

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY  
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

APPLICATION OF KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY )  
TO AMORTIZE, BY MEANS OF TEMPORARY ) CASE NO.  
DECREASE IN RATES, NET FUEL COST SAVINGS ) 93-113  
RECOVERED IN COAL CONTRACT LITIGATION )

O R D E R

IT IS ORDERED that WinterCare Energy Fund, Inc. ("WinterCare") shall file the original and 10 copies of the following information with the Commission, with a copy to all parties of record, no later than September 20, 1993. If the information cannot be provided by this date, WinterCare should submit a motion for an extension of time stating the reason a delay is necessary and include a date by which the information will be furnished. Such motion will be considered by the Commission. WinterCare shall furnish with each response the name of the witness who will be available at the public hearing to respond to questions concerning each item of information requested.

1. a. State whether WinterCare guarantees the accounts of low-income customers for whom it provides assistance.

b. If not, state the nature of WinterCare's status with each customer to whom it provides assistance.

2. a. At page 4 of his testimony, Jack E. Burch, Jr. estimates that \$4.7 million dollars was paid to Kentucky Utilities Company ("KU") by the Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program ("LIHEAP") and \$299,877 was paid by WinterCare. At page 7 of the

testimony, Mr. Burch suggests that any funds remaining after distribution to customers be distributed to a special WinterCare account for KU's low-income customers. Explain why these funds should be distributed to WinterCare and no funds should be distribute to LIHEAP.

3. KU has filed distribution plans with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and the Virginia State Corporation Commission. Describe what impact, if any, those commission's decisions should have on this proceeding.

4. a. Define the term "low-income customer."

b. State the current percentage of total KU customers which would be considered low income customers.

c. State the total number of current KU customers which would be considered low income customers.

d. Provide a schedule which shows the total number of KU customers for each year from 1985 to 1990 who were low income customers.

5. Provide the statutory, regulatory and case law authority to support WinterCare's proposed plan of distribution.

6. State the cost of administering WinterCare's proposed plan of distribution. Show all calculations and state all assumptions used to derive this cost.

7. a. State whether WinterCare has determined the level of the fuel savings cost which will be distributed to current low income customers under KU's proposed plan of distribution.

b. If yes, state the level. Show all calculations and state all assumptions used to derive this level.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 13th day of September, 1993.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

  
For the Commission

ATTEST:



---

Executive Director