## COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

APPLICATION OF QUEST COMMUNICATIONS	)			
CORPORATION FOR THE ISSUANCE OF A	)			
CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE	)			
AND NECESSITY TO OPERATE AS A	j (	CASE	NO.	91-424
RESELLER OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS	j			
SERVICES WITHIN THE COMMONWEALTH	j			
OF KENTUCKY	Š			

## ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that Quest Communications Corporation ("Quest") shall file the original and ten copies of the following information with the Commission. The information requested shall be placed in a bound volume with each item tabbed.

The information requested herein is due no later than 30 days from the date of this Order. If the information cannot be provided by this date, Quest shall submit a motion for an extension of time stating the reason a delay is necessary and include a date by which it can be furnished. Such motion will be considered by the Commission.

- 1. Has Quest or any of its affiliates ever provided and/or collected any money from the public for the provision of intrastate telecommunications services in Kentucky? If so, explain in detail.
- Identify the carriers whose services Quest intends to resell.

- 3. If Quest intends to resell tariffed services of facilities-based carriers, identify these tariffed services and specify whether these services will be obtained from intrastate or interstate tariffs.
- 4. If Quest intends to resell services that are not available under an approved tariff, provide copies of the contracts which govern the terms of the agreement between Quest and its facilities-based carriers.
- 5. Does Quest, or any of its affiliates, own and/or operate any transmission facilities in any jurisdiction? If so, explain.
- 6. Clarify whether or not Quest is seeking intraLATA operating authority.
- 7. Explain how Quest will screen intraLATA traffic if Quest intends to resell services or facilities authorized only for interLATA operation but which can carry intraLATA traffic.
- 8. State whether Quest is aware of the potential impact of Administrative Case Nos. 323<sup>1</sup> and 328,<sup>2</sup> now pending before this Commission, that may apply to Quest's Kentucky operations.

Administrative Case No. 323, An Inquiry Into IntraLATA Toll Competition, An Appropriate Compensation Scheme for Completion of IntraLATA Calls by Interexchange Carriers, and WATS Jurisdictionality.

Administrative Case No. 328, Investigation Into Whether WATS Resellers Should Be Included in the ULAS Allocation Process.

- 9. Does Quest intend to provide any special/dedicated access services as defined in Administrative Case No. 330?<sup>3</sup>
- 10. Is Quest aware of, and able to comply with, the restrictions and conditions for the provision of intrastate operator services as ordered in Administrative Case No. 330?
- 11. Provide estimates of sales revenues for Quest's first two years of Kentucky operations. Explain how Quest arrived at these estimates. If estimates are based upon a market study, provide a copy of this study.
- 12. Provide a listing of financial institutions with which Quest has a line of credit. State Quest's credit line with each of these institutions.
- 13. Provide a toll-free number or provision for accepting collect calls for customer complaints.
- 14. Provide tariff sheets setting forth the conditions and restrictions for the provision of operator-assisted services as specified in the Commission's March 27, 1991, Order in Administrative Case No. 330.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 23rd day of December, 1991.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

ATTEST:

For the Commission

Executive Director

Administrative Case No. 330, Policy and Procedures in the Provision of Operator-Assisted Telecommunications Services.