

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

INVESTIGATION OF THE KENTUCKY)
INTRASTATE RATES OF SOUTH CENTRAL) CASE NO. 10105
BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY, INC.)

O R D E R

On July 26, 1988, South Central Bell Telephone Company, Inc. ("SCB"), by counsel, filed a Confidentiality Petition requesting that the Commission treat as confidential SCB's response to Item 1 of the Commission's July 15, 1988 Order. The information consists of a demand price out to support the proposed rate reduction filed on July 13, 1988. In support of its motion, SCB states that its competitors could ascertain revenues and expenses associated with its Kentucky operations, that the data is unique to SCB, that the material is not known outside of SCB, nor widely disseminated within SCB, and the nondisclosure of the information will not damage any public interest.

The Commission, being advised, is of the opinion and finds that SCB's motion for confidential treatment of its response to Item 1 of the Commission's July 15, 1988 Order should be granted pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001, Section 7.

On July 29, 1988, SCB filed a Confidentiality Petition requesting that the Commission treat as confidential its responses to the July 14, 1988 Order addressing MCI Telecommunications Corporation's motion to compel SCB to answer data requests

concerning directory advertising, budgets, and affiliated transactions. In support of its petition, SCB states that the information is unique to SCB, that it could provide competitors with information sufficient to determine revenues and expenses associated with its Kentucky operation, that the material is not known outside of SCB, nor widely disseminated within SCB, and the nondisclosure of the information will not damage any public interest.

The Commission, being advised, is of the opinion and finds that SCB's motion for confidential treatment of certain information concerning directory advertising, budgets, and affiliated transactions should be granted pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001, Section 7.

On August 3, 1988, SCB filed a Confidentiality Petition requesting confidential treatment of its responses to AT&T Communications of the South Central States' ("AT&T") First Data Request dated July 19, 1988. The responses consist of a price out of test year and projected intrastate access revenues, using rates applicable to SCB's interstate access tariff; a price out of test period and projected volumes applying SCB's intrastate access tariff; a price out of intrastate billing and collection revenues using test period and projected periods; and a description of the assumptions used to develop the price outs. In support of its motion, SCB contends that the information could be used to determine revenues and expenses associated with its Kentucky operations, that it is unique to SCB, that the material is not

known outside of SCB, nor widely disseminated within SCB, and that its nondisclosure will not damage any public interest.

The Commission, being advised, of the opinion and finds that SCB's motion for confidential treatment of its responses to AT&T's July 19, 1988 information request should be granted pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001, Section 7.

Each of the above findings is hereby ORDERED.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 18th day of August, 1988.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION


Chairman


Vice Chairman


Commissioner

ATTEST:

Executive Director