

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY  
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION'S NOTICE )  
OF CHANGES IN RATES AND TARIFFS FOR )  
WHOLESALE ELECTRIC SERVICE AND OF A ) CASE NO. 9613  
FINANCIAL WORKOUT PLAN )

O R D E R

IT IS ORDERED that Alcan Aluminum Corporation ("ALCAN") shall file an original and 12 copies of the following information with this Commission, with a copy to all parties of record. Where a narrative discussion or explanation is requested, explain in detail all components used in each calculation including the methodology employed and all assumptions applied in the derivation of each calculation. Failure to provide clear, concise workpapers and other calculations where requested may necessitate further information requests. Careful attention should be given to copied material to insure that it is legible. The information requested herein is due no later than December 1, 1986. If the information cannot be provided by this date, you should submit a motion for an extension of time stating the reason a delay is necessary and include a date by which it will be furnished. Such motion will be considered by the Commission.

**Information Request No. 2**

The following questions are directed to Chris Albrecht:

1. With reference to Exhibit CKA-1, Schedule 2, provide an explanation for assigning the additional generation requirements of 365,633 MWH to the Reid Station.

2. With reference to the ALCAN pro forma sales to other utilities of \$33,504,647, was any distinction made with respect to sales during on-peak or off-peak hours? Explain why or why not.

The following questions are directed to Paul D. Belanger:

3. With reference to your response to Question No. 12 of your testimony, is it your position that for system planning purposes Big Rivers should rely on "...real system demand instead of contractual load...?"<sup>1</sup> Provide a thorough explanation of why or why not.

4. To what amount of power does ALCAN have a contractual entitlement?

5. Is ALCAN prevented from taking the total amount of power to which it is entitled? Explain why or why not.

6. With reference to the statement on page 7 of your testimony that ALCAN is not willing to give away capacity but is willing to reassign it, provide a detailed discussion and analysis of the benefits of such an arrangement to ALCAN, Big Rivers and other members.

In your opinion, how should this type of provision be incorporated into Big Rivers' system planning strategies?

---

<sup>1</sup> Belanger Testimony, filed October 27, 1986.

7. Please list the testimony and opinions which form the basis for your statement that Wilson represents surplus capacity.

The following questions are directed to H. Clyde Allen:

8. With reference to your overall assessment of Big Rivers' construction decision, page 12 of your testimony, is it your opinion that a decision to construct a 210 MW unit would have been prudent?

9. Would you advocate the inclusion in Big Rivers' rates of the expenses associated with a 210 MW unit? Explain why or why not.

The following questions are directed to Maurice Brubaker:

10. With reference to page 18 of your testimony, provide the following:

- a. The amount of Wilson deferred capacity costs.
- b. The amount of Wilson excluded capacity costs.
- c. For the test year, show how these costs should be treated based upon your recommendations.
- d. For (a.) through (c.), provide workpapers and a detailed narrative discussion including all assumptions and allocations utilized.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 20th day of November, 1986.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

ATTEST:

  
For the Commission

\_\_\_\_\_  
Executive Director