

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

WILLIAM C. LOCKNANE)	
COMPLAINANT)	
)	
VS.)	CASE NO. 93-156
)	
CLARK RURAL ELECTRIC)	
COOPERATIVE CORPORATION)	
DEFENDANT)	

ORDER TO SATISFY OR ANSWER

Clark Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation ("Clark RECC") is hereby notified that it has been named as defendant in a formal complaint filed on April 26, 1993, a copy of which is attached hereto.

Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001, Section 12, Clark RECC is HEREBY ORDERED to satisfy the matters complained of or to file a written answer to the complaint within 10 days from the date of service of this Order.

Should documents of any kind be filed with the Commission in the course of this proceeding, the documents shall also be served on all parties of record.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 4th day of May, 1993.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

[Signature of Chairman]
Chairman

[Signature of Vice Chairman]
Vice Chairman

[Signature of Commissioner]
Commissioner

ATTEST:

[Signature of Don Mills]
Executive Director

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

RECEIVED

In the Matter of:

APR 26 1993

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

William C Lockman
(Your Full Name)

COMPLAINANT

VS.

93-156

Clark Rural Electric Coop. Corp.
(Name of Utility)

DEFENDANT

COMPLAINT

The complaint of William C Lockman respectfully shows:
(Your Full Name)

(a) William C Lockman
(Your Full Name)
350 Whippoorwill Ln.
Frankfort, Ky 40601
(Your Address)

(b) CLARK RECC
(Name of Utility)
Winchester, Ky 40391
(Address of Utility)

(c) That: did un fairly charge me \$15.00 for Reading
(Describe here, attaching additional sheets if

meter on my property. After I talked with
necessary, the specific act, fully and clearly, or facts

them and explained that they charged me
that are the reason and basis for the complaint.)

un fairly, did cut off my electric after

Continued on Next Page

Formal Complaint

W.C. Lockman vs Clark RECC
(Your Name) (Utility Name)

Page 2

~~There~~ A period of almost 3 years for non-payment of Bill. MR. Duffall of Clark RECC stated first that I was cut off for non-payment of Bill which was not true. When I proved to Mrs. Conway of P.S.C. that fact, he said they did it, because they could. (in so many words according to Mrs. Conway.) See 2nd &

Wherefore, complainant asks To have the Electric
(Specifically state the

Turned back on with no Reconnect or
relief desired.)
disconnect fees and the unfair \$15.00 reading
fee (plus whatever extra charges) dropped so we
can go on with our lives

Dated at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 24 day
(Your City)

of APR 1, 1993.
(Month)

William C. Lockman
(Your signature)

Marvin Coles Frankfort, Ky.
(Name and address of attorney, if any)

if The Clark RECC does not agree to the stated Relief, I would like to have a formal hearing to further state my case. I do not have a copy of Clark RECC Rules nor do I know what the PSC allows them to do to the public. I do know that they are supposed to send a notice to ~~the~~ their customers when the electric is to be cut off. I did not receive such. MR. Duvall says I was sent one, but I did not receive it. It's funny I always receive the bills, but did not get the cutoff notice. I lost a considerable amount of medicine (Animal) in this deal. If I had known, I would have moved it to a different location. I was told that I had to pay a disconnect and reconnect fee, plus the original amount (\$15⁰⁰/₂₄ plus whatever the extra charges are) to get electric. I have no other electric source to go to.

William C Lockman
vs
Clark RECC

(over)
W.C. Lockman