

MEETING NAME	MINUTES PREPARED BY:	DATE PREPARED
Parsed CSR Sub Team	Cheryl Storey - Change Control Team	11-17-00
BellSouth Conference Center		

Participants/Attendees

PARTICIPANT	COMPANY
Sheriann Lively	Trivergent
Valerie Cottingham	BST – CCP
Cheryl Storey	BST - CCP
Jill Williamson	AT&T
Bill Grant	Telcordia
Becky Wellman	IDS
Edwardine Marrone	BST

Sprint
Sprine
Telcordia
WorldCom
BST

Meeting Information History

DATE	START TIME	END TIME
11/16/00	10:00 AM EST	3:00 PM EST

MEETING PURPOSE

- Review 10/19/00 Action Items and reach consensus on the field definitions & field valid values.
- Determine the usage (required, optional or conditional) for each field
- Discuss next steps: (1) CLEC Community review and concurrence, (2) BST Internal Review of CLEC Requirements
- Review New Action Items



MEETING MINUTES

Agenda Items	Discussion
1. Required, Conditional & Optional Definitions	The following definitions were agreed upon for required, conditional and optional:
	Required – always going to be transmitted.
	Conditional for Query – Required or prohibited under certain specified circumstances related to dependencies of other fields.
	Conditional for Response – Required if information exists on the CSR.
	Optional – not applicable for Query or Response.



November 16, 2000 Parsed CSR Sub Team

			8418	 -^
11/1	EET	I N I / -	N/III	 _ 🗠

Agenda Items	Discussion	
2. Review of CLEC User Requirements (included the responses to the 10/19 Action Items)	Edwardine Marrone led the review of the data elements with the Sub Team. The results of the changes agreed upon are reflected in the attached updated CLEC requirements document.	
	Vickie Beachley will be the BST Internal Project Manager for this effort.	
	It was agreed that the deleted fields would be removed from the next update of the CLEC requirements.	
	TYTYP Field – For the "E" entry, it was agreed that we add billing and directory delivery.	
	D/TSENT – divide into two fields:	
	- DT-SENT (8 numeric)	
	- TM-SENT (6 numeric)	
	Discussion was held regarding what information would be returned if queried by the following fields:	
	ATN – return the entire account.	
	AN – return the entire account.	
	WTN – return just that working telephone number.	
	ECCKT – return just the ECCKT information. The CLECs do not want a reference message to the Miscellaneous Account Number.	
	FEATURE field – during the BST Internal review, it will be determined if something will always be returned in the FEATURE field.	
	Discussion took place on the field length of the suffix fields being different. The street address fields are $4\ a/n$. The house number fields are $5\ a/n$.	
	YPHV (Yellow Page Heading Verbiage) field is not supported by BellSouth as a separate field, the YPH Verbiage is included in the YPH field. The CLECs would like this information parsed.	



Agenda Items	Discussion	
3. Summary of Action Items	BellSouth will address the following action items:	
	D/TRCVD – determine if this information will/can be returned. If returned, as two elements.	
	Investigate what validation takes place on the "End User Name" field on the LSR.	
	Verify the field length for "Feature Description"	
	 Ensure valid entries listed under "ERRCODE" are covered under the Response codes (RESPC & RESPD). Also compare OBF codes to what BST currently has. 	
	Confirm if "O" and "P" are valid entries for FPI.	
4. NEXT STEPS	BellSouth will update the Parsed CSR requirements spreadsheet with the agreed upon changes and provide to the Sub Team during the week of 11/20/00.	
	BellSouth will also provide a list of the above action items with target due date for a response.	
	The Sub Team will review the updated requirements and advise Change Control of any questions, comments within 7 - 10 days.	
	The final CLEC Parsed CSR requirements will be shared with the CLEC community for feedback and concurrence.	
	Once CLEC community concurrence obtained, BST Internal review of the requirements will take place.	
	An internal BST meeting is scheduled for the week of 11/27/00. As a result of this meeting, a preliminary schedule and timelines will be developed and shared with the CLEC community.	
	Targeting the first of 2001 to have a follow-up meeting with the CLEC community.	

