

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY  
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF	)	
THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF	)	
KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY FROM	)	CASE NO. 2012-00552
NOVEMBER 1, 2010 THROUGH OCTOBER 31,	)	
2012	)	

ORDER

Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:056, Section 1(12), IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. Kentucky Utilities Company ("KU") shall appear in Hearing Room 1 of the Commission's offices at 211 Sower Boulevard, Frankfort, Kentucky, on April 9, 2013 at 10:00 a.m., Eastern Daylight Time, to submit itself to examination on the application of its Fuel Adjustment Clause ("FAC") from November 1, 2010 to October 31, 2012.
2. Not less than 7 days nor more than 21 days prior to the scheduled hearing, KU shall publish in a newspaper of general circulation in each area in which it serves notice of the purpose, time, place, and date of the scheduled hearing.
3. KU shall file with the Commission no later than April 5, 2013 proof of publication of its notice for the hearing.
4. The Commission adopts the procedural schedule set forth in Appendix A to this Order, which is incorporated by reference herein.
5. a. KU shall file with the Commission, on or before March 1, 2013, its responses to all requests for information listed in Appendix B.

Responses to requests for information shall be appropriately bound, tabbed and indexed and shall include the name of the witness responsible for responding to the questions related to the information provided, with copies to all parties of record and eight copies to the Commission.

b. Each response shall be answered under oath or, for representatives of a public or private corporation or a partnership or association or a governmental agency, be accompanied by a signed certification of the preparer or the person supervising the preparation of the response on behalf of the entity that the response is true and accurate to the best of that person's knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

c. A party shall make timely amendment to any prior response if it obtains information which indicates that the response was incorrect when made or, though correct when made, is subsequently incorrect in any material respect.

d. For any request to which a party refuses to furnish all or part of the requested information, that party shall provide a written explanation of the specific grounds for its failure to completely and precisely respond.

e. Careful attention shall be given to copied material to ensure its legibility. When the requested information has been previously provided in this proceeding in the requested format, reference may be made to the specific location of that information in responding to this request. When applicable, the requested information shall be provided for total company operations and jurisdictional operations, separately.

6. KU shall, on or before March 1, 2013, file with the Commission written direct testimony of the witnesses KU intends to call at the scheduled hearing in this matter. The written direct testimony of these witnesses shall address, inter alia, the following issues:

a. The reasonableness of KU's fuel procurement practices during the review period;

b. Coal suppliers' adherence to contract delivery schedules during the review period;

c. KU's efforts to ensure coal suppliers' adherence to contract delivery schedules during the review period;

d. KU's efforts to maintain the adequacy of its coal supplies in light of any coal supplier's inability or unwillingness to make contract coal deliveries;

e. Any changes in coal market conditions that occurred during the review period or that KU expects to occur within the next two years that have significantly affected or will significantly affect KU's coal procurement practices; and

f. Any changes in the wholesale electric power market that occurred during the review period or that KU expects to occur within the next two years that have significantly affected or will significantly affect KU's electric power procurement practices.

7. At the scheduled hearing in this matter, neither opening statements nor summarization of direct testimony shall be permitted.

8. Any party that files testimony in this proceeding shall file the original and eight copies of that testimony.

9. Service of any document or pleading shall be made in accordance with 807 KAR 5:001, Section 4(8), and Kentucky Civil Rule 5.02.

10. All documents that the Commission requires any party to file with the Commission shall also be served upon all parties of record.

11. Intervenors may serve interrogatories and requests for production of documents upon KU in accordance with the procedural schedule set forth in Appendix A.

12. All documents that KU filed with the Commission pursuant to 807 KAR 5:056, Sections 1(7) and 1(9), for the period under review are incorporated by reference into the record of this proceeding.

13. The records of Case Nos. 2011-00247,<sup>1</sup> 2011-00484,<sup>2</sup> and 2012-00320<sup>3</sup> are incorporated by reference into this proceeding.

14. Any intervening party that intends to file testimony in this matter shall advise the Commission in writing of its intent to do so and shall, no later than March 11, 2013, move for modification of the procedural schedule, if necessary, to permit the filing of its testimony.

15. Motions for extensions of time shall be made in writing and will be granted only upon a showing of good cause.


---

<sup>1</sup> Case No. 2011-00247, An Examination of the Application of the Fuel Adjustment Clause of Kentucky Utilities Company from November 1, 2010 through April 30, 2011 (Ky. PSC Dec. 19, 2011).

<sup>2</sup> Case No. 2011-00484, An Examination of the Application of the Fuel Adjustment Clause of Kentucky Utilities Company from May 1, 2011 through October 31, 2011 (Ky. PSC May 1, 2012).

<sup>3</sup> Case No. 2012-00320, An Examination of the Application of the Fuel Adjustment Clause of Kentucky Utilities Company from November 1, 2011 through April 30, 2012 (Ky. PSC Dec. 14, 2012).

By the Commission



ATTEST:

  
Executive Director

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX TO AN ORDER OF THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE  
COMMISSION IN CASE NO. 2012-00552 DATED FEB 13 2013

KU shall file with the Commission the direct testimony of its witnesses in verified prepared form and the responses to requests for information set forth in Appendix B no later than .....	3/1/2013
Intervenors and Commission Staff may serve interrogatories and requests for production of documents upon KU no later than.....	3/15/2013
KU shall file with the Commission responses to interrogatories and requests for production of documents no later than.....	3/29/2013
Last day for KU to publish notice of hearing date .....	4/2/2013
Public Hearing is to begin at 10:00 a.m., Eastern Daylight Time, in Hearing Room 1 of the Commission's offices at 211 Sower Boulevard, Frankfort, Kentucky, for the purpose of cross-examination of witnesses.....	4/9/2013

## APPENDIX B

### APPENDIX TO AN ORDER OF THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN CASE NO. 2012-00552 DATED FEB 13 2013

1. If a change in the base fuel cost is proposed, state the month to be used as the base period (b). If the base period results in a fuel cost other than one representative of current costs as prescribed by 807 KAR 5:056, Section 1(2), explain why this base period was selected. If no change is proposed, include an explanation of the reason(s) KU believes the current base period fuel cost should remain unchanged.

2. Provide a calculation of the fossil fuel costs F(b) that KU proposes to use to calculate the base period fuel cost. This calculation shall show each component of F(b) as defined by 807 KAR 5:056. Explain why the fuel cost in the selected base period is representative of the level of fuel cost currently being experienced by KU.

3. Provide a schedule showing each component of sales as defined by 807 KAR 5:056 in the selected base period (b). Explain why KU believes that the sales in the selected base period (b) are representative of the level of kWh sales that KU will derive from the level of fuel cost incurred during the selected base period (b).

4. Provide a schedule showing the calculation of KU's proposed increase or decrease in its base fuel cost per kWh to be incorporated into its base rate.

5. Provide KU's most recent projected fuel requirements for the years 2013 and 2014 in tons and dollars.

6. Provide KU's most recent sales projections for the years 2013 and 2014 in kWh and dollars.

7. Provide separately the amounts of power purchases used in the calculation of sales provided in response to Item 3.

8. Provide separately the amounts of intersystem power sales used in the calculation of sales provided in response to Item 3.

9. Provide the planned maintenance schedule for each of KU's generating units for the years 2013 and 2014.

10. For the years ending October 31, 2011 and October 31, 2012, provide:

- a. Maximum annual system demand; and
- b. Average annual demand.

11. List all firm power commitments for KU for the years 2013 and 2014 for (a) purchases and (b) sales. This list shall identify the other party (buyer or seller), the amount of commitment in MW, and the purpose of the commitment (e.g., peaking, emergency).

12. Provide a monthly billing summary for all sales to all electric utilities for the period May 1, 2012 through October 31, 2012.

13. a. Provide a schedule of the calculation of the 12-month average line loss by month for November 2010 through October 2012.

b. Describe the actions that KU has taken to reduce line loss during this period.

14. List KU's scheduled, actual, and forced outages between May 1, 2012 and October 31, 2012.

15. For each existing fuel contract categorized as long-term (i.e., one year or more in length), provide:

- a. Supplier's name and address;
- b. Name and location of production facility;
- c. Date when contract was executed;
- d. Duration of contract;
- e. Date(s) of each contract revision, modification, or amendment;
- f. Annual tonnage requirements;
- g. Actual annual tonnage received since the contract's inception;
- h. Percent of annual requirements received during the contract's term;
- i. Base price in dollars per ton;
- j. Total amount of price escalations to date in dollars per ton; and
- k. Current price paid for coal under the contract in dollars per ton (i + j).

16. Provide a schedule of the present and proposed rates that KU seeks to change pursuant to 807 KAR 5:056, shown in comparative form.

17. Provide a statement showing by cross-outs and italicized inserts all proposed changes in rates. A copy of the current tariff may be used.

18. a. State whether KU regularly compares the price of its coal purchases with those paid by other electric utilities.

b. If the response is yes, state:

(1) The utilities that are included in this comparison and their locations; and

(2) How KU's prices compare with those of the other utilities for the review period. Include all prices used in the comparison in cents per MMBtu.

19. For the period under review by generating station, list the percentages of KU's coal delivered by:

- a. Rail;
- b. Truck; and
- c. Barge.

20. For each generating station, state the methods of coal delivery currently available.

21. a. State KU's coal inventory level in tons and in number of days' supply as of October 31, 2012. Provide this information by generating station and in the aggregate.

b. Describe the criteria used to determine the number of days' supply.

c. Compare KU's coal inventory as of October 31, 2012 to its inventory target for that date for each plant and for total inventory.

d. If actual coal inventory exceeds inventory target by 10 days' supply, state the reasons for the additional inventory.

e. (1) State whether KU expects any significant changes in its current coal inventory target within the next 12 months.

(2) If the response is yes, state the expected change and the reasons for this change.

22. a. State whether KU has audited any of its coal contracts during the period from May 1, 2012 to October 31, 2012.

- b. If the response is yes, for each audited contract:
  - (1) Identify the contract;
  - (2) Identify the auditor;
  - (3) State the results of the audit; and
  - (4) Describe the actions that KU took as a result of the audit.
  
- 23. a. State whether KU has received any customer complaints regarding its FAC during the period from May 1, 2012 to October 31, 2012.
  - b. If the response is yes, for each complaint, state:
    - (1) The nature of the complaint; and
    - (2) KU's response.
  
- 24. a. State whether KU is currently involved in any litigation with its current or former coal suppliers.
  - b. If the response is yes, for each litigation:
    - (1) Identify the coal supplier;
    - (2) Identify the coal contract involved;
    - (3) State the potential liability or recovery to KU;
    - (4) List the issues presented; and
    - (5) Provide a copy of the complaint or other legal pleading that initiated the litigation and any answers or counterclaims. If a copy has previously been filed with the Commission, provide the date on which it was filed and the case in which it was filed.
  
  - c. State the current status of all litigation with coal suppliers.

25. List each written coal supply solicitation issued during the period May 1, 2012 to October 31, 2012.

a. For each solicitation, provide the date of the solicitation (contract or spot), the quantities solicited, a general description of the quality of coal solicited, the time period over which deliveries were requested, and the generating unit(s) for which the coal was intended.

b. For each solicitation, state the number of vendors to whom the solicitation was sent, the number of vendors who responded, and the selected vendor. Provide the bid tabulation sheet or corresponding document that ranks the proposals. (This document shall identify all vendors who made offers.) State the reasons for each selection. For each lowest-cost bid not selected, explain why the bid was not selected.

26. List each oral solicitation for coal supplies issued during the period from May 1, 2012 to October 31, 2012.

a. For each solicitation, state why the solicitation was not written, the date(s) of the solicitation, the quantities solicited, a general description of the quality of coal solicited, the time period over which deliveries were requested, and the generating unit(s) for which the coal was intended.

b. For each solicitation, identify all vendors solicited and the vendor selected. Provide the bid tabulation sheet or other document that ranks the proposals. (This document shall identify all vendors who made offers.) State the reasons for each selection. For each lowest-cost bid not selected, explain why the bid was not selected.

27. For the period from May 1, 2012 to October 31, 2012, list each vendor from whom coal was purchased and the quantity and nature of each purchase (e.g.,

spot or contract). For the period under review in total, provide the percentage of purchases that were spot versus contract. For contract purchases, state whether the contract has been filed with the Commission. If the response is no, explain why it has not been filed.

28. For the period from May 1, 2012 to October 31, 2012, list each vendor from whom natural gas was purchased for generation and the quantity and nature of each purchase (e.g., spot or contract). For the period under review in total, provide the percentage of purchases that were spot versus contract. For contract purchases, state whether the contract has been filed with the Commission. If the response is no, explain why it has not been filed.

29. State whether KU engages in hedging activities for its coal or natural gas purchases used for generation. If the response is yes, describe the hedging activities in detail.

30. For each generating station or unit for which a separate coal pile is maintained, state for the period from May 1, 2012 to October 31, 2012 the actual amount of coal burned in tons, actual amount of coal deliveries in tons, total kWh generated, and actual capacity factor at which the plant operated.

31. a. During the period from May 1, 2012 to October 31, 2012, have there been any changes to KU's written policies and procedures regarding its fuel procurement?

b. If yes,

(1) Describe the changes;

(2) State the date(s) the changes were made;

- (3) Explain why the changes were made; and
- (4) Provide the written policies and procedures as changed.

c. If no, provide the date when KU's current fuel procurement policies and procedures were last changed, when they were last provided to the Commission, and identify the proceeding in which they were provided.

32. a. State whether KU is aware of any violations of its policies and procedures regarding fuel procurement that occurred prior to or during the period from May 1, 2012 to October 31, 2012.

b. If the response is yes, for each violation:

- (1) Describe the violation;
- (2) Describe the action(s) that KU took upon discovering the violation; and

- (3) Identify the person(s) who committed the violation.

33. Identify and explain the reasons for all changes in the organizational structure and personnel of the departments or divisions that are responsible for KU's fuel procurement activities that occurred during the period from May 1, 2012 to October 31, 2012.

34. a. Identify all changes that KU made during the period from May 1, 2012 to October 31, 2012 to its maintenance and operation practices that affect fuel usage at KU's generation facilities.

b. Describe the impact of these changes on KU's fuel usage.

35. a. List all intersystem sales during the period from May 1, 2012 to October 31, 2012 in which KU used a third party's transmission system.

b. For each sale listed above:

(1) Describe how KU addressed, for FAC reporting purposes, the cost of fuel expended to cover any line losses incurred to transmit its power across the third party's transmission system; and

(2) State the line-loss factor used for each transaction and describe how such line-loss factor was determined.

36. Describe each change that KU made to its methodology for calculating intersystem sales line losses during the period from May 1, 2012 to October 31, 2012.

37. State whether KU has solicited bids for coal with the restriction that it was not mined through strip mining or mountain top removal. If the response is yes, explain the reasons for the restriction on the solicitation, the quantity in tons and price per ton of the coal purchased as a result of this solicitation, and the difference between the price of this coal and the price it could have obtained for the coal if the solicitation had not been restricted.

38. List KU's generating units in economic dispatch order. State whether KU operated its generating units in economic dispatch order during the period under review. If the response is no, explain.

39. In its most recent two-year case, Case No. 2010-00492,<sup>1</sup> the roll-in of fuel costs into KU's base rates was approved using a "flash cut" approach, which resulted in an immediate change from its then-existing base fuel cost to its new base fuel cost, rather than a "transitional approach" in which the first month's fuel cost is an average of

---

<sup>1</sup> Case No. 2010-00492, An Examination of the Application of the Fuel Adjustment Clause of Kentucky Utilities Company from November 1, 2008 through October 31, 2010 (Ky. PSC May 31, 2011).

the old and new base fuel cost. KU also indicated its preference that any change in base rates be approved on a “bills rendered” basis rather than a “service rendered” basis. If the current FAC review results in changes to its base rates, does KU continue to prefer the same “flash cut” approach on a “bills rendered” basis as authorized in Case No. 2010-00492? Explain.

40. In its most recent rate case, Case No. 2012-00221,<sup>2</sup> KU proposed to reflect a change to the FAC calculation. KU proposed to implement the use of total system losses in the FAC monthly calculation. This proposal was approved with the acceptance of the Settlement Agreement in that case.

a. Provide the aggregate effect it would have had on the monthly FAC filings if KU had been using total system losses in the FAC calculation during the period under review.

b. Provide the aggregate effect it would have had on the monthly FAC filings if KU had been using total system losses in the FAC calculation during the period under review excluding the months of the test year in that case.

c. State whether KU believes that an adjustment should be made to a future FAC filing to account for the aggregate effect identified in a. or b. above? If KU believes that no adjustment is necessary, explain.

---

<sup>2</sup> Case No. 2012-00221, Application of Kentucky Utilities Company for an Adjustment of Its Electric Rates (Ky. PSC Dec. 20, 2012).

Lonnie E Bellar  
VP - State Regulation  
Kentucky Utilities Company  
220 W. Main Street  
P. O. Box 32010  
Louisville, KY 40232-2010