COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

APPLICATION OF KENTUCKY CGSA, INC.)
FOR ISSUANCE OF A CERTIFICATE OF)
PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO)
CONSTRUCT AN ADDITIONAL CELL SITE IN)
LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY FOR THE PROVISION OF DOMESTIC PUBLIC)
CELLULAR RADIO TELECOMMUNICATIONS)
SERVICE TO THE PUBLIC IN OLDHAM)
COUNTY, KENTUCKY AND THE LOUISVILLE)
MSA

CASE NO. 95-096

ORDER

On April 3, 1995, the Commission received the attached letter from Mr. and Mrs. Ray M. Largen and Mr. and Mrs. J. Paxton Marshall. The Largens and Paxtons either own or occupy property near the proposed cellular telecommunications facility to be located at 6000 Hitt Lane, Louisville, Jefferson County, Kentucky.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

- 1. Kentucky CGSA, Inc. ("Kentucky CGSA") shall respond to the Largens' and Paxtons' concerns by certified letter, within 10 days of the date of this Order.
- 2. Kentucky CGSA shall file a copy of the certified letter and dated receipt, within 7 days of the date on the receipt.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 11th day of April, 1995.

ATTEST:

Executive Director

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

For the Commission









Registered Holsteins

March 29, 1995

Don Mills, Executive Director Kentucky Public Service Commission P.O.Box 615 Frankfort, Kentucky 40602

RE: Our conversation 3/29/95 and Case No. 95-096.

Mr. Mills, as I indicated to you this morning the map submitted with a notice sent to us concerning an application for a cellular telecommunications tower alongside I-71 is totally INCORRECT.

The property that is shown on your map is OUR property.

The property that is shown IS NOT Kenneth Stutzenbergers.

We ARE NOT interested in having this tower on our property or our neighbors.

This outfit approached us first and we told them "we would not do our neighbors that way".

There is a quarry near this location that sets off dynamite explosions daily. Isn't it dangerous to have radio signals in the vicinity of such an operation? The neighbor that owns the quarry had the same opportunity and turned it down because of the danger. The same danger exists if Stutzenbergers property on HITT Ln. has the tower.

A property owner on Hitt Ln. that has adjoining property to Stutzenbergers was not notified.

There are three subdivisions very close to the Stutzenberger property. One of them adjoins Stutzenbergs. Have they been notified.

Why does the map have 11 July 1994 on it?

Is the large area where the tower is going?

Is the little X where the tower is going?

Either way you have a quarry very, very close.

As property owners on the North side of I-71 we want to let you know we DO NOT FAVOR this tower.

Sincerely,

Betty Moser Largen

Ry M. Lugue

Ray M. Largen

Shirley Moser Marshall

J. P. Marshall

J. Paxton Marshall