COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE)		
COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL)		
SURCHARGE PASSTHROUGH MECHANISM OF)	CASE NO.	98-059
JACKSON PURCHASE ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE)		
CORPORATION AS BILLED FROM MAY 1, 1996)		
TO DECEMBER 31, 1997)		

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that Jackson Purchase Electric Cooperative Corporation ("Jackson Purchase") shall file the original and 7 copies of the following information with this Commission, with a copy to all parties of record. Each copy of the data requested should be placed in a bound volume with each item tabbed. When a number of sheets are required for an item, each sheet should be appropriately indexed, for example, Item 1(a), Sheet 2 of 6. Include with each response the name of the witness who will be responsible for responding to questions relating to the information provided. Careful attention should be given to copied material to ensure that it is legible. The information requested herein is due no later than 14 days from the date of this Order.

1. In Case No. 98-060¹ Green River Electric Corporation proposed to refund an over-recovery from non-dedicated customers through a future monthly billing cycle

Case No. 98-060, An Examination by the Public Service Commission of the Environmental Surcharge Passthrough Mechanism of Green River Electric Corporation as Billed from April 17, 1996 to December 31, 1997, Response to the Commission's January 30, 1998 Order, Item 2.

as directed by the Commission, with the resulting minor over/under amount being waived. Is such a proposal acceptable to Jackson Purchase for resolving its over-recovery? If not, how does Jackson Purchase propose to address its over-recovery?

- 2. Refer to the response to the Commission's January 30, 1998 Order, Item 1(a), page 2 of 2. In Case No. 96-329,² the Commission accepted Jackson Purchase's determination that it had over-recovered \$7,667.04 from its non-dedicated delivery point customers and Jackson Purchase was ordered to reduce the billing factor used in the next monthly surcharge passthrough filing subsequent to the date of the final Order. In Item 1(a), page 2 of 2, the ES Form 2.0 submitted by Jackson Purchase begins with the accumulated over/under recovery as shown in schedules submitted in Case No. 96-329. However, the refund of \$7,667.04 does not appear to be factored into the amounts reported in columns 10 and 11 of ES Form 2.0. This conclusion is supported by the fact that all of the amounts reported in column 8, "Amount Billed Customers," match with the supporting information filed in Item 1(a)(4). There is no reference in that information to the \$7,667.04 refund. It appears that Jackson Purchase may have overstated its over-recovery by \$7,667.04.
- a. Has the refund ordered in Case No. 96-329 been recognized in the amounts reported in columns 10 and 11 of ES Form 2.0? If yes, provide the calculations which demonstrate its inclusion.

Case No. 96-329, An Examination by the Public Service Commission of the Environmental Surcharge Passthrough Mechanism of Jackson Purchase Electric Cooperative Corporation as Billed from December 1, 1995 to April 30, 1996, final Order dated December 20, 1996.

b. If no to (a) above, provide a revised ES Form 2.0 which reflects the inclusion of the refund.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 6th day of April, 1998.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

For the Commission

ATTEST

Executive Director