

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

PETITION OF BALLARD RURAL)	
TELEPHONE COOPERATIVE CORPORATION,)	CASE NO.
INC. FOR ARBITRATION OF CERTAIN TERMS)	2006-00215
AND CONDITIONS OF PROPOSED)	
INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT WITH)	
AMERICAN CELLULAR F/K/A ACC KENTUCKY)	
LICENSE LLC, PURSUANT TO THE)	
COMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1934, AS)	
AMENDED BY THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS)	
ACT OF 1996)	
)	
PETITION OF LOGAN TELEPHONE)	
COOPERATIVE, INC. FOR ARBITRATION OF)	CASE NO.
CERTAIN TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF)	2006-00218
PROPOSED INTERCONNECTION)	
AGREEMENT WITH AMERICAN CELLULAR)	
CORPORATION F/K/A ACC KENTUCKY)	
LICENSE LLC, PURSUANT TO THE)	
COMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1934, AS)	
AMENDED BY THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS)	
ACT OF 1996)	
)	
PETITION OF WEST KENTUCKY RURAL)	
TELEPHONE COOPERATIVE CORPORATION,)	CASE NO.
INC. FOR ARBITRATION OF CERTAIN TERMS)	2006-00220
AND CONDITIONS OF PROPOSED)	
INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT WITH)	
AMERICAN CELLULAR CORPORATION F/K/A)	
ACC KENTUCKY LICENSE LLC, PURSUANT)	
TO THE COMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1934, AS)	
AMENDED BY THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS)	
ACT OF 1996)	

PETITION OF NORTH CENTRAL TELEPHONE)	
COOPERATIVE CORPORATION, FOR)	CASE NO.
ARBITRATION OF CERTAIN TERMS AND)	2006-00252
CONDITIONS OF PROPOSED)	
INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT WITH)	
AMERICAN CELLULAR CORPORATION)	
F/K/A ACC KENTUCKY LICENSE LLC,)	
PURSUANT TO THE COMMUNICATIONS ACT)	
OF 1934, AS AMENDED BY THE)	
TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996)	

O R D E R

On October 6, 2006, American Cellular Corporation (“ACC”) filed a request for dismissal from the arbitration proceedings of Ballard Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc.; Logan Telephone Cooperative, Inc.; North Central Cooperative Corporation, and West Kentucky Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc. (collectively “Petitioners”). In support of its request, ACC asserts that it does not currently send any mobile-originated traffic to any of the Petitioners, directly or through BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. or any other third-party tandem transit service provider. Instead, ACC exchanges all mobile-originated traffic through long-distance carriers. Moreover, ACC contends that it does not provide wireless coverage in the local exchange areas of any of the Petitioners and, indeed, has no licenses to provide such coverage.

ACC does not need an interconnection agreement with any of the Petitioners at this time but asserts that, should it seek interconnection in the future, it will make its request pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 251.

By letter, on October 6, 2006, the Petitioners advised the Commission that they do not oppose the dismissal of ACC from these named arbitration proceedings.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that ACC is dismissed as a party from these proceedings.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 10th day of October, 2006.

By the Commission

ATTEST:

for the 
Executive Director