user. It is categorized by Andersen	18	Q. Are you familiar with the LCSC?
19	Consulting.	19	A. Only the term as you speak it.
20	Q. Can you tell me whether you know	20	Q. Do you personally know of any
21	if ROS is meeting the expectations of big	21	reason why ROS could not be used in the LCSC
22	business and small business? Perhaps if I	22	in place of DOE and SONGS?
23	can clarify.	23	A. No.
24	A. Yes.	24	Q. I would like to provide you with
25	Q. I believe you said there are two	25	a copy of a document. And this is

#### Page 38

		ι.		
1	main reasons that is ROS was developed.		BellSouth's response to AT&T's interrogatory	
2	Have big business and small business users		number 8. And if you'll take a look at the	
3	been satisfied that it has met those two	3	table at the bottom of page 1. I would	
4	criteria?	4	like you to take a minute at just look at	
5	A. It does provide them with a	5	it, but it's a list of ROS capabilities and	
6	single platform, and it does provide them	6	I would like you to help me understand what	
7	with regional ordering capability. So, yes,	7	they are.	
8	based on that criteria, I would say we have	8	A. Okay.	
9	met their expectation.	9	Q. And it continues over to the next	
10	Q. Do you receive any other feedback	10	page. Over on the second page, it's about	
11	other than change requests or defect	11	two-thirds of the way down under the	
12	notifications from users?	12	capability column, it says shortcut commands	
13	A. Yes, we receive feedback from the	13	to minimize typing. Can you describe what	
14	field as we visit the field.	14	shortcut commands to minimize typing are?	
15	Q. What is the process by which that	15	A. Shortcut commands would be a	
16	input is gathered?	16	command that you would enter. And I am not	
17	A. Well, we perform coaching sessions	17	speaking let me just clarify, I cannot	
18	where we go visit an office and coach them.	18	speak to RNS or SONGS but in DOE there were	
19	We have occasionally focus groups when we	19	shortcut commands that you would enter and	
20	visit an office just to get feedback from	20	would prepopulate some data for the user, so	
21	the users.	21	it would minimize typing.	
22	Q. Is this a regular process?	22	In ROS, we don't have commands	
23	A. It's something that we've been	23	because we are point and click, but the	
24	doing this year, but we are about to wrap it	24	point and click capability again prepopulates	
25	up for this year, so	25	data and so thereby minimizing typing.	

10 (Pages 37 to 40)

Alexander Gallo & Associates, Inc. 404-495-0777 MORTHE

Page 40

	Page 41		Page 43
1	Q. If you look at the DOE and ROS	1	ability to perform that function by going
2	columns under shortcut commands, under DOE it	2	into SOCS?
3	says there are many, and under ROS it says	3	A. That's correct.
4	yes. Can you tell me whether ROS has point	4	Q. And going up to the top third or
5	and click shortcuts that DOE does not have	5	so of that same page, under capability, there
6	shortcut commands for? I understand there is	6	is listed session manager.
7	a different process, but are there also	7	A. Um-hmm.
8	different functions?	8	Q. And it says that ROS has one.
9	A. I don't know the answer to that.	9	What is it?
10	Q. Skipping down two rows under	10	A. A session manager is a GUI in ROS
11	capability, it says cancel reissue. Can you	11	that lists well, you can do multiple
12	explain what that is?	12	sessions in ROS. So you can start up to
13	A. Yes. That is a the ability	13	five orders in ROS and then iconify them.
14	to what we call internally cancel and reissue	14	So the session manager just manages those
15	an order. So it's the same order with a	15	sessions that you have open. It's a window
16	new order number. And it's not a manual	16	that would list the sessions that you have
17	cancel reissue, is the best way I could	17	open in ROS.
18	explain it. And we do not have that in ROS	18	Q. How does that appear to the user?
19	okay. We don't have that function in ROS	19	A. It is just a window that provides
20	but they did in DOE.	20	them with the telephone number or order
21	Q. How is this handled instead of	21	number that they have a session open in
22	the way it was handled in DOE?	22	where they haven't issued the order.
23	A. A user would have to go straight	23	Q. So if the user is looking at the
24	into SOCS to perform that function.	24	screen, it sounds like it appears like most
25	Q. When you say that under cancel	25	Windows programs that are either minimized or
	Page 42		Page 44

Nagarad

į		r ago 42		r age 44
	1	and reissue the user goes straight into SOCS,	1	active?
	2	does that mean you're basically changing or	2	A. Yes.
	3	amending that particular order instead of	3	Q. ROS relies on SOER edits, doesn't
	4	cancelling it out and starting a new one?	4	it?
	5	A. Cancel reissue is typically only	5	A. I'm sorry. I didn't hear the
-	6	used when very few sections of the service	6	question.
ļ	7	order are changing. One example might be a	7	Q. ROS relies on SOER edits, doesn't
	8	service address where the customer is now not	8	it?
	9	moving to this location, so it's moving over	9	A. We have SOER edits coded in ROS,
ł	10	here to a separate location. That order,	10	but they are a component in ROS.
I	11	everything else may be the same but the	11	Q. Are there edits in addition to
I	12	address, and so SOCS cancels it internally	12	the SOER edits coded into ROS?
I	13	and reissues it with the new information, and	13	A. Yes.
I	14	then SOCS switches it to the appropriate	14	Q. Do you know the names and
	15	systems for that address. So it's not when	15	functions of those additional edits?
	16	a customer just cancels an order. It's an	16	A. We have some edits that are
1	17	internal process to SOCS.	17	called OPEC, O P E C.
ļ	18	Q. So when this chart indicates that	18	Q. What does that stand for?
	19	ROS doesn't have that function, it means it's	19	MS. RULE: Off the record
	20	not in that interface, correct? That	20	(Whereupon, a discussion ensued
	21	function does not reside in the ROS	21	off the record.)
ł	22	interface?	22	MS. RULE: Okay. Back on the
	23	A. That functionality does not reside	23	record.
۱	24	in ROS.	24	Q. (By Ms. Rule) Do you know what
۱	25	Q. But the user still has the	25	it stands for?

11 (Pages 41 to 44)

	Page 45		Page 47
1	A. I'm sorry, I do not.	1	Q. Do you know if there are any
2	Q. What is the function of the OPEC	2	BellSouth retail services or products that
3	edit?	3	can't be requested or ordered through the use
4	A. OPEC edits are it's a function	4	of ROS?
5	that's performed actually in CRIS, on the	5	A. No. ROS will support all
6	CRIS side of the house. And it is a matter	6	products and services, not all by point and
7	of when a customer would have perhaps	7	click functionality but we have the ability,
8	multiple pending service orders out there,	8	again, for the user to manually enter in
9	they may encounter an OPEC edit if one order	9	service order format other products and
10	has a conflict based upon another order.	10	services in ROS.
11	And we have a few of those in ROS; very	11	Q. What products and services would
12	minimal, probably under ten at the most.	12	have to be manually entered?
13	The majority of the edits that we have in	13	A. Frame relay, ESSEX, CENTREX.
14	ROS are SOER.	14	There are more. I'm not familiar with all
15	Q. Do you mean there were fewer than	15	the products.
16	ten OPEC edits or there are ten	16	Q. Now when you say it has to be
17	A. Fewer than ten OPEC edits coded	17	manually entered, do they have to create an
18	in ROS.	18	entire manual does the user have to
19	Q. Are there other edits in addition	19	create an entire manual order or is there a
20	to the OPEC edits?	20	field or fields on the point and click order
21	A. Primarily the edits that we have	21	that is entered manually?
22	in ROS are SOER edits.	22	A. Well, again, ROS generates based
23	Q. In North Carolina, BellSouth does	23	upon service order standard rules, so the
24	use ROS as a sales and marketing interface,	24	sections of a service order that are not
25	correct?	25	product-specific listing information or billing
	Page 46		Page 48

A. They use ROS in small business 1 1 2 and large business only in North Carolina. 2 3 Q. Are there any circumstances in 3 4 which a BellSouth rep could make a complete 4 5 and accurate input into ROS for a BellSouth 5 6 retail service or product and that request 6 7 would fall out for manual processing and then 7 8 be routed to another BellSouth employee? 8 9 A. Can I ask you to explain, when 9 10 you're saying manual processing -- or perhaps 10 11 I can explain. If they issued an order, 11 12 they could encounter an error, a SOER error. 12 13 SOER errors are performed inside of ROS and 13 14 the user will then encounter SOER errors 14 15 again once the order is sent to SOCS. So 15 16 there could be an error on an order, and 16 17 that error would come back to the user. 17 18 Q. Okay. Let's assume that we have 18 19 an order that has no errors, that the user 19 20 accurately and completely submitted the order. 20 21 Are there circumstances under which it would 21 A. 22 fall out of ROS and require some sort of 22 0. 23 manual processing by that user or another 23 24 user? 24 25 25 A. No.

information, then the point and click functionality in ROS would work for any product. But when they get into what we call the service and equipment section of the order, which is specific to the product, then they would have to manually type if it was a product that was not supported.

Q. I would like to hand you a copy of BellSouth's response to AT&T's interrogatory item number 3. We asked for data regarding the number of BellSouth employees in each BellSouth work center analogous to CLEC work centers in another question. And I would like you to take a look at the data on the next page for a moment. I'm sorry. I would like to direct you to the last page.

Do you see on the next to the last page the heading small business non-management?

Yes, I do.

If you look at the column that's dated April '99, I hate to have to ask you this, but would you agree, subject to check, that that looks about 850 if you total that

Alexander Gallo & Associates, Inc. 404-495-0777

1			
	Page 49		Page 51
1	up?	1	existing orders?
2	A. Yes.	2	A. We have ROS reports that calculate
3	Q. Okay. And if you look over to	3	or track the data.
4	the right where there is a column March	4	Q. Do the reports have are they
5	2000	5	named?
6	A. Okay.	6	A. They are called ROS reports.
7	Q that looks like it adds up to	7	Q. is there more than one type of
8	about 900, correct subject to check?	8	report?
9	A. Probably, right.	9	A. There is, but it's primarily the
10	Q. Okay. So it looks like, if I'm	10	same information. The reports just vary
11	understanding this, between the period April	11	based upon level. You may have a manager
12	'99 and March of 2000, small business	12	report versus an assistant manager report
13	non-management head count grew, correct?	13	versus a service rep report.
14	<ol><li>If that's what these numbers are,</li></ol>	14	Q. And would each level of report
15	that would appear that, yeah, it increased.	15	reveal different information that was tracked?
16	Q. Do you have any knowledge about	16	<ol> <li>No. It's the same information.</li> </ol>
17	the head count in the small business centers?	17	It really tracks the number of orders and
18	A. No, I do not.	18	the number of updates.
19	Q. Large business?	19	Q. What information does it provide
20	A. No, I do not.	20	regarding the orders and updates?
21	MS. RULE: Off the record.	21	<ol> <li>It provides the time that the</li> </ol>
22 23	(Whereupon, a discussion ensued	22	order started and the duration of the order
23 24	off the record.)	23	and the disposition of the order.
24 25	Q. (By Ms. Rule) Now we are providing you with a copy of BellSouth's	24 25	Q. Does ROS assign its own tracking
23	providing you with a copy of bensouth's	25	number to each transaction?
	Page 50		Page 52
1	response to AT&T's interrogatory number 69.	1	A. ROS assigns a service order number
2	And I would like you to take a minute to	2	to each order that is issued.
3	familiarize yourself with the question and	3	Q. Is that different from the service
4	the response.	4	order number that's assigned in SOCS?
5	On page 2, item B, we asked for	5	A. No, it would be the same number.
6	the number and percentage of eligible	6	We actually the order number is actually
7	BellSouth retail business unit transactions	7	retrieved from SOCS by ROS and utilized.
8	performed using ROS.	8	Q. Can you tell me what conditions
9	And the answer says that 1999	9	cause the need for an update order or an
10	transactions are defined as orders issued	10	update to an existing order?
11	(all order types) and updates to existing	11	A. A customer may call and change
12	orders. Can you explain the difference	12	their mind about something, which would
13	between an order issued and an update to an	13	initiate a change or it could be error
14 15	existing order? A. Yes. An order issued is the	14	correction.
16		15	Q. How would the errors have been
17	initial negotiation in ROS. And then when the order is issued, any subsequent changes	16 17	identified?
18	to that order is considered an update.	18	A. The errors, if the service order
19	Q. So after an order is issued, it	19	encountered a SOER error, then it's
20	becomes an existing order. And then activity	20	identified on that service order with an error indicator and the years have to
21	that takes place on that would be treated as	20	error indicator and the users have to it's part of the responsibility for them to
22	an update?	22	check for errors on the orders that they
23	A. That's correct.	23	issue.
24	Q. How does ROS track the number of	24	Q. How do they do that?
25	orders issued and the number of updates to	25	A. They have to sign onto a or
		~~	

Alexander Gallo & Associates, Inc. 404-495-0777 13 (Pages 49 to 52)

	Page 53		Page 55
1	open up a window in ROS that has reports	1	updated?
2	that has an error on it I mean, the	2	A. When you ask is there a
3	order would be on there if it had an error	3	difference
4	on it.	4	Q. Are there more or less?
5	Q. Is there a name for that report?	5	A. Oh, I don't know the answer to
6	A. The window is called SOLE, S O L	6	that.
7	Ε.	7	MS. RULE: Okay. Thank you.
8	Q. What does that stand for?	8	EXAMINATION
9	A. Service order	9	BY-MR.LACKEY:
10	MS. LEWIS: On-line	10	Q. Ms. Hardwick, Ms. Rule asked you
11	THE WITNESS: Errors, I believe,	11	about the cycle times for defects and for
12	but it's S O L E.	12	minor changes. I don't recall her asking
13	Q. (By Ms. Rule) Service order	13	you for the second time for major changes.
14	on-line errors?	14	Do you know what the average cycle time for
15	A. Yes.	15	major changes to ROS are?
16	Q. So you identified a customer	16	A. Yes. Again, I will tell you that
17	update, a customer call or an error	17	the cycle time would start at the time that
18	correction resulting from a SOER error as	18	funding is secured and that the software
19	being a cause of an update to an existing	19	development cycle actually starts with
20	order. Are there any other causes or	20	Andersen. We've experienced about a 9 to
21	reasons to update an existing order?	21	12-month cycle time.
22	<ol><li>A. It could be that the user didn't</li></ol>	22	Q. All right. And Ms. Rule asked
23	complete the order initially but maybe they	23	you about using ROS in the LCSC. Do you
24	went to lunch and they came back. When they	24	recall that?
25	retrieve the order back, it's still	25	A. Yes.

#### Page 54

	Page 54		Page 56
1	considered an update.	1	Q. Can ROS process a UNE order?
2	Q. Of the three reasons you've	2	A. No, it cannot.
3	identified, which is the most frequent cause	3	Q. Can ROS process a resale order?
4	for updating existing orders?	4	A. No, it cannot.
5	A. Error correction.	5	Q. Can ROS process a residential
6	Q. Can you give me a rough	6	order?
7	percentage of how many of the updates are	7	A. Yes. ROS can process a
8	necessitated by error correction?	8	residential order. And I probably should
9	A. No, I cannot, because we do not	9	back up and say resale, I'm not sure that
10	keep reports in ROS on error correction.	10	I'm clear enough on what a resale order
11	MS. RULE: Off the record.	11	looks like to say yes or no on that one.
12	(Whereupon, a discussion ensued	12	Q. But you're sure about the UNE
13	off the record.)	13	order?
14	MS. RULE: Back on the record.	14	<ol><li>I'm positive about the UNE order.</li></ol>
15	Q. (By Ms. Rule) It appears to us	15	Q. Okay. You were also talking
16	from this interrogatory response that more	16	about issued orders versus update orders. Do
17	small business issued orders are updated than	17	you ever get a situation where after you've
18	big business issued orders are updated. is	18	taken an order you get a message back that
19	that your experience?	19	there is no facilities to handle the order?
20	A. I don't know the answer to that	20	A. Yes.
21	question.	21	Q. Is that one of the things that
22	Q. Do you know whether there is a	22	might cause an update or not?
23	difference between the number of small	23	<ol> <li>Yes, that would cause an update,</li> </ol>
24	business orders that are updated and the	24	could cause an update.
25	number of big business orders that are	25	Q. When you issue a ROS order, do

Page 56

14 (Pages	53	to	56)
-----------	----	----	-----

	······································		
	Page 57		Page 59
1	you know that the time that you've issued it	1	Disclosure Pursuant to O.C.G.A. 9-11-28
2	whether there are facilities out there or not	2	(d):
3	to fill the order?	3	The party taking this deposition will
4	A. No, we do not.	4	receive the original and one copy based on
5	MR. LACKEY: That's all I have.	5	our standard and customary per page charges.
6	(Deposition concluded at 3:45 p.m.)	6	Copies to other parties will be furnished
7		7	based on our standard and customary per page
8		8	charges. Incidental direct expenses of
9		9	production may be added to either party where
10	,	10	applicable. Our customary appearance fee
11		11	will be charged to the party taking this
12		12	deposition.
13		13	
14		14	SHARON A. GABRIELLI, CCR-B-2002
15	,	15	•
16		16	•
17		17	•
18	•	18	•
19	•	19	•
20		20	
21		21	•
22		22	•
23	•	23	
24		24	
25		25	•
1	STATE OF GEORGIA:	1 2	CAPTION The Deposition of Melaine Hardwick
2	COUNTY OF FULTON:		The Deposition of Melaine Hardwick,
3 4	I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript was reported, as stated in the	3 4	taken in the matter, on the date, and at the time and place set out on the title page
5	caption, and the questions and answers	5	hereof.
6	thereto were reduced to typewriting under my	6	It was requested that the deposition
7	direction; that the foregoing pages represent	7	be taken by the reporter and that same be
8	a true, complete, and correct transcript of	8	reduced to typewritten form.
9	the evidence given upon said hearing, and i	9	It was agreed by and between counsel
10	further certify that I am not of kin or	10	and the parties that the Deponent will read
11	counsel to the parties in the case; am not	11	and sign the transcript of said deposition.
12	in the employ of counsel for any of said	12	•
13	parties; nor am I in anywise interested in	13	
14	the result of said case.	14	
15		15	
16	•	16	
17		17	
18	•	18	•
19	•	19	•
20	•	20	•
21	•	21	•
		22	
22	•		
22 23	•	23	
22 23 24		24	•
22 23			•

150000

15 (Pages 57 to 60)

Alexander Gallo & Associates, Inc. 404-495-0777

		Page 63
	Page 61	
1	CERTIFICATE	
2	STATE OF :	2
3	COUNTY/CITY OF :	3
4	Before me, this day, personally	4
5	appeared, Melaine Hardwick, who, being duly	5
6	sworn, states that the foregoing transcript	6
7	of his/her Deposition, taken in the matter,	7
8	on the date, and at the time and place set	8
9	out on the title page hereof, constitutes a	9
10	true and accurate transcript of said	10
11	deposition.	11
12		12
13	Melaine Hardwick	13
14		14
15	SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before me this	15
16	day of , 2000 in the	
17	jurisdiction aforesaid.	16
18	÷	17
19	My Commission Expires Notary Public	18
20		19
Z1		20
22		21
23		22 .
24		23 SIGNATURE:DATE:
25		24 Melaine Hardwick
	-	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 4 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET  RE: Alexander Gallo & Associates File No. 1107 Case Caption: AT&T/BellSouth Interconnection Arreement Negotiations  Deponent: Melaine Hardwick Deposition Date: July 18, 2000 . To the Reporter: I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me. I request that the following changes be entered upon the record for the reasons indicated. I have signed my name to the Errata Sheet and the appropriate Certificate and authorize you to attach both to the original transcript. Page No./Line No. Reason:	
24 25		

16 (Pages 61 to 63)

			1 450 0
A	analogous 48:13	attempt 26:18	bit 13:21
ability 41:13 43:1 47:7	analysis 16:24 17:10	attorney 3:18	board 20:14,15,16,20,24
able 15:16 26:22 31:15	26:24 32:16 33:19	AT&T 1:6 2:3,5 3:18	21:1 22:19 23:7,10,18
about 4:9,18 5:2 9:14	analyzed 34:9 35:8	AT&T's 40:1 48:9 50:1	24:2,5 27:5
27:17,19 28:21,22	Andersen 16:8,9,14 20:18		BOCRIS 9:10
29:23 30:19 38:24	23:4.8 24:11,14 25:3.7	August 35:4,5,5,7	both 5:25 6:16 8:13 14:17
40:10 48:25 49:8.16	26:3.17.21.23 27:8	authorize 62:18	20:17 62:19
52:12 55:11,20,23	31:24 32:15 33:18 34:9	available 31:10,13	bottom 40:3
56:12,14,16	35:9 37:18 55:20	average 55:14	Boulevard 3:25
acceptance 6:23 7:11	another 9:9 36:3 45:10	aware 10:10,14	Bradbury 10:2
17:8 18:10 24:16	46:8,23 48:13	aware 10.10,14	brainstorm 15:13
access 8:17	answer 6:11 8:4 39:17	В	brief 26:25
accordance 31:3	41:9 50:9 54:20 55:5	B 50:5	briefly 28:21
according 31:1	answers 3:8 58:5	back 13:21 23:10,10,15	bring 23:24
accurate 46:5 61:10	anybody 9:25	24:15 27:8 32:10 35:14	broken 26:16 32:19
accurately 46:20	anyone 4:22 25:10	44:22 46:17 53:24,25	broke/fix 25:22,24
active 44:1	anything 36:11	54:14 56:9,18	brought 15:6
activity 50:20	anywise 58:13	based 15:14 19:19,22	budget 25:12,15 26:8
actually 8:8 12:4 16:6	appear 43:18 49:15	21:6 23:11 25:8 26:14	business 3:20,24 4:15,15
17:13,25 18:22 23:17	appearance 59:10	33:19 38:8 45:10 47:22	4:20 5:3,11,13,15,17,22
26:18 27:15 30:1,2 33:5	APPEARANCES 2:1	51:11 59:4,7	6:1,1,4,4,8,8,9,10,16,17
34:10 45:5 52:6.6 55:19	appeared 61:5	baseline 18:25 30:21.22	8:12,12,21 10:12,22,23
add 12:19 19:12 21:7	appears 43:24 54:15	31:1	10:24,25 11:2,8,16,17
added 11:19 59:9	applicable 59:10	basically 24:17 26:3 42:2	12:3,4,5 14:17,18 19:11
addition 4:17 9:1 44:11	application 5:25 6:15,24	become 18:25	19:13 20:17,18 21:7
45:19	7:11,12 11:13,18,20	becomes 50:20	23:24 24:21,25 26:1.4
additional 44:15	12:20 14:16 15:17	before 1:3 3:6 26:21 27:9	37:22,22 38:2,2 46:1,2
address 3:21,24 13:4 42:8	16:17,21 17:14 18:6	27:16 61:4,15	48:19 49:12,17,19 50:7
42:12,15	19:23 29:3 32:19	began 14:13	54:17,18,24,25
addresses 13:16	applications 8:15 29:13	begin 14:12	button 20:4 36:15
adds 49:7	appropriate 42:14 62:18	beginning 25:7	BY-MR.LACKEY 55:9
advantage 28:19	approval 27:7	behalf 2:3,10	BY-MS.RULE 3:16
affect 10:12	approved 20:1 27:5,6	being 15:16 24:7 53:19	
affidavit 4:24 5:7	approximate 34:1	61:5	C
aforesaid 61:17	approximately 33:24	believe 5:4 13:13,15,19	C 3:23 44:17
after 12:14 15:25 16:2	34:6	17:21 31:9 33:23 37:25	calculate 51:2
17:10 23:7 27:7 39:7	April 48:23 49:11	53:11	call 19:9 23:2,16 25:5,22
50:19 56:17	arbitration 3:6 arise 5:6	believes 24:2	27:17 30:2 41:14 48:4
afternoon 3:10		bellsouth 1:8 2:10,12	52:11 53:17
again 6:16 7:9 19:10,19	asked 4:8 48:10 50:5 55:10,22	4:14 5:10,11,13,17,22	called 13:19 24:22 29:8,9
21:6 24:11 25:4 26:23	asking 11:12 55:12	7:22 9:3,21 10:3 21:15	29:23 44:17 51:6 53:6
29:11,15,24 33:17,21	assign 51:24	29:20 45:23 46:4,5,8 47:2 48:11,12 50:7	came 53:24
34:15 35:9,12 37:11	assigned 22:11 32:15	BellSouth's 4:9 40:1 48:9	cancel 41:11,14,17,25
39:1 40:24 46:15 47:8 47:22 55:16	52:4	49:25	42:5
ago 14:11	assigns 52:1	49.25 benefit 12:21	cancelling 42:4
agree 48:24	assistant 51:12	besides 25:18	cancels 42:12,16 candidates 22:23,25
agreed 60:9	associated 4:13 33:17,21	best 34:7,11,15 35:13	capabilities 40:5
AGREEMENT 1:5	Associates 62:3	41:17	
aim 25:11	assume 24:1 31:14 46:18	BETA 17:5.16	capability 14:19,23 38:7 40:12,24 41:11 43:5
Alexander 62:3	assuming 22:3	between 1:6 6:3 21:2	40.12,24 41:11 43:5 capacity 23:13,22 25:6,9
along 21:25	Atlanta 1:17 2:8,15 3:25	49:11 50:13 54:23 60:9	caption 58:5 60:1 62:5
always 36:16	ATLAS 8:1,7,19	big 10:22,24 11:2,8 37:21	captioned 62:13
amending 42:3	Atreement 62:6	38:2 54:18,25	care 5:19 25:11
amount 23:12	attach 62:19	billing 47:25	carolina 1:1,3 3:6 45:23

Alexander Gallo & Associates, Inc. 404-495-0777

		T'	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
46:2	coded 30:23,25 44:9,12	42:20 43:3 45:25 49:8	delineation 6:3
CAROLINAS 1:7	45:17	49:13 50:23 58:8	delivered 27:10,12
case 34:7,11,15 35:13	column 40:12 48:22 49:4	correcting 27:3 28:23	delivery 16:4
58:11,14 62:5	columns 41:2	correction 28:22 32:13	depend 32:17,18
categorized 22:13 37:16	come 8:21 20:23 23:9	52:14 53:18 54:5,8,10	depending 21:7 23:14
37:17,18	27:8 34:8 46:17	correctly 25:25 31:1	35:11
category 26:11	comes 17:19 23:15	36:24	depends 33:21
cause 52:9 53:19 54:3	coming 28:12	cosmetic 33:10	depict 18:5
56:22,23,24	command 40:16	cost 21:11,13	deploy 16:21
causes 53:20	commands 40:12,14,15	counsel 2:1 58:11,12 60:9	deploying 17:13
causing 36:13	40:19,22 41:2,6	count 49:13,17	deployment 13:15 39:5,7
CCR-B-2002 1:18 59:14	commission 1:2,3 3:7	counts 6:9,10	Deponent 60:10 62:8
ceased 30:5	61:19	COUNTY 58:2	deposed 3:9,13
center 29:19 48:12	common 37:4	COUNTY/CITY 61:3	deposition 1:11 3:1,3 4:6
centers 48:13 49:17	communicate 36:11	couple 27:16	4:19 57:6 59:3,12 60:2
CENTREX 47:13	communicated 29:6	court 3:20	60:6,11 61:7,11 62:1,9
certain 23:12	communication 27:18	covered 13:7,14	62:13
certainly 11:8,14	COMMUNICATIONS	create 47:17,19	describe 16:22 25:17
certificate 61:1 62:18	1:6	CRIS 45:5,6	40:13
certify 58:3,10	company 6:7	criteria 19:17 38:4,8	described 22:4 30:11
CGI 7:18	competing 25:10	current 14:4	describing 32:21
change 4:9,13 18:11,12	competition 25:1	customary 59:5,7,10	design 16:4 17:2,11
18:13,24 19:5,6,20,25	complete 46:4 53:23 58:8	customer 21:21 42:8,16	determine 11:3 12:19
20:8,9,11 23:24 26:10	completely 46:20	45:7 52:11 53:16,17	19:12,18 33:19
26:11 27:4,6,11,14,24	completes 19:14 20:10	cycle 16:4,20,23 27:2,3	develop 7:9 15:13 18:23
28:7,18 30:16 32:6,7	complexity 35:12	32:12 33:23 34:3,21	developed 14:3,7,9 15:2
38:11 52:11,13	component 44:10	55:11,14,17,19,21	16:3,10 18:4,8,9 35:25
changed 11:19 18:19 29:1	concluded 57:6		36:2 38:1 39:10
changes 7:10 18:15,17	conditions 52:8	D	developing 6:22 14:15
20:5,6 21:4 22:5 23:20	conflict 45:10	d 3:23 59:2	development 13:22,25
24:22 25:12,18,19,22	conjunction 5:4	data 33:4 40:20,25 48:11	14:1,5,11,13,21 16:19
26:2,7 27:19 28:6 50:17	connection 10:16 39:4	48:15 51:3	34:19,21 55:19
55:12,13,15 62:15	consider 23:19	date 34:5 35:5 60:3 61:8	develops 30:21
changing 28:23 42:2,7	considered 22:23 23:6	62:9 63:23	difference 50:12 54:23
charge 15:21,23	24:8 25:21 50:18 54:1	dated 48:23	55:3
charged 59:11	considers 23:7	day 27:15 30:5 34:20	different 6:6 41:7,8 51:15
charges 59:5,8	consist 17:12	61:4,16	52:3
chart 42:18	constitutes 61:9	days 27:16 34:6,12,24	direct 48:16 59:8
check 27:21 48:24 49:8	construction 16:5 17:2,3	35:7,10	direction 58:7
52:22	17:11,21,23	dealing 5:6	directly 8:18 9:4
chosen 20:19	Consulting 16:8,9,14	decide 24:17	director 5:24 7:5 14:5
CIO 4:19	20:18 37:19	decides 19:6 20:8	disclosure 21:20 59:1
circumstances 46:3,21	contact 8:16	decision 23:11	discussed 20:13
clarify 37:23 40:17	contained 4:19	dedicated 25:8	discusses 10:4 13:10
classification 32:20	continues 40:9	defect 26:13 28:22,24	discussion 10:17 36:21
classified 31:5,21 32:6,8	control 4:9,13	29:4,5,7,7,23 30:6,8,14	44:20 49:22 54:12
classify 32:24 clear 17:18 56:10	convenience 21:10	31:19,20 32:3,5,13,14	disposition 51:23
	coordinate 18:14,16	32:17 33:22,22 34:2,4,8	distinctions 10:24
clearly 28:10 CLEC 7:14 48:13	Copies 59:6	34:24 35:6,15 36:6,24	docket 1:6,7 3:4 9:21
	copy 39:25 48:8 49:25	37:2,15 38:11 defects 25:22 26:22 28:25	document 13:9,14,16
click 36:5,15,19 40:23,24	59:4	defects 25:22 26:23 28:25	24:7 30:17,18,19,20,22
41:5 47:7,20 48:1	CORDOM'ed 30:2	32:21,24 33:17 35:9	30:23 31:1 39:25
coach 38:18	correct 15:9 22:8,10	55:11	documentation 30:11
coaching 38:17	24:23 25:16 26:5 30:7	defined 15:15 50:10	31:4,7
code 34:5	35:1,16 37:1 39:11,12	defines 20:11	documented 26:15 30:13
Services of All and an internal state of the service of the service of the service of the service of the			

Alexander Gallo & Associates, Inc. 404-495-0777

30:15 31:23,25 32:2	ensure 18:6	fee 59:10	gathered 38:16
documents 30:22	enter 40:16,19 47:8	feedback 38:10,13,20	generally 9:8 11:4,17
DOE 9:13 15:8 39:10,22	entered 47:12,17,21	feels 19:13	25:14 34:25 36:9
40:18 41:1,2,5,20,22	62:15	few 42:6 45:11	generate 21:14
doing 10:11,11 36:4	entire 47:18,19 62:12	fewer 45:15.17	generates 47:22
38:24	equipment 48:4	field 7:25 8:2,9,14 15:6	georgia 1:17 2:8,15 3:25
dollars 23:25 25:2	errata 62:1.17	27:10.12 34:14 35:8	58:1
done 10:15 24:9 34:12	error 46:12,12,16,17	38:14.14 47:20	gets 19:6,10,11
39:4	52:13,18,20 53:2,3,17	fields 47:20	give 9:15 14:17 19:24
Doug 4:1	53:18 54:5.8.10	File 62:4	21:18 26:21 29:22
DOUGLAS 2:11	errors 46:13,14,19 52:15	filed 4:20	33:25 54:6
down 20:4 40:11 41:10	52:17,22 53:11,14	fill 13:18 57:3	
Dube 15:23	ESQ 2:4,11	final 17:15,17 23:11 27:6	given 22:4 58:9
duly 3:13 61:5	ESSEX 47:13	first 3:12.18 10:21 16:15	go 26:17 29:13 38:18
Duncan 7:3			41:23
	estimate 23:4,11 24:12,13	fit 23:15	goal 14:21,25 15:1,15
duration 51:22	26:3 27:8 32:10	five 43:13	goals 33:12
during 13:14 14:5 17:23	estimates 23:9,9	focus 38:19	goes 19:14 20:12 23:10
duties 27:21	evaluated 19:10,11	folder 20:3	26:22 42:1
	even 23:2	folks 15:13 16:25 25:3	going 10:19 16:19 19:20
E	ever 56:17	follow 31:17	21:7,13,15 27:11 43:1,4
E 3:22,23 44:17 53:7,12	everything 22:1 42:11	following 14:10 31:16	gone 18:21 27:7
each 33:13,14 48:12	evidence 58:9	62:15	good 19:22
51:14,25 52:2	exactly 11:6 31:17	follows 3:14	governance 20:14,15,16
earlier 23:18 33:5	EXAMINATION 3:15	foregoing 58:3,7 61:6	20:20 22:19 23:18
ease 21:10	55:8	form 13:1,18,20 28:4,24	grew 49:13
edit 20:5 45:3,9	example 8:22 21:18 29:22	29:2 60:8	group 4:20 6:21 8:11,16
edits 44:3,7,9,11,12,15,16	42:7	format 47:9	8:22 12:13 14:2 17:8
45:4,13,16,17,19,20,21	examples 19:24	Frame 47:13	19:3 29:11
45:22	existing 11:10,13 50:11	freeze 34:5	groups 38:19
EDS 29:12,21	50:14,20 51:1 52:10	frequent 54:3	GUI 20:3 36:13 43:10
effectuate 15:1	53:19,21 54:4	from 3:8 15:6 16:18	
efficient 21:16	expectation 33:18 38:9	20:17 22:3 26:3,8 35:8	Н
eight 21:2	expectations 37:21	38:12,13,20 52:3,7	H 3:23
either 12:3 22:11 24:16	expense 24:4	53:18 54:16	hand 48:8
29:8 31:24 37:16 43:25	expenses 59:8	fully 28:13	handle 56:19
59:9	experience 54:19	FULTON 58:2	handled 24:5 26:7 41:21
electronic 13:1	experienced 55:20	function 19:2 31:16,19	41:22
electronically 13:3	Expires 61:19	35:15 41:19,24 42:19	happened 16:11
eligible 50:6	explain 21:9 34:17 41:12	42:21 43:1 45:2.4	happens 24:13 26:6,11
else's 9:25	41:18 46:9,11 50:12	functionality 33:7,8	hardwick 1:12 3:1,4,23
employ 58:12	explained 4:11 33:5	35:18.23 42:23 47:7	4:3,5,12 5:5,9 55:10
employee 46:8	E-mail 13:3	48:2	60:2 61:5,13 62:8 63:24
employees 48:12		functions 5:18,21,22,23	hate 48:23
encounter 45:9 46:12,14	F	7:6,8 18:2 41:8 44:15	having 3:12 36:25,25
encountered 52:18	facilities 56:19 57:2	fund 24:18	head 49:13.17
enhance 19:18 29:3	fall 46:7.22	funding 23:12.22 25:6	heading 48:19
enhanced 28:14	falls 26:10	55:18	hear 44:5
enhancement 13:20	familiar 4:12 7:13,16 9:3	funds 26:1	
18:21 19:9 23:20 24:3	9:12,13,14,17 10:3,7	furnished 59:6	hearing 58:9
28:12,19,24 29:2 30:15	39:18 47:14		help 31:10,13,14,18,22
enhancements 7:10 20:23	39:18 47:14 familiarize 50:3	further 20:11 58:10	40:6
27:25 33:24	fashion 30:6	future 22:14	helpful 24:3,3
enough 56:10			her 4:6,7 7:4 8:4 12:13
	feature 32:2,4,9	<u> </u>	13:3 19:21,22 55:12
ensued 10:18 36:21 44:20	features 19:16 20:12	gabrielli 1:18 59:14	hereof 60:5 61:9
49:22 54:12	22:11,21:23:1	Gallo 62:3	high 22:6,12,16,21

 ${\bf v}_{\rm ext} \sim$ 

Alexander Gallo & Associates, Inc. 404-495-0777

higher 22:1 23:2	interrogatory 40:1 48:10	let's 18:12 24:1 26:9	mandated 21:22	
high-level 24:8,10	50:1 54:16	30:25 31:14 46:18	mandates 21:17	
his/her 61:7	involved 13:22 15:18	level 22:6,8 51:11,14	manner 31:2	
hours 35:10	17:1	levels 33:1	manual 37:6 41:16 46:7	
house 45:6	issue 8:15 15:16 30:3	lewis 2:18 3:9,12 4:3 5:1	46:10,23 47:18,19	
hypothetical 35:5	52:23 56:25	53:10	manually 36:4,17,19 37:6	
	issued 43:22 46:11 50:10	like 3:18 8:5 10:20 22:22	37:13 47:8,12,17,21	
II	50:13,15,17,19,25 52:2	39:24 40:4,6 43:24,24	48:6	
iconify 43:13	54:17,18 56:16 57:1	48:8,14,16 49:7,10 50:2	many 6:25 20:25 41:3	
identified 8:23 15:25 24:2	item 48:10 50:5	56:11	54:7	
32:14 36:24 52:16,19	items 22:19	line 28:1 62:21	March 49:4,12	
53:16 54:3		lines 33:21	marketing 45:24	
identifies 18:11 26:9 36:6	J	list 20:12 22:10,20 40:5	marsha 2:4 3:17	
identify 11:9 13:11 28:25	Jay 10:2	43:16	martha 2:18 3:9,12	
36:7	job 6:13 9:2 20:24 36:7	listed 43:6	materials 28:1	
imagine 16:10	judgment 19:22	listing 47:25	matter 1:5 10:8 45:6 60:3	
impending 27:13	July 1:13 3:2 62:9	lists 43:11	61:7 62:13	
impetus 14:14	jurisdiction 61:17	little 9:13	matters 5:7	
implementation 16:5	just 8:5 19:15,21 20:21	location 42:9,10	may 3:8 8:4 20:2 21:21	
17:3,11	22:16 34:17 37:11,13	long 14:11 34:23	22:1 33:5 42:11 45:9	
implemented 19:7	38:20 40:4,17 42:16	longer 4:24	51:11 52:11 59:9	
implies 36:3	43:14,19 51:10	look 8:5 40:2,4 41:1	maybe 28:10 53:23	
improved 11:23		48:15,22 49:3	mean 16:18 20:2 21:10,23	
improvement 13:11	<u> </u>	looking 14:16 43:23	32:5 42:2 45:15 53:2	
improvements 8:23 11:10	K 3:23	looks 48:25 49:7,10 56:11	means 42:19	
11:12	Kathy 15:23	lose 33:5	medium 22:12,21	
inc 1:7,7,8 2:10,12	keep 54:10	loss 33:4,6,8 35:15,17,23	meet 18:7 22:20	
Incidental 59:8	kin 58:10	lost 30:2	meeting 15:19 37:21	
incorporate 34:25	kind 33:13,14	lot 5:21	melaine 1:12 3:1,4,22	
incorrectly 31:23	know 6:11 12:23,24 13:5	Louisiana 4:21	60:2 61:5,13 62:8 63:24	
increased 49:15	29:17 32:10 36:17	low 22:8,12,21	members 20:19,25	
incremental 23:25	37:20 39:3,17,20 41:9	lowest 33:11	membership 20:17	
indicated 62:16	44:14,24 47:1 54:20,22	lunch 53:24	mentioned 24:20	
indicates 42:18	55:5,14 57:1		message 36:14 56:18	
indicator 52:20	knowledge 4:22 19:22	M	met 38:3,9	
individuals 12:9	37:4 49:16	M 3:22	methodology 14:9	
information 11:4,9 25:1	knowledgeable 4:8 5:2	made 8:24 15:4,5,11 20:8	methods 18:13,14,16,18	
42:13 47:25 48:1 51:10	L	main 38:1	might 29:23 36:14 42:7	
51:15,16,19		major 23:20,23 24:4,9	56:22	
initial 39:5,7 50:16	L 3:22 53:6,12	25:19,19 32:18 55:13	mind 52:12	
initially 53:23	LACKEY 2:11 4:5 8:3	55:15	minimal 45:12	
initiate 52:13	57:5	majority 45:13	minimize 40:13,14,21	
initiated 39:1	Lamoureux 4:11	make 15:15 18:18 28:6	minimized 43:25	
input 37:6 38:16 46:5	large 4:15 5:15,25 6:4,7,9	46:4	minimizing 40:25	
inside 46:13	6:16 8:12,17,21 11:16	making 27:4	minor 23:16,19,23 24:22	
instead 24:6 36:19 41:21	12:4,5 14:17 19:10 20:5	manage 5:25 6:19,21	25:5 27:4,25 55:12	
42:3	20:17 33:22 46:2 49:19	management 6:15,18	minute 40:4 50:2	
integrated 34:10	larger 23:15	manager 12:13,15,25	modify 28:18	
interconnection 1:5 62:5	last 34:23 48:17,19	14:2 19:12 20:7 43:6,10	moment 48:16	
interested 58:13	later 3:9 28:2	43:14 51:11,12	money 24:21,21	
interface 4:14 6:20 42:20	LCSC 39:18,21 55:23	managers 15:12	month 27:9 34:19,23	
42:22 45:24	Lenox 3:24	manager's 12:16	monthly 34:6,16,18	
interfaces 7:14,21 9:4	LENS 7:16	manages 43:14	months 33:25 35:11	
internal 4:9,12 42:17	less 55:4	managing 14:2	more 8:7 21:16 28:10	
internally 41:14 42:12	let 34:17 35:2 40:17	mandate 21:19 22:2	33:10 47:14 51:7 54:16	
			ĥ	

Alexander Gallo & Associates, Inc. 404-495-0777

Page	68
------	----

55:4	44:22 46:18 49:3,6,10	package 27:18	prepopulate 40:20
most 33:3 43:24 45:12	55:7 56:15	page 40:3,10,10 43:5	prepopulates 40:24
54:3	once 18:20 27:6 32:14	48:15,17,19 50:5 59:5,7	present 2:17 26:4
moving 42:9,9	46:15	60:4 61:9 62:21	presented 24:15
multiple 43:11 45:8	one 6:7 9:9,15 30:4 35:19	pages 58:7	press 10:4,8
Must 21:11	42:4,7 43:8 45:9 51:7	Pardon 25:23	primarily 16:25 45:21
	56:11,21 59:4	Park 3:24	51:9
N	ones 9:7 22:22,24	part 5:10,15 12:5 13:6	primary 20:22
N 3:23	only 5:20 8:12 12:12	14:1 16:6 18:25 27:20	prior 34:6,12 35:7
name 3:17,19,22 4:7 9:15	23:12,19 36:11 39:19	52:21	priorities 32:24
13:17 29:14 53:5 62:17	42:5 46:2	particular 5:21 8:11	prioritization 21:23 22:2
named 51:5	onto 52:25	31:16 33:13,14 42:3	prioritize 21:4 23:18
names 44:14	on-line 31:10,13,14,18,22	particularly 4:23	prioritized 20:13 21:25
necessarily 21:12	53:10,14	parties 58:11,13 59:6	prioritizes 26:1
necessitated 54:8	<b>OPEC</b> 44:17 45:2,4,9,16	60:10	prioritizing 25:15
need 11:9 29:1 52:9	45:17,20	party 59:3,9,11	priority 22:7,12,17 23:2
needs 11:3 15:14	open 43:15,17,21 53:1	Pate 9:24	33:1,3,6,8,9,11,15,20
negotiation 50:16	operating 6:6	Peachtree 1:16 2:7,14	34:1 35:14
negotiations 1:6 62:6	opposed 8:13 36:5	pending 19:15 22:21,23	probably 5:5 23:17 45:12
new 11:9 20:3,5 41:16	order 6:19 14:19 15:1,16	45:8	49:9 56:8
42:4,13	18:3,12 28:7,18 30:3	people 6:25 7:7 12:23	problem 26:21 36:14
next 16:1,11 22:25 34:13	33:6 41:15,15,16 42:3,7	20:23	procedures 18:14,15,17
39:1 40:9 48:15,18	42:10,16 43:20,22 45:9	per 59:5,7	18:19
non-management 48:20	45:10 46:11,15,16,19	percentage 50:6 54:7	proceeding 4:21
49:13	46:20 47:9,19,20,23,24	perform 6:19 18:3 31:15	process 15:18 17:4,19,23
normal 16:4,20,22 north 1:1,3 3:6 45:23	48:5 50:11,13,14,15,17	31:18 38:17 41:24 43:1 performed 45:5 46:13	18:22 21:24 28:23
46:2	50:18,19,20 51:22,22 51:23 52:1,2,4,6,9,10	50:8	38:15,22 41:7 42:17 56:1,3,5,7
Notary 61:19	52:17,19 53:3,9,13,20	performing 17:25 26:14	processes 4:10,13
notes 27:17,22 28:3,13	53:21,23,25 56:1,3,6,8	29:25 30:12.14	processing 46:7,10,23
notice 27:13 28:11	56:10,13,14,18,19,25	performs 32:1	produce 16:15
noticed 4:6 11:22	57:3	perhaps 21:14 22:25 36:4	produced 4:4 16:17 17:5
notifications 38:12	ordered 47:3	36:13 37:22 45:7 46:10	24:14
notifies 37:7	ordering 14:22 38:7	period 16:18 49:11	produces 31:3
no-brainer 37:12	orders 18:5 43:13 45:8	person 12:12	product 16:16 46:6 48:3
number 3:4 35:20 40:2	50:10,12,25 51:1,17,20	personally 39:20 61:4	48:5.7
41:16 43:20,21 48:10	52:22 54:4,17,18,24,25	perspective 26:8	production 34:5 59:9
48:11 50:1,6,24,25	56:16,16	phase 15:21 16:25 17:7	products 47:2,6,9,11,15
51:17,18,25 52:1,4,5,6	original 59:4 62:19	piece 32:19	product-specific 47:25
54:23,25	OSS 10:5	pipeline 19:15	programmed 30:18
numbers 49:14	other 7:21 9:3,11 25:17	place 28:25 39:22 50:21	programmer 32:15
N.E 1:16 2:7	27:23 28:6,16 38:10,11	60:4 61:8	programming 24:4 32:13
	45:19 47:9 53:20 59:6	planning 16:24 17:10	programs 43:25
0	others 22:12	platform 14:18,22 38:6	progressed 16:3
O 44:17 53:6,12	out 13:18 27:16 28:12	please 3:11	project 12:13,15,15,25
obviously 22:2	36:14 42:4 45:8 46:7,22	point 14:20 23:4 36:5,19	15:22 19:11
occasionally 38:19	57:2 60:4 61:9	37:15 40:23,24 41:4	promoted 14:4
off 10:18 36:20,22 44:19	outsourced 29:12	47:6,20 48:1	prototype 16:10,12
44:21 49:21,23 54:11	over 16:18 40:9,10 42:9	pop-up 20:4	provide 14:18 21:21
54:13	49:3	position 7:4 14:4	36:10 38:5,6 39:24
offered 4:7	own 51:24	positive 56:14	51:19
office 38:18,20	O.C.G.A 59:1	possible 13:11	provided 27:24
Oh 55:5	P	potential 22:25	provider 10:5
okay 3:22 11:15 21:9		precise 4:22	provides 43:19 51:21
23:5 26:13 40:8 41:19	<b>P</b> 44:17	prepared 28:13	providing 49:25

Alexander Gallo & Associates, Inc. 404-495-0777

Margar

Public 3:6 61:19	rejection 24:16	52:21	36:14
purposes 4:2	relate 33:2	responsible 6:22 17:8	Senior 7:5
Pursuant 1:8 59:1	relay 47:13	restate 35:2	sent 13:3 46:15
put 22:6,7	release 10:4,8 18:23	result 58:14	separate 42:10
P-140 1:6 3:5	22:14,24 23:1,16 27:16	resulting 53:18	series 10:20 31:16
P-646 1:7 3:5	27:17,22 28:3,13 34:13	retail 46:6 47:2 50:7	service 3:7 14:19,22 15:7
p.m 1:14 57:6	34:18,25 35:4,5	retrieve 53:25	15:16 18:5 42:6,8 45:8
	releases 34:7,16	retrieved 52:7	46:6 47:9,23,24 48:4
Q	relies 44:3,7	reveal 51:15	51:13 52:1,3,17,19 53:9
question 4:8 6:12 8:14	remember 31:12	revenue 21:14	53:13
11:6 14:10 28:9 31:8	rep 46:4 51:13	revert 36:17 37:6,13	services 4:16 5:16 6:1,2,4
35:3 44:6 48:14 50:3	repeat 28:9	review 22:20 27:23 28:2	6:5,17 47:2,6,10,11
54:21	rephrase 11:6	28:3	session 43:6,10,14,21
questions 5:6 10:20 58:5	replace 39:10,14	reviewed 19:25	sessions 38:17 43:12,15
queue 22:5,17,19	report 26:16 37:2 51:8,12	reviews 12:18	43:16
	51:12,13,14 53:5	right 25:13 30:12 49:4,9	set 25:6 32:16 60:4 61:8
R	reported 58:4	55:22	severe 33:3
R 2:11 3:23	reporter 3:20 60:7 62:11	RNS 9:16,17 39:14 40:18	sharon 1:18 59:14
RALEIGH 1:2	reports 51:2,4,6,10 53:1	role 13:24	sheet 62:1,17
RE 62:3	54:10	Ron 9:24	Sherry 7:3
read 9:20,25 60:10 62:12	represent 58:7	rough 54:6	shortcut 40:12,14,15,19
62:14	representative 12:3,4,8	routed 46:8	41:2,6
ready 16:21	20:21	rows 41:10	shortcuts 41:5
real 18:1	reps 15:7	RSAG 8:1,7,18,23	side 12:6 45:6
really 11:15 32:18 36:1	request 4:17 11:18,25	rule 2:4 3:3,17 4:1 5:8,9	sign 52:25 60:11
51:17	12:10,14,17,18,24 19:6	8:9 10:19 27:2 36:20,23	SIGNATURE 63:23
reason 39:21 62:21	19:9,14 20:10 21:8 24:7	44:19,22,24 49:21,24	signed 62:17
reasons 38:1 53:21 54:2	24:10 26:12 34:24 46:6	53:13 54:11,14,15 55:7	simply 36:2
62:16	62:14	55:10,22	since 4:23
recall 55:12,24	requested 47:3 60:6	rules 47:23	single 14:18,21 38:6
receive 27:22 28:11 38:10	requests 19:25 25:15,20		sit 20:23
38:13 59:4	26:10 29:5 38:11	\$	situation 56:17
received 35:8	require 18:13 24:4 46:22	\$ 53:6,12	Skipping 41:10
receiving 12:10	required 28:18	sales 45:24	small 4:15,20 5:3 6:1,4,8
recess 27:1	requirement 24:9	same 11:15 20:22 30:5	6:10,16 8:12 10:22,25
record 4:2 10:18 36:20	requirements 6:23 7:9	41:15 42:11 43:5 51:10	11:16 12:3 14:17 20:6
36:22 44:19,21,23	14:3,6,8 15:2,14 16:1,2	51:16 52:5 60:7 62:14	20:17 32:17 33:22
49:21,23 54:11,13,14	16:19 17:1 18:7,24 19:1	satisfied 38:3	37:22 38:2 46:1 48:19
62:16	24:10 26:15 30:20,22	savings 21:11,13	49:12,17 54:17,23
recreate 26:19,20,22	31:25	saying 31:12 46:10	SOCS 8:1,7,18 41:24
reduced 58:6 60:8	resale 56:3,9,10	says 31:15,18 40:12 41:3	42:1,12,14,17 43:2
refer 10:23 11:1	reside 42:21,23	41:3,11 43:8 50:9	46:15 52:4,7
referenced 4:24	residential 56:5,8	scenario 34:7,11,15 35:13	SOER 44:3,7,9,12 45:14
referring 30:24	resolution 26:24 33:12,14	scenarios 18:4,9	45:22 46:12,13,14
regard 10:21,22 12:16	34:1	scheduled 18:22	52:18 53:18
regarding 8:15 48:11	resolve 35:10,10,11	screen 43:24	software 16:4,20,23 29:7
51:20	resolved 34:4,9	second 40:10 55:13	34:10,19 55:18
region 12:22	resources 25:2,8	section 1:9 48:4	SOLE 53:6
regional 14:19,22 38:7	respond 5:5 10:21	sections 42:6 47:24	solution 33:19 34:11,14
region-wide 19:21	response 4:7 31:3 40:1	secured 55:18	solutions 10:5
regular 27:21 38:22	48:9 50:1,4 54:16	see 12:20 32:12 48:18	solved 35:8
reinforce 39:8	responsibilities 6:14 7:20	selected 22:13,24	some 15:12 22:5,7,11
reissue 41:11,14,17 42:1	9:2,2	selecting 23:1	40:20 44:16 46:22
42:5	responsibility 7:25 12:10	selection 10:4	someone 4:8,18 29:8
reissues 42:13	12:16 20:24 37:8,11	send 12:24 13:2 27:15,16	something 11:19,21 12:21
	1		= .

Alexander Gallo & Associates, Inc. 404-495-0777

21:14,15,22 22:16	subsequent 50:17	testing 6:23 7:12 16:5	types 11:9 25:17 26:7
23:14 24:2 26:16 29:24	Suite 2:6.13 3:25	17:5,6,9,19,20,25	50:11
32:18 37:5,11 38:23	support 8:1,2,10 18:24	Thank 5:8 55:7	typewriting 58:6
39:6 52:12	23:23 29:19 47:5	their 12:11 13:6,7 20:22	typewritten 60:8
Sometimes 21:17	supported 48:7	20:24 28:3 30:3 33:6	typical 37:3
somewhere 13:9	supportive 19:21	36:16 37:10 38:9 52:12	typically 11:21 28:2 29:8
SONGS 9:18 15:8 39:10	supports 6:7 8:11,13	thereto 58:6	29:25 33:10 36:16 42:5
39:22 40:18	24:22 29:12	things 4:18 56:21	typing 36:4,18 37:13
soonest 34:13	suppose 30:25	think 8:7 29:18	40:13.14.21.25
sorry 17:2 44:5 45:1	supposed 30:9 39:13	third 43:4	
48:16	sure 8:3 15:15 18:18	three 54:2	U
sort 46:22	22:15 56:9.12	three-and-a-half 27:9	Um-hmm 43:7
sorts 18:2	Swear 3:11	33:25 34:18	under 40:11 41:2,2,3,10
sounds 43:24	switches 42:14	three-and-a-half-month	41:25 43:5 45:12 46:21
SOUTHERN 1:7	sworn 3:13 61:6,15	34:21	58:6
speak 4:18 5:20 12:12	system 5:2 13:2,12 17:22	through 8:4 16:3,19	understand 11:5 25:25
39:19 40:18	18:3 26:14 28:14 30:4,9	18:21 34:22 47:3	31:7 36:23 40:6 41:6
speaking 11:20 40:17	32:1,20	throughout 12:22	understanding 39:9
specific 6:13 48:5	systems 4:23 5:12,14,18	time 15:24 16:18 22:4	49:11
specifically 11:19	5:22 7:21 8:18 9:4,11	27:3,4 32:12,16 33:20	understood 22:15 35:3
spell 3:19	28:7.16 42:15	33:23 34:1,4 36:11 39:3	UNE 56:1,12,14
spoke 28:21		51:21 55:13,14,17,17	unexpected 31:2
staff 12:5	T	55:21 57:1 60:4 61:8	unit 10:12 11:2 23:24
stage 17:4	table 23:25 40:3	timelines 33:16	24:21.25 26:1.4 29:20
stand 44:18 53:8	take 5:18 28:19 35:9 40:2	timely 33:13	50:7
standard 47:23 59:5.7	40:4 48:14 50:2	times 15:20 55:11	units 6:6
stands 29:17 44:25	taken 3:4 56:18 60:3,7	title 12:11,13 13:17 60:4	unless 9:15
start 11:14 34:20 43:12	61:7 62:13	61:9	until 16:20 32:9
55:17	takes 50:21	today 5:3	update 50:13,18,22 52:9
started 51:22	taking 25:11 35:14 59:3	told 22:16	52:10 53:17,19,21 54:1
starting 14:20 42:4	59:11	top 43:4	56:16,22,23,24
starts 55:19	talk 28:22	total 48:25	updated 54:17,18,24 55:1
state 1:1 3:19,20 4:2 58:1	talking 30:19 56:15	totally 35:7	updates 50:11,25 51:18
61:2	tasks 6:19	track 50:24 51:3	51:20 54:7
stated 58:4	TCG 1:7	tracked 51:15	updating 54:4
statement 21:20	team 7:9 8:13 17:24 18:3	tracking 51:24	use 19:17 21:11 28:14
states 1:7 61:6	18:10 26:18 29:9 30:21	tracks 51:17	45:24 46:1 47:3
step 16:1	teams 15:3,4,10,11,11,19	training 13:6,8,14 17:14	used 4:25 18:6 29:3 39:21
Stepping 13:21	technical 29:18	27:24 28:4 39:8	42:6
steps 31:17,17	technology 11:4,10,11	transaction 51:25	user 6:22,23 7:11 8:14,21
still 22:17,18 36:18 42:25	25:1	transactions 50:7,10	11:17,22 14:6.8 15:2,3
53:25	Telcordia 10:5,11,14	transcript 58:4,8 60:11	15:4,14,25 16:2 17:8
straight 41:23 42:1	telecommunications 1:8	61:6,10 62:12,19	18:1,10,11 19:5,8 21:20
Street 1:16 2:7,14	2:10,12	treated 50:21	24:1,16 26:9,16,17
strictly 31:24	telephone 43:20	troubles 29:13	27:20 28:11 30:17 31:6
studied 28:12	tell 9:7 36:15 37:20 41:4	true 58:8 61:10	31:9 36:3,6 37:2,3,7,18
Sub 1:6,7 3:5,5	52:8 55:16	try 35:2	40:20 41:23 42:1,25
subject 5:7 10:7 48:24	telling 27:19	TSC 29:9,16	43:18,23 46:14,17,19
49:8	ten 21:3 45:12,16,16,17	two 6:6 12:9 20:22 37:25	46:23,24 47:8,18 53:22
submit 11:18 19:8 20:9	term 39:19	38:3 41:10	users 8:17 15:5,12 17:13
23:8	terms 6:18	two-thirds 40:11	27:13 28:5 36:17,25
submits 19:5	test 18:3,6 26:18	type 25:4,5,12,18,20,21	38:2,12,21 52:20
submitted 12:1,2,7,14	tested 17:22 18:1	26:2,2,6,10,13 32:6,6,9	user's 31:4 36:7 37:8
23:3 24:7,11 46:20	testified 3:13	32:11,11 36:19 48:6	uses 4:14 7:22 30:17
SUBSCRIBED 61:15	testimony 9:20,23 10:1	51:7	using 5:3 15:8 19:21 28:5
The Lot operation of the second state of the s			

Alexander Gallo & Associates, Inc. 404-495-0777

Contral .

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	
36:18 50:8 55:23	working 19:15-20:12	6	
usually 27:18	22:10 26:23 28:17	675 2:14	-
UTILITIES 1:2,3	29:24 30:4,5 37:5	69 50:1	
utilize 28:7	works 31:2		
utilized 52:7	work-around 33:7,9	7	-
U.S.C 1:8	35:16,18,19,24,25 36:1	71:7	-
	36:2,8,10,16 37:1,4,9	73 1:6 3:5	
V	wrap 38:24	10 110 515	
value 12:19 19:12 21:6,10	wrong 29:19	8	-
vary 20:6 51:10		8 40:2	-
version 17:5,7,15,16,17	Y	8100 2:6	
versus 6:8 51:12,13 56:16	veah 49:15	850 48:25	
very 42:6 45:11	year 25:7 38:24,25 39:2	030 40.23	
via 13:3	v'all 4:6	9	- [
views 12:20	, <b></b>	······································	-
visit 38:14,18,20	1	9 55:20	1
vote 22:22	1 25:21 26:6,10,13 32:7,9	9-11-28 59:1	
voted 23:6	32:25 33:3 34:2,20 40:3	900 49:8	
votes 23:7	10th 35:6.7	99 48:23 49:12	
Votes 25.7	1107 62:4		
w	12-month 55:21		
W 3:23	12-1101111 35:21		
wait 22:17	1200 1.10 2.7		
waits 22:18	17 3:5		
want 20:2 24:18	-		
wanted 28:2 36:12	18 1:13 3:2 62:9		
	1997 14:13,15 15:19		
wasn't 17:24 18:1	1999 50:9		
way 10:23 11:3 21:16	2		
26:15 29:25 30:9,10,13			
30:14 32:1 34:22 35:3	2 25:5,12,18 26:2 32:6,11		1 1
36:3 40:11 41:17,22	32:25 33:6 35:14 37:16		1
well 5:20 6:1 7:11 11:15	50:5		
14:1 15:3,12,13 18:20	2-271 4:21		
19:8 38:17 43:11 47:22	2:11 1:14		
went 30:3 53:24	2000 1:13 3:2 49:5,12		
were 4:19,23 13:21 14:6,8	61:16 62:9		
14:16 15:2,4,5,8,11,18	<b>21</b> 34:6,12,24 35:6		
16:2,20,25 18:9 40:18	24 7:1		
45:15 56:15 58:6	252 1:9		
West 2:14			
ve'll 19:9	3		
ve've 38:23 55:20	3 25:20 26:2 32:11,25		
vhole 22:10	33:8 35:21,22 37:16		
vindow 20:3 27:9 34:19	48:10		
43:15,19 53:1,6	3:45 57:6		
Vindows 43:25	30-minute 27:18		1
vished 8:24	300 3:25		
vitness 3:11 8:6 9:21	30309 2:8		
53:11	30375-0747 2:15		
vork 5:10,11 6:25 7:2,3,7			
9:5,10 10:10,15 16:7,15	4		
18:22 19:14 20:10	4 32:25 33:9		
23:19 24:7 25:3,14.21	<b>4300</b> 2:13		
26:3 30:9,10,10,11	47 1:8		
31:24 48:2,12,13	*/ 1.0		

hanggun

# Exhibit JMB-29

Correspondence Related to LCSC Overload

## Bradbury, J M (Jay) - LGA

From: Sent: To: Subject:	jrwilliamson@att.com Friday, October 20, 2000 12:43 PM gpterry@att.com; bradbury@att.com; sharonnorris@att.com; eppsteiner@att.com; campbekj@att.com; belangda@att.com; smorrow@broadband.att.com; dobeck@broadband.att.com; crcannon@att.com; watersre@att.com; bcsturdevant@att.com deberger@att.com; waldbads@att.com FW: Order Backlog	
Importance:	High	
<pre>&gt; Sent: Friday, @ &gt; To: 'Jan M. Burris: &gt; Cc: 'Sandra C. Jone &gt; Subject: Order Baa &gt; Importance: Hid &gt; Jan, &gt; &gt; I've received call: &gt; apparent backlog oc &gt; week and found that &gt; Broadband orders in &gt; We have orders that &gt; response. When we &gt; will only accept tw &gt; great deal of time &gt; &gt; I called Ron Moore &gt; be worked instead cc &gt; orders backlogged). &gt; understand why Bell &gt; orders it would be &gt; appreciate your proc</pre>	<pre>on, Jill R, NCAM October 20, 2000 12:30 PM s' est est sklog gh s from several of our workcenters this week around an f orders at the LCSC. I spoke with Sandra earlier this t the LCSC is backlogged due to the addition of the h the Atlanta LCSC. t were sent a week ago that have yet to receive a call the LCSC to get the orders turned around, they wo PONs per call. Thus our workcenter must spend a calling the LCSC just to get a response on the orders. today to request that he accept a list of the PONS to of us calling back two PONS at a time (Broadband has 36 . I'm waiting on Ron's response. Additionally, I don't .South is having this problem when it knew the volume of transitioning from Birmingham to Atlanta. I'd oviding me with a plan to remedy the current backlog and o correct the issue going forward. I'd appreciate a</pre>	
>		

1

#### Bradbury, J M (Jay) - LGA

irwilliamson@att.com From: Monday, November 06, 2000 12:58 PM Sent: mlacy@att.com; bseigler@att.com; gpterry@att.com; eppsteiner@att.com; To: sharonnorris@att.com; bradbury@att.com; bobik@att.com; dreinig@att.com; deberger@att.com; watersre@att.com; dobeck@broadband.att.com; smorrow@broadband.att.com; waldbads@att.com; campbekj@att.com; crcannon@att.com FW: LCSC backlog Subject:

----Original Message-----From: Jan.Flint@bridge.bellsouth.com [mailto:Jan.Flint@bridge.bellsouth.com] Sent: Friday, November 03, 2000 3:27 PM To: Williamson, Jill R, NCAM Cc: Jan.Burriss1@bridge.bellsouth.com; Sandra.Jones5@bridge.bellsouth.com Subject: LCSC backlog

Jill.

I wrote this on Monday and thought I sent it to you but I just found it in mν out box -- sorry.

I talked to Ron Moore today about the FOC backlog that AT&T has experienced in the last two weeks.

Ron attributed the MediaOne fall-out and backlog to a directory listings problem in our LEO system. There was also a problem with inappropriate usage of commas in MediaOne's LSRs that caused additional orders to fall-out for manual handling. The LCSC could not easily handle the large number of orders that required manual handling.

This past week, order volume overwhelmed the center. After working this past Saturday, Ron feels that by close of business today they will have recovered and will be current on their pending LSRs.

To address the order volume issues, the LCSC will add 20 service reps to its staff on November 13th and will add another 20 in December.

I hope this information minimizes AT&T's concerns on recent FOC cycle-time.

Thanks,

Jan

## Exhibit JMB-30

Order Tracking Change Request CR0040

BELLSOUTH

## **Change Request Form**

Internal Reference #		(1) Date C	nange Request Submi	tted _5_/_11_/00(2)
	PE 4 (BST) 🛛	TYPE 3 (INDU	STRY) 🗍 TYPE 2 (	REGULATORY) (3)
Company NameAT&T				(4)
CCMJIII Williamson	(	5) Phone_404	-810-8562	(6)
CCM Email Address _jrwillian	nson@att.com_		Fax404-810-86	605(8)
Alternate CCM		(9) Ait Pho	ne #	(10)
Originator's NameJill Williar	nson	(11) Phone_	_404-810-8562	(12)
Title of ChangeOrder Track	ing Request			(13)
Category 🖾 Add New Fund	tionality 🔲	Change Existin	g (14) Desired Due [	Date _7_/_1_/_00 (15)
Originating CCM assessment	of impact 🛛 🖾	Major 🛛 Min	or 🔲 None expec	ted (16)
Originating CCM assessment	of priority	Urgent 🛛 Hig	h 🗌 Medium [	Low (17)
Interfaces Impacted (18)				
Pre-Ordering	Ordering	_	Maintenance	Manual
LI LENS	Ø EDI	🖾 LNP		
	⊠ LENS		EC-TA Local	
CSOTS	🖾 TAG			

Type Of Change - Check	one or more, as applicable (1	9)	
Software	Hardware	Industry Standards	Defect
Product & Services	New or Revised Edits	Process	
Documentation	Regulatory	Other Differ	

Description of requested change including purpose and benefit received from this change. (Use additional sheets, if necessary.) (20)

This request is to add functionality, similar to that provided by CSOTS, to track PONS/orders from the time the order hits BellSouth's gateway until the order is completed. This order tracking tool should be available electronically and should be centralized into a single source for CLECs to access. This tool will allow CLECs to track orders from the point of origination to order completion, minimizing the need for phone calls and inquiries between workcenters. In today's environment, reps call to either gain clarification on an order, gain status of an order or to find out why a response hasn't been received. An order tracking system would allow CLECs to follow an order within BellSouth from the time it hits BellSouth's gateway until the order is completed with minimal disruption to the workcenters. Some examples of information that could be obtained would be the receipt and transmittal times from EDI to LEO to LESO to SOCS and LNP to LAUTO to SOCS, status of the order, i.e, fell out of system, reason for fallout, time posted to worklist, time claimed by rep., time re-submitted by rep., etc. An additional function may be to allow the CLEC to issue a "trouble ticket" when a

Attachment A-1

Jointly Developed by the Change Control Sub-team comprised of BellSouth and CLEC Representatives.



## **Change Request Form**

reject/clarification is received in error or when a clarification is unclear. BellSouth could then assign a ticket number and respond to the CLECs trouble ticket. The attached diagram depicts the flow of information from BellSouth's systems into the proposed order tracker.

Known dependencies (21)

Additional Information See Yes No (22)

List all business specifications and/or requirements documents included (or Internet / Standards location, if applicable)

This Section to be completed by BCCM only.
Change Request Log #CR0040(23) Clarification 🛛 Yes 🗌 No (24)
Clarification Request Sent _06/02/00 (25) Clarification Response Due _06/13/00_ (26)
Status RC(27)
Change Request Review Date _06/28/00 / 4/25/01 (28) Target Implementation Date (29)
Last Modified ByBCCM (30) Date Modified _4/30/01_ (31)
Defect Validation Results: (32)
Change Review Meeting Results (33)
3-15-01 Non-scheduled change request. Request to be re-prioritized at 3/28/01 meeting.
4-30-01 CR prioritized on 4-25-01. Refer to "Release Prioritization Ranking" on CCP Web site.
Canceled Change Request 🔲 Duplicate 🖾 Training 🖾 Clarification Not Received
Cancellation by BellSouth (34)
Cancellation Acknowledgment CLEC BST Date// (35)
Request Appeal  Yes No (36)
Appeal Considerations (37)
L

Attachment A-I

Jointly Developed by the Change Control Sub-team comprised of BellSouth and CLEC Representatives.



## **Change Request Form**

Agreed Release Date \_\_/\_\_/ (38)

#### CMVC #\_\_\_\_\_(39) DDTS#\_\_\_\_\_(40)

Attachment A-1

Jointly Developed by the Change Control Sub-team comprised of BellSouth and CLEC Representatives. RF-1870 4/00



## Change Request Form

#### BST Response to CR0040 Last update 8-7-00 (BST response to questions from originator sent on 7-24-00)

 Provide a history report (Need the reports to be more real time) from LEO/LNPGW that indicates the progress of an LSR through the electronic systems. Provide the following information as applicable:

Tracking must start from the receipt of the LSR at the BellSouth end of the interface (EDI. TAG, or LENS). The start and stop points below are all at LEO or the LNP Gateway reflecting either when it received or sent a transaction. This proposal fails to provide the ability to identify the fact that an LSR becomes stuck between the interface and LSRR/LEO/LNP.

8-7-00 A complete history status will be made available that will track the progress of an LSR through the electronic systems. An updated LEO history will be available every hour. The LNPGW data will be available daily for statuses from the previous day. The reports will provide the following information:

a) Receipt in LEO from EDI (or other electronic interface) or receipt in the LNP Gateway (LNPGW) from LEO (LSRR). This description indicates that all LSRs go to LEO and that the LSRR is in LEO rather than between the interfaces and LEO and LNP. Please clarify.

#### 8-7-00 Receipt from CLEC interface to the LSRR (LSR Router) 8-7-00 Receipt in LEO or in the LNP Gateway (LNPGW) from LSRR

- b) Receipt in LESOG from LEO or receipt in LAUTO from LNPGW
- c) Detail information regarding any fallout for manual handling
  - i) The system in which the LSR fell out for manual handling
  - ii) Reason for fallout
  - iii) The time of the fallout (added 8-7-00)
  - iv) Time manual fallout is claimed by a service representative
  - v) Time manual fallout is resolved by service representative
- d) Service order issuance time in SOCS
- e) Response from LESOG to LEO or LAUTO to LNPGW *i assume we are discussing rejects*, FOCs, jeopardizes and completions in this and the next item. Time Stamps.
   8-7-00 response from LESOG to LEO or LAUTO to LNPGW including timestamps (such as rejects, FOCs, jeopardies and completions)
- f) 8-7-00 Response from receiving interfaces to the CLEC interfaces with timestamp

Attachment A-4A

Jointly Developed by the Change Control Sub-team comprised of BellSouth and CLEC Representatives.

RF-1870



## Change Request Form

Include a standard set of queries/reports run against the data daily, immediately after it was posted to find and identify "stuck" orders/responses. They should then also have procedures to clear the stuck item.

8-7-00 Items should not become "stuck" unless we are experiencing system outages or anomalies. BellSouth is immediately aware of such situations and provides information to CLECs of such problems, as quickly as possible, via email messages and Web posting by the Electronic Communications Support (ECS) group.

- Provide a way for the LCSC to correct an LSR in the LNP Gateway if it has been clarified in error, without the CLEC having to submit a SUP. (CR 19708 has already been issued and is currently targeted for 1Q01.)
- 3) The CLEC will need to call the LCSC when an LSR is thought to have been clarified in error so that a service representative can take immediate action to resolve the error and process the request.
- 4) Significant work was done with OSS99 to enhance error messages to make them much more user friendly. BellSouth is willing to continue to work with CLEC, through the CCP process, to make further improvements. The CLEC will need to identify unclear clarification reasons so that BellSouth can re-word them in a clearer manner. This will eliminate an unnecessary step for the CLEC and allow both BellSouth and the CLEC to be more productive.

#### 8-7-00 NOTE REGARDING LNP:

Currently the LNP architecture is not designed to accommodate real time queries regarding the status of a service order and the location of an LSR with respect to the hand-off between systems. We are currently making architecture changes to the LNP system, which in time may allow a real time query to occur. CLECs can track their orders by viewing the electronic acknowledgements, Firm Order Confirmations/Rejects/Clarifications, Pending Order Status and completion notices sent back upon receipt and processing to help facilitate making porting activity successful.

Attachment A-4A

Jointly Developed by the Change Control Sub-team comprised of BellSouth and CLEC Representatives.

## Exhibit JMB-31

April 25, 2001, Prioritization Final Ranking Tally

#### (a) **BELL**SOUTH CHANGE REVIEW PRIORITIZATION FINAL RANKING TALLY 4/25/2001

ATEGORY / INTERFACE	CHANGE REQUEST	TTLE
PRE-ORDERING /	CR0040	ORDER TRACKING REQUEST
ORDERING	EDI0812990004	CHANGE MAIN ACCOUNT NUMBER
	EDI0812990005	HANDLING OF REMAINING SERVICE
	CR0135	MERGING OF ACCOUNTS
	CR0096	ADD ABILITY TO CREATE NEW LISTINGS IN LENS
	CR0145	CAPABILITY TO REMOVE A TN FROM A LENS LSR
	CR0020	VIEW MULTIPLE CSRs SIMULTANEOUSLY
	CR0215	UNE TO UNE BULK MIGRATIONS
	TAG0812990001	PROVIDE CFA VIA PRE-ORDER
	CR0029	PARTIAL MIGRATION OF UNE LOOPS (REQTYP A)
	CR0038	TOS FIELD ON REQTYP J
	CR0241	CN RETURNED ON INCORRECT LSR VERSION
	CR0137	FLOW THROUGH FOR REQTYP CB, ACT P & Q
	CR0196	ALLOW CHANGES IN DIRECTORY DELIVERIES (LENS)
	CR0078	EXTENDED LOOPS (EELS) MECHANIZATION
	CR0146	DEFAULT THE LISTED TN
	CR0003	RPON FLOW THROUGH & ELECTRONIC REJECT
	CR0351	LISTING ACT ONLY / REQTYP J & ACT = R
ſ	CR0113	LENS INQUIRY - VIEW CSR - USE 3-DIGIT CUST CODE IN VALIDATION
	CR0144	ADD LSR CODES IN LENS
	CR0186	IMPLEMENT INTERACTIVE AGENT - EDI
	CR0085	WEB-BASED LSR
	CR0160	FLOW THROUGH FOR REQTYP BB, ACT P & Q
Ī	CR0336	CIC FIELD
-	EDI0812990007	LIFT CURRENT RESTRICTIONS OF THE LEAN/LEATN FIELDS

"NOTE: FORCED RANKING FROM 1 - "N" (DEPENDING ON NUMBER OF REQUESTS PER CATEGORY) WITH "N" BEING THE HIGHEST RANKED.

	1	1
	CR0101	EDI PRE-ORDERING SOLUTION
		LENS LARGE ACCOUNT INQUIRY - PRE-ORDER
	CR0104	ABILITY TO ACCESS TNs BEHIND SLAs
		SERVICE INQUIRY ENHANCEMENTS FOR SL1, SL2,
	CR0016	DS0, DS1 & ISDN PRE-ORDERING
	CR0178	PROVIDE SOLICITED NOTIFICATIONS IN TAG
	CR0221	ALLOW USER TO POPULATE LOTY IN LENS PRE- ORDER
	CR0127	ALERT END USER THAT A CSR IS PENDING A SVC ORDER
	CR0273	ABILITY TO ORDER RCF THROUGH LENS
	CR0179	TAG NAVIGATOR TO CORBA BRIDGE ROUTER
	CR0176	ALLOW PIC & LPIC TO BE SUBMITTED AS "NO CHANGE" FOR LNA OF V
	CR0181	ADD GRID VALUES FOR DISCONNECT # FIELD IN TAG
	CR0088	MECH OF UNBUNDLED NETWORK TERMINATING WIRE (UNTW)
DOCUMENTATION	CR0314	ENHANCE TAG API DOCUMENTATION - NOTIFICATIONS
	CR0139	BETTER RELATE THE TAG API GUIDE TO THE PRE- ORDER BUSINESS RULES
	CR0315	ENHANCE TAG API DOCUMENTATION - ADD DATA LENGTH AND VALIDATION / USAGE RULES
	CR0180	API REFERENCE GUIDE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR CLEC NOTIFICATION SERVER & LISTENER
MANUAL	CR0284	LNP RANGE OF TELEPHONE NUMBERS
	CR0352	DFDT - ALL ENTRIES IN MILITARY FORMAT
	CR0344	ADD ACT OF T & V TO EELS

1
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
1
2
3
4
1
2
3

"NOTE: FORCED RANKING FROM 1 - "N" (DEPENDING ON NUMBER OF REQUESTS PER CATEGORY) WITH "N" BEING THE HIGHEST RANKED.

## Exhibit JMB-32

Change Request CR0416, The Ability to View Pending Disconnect Orders

## **Change Request Form**

	( <del>)</del>	To	be completed by BCCM	only:						
	(1) (	HAN	E REQUEST LOG #	# CR0416						
			(2) STATUS							
			) STATUS							
		DATE S	ENT (2a): 05-29-01							
To be completed by C	CM or BellSouth:									
(3) REQUEST TYPE		۲Y)	(INDUSTRY)	🗇 TYPE 4 (BST)	× TYPE 5 (CLEC)					
	TYPE 6 (DEFECT) NOT COMPLETE SECT		EXPEDITED	🗍 FLOW-THRU	-					
SECTION 1					en 2017 en la menanda e Mareja e en eja e a se la men					
(4) COMPANY NAMI	E	NuVo	v Communication							
(5) OCN	anan ang pangangan na pang panganga	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		an an ann an	angeneralise at daalaf in oo					
(6) CCM NAME	a na sa na sa na sa na sa na sa	Gloria	Melvin							
(7) TELEPHONE NU	IMBER	770 225 5154								
(8) CCM EMAIL ADI	DRESS	gmelvin@nuvox.com								
(9) CCM FAX NUME		770 392-1127								
(10) ALTERNATE C		Sherrian Lively								
(11) ALTERNATE PI		770 225-5157								
(12) ORIGINATOR'S	NAME	i.	Melvin	arte din Willen arte afficience a	in an					
(13) ORIGINATOR'S NUMBER	PHONE	Samaner	25 5154	<b>General de la companya de la companya de la companya</b>	ann - Principaeta ann à chuir ann an Anna ann an A					
(14) TITLE OF CHAN	IGE REQUEST	The A	tility to View Pending Dis		ann a bha a bha an ann an an ann an ann an ann an ann an a					

											CONTRACTS.			
		GO						ONLIT			E EXIS			
											-			

Attachment A-1

Jointly Developed by the Change Control Sub-team comprised of BellSouth and CLEC Representatives.

## **Change Request Form**

(16) DESIRED DUE DATE ASAP

17) ORIGINATING CCM ASSESSMENT OF IMPACT	x HIGH			
(18) ORIGINATING CCM ASSESSMENT OF PRIORITY		x HIGH		. 🗌 LOW
(19) INTERFACES IMPACTED				
PRE-ORDERING		🔲 TAG	CSOTS	<b>M</b>
ORDERING	x EDI	× LENS	X TAG	× LNP
MAINTENANCE		EC-TA Local		an na martina da martina any amin'ny amin'ny amin'ny amin'na dia mampika dia mampika dia mampika dia mampika d
MANUAL	x Manual		-	
(20) TYPE OF CHANGE (Check one or more, as applicable)				
	es 🛛 🗌 Documei	ntation 🕴 🔲 Hardw	are 🗌 New	or Revised Edits
🗌 Regulatory 👔 🔲 Industry Standar		🗧 🗌 Other	🛄 Defe	st.
Expedited Feature		And the second	a na	CARGON CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER OF

(21) DESCRIPTION OF REQUESTED CHANGE (including purpose and benefit received from this change. include attachments if available)	The Ability to View Bell South's Pending Disconnect Orders when porting. This would help to prevent double billing.
(22) REQ TYP(s) IMPACTED;	
(23) ACT TYP(s) IMPACTED:	
(24) PROVIDE EXAMPLE OP REQUESTED CHANGE:	Need to be able to view Disconnect Orders in Lens a few days after porting to make sure D order is done with same Due Date as the Porting Date.
(25) identify the LSOG versions that are affected by this change	

This section to be completed by BellSouth only:

(26) Does this request require	
clarification?	
(27) Clarification Request Sent	
(28) Clarification Response Due	
Beautine the state of the state	A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL

Attachment A-1

Marriel and a second

BELLSOUTH	Change Request Form
(29) Change Request Review Date	
(30) Target Implementation Date	
(31) Change Review Meeting Results	an and the second s
(32) CANCELED CHANGE REQUEST	
	CLEC BST DATE:
(34) APPEAL	
(35) APPEAL CONSIDERATIONS	
(36) PON # (37) ERROR MESSAGE: (38) RELEASE OR API VERSION	
(If applicable)	
(39) DESCRIPTION OF DEFECT SCENARIO:	
SECTION 3 This section to be completed by BellSouth – Internal V	alidation of Defect Change Request
(40) DEFECT VALIDATION RESULTS:	
(41) CLARIFICATION NEEDED: 🗌 YES 🗌 I	
(42) VALIDATED DEFECT IMPACT LEVEL:	
	EATURE 🔲 TRAINING ISSUE 🛄 DUPLICATE
(44) DEFECT IMPACTS OTHER CLECS? 👘 🔲 Y	
	EDITAGLNPLENS
ليبا .s	

(46) TARGET IMPLEMENTATION DATE:

## Exhibit JMB-33

# Hearing Transcript FPSC Docket No. 000731-TP

1	FLORI	BEFORE THE DA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		DOCKET NO. 000731-TP
3	in the Matter	
4	PETITION BY AT&T CATIONS OF THE SO	DUTHERN STATES, REAL STATES
5	D/B/A AT&T FOR AR CERTAIN TERMS AN	BITRATION OF
6	A PROPOSED AGREI BELLSOUTH COMM	JNICATIONS, INC. Zeros Constants
7	PURSUANT TO 47 U SECTION 252.	.S.C.
8		
9	ARE A CO	DNIC VERSIONS OF THIS TRANSCRIPT INVENIENCE COPY ONLY AND ARE NOT
10		ICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE HEARING NOT INCLUDE PREFILED TESTIMONY.
11 12		VOLUME 8
13	P/	AGES 1099 THROUGH 1253
14	PROCEEDINGS:	HEARING
15 16	BEFORE:	CHAIRMAN E. LEON JACOBS, JR. COMMISSIONER BRAULIO L. BAEZ COMMISSIONER MICHAEL A. PALECKI
17	DATE:	Thursday, February 15, 2001
18	TIME:	Commenced at 9:00 a.m.
19	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148
20		4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida
21	REPORTED BY:	JANE FAUROT, RPR
22		FPSC Division of Records & Reporting Chief, Bureau of Reporting
23	APPEARANCES:	(As heretofore noted.)
24 25		
<b>4</b> 3		
		DOCUMENT AS MODER-DATE
		02677 FEB275
	FLORI	DA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

provide the specific line class code and put it on each
 customer's order, is justified by the FCC's order that it
 issued when it rejected BellSouth's second Louisiana 271
 application, right?

Yes. What the FCC said was if an ALEC has a Δ 5 single routing plan for all its customers, which you could 6 7 read to mean all its customers in BellSouth's nine-state region. then BellSouth should be able to figure out AT&T's 8 9 choice. And we agree. If they only have one choice and 10 AT&T says for all of my customers send it to any one of 11 these options, any one of those options, we will know what 12 to do. It's only where it becomes situational that we 13 don't know what to do and we need input from AT&T. 14 Q Well, the FCC also said that BellSouth should

not require the competitive LEC to provide the actual line
class code if BellSouth is capable of accepting a single
code region-wide, right?

18 That's right. But that's back to the same -19 back to the same logic, I think. Yes, we don't - and, in 20 fact, we don't ask you to put a line class code designation on your order if we know what your choice is. 21 22 For example, in Atlanta, Georgia in BellSouth's Peachtree 23 Place central office. AT&T and BellSouth have worked together, since we preprogram those line class codes, you 24 pass us an order that has got that single choice on it. 25

1216

	1217
1	you don't have to specify a line class code and the order
2	flows-through well.
3	Q So the real nub here is that we disagree on what
4	the FCC meant in this order, right?
5	A Apparently so.
6	Q And BellSouth is capable of accepting a single
7	code region-wide to route to AT&T's operator service
8	platform, right?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Not a line class code, but an indicator?
11	A Well, yes. And in a fashion we are doing that
12	with what we have done for AT&T in BellSouth's Peachtree
13	Place central office. What we did was build logic into
14	part of the ordering system that told BellSouth based on
15	the class of service, the given central office, and the
16	blocking features that came across on AT&T's order, we
17	built those line class codes in a system called LESOG,
18	L-E-S-O-G.
19	That system looks in its tables and says, okay,
20	given that combination of class of service, blocking
21	levels, and the central office involved, this is the right
22	line class code to use. So that's what we are doing. And
23	we are in conformance with the FCC's requirement that we
24	take a single indicator. Your single indicator is you
25	have told us what your default plan is, and where you

## Exhibit JMB-34

## Selective Call Routing Using Line Class Codes

**CLEC** Information Package

May 17, 2001

(Version 1)

## Selective Call Routing Using Line Class Codes

### CLEC Information Package

## May 17, 2001

### (Version 1)

#### **Table of Contents**

INTRODUCTION AND SCOPE	3
REVISIONS	4
PRODUCT NAME	5
SERVICE DESCRIPTION	5
PRE-ORDERING	6
AVAILABILITY	6
PRE-ORDERING APPLICATIONS	6
DRDERING INFORMATION	8
BILLING INFORMATION	
PRICING	9
DRDERING FORMS	.10

#### Introduction and Scope

This Product Information Package is intended to provide to CLECs a product description and general ordering information specific to the service described herein.

Detailed ordering guidelines are provided in documents located on the BellSouth Interconnection Web site.

The information contained in this document is subject to change. BellSouth will provide notification of changes to the document through the CLEC Notification Process.

Please contact your BellSouth Account Manager, if you have any questions about the information contained herein.

#### Revisions

This is the initial version of this service's Information Guide.

#### Product Name

Selective Call Routing Using Line Class Codes

#### Service Description

BellSouth's Operator Services & Directory Assistance (OS/DA) Branding feature provides Unbranded and/or Custom Branded Operator Services provided by BellSouth operators to Competitive Local Exchange Companies (CLECs) reselling BellSouth telecommunications services (Reseller) or utilizing unbundled local switching (UNE Port CLEC). Custom Branding provides definable announcements to the CLEC's end users prior to placing them in queue or connecting them to an available operator or Automated Operator System. The BellSouth provided Unbranded option does not identify a specific company brand name when connecting the end user to an operator.

Selective Call Routing using Line Class Codes (SCR-LCC) provides the capability for a CLEC to have its OS/DA calls routed to BellSouth's OS/DA platform for BellSouth provided Custom Branded or Unbranded OS/DA or to its own or an alternate OS/DA platform for Self-Branded OS/DA. SCR-LCC is only available if line class code capacity is available in the requested BellSouth end office switches.

Where available, CLEC-specific and unique line class codes are programmed in each BellSouth end office switch where the CLEC intends to serve end users with customized OS/DA branding. The line class codes specifically identify the CLEC's end users so OS/DA calls can be routed over the appropriate trunk group to the requested OS/DA platform. Additional line class codes are required in each end office if the end office serves multiple NPAs (i.e., a unique LCC is required per NPA), and/or if the end office switch serves multiple rate areas and the CLEC intends to provide CLEC-branded OS/DA to its end users in these multiple rate areas.

CLEC dedicated trunking is required and must be ordered from each end office to the BellSouth TOPs tandem for Custom Branded OS/DA and to the appropriate point of interface for Self-Branded OS/DA. BellSouth will provide the trunking on shared trunk groups for Unbranded OS/DA.

In addition to supporting selective routing for customized OS/DA branding, line class codes are also utilized to provision end user specific call blocking/restrictions, optional dialing plans, measured versus flat rate pricing plans and multi-line hunting in 5E switches. Therefore, the CLEC-specific line class codes must be programmed to include the handling of these services as well as specific OS/DA branding. The SCR-LCC ordering forms will prompt the CLEC to choose if such other services will be needed and allow such services to be listed on the service request.

When utilizing SCR-LCC to establish an OS/DA branding option, the CLEC may select one of two types of OS/DA Branding Defaults. The Branding Default applies when no specific OS/DA branding instructions are provided on the Local Service Request (LSR). The CLEC may select the Standard OS/DA Branding Default, which is BellSouth, or it may select a Customized Branding

Default. Specific instructions and requirements concerning the Branding Default are explained further in this guide.

#### Pre-Ordering

#### Availability

The availability of this service is dependent on the specific terms of the contract between BellSouth and the CLEC. Furthermore, this service is offered on a first come, first serve basis and is dependent on the availability of Line Class Codes in the requested BellSouth switch.

#### Pre-Ordering Applications (included within this guide)

- 1. CLEC Selective Routing Service Inquiry
- 2. CLEC Selective Routing Ordering Document
- 3. Selective Routing End Office Detail Information

#### **CLEC Selective Routing Service Inquiry**

The CLEC notifies BellSouth of its intent to order SCR-LCC for OS/DA Branding by submitting the CLEC Selective Routing Service Inquiry form. On this form, the CLEC must include its desired due date, contact information, form issuance date, CLLI codes of the BellSouth end offices where it desires SCR, the NPA that will be affected by SCR and the number of Line Class Codes desired for that CLLI/NPA combination. If the CLEC desires multiple NPAs in a CLLI to be affected by SCR, each CLLI/NPA combination will need to be listed on an individual line and unique Line Class Codes for such combinations will be necessary.

The number of Line Class Codes to be listed on this form will be determined by the completion of the CLEC Selective Routing Ordering Document.

#### **CLEC Selective Routing Ordering Document**

This form must be completed and submitted to the CLEC's Account Team along with the CLEC Selective Routing Service Inquiry. This form must be provided for each BellSouth end office where the CLEC desires SCR-LCC. In addition to the CLEC's contact information, end office CLLI code and state, the following fields must be completed:

- Option This field identifies the call blocking/restriction (CREX) option desired for the Line Class Code. Each option requires its own unique Line Class Code. Refer to the tables on page 3 for the possible Option choices. If a CREX option is not requested to apply with specific OS/DA branding and therefore unique Line Class Codes are not established, that CREX option shall not be able to be ordered with CLEC-specific OS/DA Branding.
- 2. NPA This field identifies the desired NPA to be affected by SCR-LCC. Each NPA per CLLI requires its own line on this form or unique Line Class Code.

- Line Class This field identifies the Line Class of Service that will be affected by SCR-LCC. Refer to page 4 for possible selections. POTS should be selected for Residential and Business unbundled ports, port/loop switched combinations and UNE-P.
- 4. ODP (Optional Dialing Plan) (Y/N) BellSouth provisioned optional dialing plans require unique Line Class Codes. If the CLEC desires SCR-LCC for UNE port or Resale USOCs that include optional dialing plans, then "Yes" should be listed in this field. Furthermore, "No" should be listed if the CLEC desires SCR-LCC to affect only basic dialing plans.
- 5. Flat/Meas (Flat or Measured Service) This field identifies whether the service is flat rated or measured rated, as separate Line Class Codes are required for each. Unbundled ports, switched port/loop combinations and UNE-P are measured services. Resale, however, may be a measured or flat service. For example, Resellers desiring SCR-LCC on a resold 1FR must request flat rated Line Class Codes. Additionally, measured Line Class Codes may be used for measured Resale services and unbundled ports, switched port/loop combinations and UNE-P.
- 6. MLH Hunt (Multi-line Hunting) (Y/N) Multi-line Hunting requires unique Line Class Codes in 5E switches. If Multi-line Hunting is desired select "Yes" and if it is not select "No". If both options are desired, then separate lines will need to be completed. If the applicable BellSouth switch is not a 5E, then completing this field is not necessary.
- 0- Brand 1/2/3/4 This field allows the CLEC to select the level of 0- branding. The numbers represent the following: 1-BellSouth Brand, 2-No Brand or Unbranded, 3-Custom Branded and 4-Self Branded.
- DA Brand 1/2/3/4 This field allows the CLEC to select the level of DA branding. The numbers represent the following: 1-BellSouth Brand, 2-No Brand or Unbranded, 3-Custom Branded and 4-Self Branded.
- 9. 0+ Local Brand 1/2/3/4 This field allows the CLEC to select the level of 0+ Local branding. The numbers represent the following: 1-BellSouth Brand, 2-No Brand or Unbranded, 3-Custom Branded and 4-Self Branded. 0+ intralata toll calls and 0+ interlata toll calls shall be routed to the end user's LPIC'd and PIC'd carriers respectively.
- 10. 611 Customized Routing This field allows the CLEC to select SCR to its own platform for its end user dialed 611 calls. For BellSouth retail end users in Georgia, Florida, North Carolina and South Carolina, 611 is BellSouth's repair line. This capability is only offered in these states and applies only to Self Branding OS/DA. Select "Yes" if 611 SCR is desired and "No" if it is not.
- 11. Default Branding The Standard Default Branding for SCR-LCC is BellSouth Branding if no branding is identified on the CLEC's Local Service Request (LSR). However, the CLEC may choose to have Customized Default Branding. This allows a CLEC to select a single specific OS/DA branding option by class of service and such arrangement shall be provided as the default, meaning that such branding shall be provided without specific

instructions placed in the LSR. Customized Default Branding requires BellSouth to program its ordering systems and will take three to six months to develop for each request.

Within this field, list either BellSouth or Customized for each Line Class of service. For POTS, the branding option may be divided between residence and business and should be listed accordingly (e.g. Residence – BellSouth).

Each line completed on the CLEC Selective Routing Ordering Document, results in a unique Line Class Code. The sum of the Line Class Codes for each CLLI should be populated accordingly on the CLEC Selective Routing Service Inquiry.

Upon submitting these two forms to the BellSouth Account Team, BellSouth will determine if the quantity of requested Line Class Codes are available in the requested BellSouth end office switches. If they are and if the CLEC is establishing Custom Branded or Self Branded OS/DA, at this point the CLEC must order dedicated OS/DA trunking with MOSS signaling from the applicable BellSouth end offices to the appropriate BellSouth or alternate service provider OS/DA platforms. This must be complete before the Line Class Codes are built in the BellSouth end office switches, as they must be directed to specific trunk groups and tested.

#### Selective Routing End Office Detail Information Form

If dedicated trunking is required and once it has been established, the Selective Routing End Office Detail Information Form must be completed and submitted to the Account Team. Each Two-Six code for 0-, 0+ Local, DA and 611 for each CLLI/NPA combination must be listed on this form. This provides the Information necessary for BellSouth to direct the appropriate Lines Class Codes to the appropriate trunk groups.

Once the Line Class Codes have been established, BellSouth will provide to the CLEC the Selective Routing Codes used in each CLLI for each option by returning the CLEC Selective Routing Ordering Document.

#### **Ordering Information**

#### BellSouth Default Branding

When choosing Standard BellSouth Default Branding (i.e. BellSouth OS/DA branding is applied when no OS/DA branding instructions are placed on the LSR), specific information <u>is</u> required on the LSR in order to receive the desired OS/DA Branding when ordering. The CLEC must float the **ZSRC** FID behind the **Line Class of Service USOC** followed by the **Selective Routing Code** in the feature detail field. Again, the **Selective Routing Codes** will be provided by the BellSouth Account Team during the provisioning of this service.

CREX codes for specific call blocking and restrictions should not be placed on the LSR with this option as such desired functionalities shall be accommodated by the Selective Routing Code. If a

CREX code was not requested as an option when requesting an Selective Routing Code, it may not be ordered to apply to an OS/DA branding option. Such requests will be rejected.

#### **Customized Default Branding**

When choosing Customized Default Branding, no specific OS/DA branding instructions are required on the LSR when ordering, and the CLEC desired OS/DA branding shall be applied to the end user's service. With this option the CLEC <u>must</u> place CREX codes that have corresponding Selective Routing Codes on the LSR in order for BellSouth to determine which Selective Routing Codes to automatically apply to the end user's line. If a CREX code is ordered and a corresponding Selective Routing Code has not been established by the CLEC, the order shall be rejected.

#### **Billing Information**

- The CLEC must provide BellSouth with a Facility-based OCN and have requested a
  facilities based Q-account number through its Account team before ordering this service.
- Current BellSouth Billing Account establishment rules require an OS/DA Branding option for each Master Q Account. This service, however, is defined to provide the CLEC the functionality to select multiple branding options within the Master Q Account (but no lower than the Earning Account), as multiple types of service may apply to that single Master Q Account. Therefore, until system changes can be completed, the bill phrase as well as the branding information on the CSR shall reflect the branding option residing on the Master Q Account. The CLEC should refer to the Selective Routing Codes on the CSR to identify the exact type of branding.

#### Pricing

The specific charges for this service are listed in the Interconnection Agreement between the Parties. There is a nonrecurring charge for the establishment of each Line Class Code in each BellSouth central office. Furthermore, for Unbranded and Custom Branded OS/DA provided by BellSouth Operator Services with unbundled ports, unbundled port/loop switch combinations and UNE-P, monthly recurring usage charges shall apply for the UNEs necessary to provide the service, such as end office and tandem switching and common transport. A flat rated end office switching charge shall apply to Self-Branded OS/DA when used in conjunction with unbundled ports, unbundled port/loop switch combinations and UNE-P.



### **CLEC Selective Routing Service Inquiry**

Customer's Desired Due Date (MMDDYYYY) Issue Date (MMDDYYYY)			INQUIRY Number (PON Number)				
Account Exec. Name			(Area Code) Telephone Number		(Arca Code) Fax Number		
Customer Name				(Area Code) Telep	hone Number	(Area Code) Fax Number	
Originator Name			(Area Code) Telep	hone Number	(Area Code) Fax Number		
State		NISC USE ONLY					
CLLI	NPA	Number of LCC's Desired (See Note 1)	Numi	er of Rate Centers	Capacity Available (Y,N)	Maximum Available (See Note 2)	
			1				
			1				
<del>.</del>			-				
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

1. Note to NISC-CTG: Refer to BSP 900-100-820BT for LCC Reservation Provisioning & Turn-Up Details.

If capacity not sufficient to support all requested LCC(s), indicate maximum available.
 Use additional sheets as needed.

RF-3605 04-2001 Page 1 of



RF-3605 04-2001 Page\_of\_

### **CLEC Selective Routing Service Inquiry**

				NISC USE ON	LY
CLLI	NPA	Number of LCC's Desired (See Note 1)	Number of Rate Centers	Capacity Available (Y,N)	Maximum Available (See Note 2)
•					
			· · · ·		
		a.18998/10/1780.6.0.4			
		a second and a second sec			
	- 1				
				1	

1. Note to NISC-CTG: Refer to BSP 900-100-820BT for LCC Reservation Provisioning & Turn-Up Details.

2. If capacity not sufficient to support all requested LCC(s), indicate maximum available.

RF- 3605 04-2001 Page 1

## **BellSouth**

## **CLEC** Selective Routing Ordering Document

Account Exec. Name  (Area Code) Telephone Number  (Area Code) Fax Number  CLLJ  State  Office Type  BST USE ONLY  SRC   LCC   LTG   Option   NPA   Line Class   ODP   Flat/   MLH Hunt   0-   DA   0+ Local   611 (Repair)   Branding Defaul
BST USE ONLY SRC LCC LTG Option NPA Line Class ODP Flat/ MLH Hunt 0- DA 0+Local 611 (Repair) Branding Defaul
SRC LCC LTG Option NPA Line Class ODP Flat/ MLH Hunt 0- DA 0+ Local 611 (Repair) Branding Defaul
SRC LCC LTG Option NPA Line Class ODP Flat/ MLH Hunt 0- DA 0+ Local 611 (Repair) Branding Defaul
Used (Y/N) Meas (Y/N) Brand 1/2/3/4 Brand 1/2/3/4 (Y/N) (BST/Customized)
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
12
13
14
15
16
17
Note 1. Scrvice Levels 1-BST Brand 2- No Brand 4- Self Brand
Note 2. SCR code is 5 digit code assigned by LCCAM. LCC Used is 3 digit LCC Input by CTG. The LCC is the last 3 digits of the SRC.
Note 3. ODP plans are not available with flat rate service. Note 4. 611 is only available in Georgia, Florida, North Carolina, and South Carolina.

Comments/

RF-3605 04-2001 Page 2

### Selective Routing End Office Detail Information

[	Office CLLI	NPA RAC	TS Code 0-	BST Use TGN	TS Code 0+ Local	BST Use TGN	TS Code DIR ASST	BST Use TGN	TS Code 611	BST Use TGN
1										
2					n					
3			·····							
4										
5						1		1		
6	and the second second second		 							
7					······································					
8										
9	······································									
10			·			+		+-+		
11				-		+	·	+		
12								++		
13					<u></u>	1		++		- <u> </u>
14						+				
15			n					+		
16								++		
17				1		+		++	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
18				-				++		
19								+		
20				+	<u></u>	1		++		+

Notes/Comments

## **Customized Calling Restrictions (CREX)**

Table 1 Table 2 **Non-Centrex Like Services** OPTIONS BLOCKS UNR No Blocking (Unrestricted) 1 1+, 0+, 0-, 00, 01+, 011+, 411, 1411, Pulselink, 976, 900, N11 2 0-, 0+, 00, 01+, 976, Pulselink 3 1+, 0-, 0+, 00, 01+, 011+, 900 4 900, 976 5 976 6 900, 976, N11 7 011. 1010XXX+011 Same as CREX 1, but also blocks mandatory ext calling plans A B Same as CREX 2, but also blocks mandatory ext calling plans w 0-, 0+, 00, 01+, 011+, 976 x 900, 976, 011+ Y 900, 976, N11, 011+ Z 976.011+ SRG 1+, 1010XXX 1+, 900, 976 (Sends ANI 07) SRGBX 1+, 1010XXX 1+, 900, 976 (Sends ANI 07) OCP (NC) PBX SRGCO 1+, 1010XXX 1+, 900, 976 (Sends ANI 07) OCP (NC Only)

Juni
Notes:

1. Options 1 through SRGCO cannot be combined.

2. If 0- or 0+ is custom routed, then option 1, 2, 3, A, B, or W cannot be selected.

3. If Dir ASST is Custom Routed then option 1 or A cannot be selected.

4. SRG requires ANI 07 in 1AESS switches.

1. Deny Originating cannot have option 1 through SRG/SRGPL. 2. Option 5 cannot be combined with Option 1, 2, 6, or SRG/SRGPL.

3. Option 6 cannot be combined with Option 1, 2, 5, or SRG/SRGPL.

4. 1AESS Station Restriction 1,3,4,or 6 cannot have Option 1 thru SRG/SRGPL. 5. If Dir Asst is Custom Routed, Then Option 4 cannot be selected.

6. SRG/SRGPL cannot be combined with Option 1 through 6.

RF-3605 04-2001 Page 3

OPTIONS	Blocks
UNR	No Blocking (Unrestricted)
Deny Orig.	Blocks Originating Calls
Deny Term.	Blocks Terminating Calls
1	900, 976
2	011+
3	N11
4	411
5	Toll 1+, 011+, 900, 976
6	ELCA and Toll 1+, 011, 900, 976
RGPL/SRG	1+, 1010XXX 1+, 900, 976
tation Rest 1	1AESS Only- Fully Restricted Incoming and Outgoing
tation Rest 2	1AESS Only- Fully Restricted Incoming
tation Rest 3	1AESS Only- Fully Restricted Outgoing
tation Rest 4	1AESS Only- Semi Restricted Incoming and Outgoing
tation Rest 5	1AESS Only- Semi Restricted Incoming
ation Rest 6	1AESS Only- Semi Restricted Outgoing

#### Notes:

RF-3605 04-2001 page 4

#### LINE CLASS

LINE CLASS	DESCRIPTION
POTS	Plain Old Telephone Service
COIN	Coin Lines
HOTL	Hotel/Motel
PBX	Private Branch Exchange
ISDN	Integrated Services Directory Number
WATS	Wide Access Telephone Service
PSTG	Prestige
CENTREX	Centrex Like Service

Note: Only one Line Class can be selected per CLEC LCC.

## Exhibit JMB-35

Florida OSS Test Exception 69



#### **EXCEPTION 69**

BellSouth Florida OSS Testing Evaluation

Date: June 12, 2001

#### EXCEPTION REPORT

KPMG Consulting has identified an exception as a result of the POP Functional Evaluation (TVV-1).

#### Exception:

BellSouth does not provide an accurate method for assigning the Universal Service Order Code (USOC) to request BellSouth's Operator Services & Directory Assistance (OS/DA) Branding feature. (TVV1)

#### **Background:**

BellSouth's OS/DA Branding feature enables Competitive Local Exchange Companies (CLECs) to select Unbranded and/or Custom Branded Operator Services provided by BellSouth operators. Custom Branding identifies a CLEC-defined company name to the CLEC's end users, prior to being placed in queue, or prior to being connected to applicable operator services. The Unbranded option does not identify a specific company name when connecting a CLEC end user to an operator.

USOCs are codes used to identify features, and their associated tariffs, in a service request. BellSouth business rules specify, "The CLEC must float the ZSRC FID behind the Line Class of Service USOC followed by the Selective Routing Code in the feature detail field."<sup>1</sup>

KPMG Consulting submitted a Selective Routing Service Inquiry form to the BellSouth Account Manager, and obtained Line Class of Service Codes and Selective Routing Codes to be used in association with the OS/DA service request as outlined in BellSouth's business rules.

#### Issue:

The instructions for identifying the Line Class of Service USOC on the Local Service Request (LSR) are incomplete. BellSouth does not provide feature "USOCs, FIDs, or TCIF maintained EDI codes" as required by the BellSouth business rules.<sup>2</sup>

KPMG Consulting, Inc. 6/12/2001 Page 1 of 2

FLA Exception 69 (TVV1).doc

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Selective Call Routing Using Line Class Codes CLEC Information Package, Version 1, May 17, 2001, page 8. This document can be found at the following URL:

http://www.interconnection.bellsouth.com/products/html/unes.html

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See BellSouth Business Rules for Local Ordering - OSS99, Issue 9N May 31, 2001, pages 1567 & 1568. This document can be found at the following URL:

http://www.interconnection.bellsouth.com/guides/html/leo.html



#### **EXCEPTION 69**

#### BellSouth Florida OSS Testing Evaluation

Without a feature code for an OS/DA feature, KPMG Consulting was unable to process the service requests, using any electronic interface. Service requests for OS/DA are rejected by BellSouth's front-end edits when the appropriate code on the feature code field of the Resale Service (RS) form is not specified.

Furthermore, the instructions on how to populate the feature detail field do not clearly indicate whether the ZSRC Field Identifier precedes the Line Class of Service USOC or not.

#### Impact:

BellSouth's incomplete instructions relating to USOCs for the OS/DA feature may impact a CLEC in the following ways:

- Decrease in Customer Satisfaction. A misidentification of a CLEC's operator assistance service might negatively impact a customer's view of a CLEC's service quality.
- Increase in Operating Costs. Ordering problems might require additional CLEC resources before completion. Delays in problem resolution might increase the effort CLEC resources must expend before successfully processing individual OS/DA service requests.

KPMG Consulting, Inc. 6/12/2001 Page 2 of 2