COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

THE ALTERNATIVE RATE ADJUSTMENT)		
APPLICATION OF CASE ENTERPRISES,	j	CASE NO.	91-019
INC.	Ì		

ORDER

On August 9, 1991, Case Enterprises, Inc. ("Case") filed a request that the Commission take into consideration in this rate case past due gas purchases from Columbia Gas of Kentucky ("Columbia") and Equitable Resources ("Equitable"). Case did not propose any additional revenues to pay for this additional cost, nor did it propose a rate to be billed its customers by which to effect this recovery. In order to consider this adjustment, it is hereby ordered that seven (7) copies of the following information be filed by Case within 10 days of the date of this Order:

- 1. Provide a monthly analysis from the date of Case's inception of the total amount due and payable to Columbia broken down into gas costs, penalty charges, and interest.
- 2. Provide a monthly analysis from the date of Case's inception of the total amount due and payable to Equitable broken down into gas costs, penalty charges, and interest.
- 3. Provide the amount of additional revenue, above what was originally requested in this proceeding, Case is proposing to provide for this additional adjustment to operating expenses.

- 4. Provide all supporting documentation concerning these additional costs including invoices.
- 5. Provide a detailed explanation of the rate(s) Case proposes to charge to provide the revenue requirements associated with recovery of these costs. Include details with regard to the specific customers or classes of customers to which the rate applies.
- 6. If Case proposes to recover this cost through a temporary surcharge, provide complete details with regard to the number of months Case proposes to collect the surcharge.
- 7. If the proposed rate does not apply to all customers, provide an explanation of how the determination was made to apply to only certain customers.
- 8. According to the information filed on August 9, 1991, the arrearage outstanding to Equitable is unknown. Explain the type of consideration Case is requesting that the Commission give to this unknown arrearage.
- 9. a. State the average number of customers connected to the Justiceville system during the heating season.
- b. State the average number of customers connected to the Justiceville system during the non-heating season.
- 10. a. State the average number of customers connected to the Lyda system during the heating season.
- b. State the average number of customers connected to the Lyda system during the non-heating season.
- 11. a. Provide the sales volume in Mcf during the test year on the Justiceville system.

- b. Provide the sales volume in Mcf during the test year on the Lyda system.
- 12. a. Provide the total number of minimum bills rendered on the Justiceville system during the test year that reflected zero Mcf of gas usage.
- b. Provide the total number of minimum bills rendered on the Lyda system during the test year that reflected zero Mcf of gas usage.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 21st day of August, 1991.

Public Service Commission

For the Commission

ATTEST:

AUM Mediacher Executive Director