CLEC Participant Company Name:	Date:
ITEM NO. 1 - Meeting Consensus Section 1 - Introduction - Page 7 (8 th bulleted item in last section) • Documentation (i.e., business rules for electronic and manual processes relative to order, pre-order, maintenance, including User Guides that support OSS systems currently within the scope of CCP.	☐ Agree☐ Generally Agree☐ Neutral☐ Somewhat Disagree☐ Disagree☐
 ITEM NO. 2 - Meeting Consensus Section 1 - Introduction - Page 8 The first section bulleted items that are reflecting a change are: The scope of the Change Control Process does not include the following which are handled through existing BellSouth processes: Coordination of test agreements will continue to be supported by the Account Team Questions regarding existing documentation should be handled by the Account Team. However, if documentation needs to be changed for clarification purposes, a defect change request should be submitted through Change Control. 	☐ Agree☐ Generally Agree☐ Neutral☐ Somewhat Disagree☐ Disagree☐
Section 3 - Introduction - Page 11 & 12 Type 2 - Regulatory Change Accept the additional language - "Type (2) changes may be managed using the Expedited Feature Process, as discussed in Section 4, Part 3. Type 3 - Industry Standard Change Accept the additional language - "Type (3) changes may be managed using the Expedited Feature Process, as discussed in Section 4, Part 3. Type 4 - BellSouth Initiated Change Accept the additional language - "Type (4) changes may be managed using the Expedited Feature Process, as discussed in Section 4, Part 3. Type 5 - CLEC Initiated Change Accept the additional language - "Type (5) changes may be managed using	☐ Agree ☐ Generally Agree ☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat Disagree ☐ Disagree
the Expedited Feature Process, as discussed in Section 4, Part 3.	

Section 3 - Introduction - Page 12 Type 6 - CLEC Impacting Defects - This section will now read: A Type 6 defect request is any non-type 1 change that corrects problems discovered in production versions of an application interface. These problems are where the interface is not working in accordance to the BellSouth baseline business requirements or the business rules that BellSouth has published or otherwise provided to the CLECs. In addition, if functional requirements agreed upon by BellSouth and the CLECs, results in inoperable functionality, even though software business requirements and business rules match; this will be addressed as a defect. These problems typically affect the CLEC's ability to exchange transactions with BellSouth and may include documentation that is in error, has missing information or is unclear in nature. Type 6 validated defects may not be managed using the Expedited Feature Process as discussed in Section 4, Part 3.	Agree Generally Agree Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree
The CLEC and/or BellSouth may initiate defect changes affecting interfaces between the CLEC's and BellSouth's operational support systems. These type changes might also include issues for Pre-Orders, Orders, Queries, and Maintenance Requests that can be submitted and accepted, but may require workarounds or clarification.	
ITEM NO. 5 - Meeting Consensus Section 4 - Part 1 - Type 1 Detail Process Flow - Page 18 Step 1 - Activity #4 will be reflected as: 4. ECS will provide the CLEC with a trouble ticket number, if requested, to record and track the outage.	☐ Agree☐ Generally Agree☐ Neutral☐ Somewhat Disagree☐ Disagree☐
Section 4 - Part 1 - Type 1 Detail Process Flow - Page 18 Step 2 - Activity #1 will be reflected as: 1. ECS will post to the Web an Initial Industry Notification that a BellSouth Electronic Interface outage has been identified. An e- mail to the CLECs participating in Change Control will be distributed. The system ticket number of the outage will be included in the web posting and the e-mail notification.	☐ Agree ☐ Generally Agree ☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat Disagree ☐ Disagree

ITEM NO. 7 - Meeting Consensus	Agree
Section 4 - Part 2 - Types 2-5 Process Flow - Page 26	Generally Agree
Step 4 – Activity #5 will be reflected as:	│
	Somewhat Disagree
5. Provide size and scope information on each pending change request to	Disagree
CLECs.	□ Disagree
Also, add the following bullet under OUTPUTS :	
 Size and scope on each Pending change request. 	
ITEM NO. 8 - Meeting Consensus	Agree
Section 4 - Part 3 - Types 2-5 Exception/Expedited Feature	Generally Agree
Process - Pages 33-37 WITHDRAWAL	☐ Neutral
	Somewhat Disagree
This CLEC Part 3 – Types 2-5 Exception/Expedited Feature Process was	Disagree
withdrawn in favor of the BellSouth offered Part 3 with modifications.	□ Disagree
ITEM NO. 9 - Meeting Consensus	☐ Agree
Section 4 - Part 3 - Types 2-5 Exception/Expedited Feature	Generally Agree
Process SPLIT FROM "DEFECT PROCESS" INTO SEPARATE	Neutral
SECTION FOR EXPEDITED FEATURES ONLY.	Somewhat Disagree
SECTION FOR EXPEDITED PERTORES ONET.	_
Note: Exception "Language" removed from Expedited Feature Process.	☐ Disagree
Trote. Exception Language Temoved from Expedited Fedure Frocess.	
ITEM NO. 10 - Meeting Consensus	Agree
ITEM NO. 10 - Meeting Consensus Section 4 - Part 3 - Expedited Feature Process -Page 37	Agree Generally Agree
Section 4 - Part 3 - Expedited Feature Process -Page 37	Generally Agree
	Generally Agree Neutral
Section 4 - Part 3 - Expedited Feature Process -Page 37 Title Page and definitions will read as follows:	☐ Generally Agree ☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat Disagree
Section 4 - Part 3 - Expedited Feature Process -Page 37 Title Page and definitions will read as follows: An Expedited Feature is the inability for a CLEC to process certain types	Generally Agree Neutral
Section 4 - Part 3 - Expedited Feature Process -Page 37 Title Page and definitions will read as follows: An Expedited Feature is the inability for a CLEC to process certain types of LSR's based on the existing functionality to BellSouth's Operations	☐ Generally Agree ☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat Disagree
Section 4 - Part 3 - Expedited Feature Process -Page 37 Title Page and definitions will read as follows: An Expedited Feature is the inability for a CLEC to process certain types of LSR's based on the existing functionality to BellSouth's Operations Support Systems (OSSs) that are in the scope of CCP. The change request	☐ Generally Agree ☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat Disagree
Section 4 - Part 3 - Expedited Feature Process -Page 37 Title Page and definitions will read as follows: An Expedited Feature is the inability for a CLEC to process certain types of LSR's based on the existing functionality to BellSouth's Operations Support Systems (OSSs) that are in the scope of CCP. The change request for an expedite must provide details of the business impact and will fall	☐ Generally Agree ☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat Disagree
Section 4 - Part 3 - Expedited Feature Process -Page 37 Title Page and definitions will read as follows: An Expedited Feature is the inability for a CLEC to process certain types of LSR's based on the existing functionality to BellSouth's Operations Support Systems (OSSs) that are in the scope of CCP. The change request for an expedite must provide details of the business impact and will fall into one of two categories:	☐ Generally Agree ☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat Disagree
Section 4 - Part 3 - Expedited Feature Process -Page 37 Title Page and definitions will read as follows: An Expedited Feature is the inability for a CLEC to process certain types of LSR's based on the existing functionality to BellSouth's Operations Support Systems (OSSs) that are in the scope of CCP. The change request for an expedite must provide details of the business impact and will fall into one of two categories: • A defect that has been re-classified as a feature where the	☐ Generally Agree ☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat Disagree
Section 4 - Part 3 - Expedited Feature Process -Page 37 Title Page and definitions will read as follows: An Expedited Feature is the inability for a CLEC to process certain types of LSR's based on the existing functionality to BellSouth's Operations Support Systems (OSSs) that are in the scope of CCP. The change request for an expedite must provide details of the business impact and will fall into one of two categories: • A defect that has been re-classified as a feature where the CLEC/BellSouth has determined should be expedited due to	☐ Generally Agree ☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat Disagree
Section 4 - Part 3 - Expedited Feature Process -Page 37 Title Page and definitions will read as follows: An Expedited Feature is the inability for a CLEC to process certain types of LSR's based on the existing functionality to BellSouth's Operations Support Systems (OSSs) that are in the scope of CCP. The change request for an expedite must provide details of the business impact and will fall into one of two categories: • A defect that has been re-classified as a feature where the CLEC/BellSouth has determined should be expedited due to impact	☐ Generally Agree ☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat Disagree
 Section 4 - Part 3 - Expedited Feature Process -Page 37 Title Page and definitions will read as follows: An Expedited Feature is the inability for a CLEC to process certain types of LSR's based on the existing functionality to BellSouth's Operations Support Systems (OSSs) that are in the scope of CCP. The change request for an expedite must provide details of the business impact and will fall into one of two categories: A defect that has been re-classified as a feature where the CLEC/BellSouth has determined should be expedited due to impact An enhancement to an existing product or service where the 	☐ Generally Agree ☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat Disagree
 Section 4 - Part 3 - Expedited Feature Process -Page 37 Title Page and definitions will read as follows: An Expedited Feature is the inability for a CLEC to process certain types of LSR's based on the existing functionality to BellSouth's Operations Support Systems (OSSs) that are in the scope of CCP. The change request for an expedite must provide details of the business impact and will fall into one of two categories: A defect that has been re-classified as a feature where the CLEC/BellSouth has determined should be expedited due to impact An enhancement to an existing product or service where the CLEC/BellSouth has determined should be expedited due to 	☐ Generally Agree ☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat Disagree
 Section 4 - Part 3 - Expedited Feature Process -Page 37 Title Page and definitions will read as follows: An Expedited Feature is the inability for a CLEC to process certain types of LSR's based on the existing functionality to BellSouth's Operations Support Systems (OSSs) that are in the scope of CCP. The change request for an expedite must provide details of the business impact and will fall into one of two categories: A defect that has been re-classified as a feature where the CLEC/BellSouth has determined should be expedited due to impact An enhancement to an existing product or service where the 	☐ Generally Agree ☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat Disagree
 Section 4 - Part 3 - Expedited Feature Process -Page 37 Title Page and definitions will read as follows: An Expedited Feature is the inability for a CLEC to process certain types of LSR's based on the existing functionality to BellSouth's Operations Support Systems (OSSs) that are in the scope of CCP. The change request for an expedite must provide details of the business impact and will fall into one of two categories: A defect that has been re-classified as a feature where the CLEC/BellSouth has determined should be expedited due to impact An enhancement to an existing product or service where the CLEC/BellSouth has determined should be expedited due to 	☐ Generally Agree ☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat Disagree
Section 4 - Part 3 - Expedited Feature Process -Page 37 Title Page and definitions will read as follows: An Expedited Feature is the inability for a CLEC to process certain types of LSR's based on the existing functionality to BellSouth's Operations Support Systems (OSSs) that are in the scope of CCP. The change request for an expedite must provide details of the business impact and will fall into one of two categories: • A defect that has been re-classified as a feature where the CLEC/BellSouth has determined should be expedited due to impact • An enhancement to an existing product or service where the CLEC/BellSouth has determined should be expedited due to impact Re-classified Defects	☐ Generally Agree ☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat Disagree
Section 4 - Part 3 - Expedited Feature Process -Page 37 Title Page and definitions will read as follows: An Expedited Feature is the inability for a CLEC to process certain types of LSR's based on the existing functionality to BellSouth's Operations Support Systems (OSSs) that are in the scope of CCP. The change request for an expedite must provide details of the business impact and will fall into one of two categories: • A defect that has been re-classified as a feature where the CLEC/BellSouth has determined should be expedited due to impact • An enhancement to an existing product or service where the CLEC/BellSouth has determined should be expedited due to impact Re-classified Defects When a defect is re-classified as a feature, the CLEC/BellSouth will be	☐ Generally Agree ☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat Disagree
Section 4 - Part 3 - Expedited Feature Process -Page 37 Title Page and definitions will read as follows: An Expedited Feature is the inability for a CLEC to process certain types of LSR's based on the existing functionality to BellSouth's Operations Support Systems (OSSs) that are in the scope of CCP. The change request for an expedite must provide details of the business impact and will fall into one of two categories: • A defect that has been re-classified as a feature where the CLEC/BellSouth has determined should be expedited due to impact • An enhancement to an existing product or service where the CLEC/BellSouth has determined should be expedited due to impact Re-classified Defects When a defect is re-classified as a feature, the CLEC/BellSouth will be notified by Change Control in the standard defect validation. The CLEC	☐ Generally Agree ☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat Disagree
Section 4 - Part 3 - Expedited Feature Process -Page 37 Title Page and definitions will read as follows: An Expedited Feature is the inability for a CLEC to process certain types of LSR's based on the existing functionality to BellSouth's Operations Support Systems (OSSs) that are in the scope of CCP. The change request for an expedite must provide details of the business impact and will fall into one of two categories: • A defect that has been re-classified as a feature where the CLEC/BellSouth has determined should be expedited due to impact • An enhancement to an existing product or service where the CLEC/BellSouth has determined should be expedited due to impact Re-classified Defects When a defect is re-classified as a feature, the CLEC/BellSouth will be notified by Change Control in the standard defect validation. The CLEC will have the ability to ask BellSouth to expedite the reclassified feature by	☐ Generally Agree ☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat Disagree
Section 4 - Part 3 - Expedited Feature Process -Page 37 Title Page and definitions will read as follows: An Expedited Feature is the inability for a CLEC to process certain types of LSR's based on the existing functionality to BellSouth's Operations Support Systems (OSSs) that are in the scope of CCP. The change request for an expedite must provide details of the business impact and will fall into one of two categories: • A defect that has been re-classified as a feature where the CLEC/BellSouth has determined should be expedited due to impact • An enhancement to an existing product or service where the CLEC/BellSouth has determined should be expedited due to impact Re-classified Defects When a defect is re-classified as a feature, the CLEC/BellSouth will be notified by Change Control in the standard defect validation. The CLEC will have the ability to ask BellSouth to expedite the reclassified feature by updating the change request, marking it as an expedite and sending back to	☐ Generally Agree ☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat Disagree
Section 4 - Part 3 - Expedited Feature Process -Page 37 Title Page and definitions will read as follows: An Expedited Feature is the inability for a CLEC to process certain types of LSR's based on the existing functionality to BellSouth's Operations Support Systems (OSSs) that are in the scope of CCP. The change request for an expedite must provide details of the business impact and will fall into one of two categories: • A defect that has been re-classified as a feature where the CLEC/BellSouth has determined should be expedited due to impact • An enhancement to an existing product or service where the CLEC/BellSouth has determined should be expedited due to impact Re-classified Defects When a defect is re-classified as a feature, the CLEC/BellSouth will be notified by Change Control in the standard defect validation. The CLEC will have the ability to ask BellSouth to expedite the reclassified feature by	☐ Generally Agree ☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat Disagree

☐ Agree ☐ Generally Agree ☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat Disagree ☐ Disagree

ITEM NO. 12 Mosting Concensus	A
ITEM NO. 12 - Meeting Consensus	☐ Agree
Section 4 - Part 3 - Expedited Feature Process -Page 39	☐ Generally Agree
Table 4-3. Types 2-5 Expedited Feature Detail Process Flow – STEP 2	
Accountability – BCCM	☐ Somewhat Disagree
Sub-process – Activity	Disagree
OPEN CHANGE REQUEST/VALIDATE CHANGE REQUEST FOR	_
COMPLETENESS	
1. Log Request in Change Request Log.	
2. Send Acknowledgment Notification (Attachment A-3) via e-mail	
to originator.	
3. Establish request status ('N' for New Request)	
4. Review change request for mandatory fields using the Change	
Request Form Checklist.	
5. Verify Change Request specifications and related information	
exists.	
6. Send Clarification Notification via email to the originator	
(Attachment A-4) if needed.	
7. Update Change Request Status to "PC" for Pending Clarification if	
clarification is needed.	
CLEC or BellSouth Originator	
If clarification is needed, make necessary corrections per Clarification	
Notification and submit Change Request Clarification Response	
(Attachment A-2).	
Inputs and Outputs:	
INPUTS:	
Completed Change Request Form with related documentation Change Request Form Changellist	
Change Request Form Checklist Classification Processing Proc	
Change Request Clarification Response	
OUTPUTS:	
New Change Request	
Acknowledgment Notification	
Validated Change Request	
Clarification Notification	
 Industry Notification via e-mail and web posting 	
Cycle Time: 1 Bus Day – Clarification times would be in addition to	
cycle time.	

Section 4 – Part 3 – Expedited Feature Process -Page 41 Table 4-3. Types 2-5 Expedited Feature Detail Process Flow – STEP 5 Accountability – BCCM, Project Managers from each participating company. Sub-process – Activity RELEASE MANAGEMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION (Meeting consensus was reached on the following bullet only) 2. BellSouth User Requirements for software changes will be presented to CLECs, if applicable. If needed, changes will be incorporated and requirements re-baselined.	☐ Agree ☐ Generally Agree ☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat Disagree ☐ Disagree
(Add the following bullet)	
Documentation Changes	
ITEM NO. 14 - Meeting Consensus	Agree
Section 5 - Part 3 - Defect Process -Pages 42 - 50	Generally Agree
Strike all references to EMERGENCY CHANGE and EXPEDITE throughout Section 5.0.	
ITEM NO. 15 - Meeting Consensus	Agree
Section 5 – Part 3 – Defect Process -Page 42 & 43 Title page and definitions will read as follows:	Generally Agree Neutral
A CLEC/BellSouth identified defect will enter this process through the Change Management Team as a Type 6 Change Request. If the defect is validated internally, it will route through this process, and notification provided to the CLEC community via e-mail and web posting.	☐ Somewhat Disagree☐ Disagree
A Type 6 defect request is any non-type 1 change that corrects problems discovered in production versions of an application interface. These problems are where the interface is not working in accordance to the BellSouth baseline business requirements or the business rules that BellSouth has published or otherwise provided to the CLECs.	
In addition, if functional requirements agreed upon by BellSouth and the CLECs, results in inoperable functionality, even though software business requirements and business rules match; this will be addressed as a defect.	
These problems typically affect the CLEC's ability to exchange transactions with BellSouth and may include documentation that is in error, has missing information or is unclear in nature. Type 6 validated defects may not be managed using the Expedited Feature	

☐ Agree ☐ Generally Agree ☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat Disagree ☐ Disagree

ITEM NO. 17 - Meeting Consensus Section 5 - Defect Process - Page 45 Table 5-1. Type 6 Defect Process - STEP 2 Accountability - BCCM & CCCM Sub-process - CYCLE TIME 4 Hours for High Impact - Time to be calculated from time of receipt with a cutoff time of 4:00 PM Eastern Time. 1 Bus Day for Medium and Low Impact - Time to be calculated from time of receipt with a cutoff time of 4:00 Pm Eastern Time.	☐ Agree☐ Generally Agree☐ Neutral☐ Somewhat Disagree☐ Disagree☐
ITEM NO. 18 - Contested Consensus (Voters must disagree with one (1) of the following recommendations and indicate ranking of the other) Section 5 - Defect Process - Page 46 Table 5-1. Type 6 Defect Process - STEP 3 Internal Validation - BCCM	
Sub-process – CYCLE TIME CLEC RECOMMENDATION 1 Bus Day for High Impact 3 Bus Days for Medium and Low Impact BELLSOUTH RECOMMENDATION	Agree Generally Agree Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree
2 Bus Days for High Impact 3 Bus Days for Medium and Low Impact	☐ Agree☐ Generally Agree☐ Neutral☐ Somewhat Disagree☐ Disagree☐
ITEM NO. 19 - Meeting Consensus Section 5 - Defect Process - Page 45 Table 5-1. Type 6 Defect Process - STEP 3 Sub-process - OUTPUTS	☐ Agree ☐ Generally Agree ☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat Disagree ☐ Disagree
Add the following bullet: • Status provided for High Impact Defects to originator via email within 24 hours.	

ITEM NO. 20 - Meeting Consensus	Agree
Section 5 - Defect Process - Page 47 & 48	Generally Agree
Table 5-1. Type 6 Defect Process – STEP 4	Neutral
Accountability – BCCM	
Sub-process – Activity	Somewhat Disagree
DEVELOP AND VALIDATE WORKAROUND (IF APPLICABLE)	☐ Disagree
1. Defect workaround identified	
 Change Request status change to "W" for workaround identified. 	
3. Workaround is communicated via email to originating CLEC and	
to the CLEC community via email and web posting.	
4. If appropriate, communication to the CLEC community regarding	
workaround will be discussed via conference call.	
workaround will be discussed via conference can.	
If it is determined that additional time is needed to develop workaround	
*	
due to the complexity of the defect, notification will be provided to the	
CLEC community via email and web posting.	
ITEM NO. 21 - Contested Consensus (Voters must disagree	
with one (1) of the following recommendations and indicate	
ranking of the other)	
Section 5 - Defect Process - Page 47 & 48	
Table 5-1. Type 6 Defect Process – STEP 4	
Sub-process – CYCLE TIME	☐ Agree
	l
	III Coporally Agroo
CLEC RECOMMENDATION	Generally Agree
CLEC RECOMMENDATION	☐ Neutral
	☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat Disagree
1 Bus Day for High and Medium Impact	Neutral
	☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat Disagree
1 Bus Day for High and Medium Impact 4 Bus Days for Low Impact	☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat Disagree
1 Bus Day for High and Medium Impact	NeutralSomewhat DisagreeDisagreeAgree
1 Bus Day for High and Medium Impact 4 Bus Days for Low Impact BELLSOUTH RECOMMENDATION	NeutralSomewhat DisagreeDisagree⇒====================================
1 Bus Day for High and Medium Impact 4 Bus Days for Low Impact BELLSOUTH RECOMMENDATION 2 Bus Days for High Impct	 Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree ⇒====================================
1 Bus Day for High and Medium Impact 4 Bus Days for Low Impact BELLSOUTH RECOMMENDATION	 Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree ⇒====================================
1 Bus Day for High and Medium Impact 4 Bus Days for Low Impact BELLSOUTH RECOMMENDATION 2 Bus Days for High Impct	 Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree ⇒====================================
1 Bus Day for High and Medium Impact 4 Bus Days for Low Impact BELLSOUTH RECOMMENDATION 2 Bus Days for High Impet 4 Bus Days for Medium and Low Impact	Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree Agree Generally Agree Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree
1 Bus Days for High and Medium Impact 4 Bus Days for Low Impact BELLSOUTH RECOMMENDATION 2 Bus Days for High Impet 4 Bus Days for Medium and Low Impact ITEM NO. 22 - Meeting Consensus	Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree Agree Generally Agree Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree Agree
1 Bus Days for High and Medium Impact 4 Bus Days for Low Impact BELLSOUTH RECOMMENDATION 2 Bus Days for High Impct 4 Bus Days for Medium and Low Impact ITEM NO. 22 - Meeting Consensus Section 5 - Defect Process - Page 47 & 48	Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree Agree Generally Agree Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree
1 Bus Days for High and Medium Impact 4 Bus Days for Low Impact BELLSOUTH RECOMMENDATION 2 Bus Days for High Impet 4 Bus Days for Medium and Low Impact ITEM NO. 22 - Meeting Consensus Section 5 - Defect Process - Page 47 & 48 Table 5-1. Type 6 Defect Process - STEP 5	Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree Agree Generally Agree Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree Agree
1 Bus Days for High and Medium Impact 4 Bus Days for Low Impact BELLSOUTH RECOMMENDATION 2 Bus Days for High Impet 4 Bus Days for Medium and Low Impact ITEM NO. 22 - Meeting Consensus Section 5 - Defect Process - Page 47 & 48 Table 5-1. Type 6 Defect Process - STEP 5 Accountability - BCCM	Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree Agree Generally Agree Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree Agree Generally Agree
1 Bus Days for High and Medium Impact 4 Bus Days for Low Impact BELLSOUTH RECOMMENDATION 2 Bus Days for High Impet 4 Bus Days for Medium and Low Impact ITEM NO. 22 - Meeting Consensus Section 5 - Defect Process - Page 47 & 48 Table 5-1. Type 6 Defect Process - STEP 5 Accountability - BCCM Sub-process - Activity	Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree → Agree Generally Agree Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree Agree Generally Agree Neutral Somewhat Disagree Neutral Somewhat Disagree
1 Bus Days for High and Medium Impact 4 Bus Days for Low Impact BELLSOUTH RECOMMENDATION 2 Bus Days for High Impet 4 Bus Days for Medium and Low Impact ITEM NO. 22 - Meeting Consensus Section 5 - Defect Process - Page 47 & 48 Table 5-1. Type 6 Defect Process - STEP 5 Accountability - BCCM	Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree Agree Generally Agree Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree Agree Generally Agree
1 Bus Day for High and Medium Impact 4 Bus Days for Low Impact BELLSOUTH RECOMMENDATION 2 Bus Days for High Impct 4 Bus Days for Medium and Low Impact ITEM NO. 22 - Meeting Consensus Section 5 - Defect Process - Page 47 & 48 Table 5-1. Type 6 Defect Process - STEP 5 Accountability - BCCM Sub-process - Activity INTERNAL RESOLUTION PROCESS	Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree → Agree Generally Agree Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree Agree Generally Agree Neutral Somewhat Disagree Neutral Somewhat Disagree
1 Bus Day for High and Medium Impact 4 Bus Days for Low Impact BELLSOUTH RECOMMENDATION 2 Bus Days for High Impct 4 Bus Days for Medium and Low Impact ITEM NO. 22 - Meeting Consensus Section 5 - Defect Process - Page 47 & 48 Table 5-1. Type 6 Defect Process - STEP 5 Accountability - BCCM Sub-process - Activity INTERNAL RESOLUTION PROCESS 1. Schedule and evaluate Defects based on capacity and business	Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree → Agree Generally Agree Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree Agree Generally Agree Neutral Somewhat Disagree Neutral Somewhat Disagree
1 Bus Day for High and Medium Impact 4 Bus Days for Low Impact BELLSOUTH RECOMMENDATION 2 Bus Days for High Impet 4 Bus Days for Medium and Low Impact ITEM NO. 22 - Meeting Consensus Section 5 - Defect Process - Page 47 & 48 Table 5-1. Type 6 Defect Process - STEP 5 Accountability - BCCM Sub-process - Activity INTERNAL RESOLUTION PROCESS 1. Schedule and evaluate Defects based on capacity and business impacts to the CLECs and BellSouth.	Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree → Agree Generally Agree Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree Agree Generally Agree Neutral Somewhat Disagree Neutral Somewhat Disagree
1 Bus Day for High and Medium Impact 4 Bus Days for Low Impact BELLSOUTH RECOMMENDATION 2 Bus Days for High Impct 4 Bus Days for Medium and Low Impact ITEM NO. 22 - Meeting Consensus Section 5 - Defect Process - Page 47 & 48 Table 5-1. Type 6 Defect Process - STEP 5 Accountability - BCCM Sub-process - Activity INTERNAL RESOLUTION PROCESS 1. Schedule and evaluate Defects based on capacity and business	Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree → Agree Generally Agree Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree Agree Generally Agree Neutral Somewhat Disagree Neutral Somewhat Disagree

ITEM NO. 23 - Contested Consensus (Voters must disagree with one of the following recommendations and indicate ranking of the other) Section 5 - Defect Process - Page 48 & 49 Table 5-1. Type 6 Defect Process - STEP 5	
Sub-process – CYCLE TIME	
CLEC RECOMMENDATION	Agree
Validated High and Medium Impact defects will be implemented within a 4-10 business day range, best effort.	☐ Generally Agree ☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat Disagree
Low Impact defects will be implemented with a 4-20 business day range, best effort.	Disagree
BELLSOUTH RECOMMENDATION	Agree
Validated High Impact Defects will be implemented within a 4-25 business day range, best effort.	☐ Generally Agree ☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat Disagree
Medium Impact Defects will be implemented within 90 business days, best effort.	Disagree
Low Impact defects will be implemented best effort.	
ITEM NO. 24 - Meeting Consensus Section 6 - Change Review - Prioritization - Release Package Development and Approval - Page 51 Part 1 - Change Review Meeting - 4 th paragraph NOTE:	☐ Agree ☐ Generally Agree ☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat Disagree
Status Meetings will occur monthly. Prioritization meetings will be scheduled to occur in March , June , September and December and will include the monthly status meeting agenda items.	□ Disagree
ITEM NO. 25 - Meeting Consensus	Agree
Section 6 - Change Review - Prioritization - Release Package Development and Approval - Page 52	☐ Generally Agree ☐ Neutral
Part 2 – Change Review Package - 4 th bullet:	Somewhat Disagree Disagree
 BellSouth's estimate of the size and scope of each Change Request. 	

ITEM NO. 26 - Meeting Consensus	☐ Agree
Section 6 - Change Review - Prioritization - Release	Generally Agree
Package Development and Approval - Page 52-53	│
, acrosgo i conseptinom anti approvanti agrici ci	Somewhat Disagree
Part 3 – Prioritizing Change Requests - 10 th bullet: (Leave this bulleted item as originally stated)	Disagree
Manual processes and documentation changes will be prioritized	
separately; however they will need to be synchronized with the	
electronic interface changes.	
č	
ITEM NO. 27 - Meeting Consensus	Agree
Section 6 - Change Review - Prioritization - Release	
	Generally Agree
Package Development and Approval - Page 54	☐ Neutral
Part 4 – Developing and Approving Release Packages - 1 st bulleted	Disagree
item:	
 Sizing and sequencing of change requests will be accomplished at 	
the Prioritization Meeting. CLECs may take into account the size	
and scope when prioritizing items.	
ITEM NO. 28 - Contested Consensus (Voters must disagree	
with one (1) of the following recommendations and indicate	
ranking of the other)	
ranking of the other) Section 7 - Introduction and Retirement of Interfaces - Page	
ranking of the other)	
ranking of the other) Section 7 - Introduction and Retirement of Interfaces - Page	
ranking of the other) Section 7 - Introduction and Retirement of Interfaces - Page 57 Retirement of Interfaces - 1 st paragraph sentence	□ Agree
ranking of the other) Section 7 - Introduction and Retirement of Interfaces - Page 57	☐ Agree
ranking of the other) Section 7 - Introduction and Retirement of Interfaces - Page 57 Retirement of Interfaces - 1 st paragraph sentence CLEC RECOMMENDATION	Generally Agree
ranking of the other) Section 7 - Introduction and Retirement of Interfaces - Page 57 Retirement of Interfaces - 1 st paragraph sentence CLEC RECOMMENDATION As active interfaces are retired, BellSouth will notify the CLECs by	Generally Agree Neutral
ranking of the other) Section 7 - Introduction and Retirement of Interfaces - Page 57 Retirement of Interfaces - 1 st paragraph sentence CLEC RECOMMENDATION As active interfaces are retired, BellSouth will notify the CLECs by submitting a Type 4 change request through the Change Control Process	Generally Agree
ranking of the other) Section 7 - Introduction and Retirement of Interfaces - Page 57 Retirement of Interfaces - 1 st paragraph sentence CLEC RECOMMENDATION As active interfaces are retired, BellSouth will notify the CLECs by	Generally Agree Neutral
ranking of the other) Section 7 - Introduction and Retirement of Interfaces - Page 57 Retirement of Interfaces - 1 st paragraph sentence CLEC RECOMMENDATION As active interfaces are retired, BellSouth will notify the CLECs by submitting a Type 4 change request through the Change Control Process and post a CLEC Notification Letter to the web six (6) months prior to the	☐ Generally Agree ☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat Disagree
ranking of the other) Section 7 - Introduction and Retirement of Interfaces - Page 57 Retirement of Interfaces - 1 st paragraph sentence CLEC RECOMMENDATION As active interfaces are retired, BellSouth will notify the CLECs by submitting a Type 4 change request through the Change Control Process and post a CLEC Notification Letter to the web six (6) months prior to the	☐ Generally Agree ☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat Disagree
Section 7 - Introduction and Retirement of Interfaces - Page 57 Retirement of Interfaces - 1 st paragraph sentence CLEC RECOMMENDATION As active interfaces are retired, BellSouth will notify the CLECs by submitting a Type 4 change request through the Change Control Process and post a CLEC Notification Letter to the web six (6) months prior to the retirement of the interface.	Generally Agree Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree
ranking of the other) Section 7 - Introduction and Retirement of Interfaces - Page 57 Retirement of Interfaces - 1 st paragraph sentence CLEC RECOMMENDATION As active interfaces are retired, BellSouth will notify the CLECs by submitting a Type 4 change request through the Change Control Process and post a CLEC Notification Letter to the web six (6) months prior to the	Generally Agree Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree Agree
Section 7 - Introduction and Retirement of Interfaces - Page 57 Retirement of Interfaces - 1 st paragraph sentence CLEC RECOMMENDATION As active interfaces are retired, BellSouth will notify the CLECs by submitting a Type 4 change request through the Change Control Process and post a CLEC Notification Letter to the web six (6) months prior to the retirement of the interface. BELLSOUTH RECOMMENDATION	Generally Agree Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree Agree Generally Agree
Section 7 - Introduction and Retirement of Interfaces - Page 57 Retirement of Interfaces - 1 st paragraph sentence CLEC RECOMMENDATION As active interfaces are retired, BellSouth will notify the CLECs by submitting a Type 4 change request through the Change Control Process and post a CLEC Notification Letter to the web six (6) months prior to the retirement of the interface. BELLSOUTH RECOMMENDATION As active interfaces are retired, BellSouth will notify the CLECs through	Generally Agree Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree Agree Generally Agree Neutral
Section 7 - Introduction and Retirement of Interfaces - Page 57 Retirement of Interfaces - 1 st paragraph sentence CLEC RECOMMENDATION As active interfaces are retired, BellSouth will notify the CLECs by submitting a Type 4 change request through the Change Control Process and post a CLEC Notification Letter to the web six (6) months prior to the retirement of the interface. BELLSOUTH RECOMMENDATION As active interfaces are retired, BellSouth will notify the CLECs through the Change Control Process and post a CLEC Notification Letter to the	Generally Agree Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree Agree Generally Agree Neutral Somewhat Disagree
Section 7 - Introduction and Retirement of Interfaces - Page 57 Retirement of Interfaces - 1 st paragraph sentence CLEC RECOMMENDATION As active interfaces are retired, BellSouth will notify the CLECs by submitting a Type 4 change request through the Change Control Process and post a CLEC Notification Letter to the web six (6) months prior to the retirement of the interface. BELLSOUTH RECOMMENDATION As active interfaces are retired, BellSouth will notify the CLECs through	Generally Agree Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree Agree Generally Agree Neutral
Section 7 - Introduction and Retirement of Interfaces - Page 57 Retirement of Interfaces - 1 st paragraph sentence CLEC RECOMMENDATION As active interfaces are retired, BellSouth will notify the CLECs by submitting a Type 4 change request through the Change Control Process and post a CLEC Notification Letter to the web six (6) months prior to the retirement of the interface. BELLSOUTH RECOMMENDATION As active interfaces are retired, BellSouth will notify the CLECs through the Change Control Process and post a CLEC Notification Letter to the	Generally Agree Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree Agree Generally Agree Neutral Somewhat Disagree

ITEM NO. 29 - Meeting Consensus	☐ Agree
Section 7 - Introduction and Retirement of Interfaces - Page	Generally Agree
57	☐ Neutral
	☐ Somewhat Disagree
Retirement of Versions	Disagree
When software versions are retired, BellSouth will give the CLECs a 120 day notification.	
ITEM NO. 30 - Meeting Consensus	Agree
Section 7 - Introduction and Retirement of Interfaces - Page	Generally Agree
57	☐ Neutral
	Somewhat Disagree
Retirement of Versions – Proposed Appeal Language	Disagree
A CLEC may respond to Change Control with its desire to extend a retirement date. The CLEC must explain why the scheduled retirement date is not acceptable by providing the impact to its business.	
ITEM NO. 31 - Contested Consensus (Voters must disagree	
with one of the following recommendations and indicate	
ranking of the other)	
Section 8 - Escalation Process - Page 58 & 59	
Guidelines CLEC RECOMMENDATION	
CEEC RECOMMENDATION	
 For Type 6 High and Medium Impact issues, the escalation process is agreed to allow BellSouth a one-day turnaround to provide a status for each cycle of escalation. For Type 6 Low Impact and Type 2-5 Expedite Process issues, the escalation process is agreed to allow BellSouth a three-day turnaround to provide a status for each cycle of escalation. 	☐ Agree ☐ Generally Agree ☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat Disagree ☐ Disagree
BELLSOUTH RECOMMENDATION	
 For Type 6 High Impact Issues, the escalation process is agreed to allow BellSouth a two (2) day turnaround to provide a status for each cycle of escalation. For Type 6 Medium and Low Impact issues, the escalation process is agreed to allow BellSouth a five (5) day turnaround to provide a status for each cycle of escalation. For Types 2-5 Expedite Process issues, the escalation process is agreed to allow BellSouth a three (3) day turnaround to provide a status for each cycle of escalation. 	☐ Agree ☐ Generally Agree ☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat Disagree ☐ Disagree

ITEM NO. 32 - Meeting Consensus Section 8 - Escalation Process - Contact List for Escalation - Type 2-6 Changes - Page 62	☐ Agree☐ Generally Agree☐ Neutral☐
1 st Paragraph:	☐ Somewhat Disagree☐ Disagree
Type 2-5 Changes : Within 5 business days of receipt (4 from acknowledgment) BellSouth Change Control appropriate executives will reply through BellSouth Change Control with BellSouth's position and explanation for that position.	
ITEM NO. 33 - Contested Consensus (Voters must disagree with one of the following recommendations and indicate ranking of the other) Section 8 - Escalation Process - Contact List for Escalation - Type 2-6 Changes - Page 62	
2 nd Paragraph: CLEC RECOMMENDATION Type 6, High and Medium Impact Changes: Within one (1) business day of receipt, BellSouth Change Control appropriate executives will reply through BellSouth Change Control with BellSouth's position and explanation for that position.	☐ Agree ☐ Generally Agree ☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat Disagree ☐ Disagree
BELLSOUTH RECOMMENDATION Type 6 High Impact Changes: Within two (2) business days of receipt, BellSouth Change Control appropriate executives will reply through BellSouth Change Control with BellSouth's position and explanation for that position. Type 6 Medium and Low Impact Changes: Within five (5) business days of receipt, BellSouth Change Control appropriate executives will reply through BellSouth Change Control with BellSouth's position and explanation for that position.	Agree Generally Agree Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree

ITEM NO. 34 - Contested Consensus (Voters must disagree	
with one of the following recommendations and indicate	
ranking of the other)	
Section 8 - Dispute Resolution Process - Page 64	
CLEC RECOMMENDATION	
 In the event that an issue arises from Section 9, Change to this Process, or arises from some other Section and is not resolved through the Escalation Process as described herein, including (1) escalation within each company to the person with ultimate authority for Change Control operations, and (2) the services of a joint investigative team, when appropriate, comprised of representatives from BellSouth and the affected CLECs, resolution of the dispute shall be accomplished as set forth below: Either BellSouth or any CLEC affected by the dispute may request mediation through the appropriate state regulatory agency, if available. If mediation is requested, parties shall participate in good faith. Without necessity for prior mediation, either BellSouth or any CLEC affected by the dispute may file a formal complaint with the appropriate state regulatory agency, requesting resolution of the issue. All participants in the CCP shall be provided timely notice of any mediations or formal complaints. 	Agree Generally Agree Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree
BELLSOUTH RECOMMENDATION	
In the event that an issue is not resolved through the Escalation Process as	Generally Agree
described herein, including (1) escalation within each company to the	☐ Neutral
person with ultimate authority for Change Control operations, and (2) the services of a joint investigative team, when appropriate, comprised of	
representatives from BellSouth and the affected CLECs. Resolution of the	Disagree
dispute shall be accomplished as set forth below:	
• Either BellSouth or any CLEC affected by the dispute may request	
mediation through the State Public Service Commission, if available.	
If mediation is requested, parties shall participate in good faith. If the	
mediation results in the resolution of the dispute, that resolution shall	
apply to all CLECs affected by the dispute.Without necessity for prior mediation, either BellSouth or any CLEC	
affected by the dispute may file a formal complaint with the	
appropriate state regulatory agency, requesting resolution of the issue.	

ITEM NO. 35 - Contested Consensus (Voters must disagree	
with one of the following recommendations and indicate	
ranking of the other)	
Section 8 - Changes to the Process - Page 66	
CLEC RECOMMENDATION 2 nd Paragrah	
Requests for changes to the Change Control Process may be submitted to the BellSouth Change Control Manager (BCCM) using the Change Request form located in the Appendix A. Cosmetic changes may be made and published by the BCCM (or alternate) without further review. Other changes will be reviewed at the monthly Change Review status meetings following receipt of the request, if included in the published meeting agenda. Following this initial review the BCCM and a CLEC representative appointed by the CLECs participating in the review shall prepare an official E-mail ballot for distribution. The official ballot will detail the change being requested, and the significant arguments presented for and against the change during the review. The ballot will be distributed one week following the Status Meeting. CLECs and BellSouth will have one week in which to cast their vote. Only ballots transmitted before midnight of the due date will be counted. Implementation of such changes will require a two-thirds affirmative vote for approval.	☐ Agree ☐ Generally Agree ☐ Neutral ☐ Somewhat Disagree ☐ Disagree
BELLSOUTH RECOMMENDATION 2nd Paragraph Requests for changes to the Change Control Process may be submitted to the BellSouth Change Control Manager (BCCM) using the Change Request form located in the Appendix A. Cosmetic changes may be made and published by the BCCM (or alternate) without further review. Other changes will be reviewed at the monthly Change Review status meetings following receipt of the request, if included in the published meeting agenda. Following this initial review the BCCM and a CLEC representative appointed by the CLECs participating in the review shall prepare an official E-mail ballot for distribution. The official ballot will detail the change being requested, and the significant arguments presented for and against the change during the review. The ballot will be distributed one week following the Status Meeting. CLECs and BellSouth will have one week in which to cast their vote. Only ballots transmitted before midnight of the due date will be counted. Implementation of such changes, unless BellSouth has indicated that it currently cannot support a particular issue as proposed, will require a two-thirds affirmative vote for approval.	Agree Generally Agree Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree