

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

CHARLES BEAMS

COMPLAINANT

VS.

LAKE VILLAGE WATER COMPANY

DEFENDANT

CASE NO. 92-454

ORDER TO SATISFY OR ANSWER


Lake Village Water Company ("Lake Village") is hereby notified that it has been named as defendant in a formal complaint filed on October 26, 1992, a copy of which is attached hereto.


Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001, Section 12, Lake Village is HEREBY ORDERED to satisfy the matters complained of or to file a written answer to the complaint within 10 days from the date of service of this Order.

Should documents of any kind be filed with the Commission in the course of this proceeding, the documents shall also be served on all parties of record.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 29th day of October, 1992.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION


Chairman


Vice Chairman


Commissioner

ATTEST:


Executive Director

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

RECEIVED

Oct 26 10 24 AM '92

In the Matter of:

PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION

Charles Beams)
(Your Full Name))
COMPLAINANT)
VS.)
Lake Village Water Co.)
(Name of Utility))
DEFENDANT)

92-454

C O M P L A I N T

The complaint of Charles Beams respectfully shows:
(Your Full Name)

(a) Charles Beams
(Your Full Name)

11556 64th Ave. North Seminole, Florida 34642
(Your Address)

(b) Lake Village Water Co.
(Name of Utility)

Burgin, Ky. 40310
(Address of Utility)

(c) That: I developed 29 lots in Mercer County and tapped
(Describe here, attaching additional sheets if
on existing line with 2800 feet of 3 inch line, using
the proper cut off and blow out. this was put in under
necessary, the specific act, fully and clearly, or facts
the supervision of the water company inspector,
Mr. Frank Brown. Their attorney at a board of directors
that are the reason and basis for the complaint.)
meeting agreed to accept this. Approximately 11 homeowners
are using this water at present, with more homes planned.

Continued on Next Page

Formal Complaint

Charles Beams vs Lake Village Water Co.
(Your Name) (Utility Name)

Page 2

The Lake Village Water Co. has refused to reimburse me of any expenses. They charge \$300.00 for each tap and I know it should not cost over \$100.00 to install a meter. I feel they are making about \$200.00 profit at my expense for each meter installed. I went to the Lake Village Water Co. in 1987 with my receipts, which came to over \$4.00 per foot. I had meetings with them since and they have refused to rebate me anything. At the first board meeting I went to, with my attorney, they agreed to accept the line if I would add their name to the utility easement, which I did. They have been using this line for over 5 years. The plans for this project were approved by the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Cabinet in May 1987, a copy was sent to your office.

Wherefore, complainant asks To be reimbursed at the prevailing (Specifically state the

rate for each meter installed according to the provisions relief desired.)

contained in 807 KAR 5:066 Section 12 of the commission' rules

which relate to water.

Dated at Seminole, Florida, ~~November~~, this 21 day
(Your City)

of October, 1992.
(Month)

Charles Beams
(Your Signature)

(Name and address of attorney, if any)