

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY  
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

AN INVESTIGATION OF TOLL AND	)	
ACCESS CHARGE PRICING AND	)	
TOLL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS FOR	)	CASE NO.
TELEPHONE UTILITIES PURSUANT	)	8838
TO CHANGES TO BE EFFECTIVE	)	
JANUARY 1, 1984	)	

O R D E R

IT IS ORDERED that South Central Bell Telephone Company ("South Central") shall file an original and 15 copies of the following information with the Commission on or before September 15, 1983. Include with each response the name of the witness who will be responding to questions relating to the information provided. Careful attention should be given to copied material to insure that it is legible. Each copy of the data requested should be placed in a bound volume with each item tabbed. When a number of sheets are required for an item, each sheet should be appropriately indexed, for example, Item 1(a), Sheet 2 of 6.

1. Provide the 1984 Revenue Forecast, including all assumptions utilized in preparation of the forecast, which was used in connection with Attachment #2 Supplemental Response to Items 5 and 7 of Commission Information Request No. 1.

2. Please specify the inter-LATA switching and transport rates and the messages volumes to which they apply. If these

rates are not currently available, please provide as soon as they become available.

3. Provide the 1981 and 1982 EDA summary and the backup for each EDA.

4. (a) State the effect of proposed new settlement agreement on each independent telephone company in Kentucky and South Central.

(b) Provide in comparative form the total dollar settlements by individual year for each telephone company in Kentucky for 1980, 1981, and 1982 and a corresponding projection of each company's settlements under South Central's proposed method of settlements.

5. Provide the average toll revenue, broken down according to business and residence, for each existing rate group for a recent representative period.

6. (a) State the current cost to use ISIS, and any associated modules, for the development of intrastate access charges. The costs should be broken down into components for non-recurring investment, etc.

(b) State how many participants there were in ISIS in developing the current cost to "buy-in."

(c) State whether the costs for participation in ISIS are expected to remain the same post-divestiture. If a different method of charging will be implemented, describe the new method and state the expected post-divestiture cost.

(d) State whether the cost to "buy-in" to ISIS will decrease as more companies participate.

(e) State the number of companies which plan to make use of the ISIS module within the next 2 years, if different than the number used in response to (b) above.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all Independent telephone companies shall file an original and 15 copies of the following information with the Commission on or before September 30, 1983. Include with each response the name of the witness who will be responding to questions relating to the information provided.

1. List and discuss the strengths and weaknesses of South Central's proposed settlement plan.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 8th day of September, 1983.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

  
For the Commission

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Secretary