

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

APPLICATION OF WIRELESSCO, L.P. FOR)
ISSUANCE OF A CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC)
CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO)
CONSTRUCT A PERSONAL COMMUNICATION) CASE NO. 96-322
SERVICES FACILITY IN THE LOUISVILLE)
MAJOR TRADING AREA (PROSPECT)
PCS FACILITY)

O R D E R

The Commission has received the attached letters from David and Karen Carsey, Alice B. Hashim, and John J. and Alison M. Hafner (hereinafter referred to collectively as "Petitioners") regarding the proposed personal communication services facility to be located at 9213 Highway 42, Prospect, Oldham County, Kentucky.


IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. WirelessCo, L.P. ("WirelessCo") shall respond to the Petitioners' concerns by certified letter, within 10 days from the date of this Order.
2. WirelessCo shall file a copy of the certified letter and dated receipt, within 7 days of the date of the receipt.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 4th day of October, 1996.

ATTEST:

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION


Executive Director


For the Commission

Re: Case NO 916-322, Wireless Co LP
Mr Don Mills,

RECEIVED
Sept 24, 1996

SEP 25 1996
PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION

We are opposed to the construction of a 195' monopole by Sprint Spectrum on US 42 by our Countryside Subdivision. We feel it will be an eyesore and lower the value of our homes, as well as take away from the rural look of our neighborhood. Please consider a different site.

David & Karen Causey
13008 Tattersall Ln
Prospect KY 40059 Dolsow

RECEIVED

SEP 24 1996

PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION

13009 Covered Bridge Road
Prospect, Kentucky
40059

September 12, 1996

Do/Sow

Mr. Don Mills
Executive Director
Public Service Commission of Kentucky
Post Office Box 615
Frankfort, Kentucky
40602

Re: Application of Wirelesco, L.P. for issuance of a certificate of public convenience and necessity to construct a personal communication services facility in the Louisville major trading area (Prospect PCS) Case No. 96-22.

Please let this letter go on record in opposition to the proposed plan which we believe will not be a public convenience nor a necessity. We further believe that other options have not been sufficiently explored.

The proposed installation will be unsightly, an esthetic eyesore and will result in the devaluing of property in the area.

Sincerely,



Alice B. Hashim,
Homeowner

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

JOHN J. HAFNER, CPA
ALISON M. HAFNER
13106 Springhill Way
Prospect, KY 40059
(502) 228-9177

Dotson

RECEIVED

SEP 25 1996

September 22, 1996

PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION

Mr. Don Mills, Executive Director
Public Service Commission
730 Scennkel Lane
Frankfort, KY 40602

RE: Case 96-322, WirelessCo, L.P.

Dear Mr. Mills:

We are writing to express our adamant opposition to the 195' monopole proposed by the above referenced company, that is to be located immediately behind our subdivision. The reasons for our objection to this project are many. We feel that this monopole will be a health hazard to our 2 ½ year old child as well as to our entire family. We also feel that this eyesore will have a detrimental effect to the entire subdivision's property values.

We moved to this site two years ago, to escape such intrusions, and we certainly expect that this project should be rethought, especially, given that the Company has not begun to explore the other options that exist. We feel that the Company has completely ignored the option of locating their antenna on the existing water tower, located on US Highway 42. This structure has been there for many years, therefore, locating an antenna on this structure would not negatively affect our property values. Because this location is far enough away from the residential area, we feel that the health risks are far less than erecting a monopole directly behind our subdivision.

We work hard to afford our home and feel that it is irresponsible for the Company to build this eyesore in our back yard, when an obvious alternative exists. Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions in regard to the above. We thank you for any help you can provide us relating to this matter.

Sincerely,

John J. Hafner
Alison M. Hafner
John J. Hafner, CPA
Alison M. Hafner