COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

.

| THE | COMPALI | NT OF | MAE | WHICKER, | et | al. |) | | | |
|------|---------|-------|------|----------|----|-----|---|------|-----|------|
| | | | | | | |) | | | |
| vs. | | | | | | |) | CASE | NO. | 8957 |
| | | | | | | |) | | | |
| WHIT | TE HALL | WATER | DIST | TRICT | | |) | | | |

ORDER ON REHEARING

On August 24, 1984, the Commission issued an Order in this case directing the White Hall Water District ("White Hall") to begin the necessary steps to provide water service to the Shady Hills Subdivision ("Shady Hills") and the Pineur Acres Subdivision ("Pineur Acres"). The cost to each resident for receiving this service was stated in the Order and reflected a settlement between White Hall and the customers in Shady Hills and Pineur Acres. The following provision also appeared in the Commission's August 24, 1984, Order:

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that White Hall is directed to expeditiously start preparing the necessary engineering and financial documentation to provide water service to Pineur Acres and Shady Hills and shall make application with the Commission for a certificate of public convenience and necessity for this work within 180 days of the date of this Order. (Emphasis supplied.)

Upon receipt of the Commission's August 24, 1984, Order, the complainants protested to the Commission that, under the terms of the "agreed settlement," the complainants were to have water

service within 180 days from July 19, 1984, the date of the agreement. In order to properly respond to the questions raised by the complainants, the Commission on its own motion scheduled another hearing in this matter.

The hearing was held on September 12, 1984, with all parties Therein, counsel for the complainants explained the present. basis of their objection to the August 24, 1984, Order, which was that it did not require White Hall to have water service in place to complainants in 180 days. A member of the Commission's engineering staff, Mr. Robert Arnett, then took the stand and explained that the construction required by White Hall to serve the complainants would first have to be certificated by the Commission pursuant to KRS 278.020. Mr. Arnett then pointed out that such a certificate application usually takes several months to prepare and file with the Commission and must also be accompanied by approval of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Cabinet. For these reasons, the Commission felt the 180-day period to file the certificate case was a reasonable time to give White Hall in the August 24, 1984, Order. Mr. Chenault, White Hall's manager, then testified that the actual construction time, once PSC approval was obtained, would vary depending on the weather.

Based upon consideration of all of the above and being advised, the Commission finds that the time period set out in the August 24, 1984, Order (180 days for White Hall to file for authority to construct) remains reasonable and it will, therefore, affirm the August 24, 1984, Order in its entirety.

' دئيف

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Commission's Order of August 24, 1984, be and it hereby is affirmed in its entirety.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 24th day of October, 1984.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Chairman Vice Chairman Shull Commissioner

ATTEST:

Secretary