

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

A REVIEW OF THE RATES AND CHARGES AND)
INCENTIVE REGULATION PLAN OF SOUTH CENTRAL) CASE NO.
BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY) 90-256

O R D E R

On November 12, 1990, the Attorney General of the Commonwealth ("Attorney General"), by and through his Utility and Rate Intervention Division, filed a motion for clarification and extension of time in response to the Commission's Order dated October 25, 1990. Specifically, the Attorney General sought clarification of a paragraph on page 4 of the Order which stated that the Commission would defer procedural schedule to a later phase of this proceeding on other issues until after a decision was rendered with respect to continuing incentive regulation for South Central Bell Telephone Company ("South Central Bell"). The Attorney General argued that if it was the intention of the Commission to have a full revenue requirements/rate of return/rate design case at this juncture, he would require an extension of time of at least 30 days to prepare his case. However, the Attorney General contended that if it was the Commission's intention not to hold such a proceeding at this time, the Commission should consider the motion for extension withdrawn.

The current procedural schedule was designed to provide adequate consideration of South Central Bell's motion to continue

regulation and modifications to the experimental incentive regulation plan. Ordering paragraph 2 of the October 25, 1990 Order, page 10, clearly states that other issues including the possible need for a rate case and all rate design issues related to the incentive regulation plan will be deferred until this case is completed.

The Commission, having considered this motion and being otherwise sufficiently advised, HEREBY ORDERS that the procedural schedule shall be revised as follows:

Information requests to SCB and Theodore Barry & Associates due.....December 3, 1990

Responses to information requests due from SCB and Theodore Barry & Associates. Additionally, should SCB want to prefile further testimony, it may do so.....December 17, 1990

Parties to prefile testimony.....January 4, 1991

Information requests due on parties' prefiled testimony.....January 10, 1991

Responses to information requested on prefiled testimony due.....January 17, 1991

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 21st day of November, 1990.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION


For the Commission

ATTEST:


Executive Director