## COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

THE FILING BY COLUMBIA GAS OF KENTUCKY, INC. TO REQUIRE THAT MARKETERS IN THE SMALL VOLUME GAS TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM BE REQUIRED TO ACCEPT A MANDATORY ASSIGNMENT OF CAPACITY

CASE NO. 2002-00117

## SUPPLEMENTAL DATA REQUEST OF COMMISSION STAFF TO COLUMBIA GAS OF KENTUCKY, INC.

Columbia Gas of Kentucky, Inc. (Columbia), pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001, is requested to file with the Commission the original and 5 copies of the following information, with a copy to all parties of record. The information requested herein is due 10 days after the date of this Order. Each copy of the data requested should be placed in a bound volume with each item tabbed. When a number of sheets are required for an item, each sheet should be appropriately indexed, for example, Item 1(a), Sheet 2 of 6. Include with each response the name of the person who will be responsible for responding to questions relating to the information provided. Careful attention should be given to copied material to ensure that it is legible. Where information herein has been previously provided, in the format requested herein, reference may be made to the specific location of said information in responding to this information request.

Interstate Gas Supply, Inc. (IGS) and Lexington-Fayette Urban County Government (LFUCG), the intervenors herein, shall each file, within 5 days after Columbia files its responses herein, a document stating whether, in light of Columbia s responses, it still believes the Joint Stipulation and Recommendation is fair, just, and reasonable and should be approved by the Commission.

1. Provide a red-line version of the currently effective Small Volume Aggregation Service (SVAS) tariff identifying all changes contained in the revised SVAS tariff in Attachment A to the November 13, 2002 Joint Stipulation and Recommendation.

2. The revised SVAS tariff does not include the section entitled Modifications to the Demand Curve Optional Assignment Phase on Sheet No. 36b of the currently effective tariff. Explain whether the omission of this section is intended or if it is an oversight.

3. The revised SVAS tariff is inclusive from Sheet No. 35 through Sheet No. 36f except for the absence of Sheet No. 36c. Explain why Sheet No. 36c is not included in the revised tariff.

4. In its responses to data requests issued by Commission Staff in Case No. 2002-00145, Columbia indicated it was conducting an examination of its Customer Choice Program under which it would determine the term of the pilot program. The responses indicated the evaluation would be completed by the end of calendar year 2002.

a. What is the current status of this evaluation?

b. Explain whether the Joint Stipulation and Recommendation filed in this proceeding will have any impact on this evaluation.

-2-

c. In Case No. 1999-00165,<sup>1</sup> the Commission stated that it would initiate a review of the pilot program after the third year of the pilot. It will be necessary for the results of Columbia s evaluation to be provided to the Commission. In the event the evaluation causes Columbia to shorten the term of the pilot program, such an outcome may impact the Commission s review of the program. Provide Columbia s current estimate of when it can provide the results of its evaluation to the Commission.

5. The Joint Stipulation and Recommendation filed with the Commission is not signed by IGS. Provide a copy of the Agreement signed by Columbia and IGS.

Thomas M. Dorman Executive Director Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard P. O. Box 615 Frankfort, Kentucky 40602

DATED December 12, 2002

cc: All Parties

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Case No. 1999-00165, The Tariff Filing of Columbia Gas of Kentucky, Inc. to Implement a Small Volume Gas Transportation Service, to Continue Its Gas Cost Incentive Mechanisms, and to Continue Its Customer Assistance Program.