

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

AN INQUIRY INTO THE DEVELOPMENT OF) ADMINISTRATIVE
DEAVERAGED RATES FOR UNBUNDLED) CASE NO. 382
NETWORK ELEMENTS)

O R D E R

On January 14, 2000, a Motion to Defer the Filing of Comments was jointly submitted by GTE South Incorporated ("GTE"), BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth"), Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company ("CBT"), and AT&T Communications of the South Central States, Inc. ("AT&T") (collectively, the "Movants"). The Movants requested additional time to enable them to consummate an agreement among the parties. On January 19, 2000, a Joint Stipulation Regarding UNE Deaveraging ("Stipulation") was submitted by AT&T, BellSouth, CBT, GTE, MCI WorldCom Communications and MCI Metro Access Transmission Service, Inc., and TCG of Ohio (collectively, the "Parties").

The Stipulation provides for the establishment of deaveraged UNE rates for BellSouth, GTE and CBT as set out in Attachment A of the Stipulation. It also establishes an effective date of May 1, 2000, subject to change by this Commission, the FCC, or the courts. In the event that the Commission does not accept the Parties' agreement, Parties reserved the right to file comments.

The Commission, having reviewed the Stipulation and being advised, HEREBY ORDERS that the parties provide by February 7, 2000 the following information regarding the Stipulation:

1. Documentation and supporting workpapers for the development of the proposed deaveraged UNE rates, together with a narrative explanation of the manner in which the rates were developed. The documents and supporting information must demonstrate that the weighted average is based on rates authorized in previous agreements and must contain citations to the agreements used.

2. The methodology or methodologies used in dividing the wire center into the multiple zones.

3. Evidence that the Stipulation conforms to the FCC requirement pursuant to Section 51.507(e).

4. Documentation and supporting workpapers for any other methodology considered, together with a statement explaining why the method used in the Stipulation was the preferred method.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the testimony due February 7, 2000 be deferred until further notice and that the Motion to Defer the Filing of Comments is granted.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 27th day of January, 2000.

By the Commission

ATTEST:

Executive Director