

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

THE APPLICATION OF FOX CREEK RURAL)
ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION,)
INC. FOR AN ADJUSTMENT TO ITS RETAIL) CASE NO. 94-382
ELECTRIC POWER TARIFFS)

O R D E R

IT IS ORDERED that Fox Creek Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation, Inc. ("Fox Creek") shall file an original and 10 copies of the following information with this Commission, with a copy to all parties of record. Include with each response the name of the person who will be responsible for responding to questions relating to the information provided. Careful attention should be given to copied material to ensure that it is legible. The information is due no later than March 7, 1995.

1. Refer to Exhibits C and D of the application and Item 1 of Fox Creek's response to the Commission's Order of February 7, 1995. Load center rates have been increased by \$74,616 and Fox Creek has one Section B customer served by a 10 MVA substation. However, the entire amount of the increase in load center rates has been assigned to Section E customers. Explain why none of the increase in load center rates has been assigned to Section B customers.

2. Refer to Items 2 and 3 of the response to the Commission's Order of February 7, 1995. The \$8,458 decrease calculated for security lights was designed to match the overall decrease of 6.23 percent for Section E customers.

a. The \$8,458 decrease divided by the estimated usage of 1,025,200 kwh for security lights produces a per kwh reduction of \$.00825 while the reduction calculated for the remainder of the Section E customers is \$.00426 per kwh. Given that Fox Creek has designed its proposed rates based solely on reductions in energy charges, explain why lighting rates should decrease nearly twice as much per kwh as other Section E rates.

b. In preparing its application did Fox Creek give any consideration to including security light sales with other Section E sales to calculate the overall decrease in Section E energy rates? State any objections or concerns Fox Creek may have regarding this type of treatment for security light energy sales.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 27th day of February, 1995.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION


For the Commission

ATTEST:


Executive Director