### COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

\* \* \* \* \*

In the Matter of:

THE REQUEST FOR ESTABLISHMENT OF EXTENDED )
AREA SERVICE FOR THE CUSTOMERS OF THE CIN- )
CINNATI BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY LOCATED IN ) CASE NO. 9445
NORTHERN PENDLETON COUNTY, KENTUCKY, AND )
SERVED BY TELEPHONE EXCHANGE 472 (THE )
PETITIONING EXCHANGE)

## ORDER

On November 18, 1985, Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company ("Cincinnati Bell"), filed its response in accordance with the Commission's Order dated October 18, 1985, Order in the above captioned matter.

The October Order established that the request for Extended Area Service (EAS) in this case would be subject to the steps as outlined in the Commission's EAS Guidelines. In addition, the October Order initiated STEP 1 of the EAS Guideline procedures.

Cincinnati Bell satisfied the requirements of STEP 1 in its November 18, 1985, response. Cincinnati Bell opposed the petitioner's request for EAS and stated its reason for doing so. In addition, Cincinnati Bell filed data necessary to proceed to STEP 2 of the EAS Guideline procedure.

Having completed STEP 1, the Commission finds that the request for EAS shall now proceed to STEP 2, providing the petitioners wish to pursue their request.

The minimum number of subscriber signatures, addresses and telephone numbers needed to signify valid interest in the proposed EAS plan have been computed by the Commission in accordance with STEP 2 and attached as Appendix A.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the request for EAS as proposed by the petitioners proceed in accordance with STEP 2 of the Commission's EAS Guidelines, if in fact, the petitioners choose to continue to pursue their request.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the petitioners present to the Commission that information as outlined in STEP 2 of the EAS Guidelines within the time stated therein.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Commission's signature form attached as Appendix B, C, D, and E, or exact duplicates as may be needed and provided by the petitioner, be used to collect those signatures so outlined in STEP 2.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 27th day of November, 1985.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

ATTEST:

Secretary

# APPENDIX A

APPENDIX TO AN ORDER OF THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN CASE NO. 9445 DATED 11/27/85

In accordance with STEP 2 of the Commission's EAS Guidelines the following numbers have been calculated as the minimum number of subscriber signatures, addresses, and phone numbers needed to signify valid interest in the proposed EAS request. Using the formula as derived in the EAS Guidelines and data from the Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company's response dated November 18, 1985. The results are as follows:

Exchange	Calculation	Minimum Signatures Required
Butler	$\frac{[(1417-1000)\times1800]}{99,000} + 200$	<b>=</b> 208
Alexandria	$ [ \frac{(5545-1000)\times1800}{99,000} ] + 200 $	$1 \times 1/2 = 141$
Independence	[ [(6766~1000)×1800] + 200 99,000	$1 \times 1/2 = 152$
Ky Metro	$ [ [ (73,868-1000) \times 1800 ] + 20 $ $ 99,000 $	$0 ] \times 1/2 = 762$

#### APPENDIX B

# APPENDIX TO AN ORDER OF THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN CASE NO. 9445 DATED 11/27/85

## PETITION FOR Butler EXCHANGE

PREFIX: 472

I understand that the Kentucky Public Service Commission is considering a plan to remove long distance charges on calls to the Alexandria exchange, prefixes 635 and 694, Independence exchange, prefixes 356, and 359, and Kentucky Metropolitan exchange, prefixes 261, 291, 292, 331, 341, 342, 344, 431, 441, 491, 572, 581, 628, 727, 781, and 939, but that such a plan could require an additional charge on my telephone bill. I understand that the amount of that additional charge is not yet known. I state that I am the billing party for the telephone number shown and, as such, I am interested in obtaining toll- free service between the exchanges. I realize that my monthly bill could increase in an amount to be determined later, but that the proposed plan, and the charges finally decided upon, will be subject to the approval of the Commission, which will consider all relevant facts and opinions.

	Tel. No.	Signature of Billing Party	Address of Telephone Service
1)			
2)			
3)			
4)			
5)			
6)			
7)	<del></del>		
8)			
9)			
10)			
11)			
12)			
13)			
14)			
15)			
	I certify t	hat each of these subscribers	has been made aware of the

Signature of Petitioner

Date

above information.

#### APPENDIX C

# APPENDIX TO AN ORDER OF THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN CASE NO. 9445 DATED 11/27/85

### PETITION FOR Alexandria EXCHANGE

PREFIXES: 635, 694

I understand that the Kentucky Public Service Commission is considering a plan to remove long distance charges on calls to the Butler exchange, prefix 472, but that such a plan could require an additional charge on my telephone bill. I understand that the amount of that additional charge is not yet known. I state that I am the billing party for the telephone number shown and, as such, I am interested in obtaining toll-free service between the exchanges. I realize that my monthly bill could increase in an amount to be determined later, but that the proposed plan, and the charges finally decided upon, will be subject to the approval of the Commission, which will consider all relevant facts and opinions.

			_
	Tel. No.	Signature of Billing Party	Address of Telephone Service
1)			
2)			·
3)	<del></del>	4	
4)			
5)			
6)			
7)	<u> </u>		
8)	<u> </u>		**************************************
9)			
10)			
11)			Andrew Co.
12)			
13)			
14)			
15)			
abo	I certify to	hat each of these subscribers on.	has been made aware of the

Date

Signature of Petitioner

#### APPENDIX D

# APPENDIX TO AN ORDER OF THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN CASE NO. 9445 DATED 11/27/85

## PETITION FOR Independence EXCHANGE

PREFIXES: 356, 359

I understand that the Kentucky Public Service Commission is considering a plan to remove long distance charges on calls to the Butler exchange, prefix 472, but that such a plan could require an additional charge on my telephone bill. I understand that the amount of that additional charge is not yet known. I state that I am the billing party for the telephone number shown and, as such, I am interested in obtaining toll-free service between the exchanges. I realize that my monthly bill could increase in an amount to be determined later, but that the proposed plan, and the charges finally decided upon, will be subject to the approval of the Commission, which will consider all relevant facts and opinions.

Tel. No	. Signatu	ure of Billi	ng Party	Address	of	Telephone	Service
			······································	D			~~~
	<b></b>						~~~~
					····· <del>***</del>		
	····						
						_	-

Signature of Petitioner

Date

above information.

#### APPENDIX E

# APPENDIX TO AN ORDER OF THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN CASE NO. 9445 DATED 11/27/85

## PETITION FOR Kentucky Metro EXCHANGE

PREFIXES: 261, 291, 292, 331, 341, 342, 344, 431, 441, 491, 572, 581, 628, 727, 781, and 939

I understand that the Kentucky Public Service Commission is considering a plan to remove long distance charges on calls to the Butler exchange, prefix 472, but that such a plan could require an additional charge on my telephone bill. I understand that the amount of that additional charge is not yet known. I state that I am the billing party for the telephone number shown and, as such, I am interested in obtaining toll-free service between the exchanges. I realize that my monthly bill could increase in an amount to be determined later, but that the proposed plan, and the charges finally decided upon, will be subject to the approval of the Commission, which will consider all relevant facts and opinions.

Tel. No.	Signature of Billing Party	Address of Telephone Service
1)		
2)		
3)	**************************************	
4)		
5)		
6)		
7)		
8)		
9)		
0)		• <del>••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••</del>
1)		#
.2)		
.3)		
		•
15)		
I certify tabove informati	that each of these subscribers	has been made aware of the

Date

Signature of Petitioner