

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

THE APPLICATION OF EAST KENTUCKY POWER)
COOPERATIVE, INC. FOR A CERTIFICATE OF)
PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO CON-) CASE NO. 10062
STRUCT CERTAIN ELECTRIC TRANSMISSION AND)
DISTRIBUTION FACILITIES IN JOHNSON, FLOYD)
AND MAGOFFIN COUNTIES IN KENTUCKY)

O R D E R

IT IS ORDERED that East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. ("EKP") shall file an original and 12 copies of the following information with this Commission, with a copy to all parties of record. Include with each response the name of the witness who will be responsible for responding to questions relating to the information provided. Careful attention should be given to copied material to insure that it is legible. The information requested herein is due no later than March 25, 1988. If the information cannot be provided by this date, a motion for an extension of time, which states the reason for the delay and includes a date by which it will be furnished, should be made.

1. On February 17, 1988, EKP filed with the Commission a Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") acceptance of filing involving Indiana & Michigan Electric Company's Interconnection Agreement with Illinois Power.

a) Explain the relevance of this filing to the case currently before this Commission. How does it impact on the

current or future rates charged by Kentucky Power ("KP") to wheel power through its facilities?

b) Explain how approval of a concurrent exchange agreement between Indiana and Michigan Electric Company and Illinois Power Company affects the wheeling rates of the entire American Electric Power Company system.

2. Has KP filed a request with FERC for a higher rate for wheeling power through its facilities which currently served EKP? If yes, when was this filing made? Provide a FERC Docket Number for this filing. If EKP possesses a copy of this filing, provide a copy.

3. If KP has sought a change in its wheeling rates, has FERC taken any action on its filing? If yes, what action has been taken? What action has EKP taken to oppose this filing?

4. If no filing has been made by KP, has KP suggested or stated that a higher wheeling rate would be sought? When? Under what circumstances would the higher wheeling rate be sought? Please provide a copy of any correspondence with KP which discusses a higher wheeling rate to be charged to EKP. Also provide copies of any memoranda on conversations with KP officials in which higher wheeling rates were discussed.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky this 18th day of March, 1988.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

ATTEST:


For The Commission

Executive Director