

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

AN INQUIRY INTO INTRALATA TOLL)	
COMPETITION, AN APPROPRIATE)	ADMINISTRATIVE
COMPENSATION SCHEME FOR COMPLETION)	CASE NO. 323
OF INTRALATA CALLS BY INTEREXCHANGE)	PHASE II
CARRIERS, AND WATS JURISDICTIONALITY)		

O R D E R

This matter arising upon motion by Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company ("Cincinnati Bell") filed July 28, 1989 pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001, Section 7, for confidential protection of certain information filed with the Commission in accordance with its Order of October 6, 1988, and it appearing to this Commission as follows:

On October 6, 1988, the Commission ordered all local exchange carriers to provide revenue information for conversation minutes of use billed to end-users for MTS, WATS, 800 Services, and a combined average of all such services, and to provide information on revenue components in each category showing all calculations used. Cincinnati Bell in furnishing the information has petitioned the Commission to treat it as confidential on the grounds that public disclosure of the information will result in competitive injury to Cincinnati Bell.

Cincinnati Bell maintains that the data requested contains information on the size of Cincinnati Bell's intraLATA toll market and its average revenue per minute of use for MTS, WATS, and 800 Service, and that with other information already publicly


available, intraLATA toll competitors of Cincinnati Bell could determine the market position of Cincinnati Bell, including the company's ability to respond to additional intraLATA toll demand. Cincinnati Bell contends that knowledge of this information would give its intraLATA toll competitors an unfair business advantage in setting rates for intraLATA toll calls, and therefore, the information should be protected from public disclosure.

While the information sought to be protected relates to Cincinnati Bell's intraLATA toll market and revenues, it is furnished in such general terms that it would not be of substantial value to a competitor. In addition, much of the information is presently a matter of public record. Therefore, public disclosure of the information will not result in competitive injury to Cincinnati Bell and the information should not be protected as confidential.

This Commission being otherwise sufficiently advised, IT IS ORDERED that the petition to withhold from public disclosure as confidential, information filed by Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company in response to Question 36 in Phase II of the Commission's Order of October 6, 1988 be denied.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 18th day of August, 1989.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION


Chairman


Vice Chairman


Commissioner

ATTEST:

Executive Director