

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

APPLICATION OF GTE MOBILNET OF)
KENTUCKY INCORPORATED (FORMERLY)
NAMED CONTEL CELLULAR OF KENTUCKY))
FOR ISSUANCE OF A CERTIFICATE OF)
PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY) CASE NO. 95-225
TO CONSTRUCT AN ADDITIONAL CELL)
FACILITY IN THE LOUISVILLE,)
KENTUCKY METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL)
AREA (BELLE MEADE CELL FACILITY))

O R D E R

The Commission has received the attached letter from Stratton Hammon regarding the proposed cellular telecommunications facility to be located at 8126 LaGrange Road, Louisville, Jefferson County, Kentucky.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. GTE Mobilnet of Kentucky Incorporated ("GTE Mobilnet") shall respond to Mr. Hammon's concerns by certified letter, within 10 days of the date of this Order.

2. GTE Mobilnet shall file a copy of the certified letter and dated receipt, within 7 days of the date on the receipt.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 8th day of May, 1996.

ATTEST:


Executive Director

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION


For the Commission

RECEIVED

STRATTON O. HAMMON

APR 30 1996

ARCHITECT AND ENGINEER 916 CANNONS LANE LOUISVILLE, KY. 40202 PUBLIC SERVICE
502/897-1516 COMMISSION

29 April 1996

Executive Director
Public Service Commission of Kentucky
P.O. Box 615
Frankfort, Kentucky 40602

Case No. 95-225


Dear Sir:

On 27 April 1996 we received notice from one Brent Rice that it is proposed to erect a tower in Lyndon, Kentucky. His letter informs us that our property lies within 500 feet of the proposed tower. The exact distance we cannot make out because the layout map provided is so tiny that details cannot be seen even with a high powered magnifying glass.

We oppose this proposed construction because:

1. It is dangerous. The tower could easily go over in high winds. (We were under tornado watch within the last week.) If it fell it could reach our property. The drawings do not show us what foundation will be furnished.
2. The tower is dangerous to aircraft. Until recently we had a Cesna 182 and landed frequently at Bowman Airfield (where I was once the Area Engineer). We may purchase another plane. Does this tower clear the regular aviation clearance zone?
3. We fear the effect on future electrical applications.
4. The proposed tower would certainly lower the value of all nearby surrounding property. Who is to reimburse us for this loss?

Sincerely,



Stratton Hammon

SH:rl