COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

THE APPLICATION OF CONTEL CELLULAR OF) KENTUCKY, INC. FOR ISSUANCE OF A) CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND) NECESSITY TO CONSTRUCT AN ADDITIONAL CELL) CASE NO. 95-052 FACILITY IN THE LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY) METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREA (SOUTH) HURSTBOURNE CELL FACILITY)

ORDER

The Commission has received the attached letters of opposition from Mrs. Valory V. Scott, Rev. William D. Hammer, Robert H. Corlein, Mr. and Mrs. Stephan Stine (hereinafter referred to collectively as "petitioners") regarding the proposed cellular telecommunications facility to be located at 8408-U Hudson Lane, Louisville, Jefferson County, Kentucky.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

Contel Cellular of Kentucky, Inc. ("Contel Cellular")
shall respond to Petitioners' concerns by certified letter, within
10 days of the date of this Order.

2. Contel Cellular shall file a copy of each certified letter and dated receipt, within 7 days of the date on the receipt.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 15th day of March, 1995.

BUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION t ha

ATTEST:

Executive Director

VALORY V. CCOTT 8420 HUDSON LANE LOUISVILLE, INEITUCINY 40291



Executive Director's Office Public Service Commission of Kentucky Post Office Box 615 Frankfort, Kontucky 40602

RE1 Case No. 95-052 Gentlemen:

I have been informed by W. Brent Nice, Co unsel for Contel Cellular of Ky., Inc. that they have applied to the Fublic Service Commission of Kentucky for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to construct and operate a new cell facility to provide cellular radio telecommunication service. This facility would include a tower with a total height of 199' to be located at 8408-U Hudson Lane, Louisville, Jefferson County, Hentubley.

Because this proposed tower would be within 100' of our property and practically in our back yard, it is sincerely requested permission to construct it at that location will be disapproved and denied. I fear it would lower the property Values in this residential neighborhood and. would be unsightly towering above the tree tops. I am also concerned that it might adversely affect television reception for those of us who do not have Cable. What do you think?

Thanks for listening.

Yours truly,

Valory V. Scott (11rs.) Valory V. Scott



Archangel Church 8409 Hudson Lane ECEIVEL Louisville, KY 40291-1997 502-239-5481

H 28 1995 February 24, 1995 GENERAL COUNSEL

Exectutive Director's Office Public Service Commission of Kentucky Post Office Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40602

To Whom It May Concern.

I am writing in reference to Case No. 95-052, a proposal to locate an approximately 199 foot tower for cellular radio communication, to be located at 8408 Hudson Lane, on property listed as Happy Acres Swim Club. Though I am located directly across the street at 8409 Hudson Lane, I was not offically notified since I fall a few feet outside the legal 500 foot radius from the tower. Neighbors who are also members of the congregation showed me copies of their letter of notification.

St. Gabriel the

In addition to the obvious concern of locating such a tower in a residential neighborhood, I have concerns for the safety of the 780 students enrolled in our school. I have received assurances that there are no concerns regarding emissions or transmission from the tower, however, my concern for safety focuses on the natural attraction of youth to the challenge of climbing such a structure. Even with surrounding fences, as a pastor I remain concerned by locating such a structure so close to a grade school as well as the aesthetics of locating such a tower so near our sanctuary.

Since we were not even acknowledged on any of the maps used in the mailing, I wanted the commision to make sure it was aware that the proposed tower would be located so near a large grade school as well as a 5000 member congregation.

Sincerely,

. Willing & Hamme

Rev. William D. Hammer Pastor

RECEIVE

RECEIVED

MAH 02 1995

PUBLIC SERVICE February 18, 1995

MAR 01 1995

GENERAL COUNSEL

Executive Director's Office Public Service Commission of Kentucky . Re: Case No 95-052

We have received a letter from a firm in Lexington that is counsel for Contel Cellular of Kentucky, Inc. regarding the erection of a tower with a total height of 199 feet. This is the first communication on this project we have received and are very upset that as a property owner within 250 feet of the tower we have not been notified before. Also, across the street on Hudson Lane is a private school, Kindergarten through grade 8 with approximately 750 students. They were never notified of this project.

We do not know if there are any health problems associated with a tower of this sort, nor do we know where to obtain this information. We also believe that its presence would lower property values, be an eyesore in our residential area and could be an "attractive nuisance" for adventurous young people.

For these reasons we write this letter to voice our objections to the location of this tower at 8408 Hudson Lane. We ask that no approval be granted until after Mr. Don Mills, your Executive Director, meets with representatives of the Jefferson County Planning Commision and local officials later this month.

We would appreciate a reply in regard to health hazards and any other problems, such as interference with television reception, etc. or other considerations.

Also, why is approval reserved for your office in Frankfort and not the Planning & Environment office in Jefferson County?

Sincerely,

Serely, Reckert & Corleine 8416 Hudson have Low 12 40291



February 26, 1995

RECEIVED

MAR 0 1 1995

RECEIV

Mr. and Mrs. Stephan Stine 8418 Hudson Lane Louisville, KY. 40291

N.-R 02 1995

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

GENERAL COUNSEL

Executive Director's Office Public Service Commission of XY P.O. Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40602

Re: Case No. 95-052

We have received a letter from a law firm in Lexington concerning a proposal to construct and operate a 199' tower to be located at 8408 Hudson Lane in Louisville. This location is right next door to our residence. This is the first information we have received regarding this project. As the property owners next door to the proposed site, we are upset to have been notified in such a manner as well as being upset about the proposed tower.

We would like to know what health concerns, if any, have been associated with these towers. We would also like written assurance that there are no health problems related to these towers. We also have not been given any information as to how this tower would effect our television and telephone receptions. We are also concerned for the well being of the wildlife which live in the woods surronding our home. We would like assurance that this would not harm the wildlife in any way. We are also very alarmed by the eyesore this would bring to our lovely backyard. We purchased this house in September of 1994 primarily for the beauty of our yard and the surrounding yards. Had the tower been there we don't know that we would have purchased this property. Surely, this will lower the value of our property. Is there any information regarding the effect these towers have on property values when erected in residential areas?

We would like to see this tower erected elsewhere in Fern Creek. There are non-residential areas much more suited. We would at least like for the approval and erection of this tower to be delayed until our questions have been answered or addressed.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Stephan Stine. Mr. and Mrs. Stephan Stine